Dorothy Menasco

090S38-TP

From:

Nancy N Samry [nmsamry@aol.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, November 16, 2010 4:09 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Itan@psc.state.fl.us; mary.smallwood@gray-robinson.com; adam.sherr@qwest.com; de.oroark@verizon.com;

matthew.feil@akerman.com; Beth Keating; marsha@reuphlaw.com; janewhang@dwt.com;

aklein@kleinlawpllc.com; azoracki@kleinlawpllc.com; jason.topp@qwest.com; kkramer@ststelecom.com;

iparado@acgoldlaw.com

Subject:

09-0538-TP -STS Telecom, LLC's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Qwest Communications Amended

Complaint

Attachments: 090538-TP STS Telecom Answer & Affirmative Defenses to Amended Complaint.PDF

Attached for filing, please find the Answer and Affirmative Defenses of STS Telecom, LLC .to the complaint of Qwest Communication Company, LLC.

Nancy M. Samry, F.R.P. Alan C. Gold, P.A. 1501 Sunset Drive 2nd Floor Coral Gables, FL 33143 nmsamry@aol.com 305-667-0475, ext. 4 (office) 305-663-0799 (fax) 786-374-3727 (cell-Miami, FL) 251-269-9651 (cell-Mobile, AL)

DECLUSENT BUMBER-DATE

9458 NOV 16 º

Law Offices of Alan C. Gold, P.A.

Attorneys:

Alan C. Gold
agold@acgoldlaw.com
James L. Parado, JD, LLM
iparado@acgoldlaw.com
Charles S. Coffey
ccoffey@acgoldlaw.com

1501 Sunset Drive Second Floor Coral Gables, Florida 33143 Telephone: (305) 667-0475 Facsimile: (305) 663-0799

Paralegal:

Nancy M. Samry, F.R.P. nmsamry@aol.com

November 16, 2010

Via Electronic Filing

Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Office of the Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Via E-Mail Only: filings@psc.state.fl.us

Re: Docket No 090538-TP- Amended Complaint of Qwest Communications

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached for electronic filing, please find the Answer and Affirmative Defenses of STS Telecom, LLC to the Amended Complaint of Qwest Communications Company, LLC.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

99458 NOV 16 PERSON CLERK

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Re: Amended Complaint of QWEST COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LLC Against MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES, LLC (D/B/A VERIZON ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES), XO COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., TW TELECOM OF FLORIDA, L.P., GRANITE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, COX FLORIDA TELCOM, L.P., BROADWING COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, ACCESS POINT, INC., BIRCH COMMUNICATIONS, INC., BUDGET PREPAY, INC., BULLSEYE TELECOM, INC., DELTACOM, INC., ERNEST COMMUNICATIONS, INCL, FLATEL, INC., LIGHTYEAR NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC, NAVIGATOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC PAETEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC., STS TELECOM, LLC, US LEC OF FLORIDA, LLC, WINDSTREAM NUVOX, INC., AND JOHN DOES 1 THROUGH 50, For unlawful Discrimination.

Docket No. 090538-TP

Filed November 16, 2010

RESPONDENT STS TELECOM, LLC'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT OF OWEST COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LLC (fika QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION)

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.203 of the Florida Administrative Code, Respondent STS TELECOM, LLC ("STS"), by and through the undersigned Counsel, hereby files its Answer to Amended Complaint of Qwest Communications Company, LLC (fka Qwest Communications Corporation, and in support thereof states as follows:

Response to Unnumbered Paragraphs

In response to the first unnumbered paragraph on page 2 of the Amended Complaint, STS states that no response is necessary to the statement that Qwest is bringing a complaint against the named respondents.

DOORWEAT AFABER-DATE

U9458 MOV 16 ≥

In response to the second unnumbered paragraph on page 2 of the Amended Complaint, STS denies that it has subjected Qwest to unjust and unreasonable rate discrimination in connection with the provision of intrastate switched access services. The paragraph sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent these legal conclusions can be deemed factual allegations, STS answers those allegations below. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations regarding other respondent competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs"), and therefore denies those allegations. STS denies the remaining allegations of the second unnumbered paragraph on page 2 of the Amended Complaint.

