1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF MATTHEW KIM
4		
5	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
6		ADDRESS.
7	Α.	My name is Matthew Kim. I serve as Assistant Vice President and Corporate
8		Controller of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("Chesapeake"). My business
9		address is 909 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware.
0	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
1		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
12	A.	I graduated with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration degree,
13		with a major in Accounting, Magna Cum Laude, from Georgetown University
14		in Washington, DC in 1998. I am a Certified Public Accountant, licensed in
15		the District of Columbia. I have 13 years of professional accounting
16		experience. I joined Chesapeake in 2009 as the Corporate Controller and in
17		2010, I was appointed as Assistant Vice President by Chesapeake's Board of
18		Directors. Prior to joining Chesapeake, I was Vice President and Assistant
19		Controller at The Carlyle Group, a global private equity firm, from 2005 to
20		2009. I also held various audit positions with public accounting firms for over
21		seven years, from Staff Auditor to Senior Manager. Prior to leaving public
22		accounting, I was a Senior Manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC.
23	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.

- A. As Assistant Vice President and Corporate Controller, I am responsible for accounting, financial reporting and tax compliance functions within Chesapeake. This includes daily oversight, management, compliance and policy. I am also involved in the financial planning and budgeting functions within Chesapeake.
- 6 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE
 7 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER
 8 REUGATORY BODY?
- 9 A. Yes. In 2010, I previously provided testimony before the Federal Energy
 10 Regulatory Commission ("FERC") in Docket Number RP11-1670. I have not
 11 previously provided testimony before the Florida Public Service Commission
 12 (the "Commission").

13 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the acquisition by Chesapeake of
15 Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC") and support the calculation of and
16 the request for recovery of the positive acquisition premium and
17 transaction/transition costs (the "Regulatory Assets") resulting from the
18 acquisition.

19 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS TO YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 20 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following Exhibits to my testimony:
- Exhibit___(MK-1) Calculation of Natural Gas Premium;
- Exhibit___(MK-2) Calculation of Income Tax Gross-Up;

- Exhibit (MK-3) Transaction and Transition Costs Summary
 Detail;
 - Exhibit (MK-4) Proposed Amortization Schedule; and
 - Exhibit (MK-5) Calculation of Acquisition Premium and Regulatory Assets Revenue Requirements and Comparison to Operating Savings

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ACQUISITION TRANSACTION.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

On October 28, 2009, Chesapeake acquired FPUC, whereby FPUC became a wholly owned subsidiary of Chesapeake. Pursuant to the transaction, FPUC shareholders received 0.405 shares of Chesapeake common stock in exchange for each outstanding share of FPUC common stock. Chesapeake issued 2.487.910 shares of its common stock to redeem all outstanding FPUC common shares. The market price of Chesapeake stock at the time of the transaction was \$30.42 per share. Thus, the value of consideration exchanged for FPUC common shares, inclusive of a minor amount of cash paid in lieu of issuing fractional shares, was \$75,698,624. In addition, Chesapeake assumed all of the outstanding short-term and long-term debt of FPUC at the time of the acquisition, which represented \$4,249,000 and \$47,812,431, respectively. These amounts represented the outstanding principal balances, net of any remaining discount and unamortized debt issuance costs at the time of the transaction. Thus, the total value of Chesapeake stock issued, cash paid and FPUC debt assumed in the acquisition was \$127,760,055. The transaction between Chesapeake and

FPUC, which was an exchange of stock rather than a sale of assets, was treated as a tax-free reorganization for income tax purposes in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code Section 368(a). Under a tax-free reorganization, the premium paid for the acquisition is considered to be capitalized as part of the investment basis and therefore, it is not deducted or amortized for income tax purposes for the Company.

Q. DID THE ACQUISITION BY CHESAPEAKE RESULT IN A PURCHASE PRICE GREATER THAN THE BOOK VALUE OF THE ACQUIRED ASSETS FOR THE FPUC NATURAL GAS BUSINESS UNIT?

Α.

Yes. We determined that the amount paid for the FPUC natural gas business in the acquisition was \$88,276,234. The book value of the FPUC natural gas business at the time of the acquisition was \$53,596,487. Thus, the purchase price paid by Chesapeake exceeded the book value of the acquired assets of the FPUC natural gas business by \$34,679.747. The book value of the FPUC natural gas business at the time of the acquisition of \$53,596,487 includes the effect of certain adjustments recorded after the acquisition was completed based on Chesapeake's review of FPUC's accounting records and other adjustments as required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP"). See Exhibit (MK-1).

20 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE PURCHASE PRICE FOR THE 21 FPUC NATURAL GAS BUSINESS UNIT?

