

VOTE SHEET

October 12, 2010

Docket No. 100262-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 100263-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Tampa Electric Company.

Docket No. 100264-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Public Utilities Company.

Docket No. 100265-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Gulf Power Company.

Docket No. 100266-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Power & Light Company.

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s (PEF) updated 2010-2012 storm hardening plan?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the updated storm hardening plan filed by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

DEFERRED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS: Item was deferred to the October 26, 2010, Commission Conference.

Vote Sheet

October 12, 2010

Docket No. 100262-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 100263-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Tampa Electric Company.

Docket No. 100264-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Public Utilities Company.

Docket No. 100265-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Gulf Power Company.

Docket No. 100266-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Power & Light Company.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission approve Tampa Electric Company's (TECO) updated 2010-2012 storm hardening plan?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the updated storm hardening plan filed by Tampa Electric Company.

DEFERRED

Issue 3: Should the Commission approve Florida Public Utilities Company's (FPUC) updated 2010-2012 storm hardening plan?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the updated storm hardening plan filed by Florida Public Utilities Company.

DEFERRED

Issue 4: Should the Commission approve Gulf Power Company's (Gulf) updated 2010-2012 storm hardening plan?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the updated storm hardening plan filed by Gulf Power Company.

DEFERRED

Vote Sheet

- October 12, 2010

Docket No. 100262-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 100263-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Tampa Electric Company.

Docket No. 100264-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Public Utilities Company.

Docket No. 100265-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Gulf Power Company.

Docket No. 100266-EI – Review of 2010 Electric Infrastructure Storm Hardening Plan filed pursuant to Rule 25-6.0342, F.A.C., submitted by Florida Power & Light Company.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 5: Should the Commission approve Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL) updated 2010-2012 storm hardening plan?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the updated storm hardening plan filed by Florida Power & Light Company.

DEFERRED

Issue 6: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, these dockets should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

DEFERRED