

Marguerite McLean

100437-EI

From: Clark, Eileen [Eileen.Clark@pgnmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2011 3:09 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Keino Young; 'jbrew@bbrslaw.com'; 'vkaufman@kagmlaw.com'; 'jmoyle@kagmlaw.com'; 'KSTorain@potashcorp.com'; 'kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us'; Charles Rehwinkel; Charles Beck
Subject: Docket 100437-EI

Attachments: PEF's Objections to FIPUG's First Request for Production of Documents (No. 1).pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John Burnett
P. O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733
727-820-5184
John.Burnett@pgnmail.com

Docket 100437-EI

In Re: Examination of the outage and replacement fuel/power costs associated with the CR3 steam generator replacement project, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Consisting of 4 pages.

The attached document for filing is PEF's Objections to the Florida Industrial Power Users Group's First Request for Production of Documents (No. 1).

1/10/2011

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

00223 JAN 10 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Examination of the outage and) Docket No. 100437-EI
Replacement fuel/power costs)
Associated with the CR3 steam)
Generator replacement project,)
By Progress Energy Florida, Inc.) Filed: January 10, 2010

**PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER
USERS GROUP'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
(No. 1)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, and Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to The Florida Industrial Power Users Group's ("FIPUG's") First Request for Production of Documents (No. 1), and herein states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in FIPUG's First Request to Produce Documents and will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Progress Energy Florida, Inc., 106 E. College Ave., Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and FIPUG for purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents.

With respect to the "Definitions" in FIPUG's First Request for Production of Documents, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of FIPUG's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. PEF objects to any definition or request

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE
0223 JAN 10 =
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and that are otherwise not subject to discovery. Furthermore, PEF objects to any request that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to FIPUG's requests to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.


PEF generally objects to FIPUG's First Request for Production of Documents to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been

asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to FIPUG's requests for production if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for FIPUG that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by FIPUG to evade the numerical limitations set on document requests in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.


By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to FIPUG's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.



R. ALEXANDER GLENN
General Counsel
JOHN T. BURNETT
Associate General Counsel
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Telephone: (727) 820-5184
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic and U.S. Mail this 10th day of January, 2011, to all parties of record as indicated below.



JOHN T. BURNETT

Keino Young, Esquire
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

Mr. James W. Brew, Esq.
c/o Brickfield Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
8th Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@bbrslaw.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
John C. Moyle, Jr.
Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
vkaufman@kagmlaw.com
jmoyle@kagmlaw.com

Karin S. Torain, Esq.
PCS Administration (USA), Inc.
Suite 400
Skokie Boulevard
Northbrook, IL 60062
KSTorain@potashcorp.com

J.R.Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel/Charlie Beck
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, #812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Beck.charles@leg.state.fl.us