A. The purchase price for the FPUC natural gas business is based on the fair value of the FPUC natural gas business as determined from a bottom-up

valuation calculation prepared by the independent valuation experts from Ernst & Young ("E&Y"). After the acquisition of FPUC was completed, the Company engaged experts from E&Y to perform valuation services for the sole purpose of accounting for the FPUC acquisition in accordance with US GAAP. Under this engagement, E&Y calculated the fair value of the Total Invested Capital ("TIC") of each of FPUC's businesses based on the fair value standard/premise as defined in US GAAP. TIC is essentially the value of equity plus debt. The sum of TIC's from FPUC's businesses based on the E&Y valuation was compared and reconciled to the total value of Chesapeake shares issued, cash paid and FPUC debt assumed in the acquisition. The purchase price for the FPUC natural gas business was based on the TIC calculated by E&Y for the FPUC natural gas business (\$88,700,000), plus a slight adjustment to account for an Excess Paid above the valuation amount (\$111,260), less an adjustment to reallocate costs to cover "common" plant (\$535,026).

Α.

16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE VALUATION METHODOLOGY ERNST & 17 YOUNG UTILIZED TO DETERMINE "FAIR VALUE."

E&Y utilized the Fair Value standard/premise as defined in US GAAP as the primary basis for their valuation. The US GAAP standard/premise of fair value is defined in Accounting Standard Codification 820 as "the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date." In a valuation of the TIC of a company, three different approaches may be used to

determine fair value: 1) the Income Approach, 2) the Market Approach, and 3) the Cost Approach. As more fully described in the E&Y valuation report, E&Y considered all three approaches in its valuation of the FPUC natural gas business and determined that the Discounted Cash Flow Method ("DCF") of the Income Approach and the Guideline Company Method ("GCM") and Similar Transaction Method ("STM") of the Market Approach were the most appropriate for this transaction. The Cost Approach, which is usually not utilized when valuing an on-going, profitable operation, was not used by E&Y. E&Y determined the fair value of the FPUC natural gas business under each method and applied the following weight to each method based on its assessment of accuracy and application of each method to the business based on size, risk and relevance: DCF Method – 80%; GCM – 10%; and STM – 10%. The resulting fair value of the FPUC natural gas business as calculated by E&Y was \$88,700,000.

Α.

15 Q. IS THE COMPANY SEEKING APPROVAL OF THE NATURAL GAS 16 PURCHASE PREMIUM OF \$34,679,747?

No. Although the premium (the purchase price in excess of the book value of assets) for the FPUC natural gas business as determined through the bottom-up, independent valuation by E&Y was \$34,679,747, the total purchase premium for the acquisition was determined to be \$34,192,493. The Company is seeking recovery of the total purchase premium amount of \$34,192,493 (the lower amount).

1 Q. WHAT REGULATORY TREATMENT OF THIS ACQUISITION

2 ADJUSTMENT IS BEING REQUESTED BY FPUC?

- FPUC is requesting that the total purchase premium or positive acquisition 3 Α. 4 adjustment of \$34,192,493 be approved by the Commission for FPUC's natural gas business unit and amortized over 30 years. FPUC is also 5 requesting that the amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment be 6 allowed to be recorded in Account 406, Amortization of Gas Plant Acquisition 7 8 Adjustment, as an above-the-line expense to be included for ratemaking and earnings surveillance purposes. FPUC is also requesting that the 9 amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment be allowed to be "grossed-10 11 up" for income taxes as shown in Exhibit (MK-2).
- 12 Q. IS FPUC SEEKING ANY OTHER REGULATORY TREATMENT
 13 ASSOCIATED WITH THE ACQUISITION?
- 14 A. Yes, FPUC is requesting approval to recover the Regulatory Assets (as
 15 previously defined) attributable to the natural gas business unit and to
 16 amortize the Regulatory Assets over five years.

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TRANSACTION COSTS.

18 A. Transaction costs were those costs necessary to consummate the acquisition
19 transaction. The transaction costs consisted primarily of fees paid by
20 Chesapeake to attorneys, Chesapeake's financial advisor, accounting firms
21 and other consultants for their services related to the acquisition. The
22 transaction costs also included the costs associated with obtaining necessary
23 regulatory approvals and shareholder approval, which were required to

consummate the transaction. As shown on Exhibit __(MK-3), the total of all transaction costs incurred by Chesapeake were \$2,375,033. The total transaction costs did not include approximately \$4.6 million of similar costs incurred by FPUC in connection with the transaction, which were expensed by FPUC in 2008 and 2009. Chesapeake's transaction costs also exclude approximately \$1.2 million of related costs incurred by Chesapeake during the initial acquisition discussions with FPUC in 2007 and 2008. Chesapeake expensed those amounts in 2008 upon termination of the initial discussions.

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TRANSITION COSTS.

Α.

Transition costs were incurred after the completion of the transaction to facilitate the integration of Chesapeake and FPUC and, as a requirement of the transaction, to improve business efficiencies and to lower overall costs to serve. The transition costs included: severance related expenses, "run-off" insurance for former directors and officers of FPUC, legal fees associated with severance and other integration-related matters, costs associated with shareholder litigation and consulting expenses related to integration of operations. The total transition costs incurred were \$957,159, as shown on Exhibit (MK-3).

