1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
2	I HOKEDE	TODDIC BLICVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter c	- ·	
4		DOCKET NO. 110013-TP	
5	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS FOR RELAY SERVICE, BEGINNING IN JUNE 2012, FOR THE DEAF, HARD OF		
6	HEARING, DEAF/BLIND, OR SPEECH IMPAIRED, AND OTHER IMPLEMENTATION		
7	MATTERS IN COMP	LIANCE WITH THE	
8	FLORIDA TELECOM SYSTEM ACT OF 1	MUNICATIONS ACCESS 991.	
9	•		
10			
11			
12			
13		COMMITTEE A CONTROL A CONTROL	
14	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3	
15	COMMITTEETONEDE		
16	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR	
17		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS	
18		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN	
19	DATE:	Tuesday, April 26, 2011	
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
21		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
22	DEDOD### DV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
23	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
24		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732	
25			
		DOCUMEN	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Let's go to Item Number

3. Ray, it looks like you're the man.

MR. KENNEDY: Thank you. Ray Kennedy, Commission staff.

Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners.

For Item 3, staff is seeking approval from you to issue a request for proposal to provide a telecommunications relay service for the nearly three million deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, and speech impaired citizens of Florida. Chapter 427, Florida Statutes, authorizes the Commission and requires the Commission to do this.

We have a partnership arrangement with FTRI. They are a nonprofit corporation. What they do is they collect the fees from the local exchange companies. They all go there, and they distribute equipment to the personnel. We also have a committee, an advisory committee made up of a group of ten individuals recommended by various organizations for the telephone industry individuals who are deaf, hard-of-hearing, speech-impaired, what have you.

The current contract expires on May 31st, 2012, and this is to start the ball rolling to

select the provider for that start date of June 1st, 2012. Sprint is the current provider for these services. We're here to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, sir.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

Given the importance of this service, I have spent additional time really looking with specificity over the RFP, and I have just a few modifications I'd like to suggest for consideration.

On Page 14 of Attachment A, definition t, which is PRC, Proposals Review Committee. We define advisory committee with specificity, but we do not define the PRC, so it appears to be amorphous. And I think that -- I have spoken with staff about this, and they have a suitable definition that they can read into the record for your consideration.

MS. MILLER: Yes. We suggest, "The PRC consists of designated FPSC staff and designated members of the advisory committee."

COMMISSIONER BROWN: And that is one of the suggestions that I have. I have another one, if you would like me to continue.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Let's take them up one at a time. So you propose that as an amendment?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I do. 2 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And it has been seconded. 3 Any discussion on that amendment? 4 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'm sorry, I'm lost. 5 You said Attachment A --6 COMMISSIONER BROWN: 7 It's on Page 14. Ιf Ms. Miller would like to read it again for 8 Commissioner Edgar. 9 10 MS. MILLER: What we suggest is adding, "The PRC consists of designated FPSC staff and 11 designated members of the advisory committee." 12 13 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: So this would be a 14 new letter under -- and when you say Page 14, are you talking about Page 14 of the item, because it 15 16 doesn't look like Attachment A, on my copy anyway, 17 has its own page numbers. It is on Attachment COMMISSIONER BROWN: 18 If you look at the top it says Attachment A. At 19 the top, Page 14. It's just expanding the 20 definition, because it is limited right now to the 21 22 proposals review committee. 23 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'm so sorry. 24 me a second.

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER BROWN: That's okay.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. So it would be an addition to letter f?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: T.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: The letter t. There it is. Okay. I've got it now. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And I'm ready to move on now. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Any other discussion on that amendment? Seeing none, all in favor say aye.

(Vote taken.)

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Those opposed?

By your action, the Brown amendment -- the number one Brown amendment.

another suggested change. On Page 40, Item 61, insurance coverage. I feel that the current provision is ambiguous and doesn't identify minimum limits for overage, which I believe are essential. And I have spoken with staff about this, and they did agree with me, so I have prepared some additional language that I would like to propose to my fellow Commissioners at this time for consideration. And I will hand out a copy of it so that you can read it with me.

