

COMMISSIONERS:
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
NATHAN A. SKOP
RONALD A. BRISÉ
EDUARDO E. BALBIS

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
S. CURTIS KISER
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-FPSC

10 DEC -3 AM 11:03

COMMISSION
CLERK

Public Service Commission

December 3, 2010

D. Bruce May, Jr.
Holland & Knight, LLP
Post Office Drawer 810
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810

STAFF'S NINTH DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 100330-WS - Application for increase in water/wastewater rates in Alachua, Brevard, DeSoto, Hardee, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Palm Beach, Pasco, Polk, Putnam, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.

Dear Mr. May:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. (Aqua or Utility) provide responses to the following data requests.

1. Has the Utility attempted to quantify, in any way, the historical cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands as a result of Order No. PSC-09-0385-FOF-WS, issued May 29, 2009, in Docket No. 080121-WS?
 - a. If the response to (1) is positive, please enumerate the ways in which the Utility has attempted to quantify the historical cost savings referenced in (1) above.
 - b. If the response to (1) is positive, please explain and describe any and all methods used by the Utility to quantify the historical cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands.
 - c. If the response to (1) is positive, please provide any and all documents used by the Utility to quantify the historical cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands. These documents should be provided by matching each method enumerated in (1a) above with the description of quantification method used as provided in (1b) above.
 - d. If the response to (1) is positive, do any results of the Utility's efforts to quantify the Utility's historical cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands appear in the Utility's Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) in the instant docket?

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD • TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

An Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

PSC Website: <http://www.floridapsc.com>

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

09759 DEC 3 01

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

- e. If the response to (1d) is positive, please indicate the specific page(s) in the MFRs where the quantification results or partial results appear, matching the results to the corresponding method enumerated in (1a) above to the description of quantification method used as provided in (1b) above.
 - f. If the response to (1) is not positive, please explain why the Utility did not attempt to quantify the historical cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands as a result of Order No. PSC-09-0385-FOF-WS, issued May 29, 2009, in Docket No. 080121-WS.
2. Has the Utility attempted to quantify, in any way, the projected cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands as a result of Order No. PSC-09-0385-FOF-WS, issued May 29, 2009, in Docket No. 080121-WS?
 - a. If the response to (2) is positive, please enumerate the ways in which the Utility has attempted to quantify the projected cost savings referenced in (2) above.
 - b. If the response to (2) is positive, please explain and describe any and all methods used by the Utility to quantify the projected cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands.
 - c. If the response to (2) is positive, please provide any and all documents used by the Utility to quantify the projected cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands. These documents should be provided by matching each method enumerated in (2a) above with the description of quantification method used as provided in (2b) above.
 - d. If the response to (2) is positive, do any results of the Utility's efforts to quantify the Utility's projected cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands appear in the Utility's Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) in the instant docket?
 - e. If the response to (2d) is positive, please indicate the specific page(s) in the MFRs where the quantification results or partial results appear, matching the results to the corresponding method enumerated in (2a) above to the description of quantification method used as provided in (2b) above.
 - f. If the response to (2) is not positive, please explain why the Utility did not attempt to quantify the projected cost savings associated with the consolidation of individual utility systems into rate bands as a result of Order No. PSC-09-0385-FOF-WS, issued May 29, 2009, in Docket No. 080121-WS.
3. Please provide the repression reports for 3rd and 4th quarters of 2009. These reports were provided to Staff as a result of Docket No. 080121-WS. Please provide these reports in Excel format.
4. Please provide the repression reports for the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd quarters of 2010. These reports were provided to Staff as a result of Docket No. 080121-WS. Please provide these reports in Excel format.

D. Bruce May, Jr.
Page 3
December 3, 2010

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by December 27, 2010, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6218 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Katherine E. Fleming
Senior Attorney
Office of the General Counsel

KEF/sh

cc: Office of Commission Clerk
Charlie Beck

Data-Request-Letter.doc