Parties and Jurisdiction

- 1. In response to paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, STS admits, upon information and belief, that Qwest is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Denver, and is a telecommunications company authorized to provide certain telecommunications services, including interexchange (long distance) services in Florida. No response is necessary to the statement about Qwest's contact information in paragraph 1.a. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained in paragraph 1.b., and therefore denies those allegations.
- 2. In response to paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint, STS admits the allegations in paragraph 2.q. concerning STS's legal status. For purposes of this proceeding, STS's regulatory contact and legal counsel is: Alan C. Gold, Esquire, Alan C. Gold, P.A., 1501 Sunset Drive, 2nd Floor, Coral Gables, FL 33143; phone: 305-667-0475; fax: 305-663-0799; e-mail: agold@acgoldlaw.com. In response to paragraphs 2.a.

through 2.p. and 2.r. through 2.t., STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained therein because they contain statements of fact and claims regarding other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

3. In response to Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint, STS admits that the Commission has jurisdiction over certain complaints, but denies that it has jurisdiction over all of the claims asserted by Qwest in the Amended Complaint.

Background

- 4. In response to paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint, STS states that sections of the Florida Statutes identified in this paragraph speaks for themselves, and denies all allegations inconsistent with those requirements. To the extent paragraph 4 includes legal conclusions, no response is necessary.
- 5. In response to paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint, STS states that sections of the Florida Statutes identified in this paragraph speaks for themselves, and denies all allegations inconsistent with those requirements. To the extent paragraph 5 includes legal conclusions, no response is necessary.
- 6. In response to paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint, STS denies all of the allegations therein. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations, statements of fact and claims regarding other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 7. In response to paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint, STS denies all of the allegations therein. STS is without knowledge or sufficient information to form a

- belief as to the truth of statements regarding Qwest or other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 8. In response to paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint, STS is without knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of statements regarding proceedings before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("MN PUC"). STS was not involved in the proceedings before the MN PUC. STS further states that the proceedings before the MN PUC speak for themselves, so no response is necessary.
- 9. In response to paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, STS is without knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of statements regarding proceedings before the MN PUC. STS was not involved in the proceedings before the MN PUC STS further states that the proceedings before the MN PUC speak for themselves, so no response is necessary.
- 10. In response to paragraph 10.q.i. and 10.q.ii. of the Amended Complaint, STS denies all of the allegations therein. In response to paragraphs 10.a. through 10.p. and 10.r. through 10.t., STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained therein because they contain statements of fact and claims regarding Qwest and other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

First Claim for Relief - Rate Discrimination

- 11. In response to paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint, STS restates and incorporates the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 12. In response to paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint, STS states that sections 364.08(1) and 364.10(1) of the Florida Statutes speak for themselves, and denies all

- allegations inconsistent with those requirements. To the extent paragraph 12 includes legal conclusions, no response is necessary.
- 13. In response to paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, STS denies that Qwest is similarly situated to other IXCs and denies that it has subjected Qwest to unreasonable prejudice and disadvantage and discriminatory treatment with respect to rates for intrastate switched access services. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations, statements of fact and claims regarding other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

Second Claim for Relief - Failure to Abide by Price Lists

- 14. In response to paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint, STS restates and incorporates the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 15. In response to paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint, STS states that the sections of the Florida Statutes and Florida Administrative Code identified in this paragraph speak for themselves and STS denies all allegations inconsistent with those requirements. To the extent paragraph 15 includes legal conclusions, no response is necessary. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations and statements of fact regarding other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 16. In response to paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint, STS denies all of the allegations therein. Furthermore, STS states that under Florida law, CLECs may enter into contracts to provide switched access service to interexchange carriers and that such contracts are not required to be filed with the Commission. STS therefore denies allegations that it violated Florida law by failing to abide by its published price

list or by subjecting Qwest to unreasonable prejudice, disadvantage and discriminatory treatment. In response to allegations concerning other respondent CLECs, STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained therein because they contain statements of fact and claims regarding Qwest and other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

Third Claim for Relief - Failure to Provide Customer-Specific Contract Terms to Similarly-Situated Customers (XO, Cox, Access Point, Birch, Budget, Bullseye Telecom, Lightyear, Navigator, Windstream, Nuvox, Paetec)

- 17. In response to paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint, STS restates and incorporates the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 18. In response to paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint, said allegations concerning other respondent CLECs and not STS, therefore STS is not required to respond. In any event, STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained therein because they contain statements of fact and claims regarding Qwest and other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.
- 19. In response to paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint, said allegations concerning other respondent CLECs and not STS, therefore STS is not required to respond. In any event, STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations contained therein because they contain statements of fact and claims regarding Qwest and other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