19 Q. ARE ALL OF THE REGULATORY ASSETS DEDUCTIBLE FOR TAX 20 PURPOSES?

A. No, certain costs are not deductible for income tax purposes as they are capitalized in the investment basis. Income tax deductibility for the transaction and transition costs were determined based on the nature and

timing of the costs in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 263(a). Tax regulations consider certain costs incurred in a transaction, such as drafting an acquisition document, to be closely related to facilitating the transaction and prescribe that those costs must be capitalized as part of the investment basis rather than being deducted or amortized for income tax purposes. Tax regulations also require the costs incurred after the parties agree to a transaction, which in the case of this acquisition was the approval of the transaction by each company's Board of Directors, to be capitalized as part of the investment basis rather than being deducted or amortized for income tax purposes. The Company analyzed each transaction and transition cost incurred in the acquisition for tax-deductibility with the assistance from external tax consultants and determined that \$908,512 of the transaction costs and all of the transition costs are deductible for income tax purposes, as also shown on Exhibit MK-3.

Q.

Α.

HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE PORTION OF TRANSACTION COSTS AND TRANSITION COSTS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE REGUALTORY ASSETS?

The Company analyzed each type of transaction and transition cost based on the nature and type of those costs for appropriate assignment or allocation to different businesses. First, any costs that benefitted a specific business were assigned to that business. All other costs, which benefitted the overall transaction and integration were allocated to FPUC businesses based on the TIC determined by the E&Y valuation. As a result of these assignments and

- allocation, the Company determined that \$1,650,983 of the transaction costs
- and \$556,175 of the transition costs are related to the FPUC natural gas
- 3 business and thus included in the Regulatory Assets.

4 Q. WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE REGULATORY ASSETS THAT

5 THE COMPANY IS SEEKING RECOVERY?

- 6 A. The total amount of Regulatory Assets that the Company is seeking to
- 7 recover is \$2,207,158. The Company is proposing that the Commission
- 8 approve the Regulatory Assets in rate base and allow them to be amortized
- 9 over a five-year period as an "above-the-line" expense in the cost to serve to
- 10 be included for ratemaking and earnings surveillance purposes. The
- 11 Company is also requesting approval to gross-up the Regulatory Assets for
- income taxes as shown in Exhibit __ (MK-2).

13 Q. IS FPUC REQUESTING AN ADJUSTMENT TO ITS CURRENTLY

14 APPROVED RATES IN THIS PROCEEDING?

- 15 A. No. The Company is seeking Commission approval to place the positive
- 16 acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets into rate base and the
- 17 associated amortization amounts be recorded "above-the-line" in the cost of
- service.

19 Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE AMORTIZATION POLICY OF

THE COMMISSION?

- 21 A. The Commission has authorized positive acquisition adjustments in several
- 22 natural gas cases, including Peoples Gas' acquisition of Southern Gas
- Company (Order No. 23858); FPUC acquisition of South Florida Natural Gas

(Order No. PSC-04-1110-PAA-GU); AGL acquisition of NUI (Order No. PSC-07-0913-PAA-GU); and Chesapeake's acquisition of Central Florida Gas (Order No. 18716). In most of these cases, the Commission has approved a 30-year amortization period (other than the Chesapeake acquisition of Central Florida Gas where the amortization period was 15-years), beginning at the acquisition closing date using the straight-line method. In addition, in the AGL case, the Commission authorized a five-year amortization period for transaction/transition costs.

9 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING SIMILAR TREATMENT?

A.

The Company is proposing some slight variations to the Commission's past practices. First, the Company is proposing that the amortization begin on November 1, 2009 instead of the transaction closing date of October 28, 2009. The Company believes that beginning the amortization period at the start of a calendar month makes for less confusion over the life of the acquisition asset and the accounting would be somewhat simpler than if the Commission practice was strictly adhered to. Second, the Company is proposing to modify the straight-line amortization approach. The Company proposes that for the first three-years, the amortization expense be modified such that it provides for a better matching of the operating savings that support the acquisition related revenue requirements.

21 Q. PLEASE ELABORATE ON THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED 22 AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE.

Certainly. The Company is proposing an amortization schedule, which allows the Company to gradually increase the total amortization expense (amortization of both the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets) during the first three years of the amortization period as shown in Exhibit ___ (MK-4). After three years, the total amortization will remain constant each year, consistent with the traditional straight-line schedule. The Company's proposed amortization schedule does not vary from the Commission's past practices of a 30-year amortization period for positive acquisition premium and a five-year amortization period for the Regulatory Assets. Except for during the first three years, the Company's proposed amortization schedule does not vary from amortizing the same amount each period during the life of the asset, which is the general concept of straight-line amortization.

A.

Α.

