

Marguerite McLean

110001-EI

From: Marguerite McLean
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 10:03 AM
To: Michael Barrett
Subject: Confidential DNs 02591-08 and 07118-08

Michael,

This e-mail retract's the directive [in DN 09211-10] to return to the source DNs 02591-08 and 07118-08.

Confidential DNs 02591-08 and 07118-08 will be retained at the Commission awaiting rulings on the requests for extensions.

Thank you,
 Marguerite.

From: Michael Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, January 12, 2011 7:50 AM
To: Marguerite McLean
Subject: RE: Confidential DN 02591-08 - 080001-EI

We'll go with "retain" and rule on the extension - for this one and the others you e-mailed me about.
 Thanks.

From: Marguerite McLean
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 3:57 PM
To: Michael Barrett
Subject: FW: Confidential DN 02591-08 - 080001-EI

Sorry about that, correction to my question:

"My question is: do you want confidential DN 02591-08 returned to the source or is this document to be retained at the Commission, and an order will be issued ruling on the request for extension ?"

From: Marguerite McLean
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 3:42 PM
To: Michael Barrett
Subject: FW: Confidential DN 02591-08 - 080001-EI

Sorry about that, Please see attachments, DNs 09237-10 and 09211-10.

From: Marguerite McLean
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2011 3:40 PM
To: Michael Barrett
Subject: Confidential DN 02591-08 - 080001-EI

Michael,

On 11/8/10 we rec'd. a directive from staff (See DN 09211-10) to return confidential DN 02591-08.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10288 JAN 12 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1/12/2011

We also received a request for extension of confidential classification (See DN 09237-10) on the same day.

My question is: do you want confidential DN 09237-10 returned to the source or is this document to be retained at the Commission, and an order will be issued ruling on the request for extension ?
Thanks,

Marguerite.