2.4

25

"During the term of the contract, a provider shall provide insurance coverage for itself and all of its employees used in connection with the performance of services under this agreement, and ensure that all subcontractors shall be similarly covered as provided herein. Such policies shall be issued by a financially sound carrier and/or carriers duly authorized to do business in the state of Florida. Such insurance coverage shall hold the FPSC harmless from any act, negligence, or omission on the part of provider, its employees, agents, or subcontractors, and their employees in the execution or performance of the obligations assumed hereunder. This insurance will include workers compensation as required by law and comprehensive general liability and bodily injury insurance in amounts no less than one million per occurrence and two million general aggregate."

And the reason why I did that is because the original language did not specify any type of limits, so I felt -- and I spoke with staff about this, and if Ms. Miller would like to comment on the proposed language, I would appreciate it.

MS. MILLER: Yes. We think it's an improvement. We called some attorneys at the

Department of Management Services who do this 1 contract work on a daily basis, and they said that 2 3 it's really helpful to have the dollar amount in there. So by adding the million per occurrence and 5 two million general aggregate, it was their opinion that that was a preferable way to go. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Brown, you can definitely tell that you're an attorney. 8 COMMISSIONER BROWN: I just think it's a 9 better -- if we have these in there, it's a better 10 RFP, which will ultimately be incorporated as part 11 of the contract. 12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Was that a motion I 13 14 heard you say? COMMISSIONER BROWN: I would like to move 15 to accept this additional provision that I read into 16 17 the record. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So we'll call this the 18 19 number two Brown amendment. And it has been moved 20 and seconded. Any further discussion on that Number 2 21 Brown amendment? Seeing none, all in favor say aye. 22 (Vote taken.) 23 24 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Those opposed? 25 By your action, you have approved the

second one. We'll just combine both of those as the Brown amendment.

Is there anything else up on Item Number 3?

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I just wanted to point out one question that I had for staff when being briefed on this item was what appeared to be an extensive amount of time from the RFP being issued and the contract being executed. I think it was around nine months. And I know, Mr. Kennedy, if you'd just like to expand upon that. I don't know if the other Commissioners had similar concerns on does it take nine months to execute a contract, and, if so, is that something we need to look at, or is this a specific case?

MR. KENNEDY: What we have -- the schedule arranged is to have the bidders conference on May the 20th. I'd prefer not to do that any earlier to give the bidders time to review the RFP. From now until September, I anticipate a contract award. We might could shorten when we come back to the agenda once we make the evaluation. The evaluation, I think, is going to take the staff the longest time.

I anticipate the evaluation will take approximately two weeks, and that's going to depend on how many bidders we have. But I don't know that nine months is excessive for this.

If you desire we shorten it, I can certainly on the back end bring those in. I haven't had any other questions regarding that, though.

MS. MILLER: One thing we might want to note is we really hope we don't get any protests, but if we did, that adds -- and it goes through a process that adds a couple of months to the matter. Really more than a couple of months. There's a schedule set out where it goes to -- anyway, it goes to the Division of Administrative Hearings. So we hope we don't get any protests, but that is one of the reasons for having a little bit of leeway in there.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Well, when I asked this question during a briefing, there was what I felt was a better answer, and I was hoping that you would repeat the answer you gave me. And I don't know if it's appropriate, but, you know, I guess for you to confirm the comment made previously is that we have an existing provider that's on board, and if there's another company that is awarded this

contract, they are going to need the time to have 1 everything in place so there is not a gap in service 2 that's provided so that we have a lot of leeway here 3 so that when that contract expires, we have another 4 one on board with equipment set up so there is not a 5 gap in service to the customers. So is that still 6 correct? 7 MR. KENNEDY: That certainly is correct, 8 absolutely. 9 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Any further 11 discussion on Item Number 3? 12 Can I get a motion to move staff on Item 13 Number 3 with the two Brown amendments? 14 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Chairman, I would 15 move that we approve the item before us with the 16 changes in language suggested by Commissioner Brown. 17 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It has been moved and 18 seconded. 19 Any further discussion on Item Number 3? 20 Seeing none, all in favor say aye. 21 (Vote taken.) 22 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Those opposed? By your action, you have approved Item 24 Number 3. 25

	il en	
1	Staff, thank you very much.	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
6	at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that
9	the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
0	
L 0	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
L1	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
L2	financially interested in the action.
L3	DATED THIS 29th day of April, 2011.
.4	
L5	Jane Tunt
L 6	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
L 7	(850) 413-6732
L 8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	