Q. WHY DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THAT THE MODIFIED STRAIGHT-LINE APPROACH IS APPROPRIATE?

Amortization is a way to distribute or recognize expense over a period of time during which the associated benefits from the asset are realized. By proposing the modified approach, the Company is simply trying to better match the revenue requirements of the acquisition and integration of FPUC (premium and transaction/transition costs) with the timing of when the benefits of the acquisition are realized. Under the Commission's past practice of a more traditional straight-line amortization approach, the total revenue requirements for the positive acquisition adjustment and transaction/transition

costs are higher during the first five years after the acquisition than the next As normally occurs in acquisition transactions, companies immediately seek to achieve synergies and savings that exist due to a variety of circumstances: duplicative services, changes in operations philosophy, etc. Once identified, companies begin to harvest these savings. However, all of the synergies and savings do not occur at the same point in time; rather, they are achieved systematically over time as companies continue to identify and harvest these savings in a systematic fashion such that operational requirements are maintained and the quality of customer service is not degraded. In effect, there is a ramping up of savings that typically occurs over the first three to five years of the post-acquisition period. The Company believes that Chesapeake and FPUC moved quickly to identify the areas where cost savings can be achieved and harvest those savings. The fact that the Company is able to discuss the positive impact of the acquisition in less than 18 months after the completion of the transaction speaks to just how quickly the Company has been able to achieve those benefits and savings. The annual savings of \$941,266 attributable to the permanent turn-back of FGT capacity as discussed in the testimony of Mr. Geoffroy is just one of the examples of the savings achieved and shared with its customers in the 18 months since the transaction. Accounting principles would prescribe that there should be a "matching" of the costs (revenue requirements) of the acquisition with the operating savings required to justify and support recovery of the costs. Thus, the Company believes that it is appropriate to modify the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets

over the first three years of the post-acquisition period.

Q. HOW WILL THE MODIFIED AMORTIZATION APPRAOCH AFFECT THE CUSTOMERS?

5 A. Since the Company is not proposing an adjustment to FPUC's current 6 approved rates, there is no immediate impact to customers. In the early 7 years, the revenue requirements are lower under the Company's proposed 8 method, compared to the revenue requirements under the more traditional 9 straight-line method. The revenue requirements after year 5 are higher under 10 the Company's proposed method. As synergies are fully implemented and 11 sustained in those years, higher cost savings are expected in those years as 12 well.

13 Q. WHAT WAS THE TIMING OF THE SAVINGS DEMONSTRATED HEREIN 14 ON THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS?

15

16

17

18

19

20

A. As described herein, the Company was reluctant to reduce costs in a manner that would result in a reduction of service quality to customers. The Company needed to retain most employees to ensure safe and reliable service. As a result, as shown on Exhibit __(MK-5), the actual and projected savings amounts in the first few years after the acquisition escalate as the Company deliberately proceeded with its post-acquisition actions.

21 Q. ARE THE SAVINGS ACHIEVED BY THE COMPANY PERMANENT OR 22 TEMPORARY?

The savings achieved by the Company are permanent. The Commission's practice has been to continue to review the permanency of the cost savings supporting the approval of the positive acquisition adjustment in all future rate proceedings. It is important to note that while the level of the net operating savings is approximately equal to the revenue requirements of the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets by 2011, under the proposed modified straight line amortization schedule; this will not be the case over the amortization life of the positive acquisition adjustment. The level of savings over the 30 year amortization period grows while the revenue requirements of the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets decline. example, the savings related to the eliminated positions grow over time because the Company will have avoided pay increases, benefit cost increases and other employee related cost increases that would otherwise have been incurred. Inflation would have also impacted the costs of certain savings, such as vehicle fuel, uniforms and corporate costs (insurance, legal fees, etc.). As shown on Exhibit (MK-5), the accumulated savings over the 30 year period are projected to be more than \$270 million while the total revenue requirements over the 30 year period are projected to be approximately \$119 million in the Company-proposed amortization method. Thus, over the 30 year life of the acquisition premium, savings are expected to exceed revenue requirements by over \$150 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE \$150 MILLION EXCESS SAVINGS?

- A. The excess savings accrues to the benefit of customers. In other words, because the acquisition occurred, the overall cost of service over the 30 year period is projected to be \$150 million lower than it would have been if the two companies operated independently. Because customer rates are set on the cost of service, the acquisition produces rates that are \$150 million lower than they would have otherwise been over the 30 year period.
- Q. ACCORDING TO THE COMPANY, WHAT IS THE STRUCTURE OF THE

 AMORTIZATION METHOD THAT BENEFITS BOTH CUSTOMERS AND

 THE COMPANY?
 - A. As is shown above, the benefits to consumers over the 30-year life of the acquisition premium outweigh the revenue requirements of the transaction (by over \$150 million). However, under the current practice, the utility is harmed by the mis-matching of early year savings (which escalate) with the total revenue requirements, based on the straight line amortization method, of the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets. It is the Company's belief, therefore, that it is appropriate for the Commission to approve the Company-proposed modified straight-line amortization expense thus providing a better matching of savings with costs (revenue requirements).