Prayer for Relief

20. In response to Qwest's Prayer for Relief, paragraph A. through F., STS denies all allegations stated therein. The Commission should not initiate proceedings to adjudicate the issues set forth in Qwest's Amended Complaint as it relates to STS and rule in STS's favor. Qwest is not entitled to any relief against STS. STS has not violated Florida law as alleged by Qwest. Qwest is not entitled to reparations or interest. STS has no knowledge or sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of allegations and statements of fact regarding other respondent CLECs, and therefore denies those allegations.

WHEREFORE, Respondent STS Telecom, LLC respectfully requests judgment and/or relief in its favor and for any other relief deemed appropriate.

Affirmative Defenses

- 1. <u>First Affirmative Defense:</u> Failure to State Claim Warranting Relief. Qwest's Amended Complaint fails to state a claim with respect to STS upon which relief can be granted.
- Second Affirmative Defense: CLECs are Permitted to Enter Into Contracts for Switched Access Service. Qwest's Amended Complaint that STS entered into an "undisclosed" contract to provide switched access service fails to state a cause of action under Florida law. CLECs in Florida are required to file price lists only for "basic local telecommunications services." PSC Rule 25-24.825(1), Fla.Admin.Code. CLECs have the "option," but are not required to file tariffs or price lists for any other service, including switched access service. PSC Rule 25-24.825(2). CLECs are permitted to enter into contracts with other telecommunications companies, a fact that Qwest admits. There is no requirement that a CLEC file any such contracts with the

- Commission, although Commission staff may request information about a carrier's services offering pursuant to PSC Rule 25-24.825(5).
- 3. Third Affirmative Defense: Failure to Allege Facts Warranting Reparations. Reparations generally are intended to compensate a customer for any payment that it made in excess of the amount that it should have been charged. Qwest has not alleged that STS did not bill it in accordance with its intrastate price list. Thus Qwest is not seeking repayment of amounts that it was improperly billed in excess of the rates it alleges are in STS's price list. Accordingly, there is no basis for an award of "reparations." Qwest, instead, is seeking damages for an alleged injury to its business, which is a relief this Honorable Commission has no jurisdiction to grant.
- 4. Fourth Affirmative Defense: Qwest is not entitled to relief under the filed-rate doctrine because STS' price list on file, if any, with the Commission is presumed to be just and reasonable. The filed-rate doctrine prohibits Qwest from arguing that it should be allowed to pay a rate different than that in the effective price list or obtain a refund based on filed rates that it concedes are lawful. The Commission has rejected such claims under the principle that if filed rates are ordered to be changed, they can only be changed prospectively, not retroactively. Qwest's request for compensation based on rates other than those on file with the Commission therefore must be dismissed or denied. See Section-25-24.824, Fla.Admin.Code; Sea Robin Pipeline Co. v. FERC, 795 F.2d 182, 189 n.7 (D.C. Cir. 1986)("FERC may not order a retroactive refund based on a post hoc determination of the illegality of a filed rate's prescription"); In re: Petition for Expedited Review of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Intrastate Tariffs for Pay Telephone Access Services (PTAS) Rate with Respect

- to Rates for Payphone Line Access, Usage, and Features, by Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc., Docket No. 030300-TP, Order No. PSC-04-0974-FOF-TP (2004); Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. co. v. Florida Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 453 So.2d 780 (Fla. 1984)(Commission orders violated principle against retroactive ratemaking to the extent they required retroactive adjustment under parties' revenue distribution arrangement).
- Fifth Affirmative Defense: Failure to State a Claim for Undue or Unreasonable Preference or Advantage. STS has not engaged in any undue or unreasonable preference or advantage, and has not subjected Qwest to any undue or unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage that is prohibited by §§ 364.08(1) or 364.10(1), Fla. Stat. Qwest fails to allege any facts showing that it was "under like circumstances," or similarly situated to meet the terms of any alleged STS switched access agreement.
- 6. <u>Sixth Affirmative Defense:</u> Statute of Limitations. Qwest's claim against STS are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
- 7. <u>Seventh Affirmative Defense:</u> Claims Barred by Equity. Qwest's claims are barred in whole or in part by laches, waiver, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.
- 8. <u>Eighth Affirmative Defense</u>: Mitigation of Damages. Qwest failed to mitigate its damages and is therefore not entitled to relief to the extent of its failure to mitigate its damages.
- 9. <u>Ninth Affirmative Defense:</u> Lack of Jurisdiction. The Commission lacks jurisdiction to grant the relief sought by Qwest.
- 10. <u>Tenth Affirmative Defense:</u> Qwest lacks standing to bring suit before the Commission.

