Diamond Williams

From:

Kelly, Tamela D [Tamela.Kelly@CenturyLink.com]

Sent:

Friday, November 12, 2010 11:49 AM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Masterton, Susan S

Subject:

000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - October 2010 Attachments: 000121B-TP, Embarg's RCA Rpt, October 2010.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Senior Counsel

Embarq Florida, Inc . d/b/a CenturyLink

315 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Telephone: 850/599-1560

Fax: 850/224-0794

Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - October 2010

Filed on behalf of: Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 6 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - October 2010

Tamela Kelly

Regulatory/Government Affairs Specialist

Century Link

Voice: 850,599,1029 | Fax: 850,224,0794 | Email: tamela_kelly@centurylink.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

09312 NOV 12 º



Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

FLTLHZ0501-507 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850.599.1560

November 12, 2010

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's October 2010 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of June 2010 through August 2010 as published in the July, August and September reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Susan S. Mastertoi

Enclosures

cc: David Rich

Jerry Hallenstein Lisa Harvey

Sugan & Madeston

DOCUMENT ALMBER DATE

09312 NOV 12 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 12th day of November, 2010.

Adam Teitzman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 dkonuch@fcta.com

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301
pete@penningtonlawfirm.com

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
Ms. Carolyn Ridley
Time Warner Telecom
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069-4002
carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc.

E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee ** 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 ereg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company

Ms. Lael Atkinson 7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2 Austin, TX 78731-3045 latkinson@covad.com

Susan S. Masterton
Senior Counsel

^{**} Requested RCA report not be sent via email. ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



October 2010 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects August 2010 data, published September 2010) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic - Residential PO	TS				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.101: All Electronic - UNE Loops xl Description of Issue	DSL Provi Start Date	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	2Q2010			Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of credesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.16: All Electronic - LNP					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Wo	rk				
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
The increase in porting orders and the way in which they are closed out (CLEC has 10 days after DD) is causing non-compliance.	2Q2008				This issue is being investigated to see if it is a CLEC training issue or a system/analyst problem which can be corrected with training. Once this is determined proper course of action will be taken. Embarq will continue to monitor this measure to ensure parity is maintained.

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval					
Submeasure 07.101.01: UNE Loops xDSL Provisioned	1 - Field W	ork_			
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date	·
All 6 non-compliant orders were delayed in Service	3Q2008	N/A	3%	Ongoing	Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion
Provisioning.					issues to allow for timelier processing of orders.



Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed Submeasure 11.02.01: Business POTS - Field Work Description of Issue Start Projected Estimated End Improvement Plan Date Improvement Impact Date Of the 19 non-compliant orders, 10 or 53%were delayed 1Q2010 N/A 12% Ongoing The appropriate management has been notified of the importance of due to order errors which were corrected after the due timely error correction and prevention. Management is working to date, 5 or 26% were delayed due to technician address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier workload, 1 or 5% was delayed due to facility issues, 2 processing of orders Dispatching centers continue efforts to or 11% were delayed because access to customer balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in premise wasn't available and 1 or 5% was delayed due a timely manner. to weather.

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 17 non-compliant orders, 8 or 47% were due to the \CIRAS COMP fid not being added to the order prior to closing, 3 or 18% were delayed due to facility issues, 2 or 12% were delayed in service provisioning 1 or 6% due to lack of access, 1 or 6% due to an order error and 1 or 6% was incorrectly assigned.	1Q2008	N/A	29%		The appropriate management has been advised of the importance of adding the \CIRAS COMP fid when the CIRAS order closes. Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Management has been notified of the importance of timely error correction and prevention.

Measure 17A: Percentage of Troubles within 5 days t Submeasure 17A.01: Residential POTS	for New O	rders			
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
Of the 8 orders followed by tickets, 3 or 37% were due to the impacts of inclement weather, 2 or 25% were caused by deteriorating plant, 2 or 25% were caused by company employees and 1 or 13% was caused by the customer.	2Q2008	N/A	3%		The appropriate management continues to coach order technicians on the importance of completing all related work and following proper installation procedures when completing a service order.



Measure 18: Average Completion Notice Interval Submeasure 18.01: All Electronic					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level we were non-compliant, we were non-compliant because they were held up in the system before an analyst found them and cleared them for completion.	1Q2008				The issue with closing dates not being received from ARC into EASE is being addressed by IT. Management responsible for clearing errors is coaching associates on error resolution process. The NEAC has been notified of the importance of correcting errors as soon as they happen rather than correcting them all at once before month end.

Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
1Q2009			Ongoing	All issues repaired or corrected
	Date	Date Improvement	Date Improvement Impact	Date Improvement Impact Date

Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
16 1 – Blown CO Module 2 – Defective CO Card 3 – Fiber Cut, 3 rd Party 5 – Defective CA/PR 5 – Defective NIU Card	1Q2009			Ongoing	All issues repaired or corrected