19 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

20 A. Yes.

EXHIBIT___(MK-1)

Calculation of Natural Gas Premium

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAT

FPSC-COMMUSSION CLE

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Calculation of Natural Gas Premium

Exhibit___(MK-1)
Page 1 of 3

	NG
Fair value - enterprise value	\$ 88,700,000 A
Excess paid	111,260 B
Reallocation to cover "common" plant	(535,026) C
Purchase price	\$ 88,276,234
	NG
Purchase price	\$ 88,276,234
Not account to	
Net asset value Adjustments - pre-merger adjustments:	55,859,889 D
Loss on reacquired debt	100,949 E
Bonus accrual reversal	40,610 F
Income tax contingency, net	(42,728) G
Income tax amendments	- _. G
Income tax true-up	- Н
Adjustments - elimination of pre-merger goodwill and intangible assets:	
Existing goodwill	(552,803) 1
Existing intangible assets	(1,900,000)
Subtotal - net assets	53,505,917
Fair value adjustments:	- J
Deferred tax liability step-up	(290,459) K
Regulatory asset on deferred tax step-up	381,029
and the state of t	301,023
Revised net assets - After FV adjustments	53,596,487
Purchase premium	\$ 34,679,747 DOCUMENT NUM
	12970

0000MENT NUMBER-DATE | 0 2 9 7 0 APR 29 =

C

- A Based on the "total invested capital" (i.e., enterprise value value of equity plus debt) as recommended by the E&Y Valuation report.
- B Calculation of the consideration paid in excess of the valuation:

Consideration paid	\$ 75,698,624 See below
ST Debt - FPU at merger	4,249,000 Value of the debt
LT Debt - FPU at merger	47,812,431_ Value of the debt
Total	\$ 127,760,055
Total fair value per valuation	127,600,000
Excess paid	\$ 160,055

The "excess paid" represents the amount of consideration paid by Chesapeake above the sum of the fair value of each of the reporting units and their collective debt at the acquisition date. This should be allocated to each of the reporting units based on its respective ratio of the value compared to the sum of their values as follows:

	Fair Value of Reportii Unit	Allocation of "excess paid"			
Natural gas	\$ 88,700,	000 70%	\$ 111,260		
Electric	\$ 30,500,	000 24%	\$ 38,258		
Propane	\$ 8,400,	000 7%	\$ 10,537		
	\$ 127,600,	000	\$ 160,055		

Calculation of the consideration paid by Chesapeake in the merger

Total CPK shares to be issued	2,487,910
Shares price on October 27, 2009 (one-day prior to effective date)	\$30.42
Value of consideration exchanged	\$ 75,682,222
Cash for fractional shares	\$ 16,402
Total	\$ 75,698,624

In preparing the valuation for each reporting unit of FPU, we did not specifically value the remaining "other" net assets, which are primarily consisted of merchandise and other jobbing related assets, due to lack of materiality. Instead, we assumed that there is no fair value adjustment (i.e., book value = fair value) and allocated the value from the natural gas reporting unit.

D These amounts represent the book value of net assets from the FPU natural gas reporting unit, prior to any adjustments based on Chesapeake's review of the accounting records, adjustments allowed/required to be made pursuant to application of US GAAP acquisition accounting (such as fair value adjustment, re-assessment of effective tax rate used in the calculation of deferred taxes, assessment of pre-merger contingencies within the measurement period).

The book value of net assets was prepared by FPU, using the general ledger information and FPU's internal allocation of its "common" assets. Since FPU's general ledger does not maintain the assets and liabilities by each reporting unit on the fully-allocated basis (i.e., including the impact of allocating "common" items), we used the information provided by FPU based on its internal allocation.

- E In FPU's calculation described in Note D above, FPU did not include "unamortized loss on required debt" in account 189. This adjustment establishes the book value of that asset. The allocation was done based on the ratio of net book value between natural gas and electric reporting units.
- During the measurement period of the acquisition accounting, we noted that FPU did not pay certain bonuses accrued as of the acquisition date. This adjustment reverses the over-accrual of the bonus expense. The allocation was done based on how the bonus accrual was allocated (based on payroll allocation done by FPU).
- During the measurement period (in September 2010), we amended FPU's income tax return for 2006, 2007 and 2008 as we identified an error in the amount of depreciation deduction included in those returns. As a result, FPU was required to make additional income tax payments for those years. Since the correction involves depreciation (timing difference), this results in adjustments between current tax and deferred tax balances and have no net effect on the book value of net assets. However, it is likely that FPU will be assessed for interest by the IRS on the additional tax payments and we established the contingent liability for such exposure. This adjustment is net of the related deferred tax impact.
- During the measurement period (in September 2010), we finalized FPU's income tax return for the premerger period in 2009. All of the adjustments to true-up the income tax accruals to the actual tax return involve timing difference (between current and deferred taxes) and have no net effect on the book value of net assets.
- Any remaining book value of FPU's goodwill and intangible assets (excluding the portion included in its rate base, which are considered a regulatory asset) was reversed for the calculation. Intangible assets, which meets the US GAAP definition to be valued at acquisition, if any, will be valued. Goodwill, if any, will be established by reporting unit based on the calculation.
- J There is no fair value adjustment for assets and liabilities subject to rate regulation for FPU.
- After the merger, FPU will file as part of Chesapeake's consolidated federal income tax return. FPU's deferred taxes were previously estimated using its then effective federal income tax rate of 34%. Deferred taxes are supposed to be estimated using the tax rate expected to be in effect when they are utilized/recognized. Since the effective federal income tax is 35% for FPU after the merger, we have to adjust FPU's deferred tax balance to reflect the increase in the expected tax rate.