11. <u>Eleventh Affirmative Defense:</u> To the extent Qwest seeks damages, the Commission lacks jurisdiction to award damages.

WHEREFORE, Respondent STS Telecom, LLC respectfully requests judgment and/or relief in its favor and for any other relief deemed appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Alan C. Gold

Alan C. Gold (Florida Bar No. 304875) ALAN C. GOLD, P.A. 1501 Sunset Drive 2nd Floor Coral Gables, FL 33143

Telephone: (305) 667-0475 Facsimile: (305) 663-0799 E-mail: agold@acgoldlaw.com

Attorney for STS Telecom, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 090538-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via

Electronic Mail only this 16th day of November, 2010 to the following:

Florida Public Service Commission Theresa Tan, Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Office of General Counsel 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 ltan@psc.state.fl.us Qwest Communications Company, LLC Mary F. Smallwood GrayRobinson, PA 301 S. Bronough Street, Suite 600 (32301) PO Box 11 189 Tallahassee, FL 32302-3 189 Mary.smallwood@gray-robinson.com

MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services Dulaney L. O'Rourk, III PO Box f110, 37th Floor MCFLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110 Adam L. Sherr Qwest 1600 7th Avenue, Room 1506 Seattle, WA 98191 Adam.Sherr@qwest.com

Cox Florida Telecom, LLC
Beth Keating
Akerman Senterfitt
106 E. College Ave., 12th Floor
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Beth.keating@akerman.com

De.oroark@verizon.com

TW Telecom of Florida, LP Matthew Feil Akerman Senterfitt 106 E. College Ave., 12th Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301 matthewfeil@akerman.com

XO Communications Services, Inc. Matthew Feil Akerman Senterfitt 106 E. College Ave., 12th Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301 matthewfeil@akerman.com Broadwing Communications, LLC
Marsha E. Rule
Rutledge, Ecenia & Purnell
PO Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551
marsha@reuphlaw.com

Granite Communications, LLC

XO Communications Services, Inc.
Jane Whang
Davis Wright Tremain
Suite 800
505 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-6533
JaneWhang@dwt.com

Owest Communications Co., LLC

Andrew M. Klein
Allen C. Zoraki
Klein Law Group, PLLC
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036
aklein@kleinlawpllc.com
azoracki@kleinlawpllc.com

Jason D. Topp, Corporate Counsel Qwest Communications Co., LLC 200 S. Fifth Street, Room 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Jason.topp@qwest.com

Delivery via US Mail

General Counsel Access Point, Inc. 1100 Crescent Green, Suite 109 Cary, NC 27518-8105

General Counsel Birch Communications, Inc. 2300 Main Street, Suite 600 Kansas City, MO 64108-2415

General Counsel Budget PrePay, Inc. 1325 Barksdale Blvd., Suite 200 Bossier City, LA 71111-4600

General Counsel
BullsEye Telecom, Inc.
25900 Greenfield Road, Suite 330
Oak Park, MI 48237-1267

General Counsel
US LEC of Florida, LLC d/b/a
PaeTec Business Services
6801 Morrison Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28211-3599

General Counsel Windstream Nuvox, Inc. Two North Main Street Greenville, Sc 29601-2719 General Counsel Lightyear Network Solutions, LLC 1901 Eastpoint Parkway Louisville, KY 40223-4145

General Counsel Navigator Telecommunications, LLC PO Box 13860 North Little Rock, AR 72113-0860

General Counsel PaeTec Communications, Inc. One PaeTec Plaza 600 Willowbrook Office Park Fairport, NY 14450-4233

General Counsel
DeltaCom, Inc.
7037 Old Madison Pike
Huntsville, AL 35806-2107

General Counsel Ernest Communications, Inc. 5275 Triangle Parkway, Suite 150 Norcross, GA 30092-6511

General Counsel Flatel, Inc. Executive Center, Suite 100 2300 Palm Beach Lakes Blvd. West Palm Beach, FL 33409-3307

s/ Alan C. Gold
Alan C. Gold (Florida Bar No. 304875)