EXHIBIT___(MK-2)

Calculation of Income Tax

Gross Up

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE
02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-060441SSIGN CLERK

EXHIBIT___(MK-2)

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF INCOME TAX GROSS-UP FOR ACQUISITION PREMIUM AND REGULATORY ASSETS AMORTIZATION EXPENSE

	Total	
	Premium	
	\$34,192,493	
Non-Deductible	\$32,317,493	
Deductible	\$1,875,000	
Amortization Expense (tax deductible)	\$62,500	
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible)	\$1,077,250	
Tax Gross-up	37.63% \$ 649,943	
Return of Capital	\$1,789,693	=

	i Otal	
	Regulatory Assets	
	\$2,207,158	
Non-Deductible	\$1,019,439	
Deductible	\$1,187,719	
Amortization Expense (tax deductible)		\$237,544
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible)		\$203,888
Tax Gross-up	37.63%	\$123,013
Return of Capital	_	\$564,445

02970 APR 29 =

EXHIBIT___(MK-3)

Transaction and Transition Costs
Summary Detail

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02970 APR 29 =

Transaction and Transition Costs - Summary Detail

Exhibit___(MK-3)
Page 1 of 2

			2010		Total
	 2009	YTD	September		To-Date
Transaction costs					
Legal - corporate counsel	\$ 809,342	\$	-	\$	809,342
Financial advisor	809,132		-		809,132
Accounting and valuation consultation	148,937		-		148,937
Other due diligence matters	168,631		-		168,631
Regulatory approval	62,306		-		62,306
Shareholder approval	366,338		-		366,338
Other	10,347		-		10,347
Subtotal - transaction costs	 2,375,033		-		2,375,033
Transition costs - subject to recovery					
Severance related	28,044		423,528		451,572
D&O insurance (run off for FPU)	252,832		-		252,832
Legal - mostly HR related matters	58,403		477		58,880
Consulting	47,572		(6,739)		40,833
System conversion	44,867		(48,749)		(3,882)
Subtotal - transition costs subject to recovery	431,718		368,517		800,235
Transition costs - not subject to recovery				٠	
Shareholder litigation	154,229		- '		154,229
Propane customer transfer - mostly marketing	2,695		-		2,695
Subtotal - transition costs not subject to recovery	 156,924				156,924
Transition costs - Total	 588,642		368,517		957,159
Total transaction and transition costs	\$ 2,963,675	\$	368,517	\$	3,332,192

02970 APR 29 =

CONSQLIDATED	Tax Non Deductible Deductible		Total	Allocated to Utilities	Non-recoverable or Allocated to Propane	Total	% Allocated to Utilities	% Allocated to Non- recoverable and Propane
Transaction Costs								
2009	\$ 908,512	\$ 1,466,521	\$ 2,375,033	\$ 2,218,683	\$ 156,350	\$ 2,375,033	93.4%	6.6%
ransition Costs								
2009 - transition costs	431,718	-	431,718	403,225	28,493	431,718	93.4%	6.6%
2009 - shareholder litigation	154,229	_	154,229	-	154,229	154,229	0.0%	100.0%
2009 - propane transfer marketing costs	2,695	-	2,695	-	2,695	2,695	0.0%	100.0%
2010 (YTD Sept)	368,517		368,517	344,195	24,322	368,517	93.4%	6.6%
	957,159	-	957,159	747,419	209,740	957,159		
otal transaction and transition costs	\$ 1,865,671	\$ 1,466,521	\$ 3,332,192	\$ 2,966,102	\$ 366,090 \$	\$ 3,332,192		

reak-down of transaction/transition costs allocated to utilities	Natural Gas	Electric	Total Utilities	Allocate to Natural Gas	Allocate to Electric
ransaction Costs					•
2009	\$ 1,650,983	\$ 567,700	\$ 2,218,683	74.4%	25.6%
ransition Costs					
2009 - transition costs	300,051	103,174	403,225	74.4%	25.6%
2009 - shareholder litigation	•	-	- .		
2009 - propane transfer marketing costs	-	-	-		
2010 (YTD Sept)	256,125	88,070	344,195	74.4%	25.6%
	556,175	191,244	747,419	74.4%	25.6%
tal transaction and transition costs	\$ 2,207,158	\$ 758,944	\$ 2,966,102	74.4%	25.6%

			Total	_	
	Natural Gas	Electric	Utilities	Propane	Total
Base - enterprise value assessed at					
acquisition	\$ 88,700,000	\$ 30,500,000	\$ 119,200,000	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 127,600,000
% allocation between NG and Electric	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%		
% allocation from total	69.5%	23.9%	93.4%	6.6%	100.0%

EXHIBIT___(MK-4)

Proposed Amortization Schedule

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
02970 APR 29 =

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

\$ 34,192,493 Acquisition Premium

\$ 2,207,158 Regulatory Assets

\$ 36,399,651 Total

Per the Commission Practice (Straight Line)

Per the Commission Practice (Stra Amortization Expense								Jul aight Line	Remaining Balance - at year-end					
Calendar No of Reg Asset				g Premium		Total	•							
Year	Months		ortization		nortization	Ar	nortization			Reg Asset	A	cq Premium		Total
1601	111011010												_	
									\$	_,	\$	34,192,493		36,399,651
2009	2	\$	73,572	\$	189,958	\$	263,530		\$	2,133,586	\$	34,002,535		36,136,121
2010	12	•	441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	1,692,154		32,862,785		34,554,939
2011	12		441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	1,250,723	\$	31,723,035		32,973,758
2012	12		441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	809,291	\$	30,583,285		31,392,577
2013	12		441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	367,860	\$	29,443,536		29,811,395
2014	12		367,860	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,507,609		\$	-	\$	28,303,786		28,303,786
2015	12			\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	27,164,036		27,164,036
2016	12			\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	26,024,286		26,024,286
2017	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	24,884,537		24,884,537
2018	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	23,744,787		23,744,787
2019		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	22,605,037		22,605,037
2020		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	21,465,287		21,465,287
2021		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	20,325,538		20,325,538
2022		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$		\$	19,185,788	-	19,185,788
2023		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	•	\$	18,046,038		18,046,038
2024	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	16,906,288	•	16,906,288
2025	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	15,766,538		15,766,538
2026	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	14,626,789		14,626,789
2027	12		-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	13,487,039		13,487,039
2028		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	12,347,289		12,347,289
2029		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	11,207,539		11,207,539
2030		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	•	\$	10,067,790	\$	10,067,790
2031		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	8,928,040		, .
2032		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	7,788,290		
2033		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	6,648,540	\$	
2034		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	•	\$	5,508,791		
2035		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	4,369,041		
2036		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	•	\$	3,229,291		•
2037		\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	2,089,541		
2038		\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	949,791		•
2039	10		-	\$	949,791	\$	949,791		\$	-	\$	0	. \$	0
	250	_	3 307 150		24 102 402	<u>-</u>	36,399,651	-						
	360	\$	2,207,158	, ,	J4,132,433	۶	30,333,031	-						

02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-COMMISSION OF ERK

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

Exhibit___(MK-4)
Page 2 of 3

\$ 34,192,493 Acquisition Premium \$ 2,207,158 Regulatory Assets

\$ 36,399,651 Total

Per Company Proposed Amortization Schedule

			nortization Expe	nse			Remai	ning	Balance - at ye	ear-	end
alendar	No of	Reg Asset	Acq Premium		Total						
Year	Months	Amortization	Amortization	Ar	mortization		Reg Asset	Α	cq Premium		Total
						Ś	2,207,158	\$	24 102 402	_	26 200 65
2009	2	\$ 36,549	\$ 16,667	\$	53,216	<u></u>	2,207,138		34,192,493		36,399,65
2010	12			\$	372,511	\$ \$	1,898,098		34,175,826		36,346,43
2011	12			\$	691,806	\$	1,354,871		34,075,826 33,927,247		35,973,92
2012	12	· ·	\$ 465,522	\$	1,011,101	\$	809,291		33,461,725		35,282,11
2013	12	•	\$ 835,749	\$	1,277,181	\$	367,860	\$			34,271,01
2014	12		\$ 909,321	\$	1,277,181	\$	307,800	\$ \$	32,625,976 31,716,655		32,993,83
2015	12		\$ 1,277,181	•	1,277,181	\$. •	۶ \$			31,716,65
2016	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	۶ \$	30,439,474		30,439,47
2017	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	- -	-	29,162,293		29,162,29
2018	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	27,885,113		27,885,11
2019	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	· \$	-	\$ \$	26,607,932 25,330,751		26,607,93
2020	. 12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$ \$	-	۶ \$			25,330,75
2021	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-		24,053,571	-	24,053,57
2022	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	ب		\$ \$	22,776,390 21,499,209		22,776,39
2023	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	20,222,028		21,499,20
2024	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$			20,222,02
2025	12		\$ 1,277,181		1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	18,944,848 17,667,667		18,944,84
2025	12		\$ 1,277,181	-	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	16,390,486		17,667,66
2027	12		\$ 1,277,181		1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	15,113,305		16,390,48
2028	12		\$ 1,277,181		1,277,181	\$	-	\$	13,836,125		15,113,30
2029	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	۶ \$	• •		13,836,12
2030	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$		\$	-		12,558,944		12,558,94
2030	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181 1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	11,281,763 10,004,582		11,281,76 10,004,58
2032	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	8,727,402	\$	8,727,40
2032	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	7,450,221	۶ \$	7,450,22
2034	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	\$ \$	6,173,040	э \$	6,173,04
2035	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	-	۶ \$	4,895,859	۶ \$	4,895,85
2036	12		\$ 1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$	_	\$	3,618,679	\$	3,618,67
2037	12		\$ 1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		\$	2,341,498	\$	2,341,49
2038	12		\$ 1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		\$	1,064,317	\$	1,064,31
2039	10		\$ 1,064,317	\$	1,064,317	\$	_	\$	0	\$	1,004,31

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

Exhibit___(MK-4) Page 3 of 3

\$ 34,192,493 Acquisition Premium

\$ 2,207,158 Regulatory Assets \$ 36,399,651 Total

Difference between the Proposed Amortization and Straight Line

			_	tization Exper	nse			Rem	aini	ng Balance - a	at ye	ar-end
Calendar	ı	Reg Asset	Α	cq Premium		Total						***
Year	Ar	mortization	Α	mortization	Α	mortization	Re	g Asset	Α	cq Premium		Total
÷							Γ <u>Α</u>					•
2009	ė	(37,023)	ė	(172 202)	4	(210 214)	\$		\$	172.202	\$	
2009		(168,921)		(173,292)		(210,314)		37,023	\$	173,292	\$	210,31
				(1,039,750)		(1,208,670)		05,943	\$	1,213,041	\$	1,418,98
2011		101,795	\$	(991,170)	-	(889,375)		.04,148	\$	2,204,212	\$	2,308,36
2012		104,148	\$	(674,228)		(570,080)	\$	-	\$	2,878,440	\$	2,878,44
2013		-	\$	(304,001)	\$	(304,001)	• \$	-	\$	3,182,440	\$	3,182,44
2014		-	\$	(230,429)	\$	(230,429)	\$	-	\$	3,412,869	\$	3,412,86
2015		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	S	3,275,438	\$	3,275,43
2016	-	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	3,138,007	\$	3,138,00
2017		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	3,000,576	\$	3,000,57
2018		~	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,863,145	\$	2,863,14
2019		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,725,714	\$	2,725,71
2020		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,588,283	\$	2,588,28
2021		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,450,852	\$	2,450,85
2022		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,313,421	\$	2,313,42
2023	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,175,990	\$	2,175,99
2024	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	2,038,559	\$	2,038,55
2025	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	1,901,128	\$	1,901,12
2026	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	1,763,697	\$	1,763,69
2027	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	1,626,266	\$	1,626,26
2028	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	- '	\$	1,488,836	\$	1,488,83
2029	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$.	1,351,405	\$	1,351,40
2030	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	1,213,974	\$	1,213,97
2031	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	1,076,543	\$	1,076,54
2032	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	939,112	\$	939,11
2033		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	801,681	\$	801,68
2034	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	664,250	\$	664,25
2035		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	526,819	\$	526,81
2036	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$		s	389,388	\$	389,38
2037	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-	\$	251,957	\$	251,95
2038	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	_	\$	114,526	\$	114,52
2039		-	\$	114,526	\$	114,526	\$	-	\$	(0)		(
	_	(5)		/#1		(6)						
	\$	(0)	Ç	(0)	Ş	(0)						

Composite EXHIBIT___(MK-5)

Calculation of Acquisition Premium

Revenue Requirements – Straight Line Method

And Company-Proposed Method

0 2 9 7 0 APR 29 =

FPSC-COM ARBOIGN CLERK

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 1 of 4

					2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016
	\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	34,050,024	\$	33,432,660	\$	32,292,910	\$	31,153,160	\$	30,013,410	\$	28,873,660	\$	27,733,910	\$	26,594,160
	\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$	32,137,951	\$	31,060,701	\$	29,983,451		28,906,201	\$	27,828,951	- 6	26,751,701		25,674,451		24,597,201
	\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$	1,864,583	\$	1,802,083	\$	1,739,583	\$	1,677,083	\$	1,614,583	\$	1,552,083	\$	1,489,583	\$	1,427,083
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost																
Equity	10.85%	46.67%	5.06%	\$	287,365	\$	1,692,928	\$	1,635,214	\$	1,577,501	\$	1,519,788	\$	1,462,074	\$	1,404,361	\$	1,346,647
LT Debt	6.96%	30.76%	2.14%	\$	121,496	\$	715,758	\$	691,358	\$	666,957	\$	642,556	\$	618,155	\$	593,754	\$	569,353
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9-4	\$	-	\$	7	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$	38,237	\$	225,264	\$	217,585	\$	209,905	\$	202,226	\$	194,546	\$	186,867	\$	179,187
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	040	\$		\$	· ·	\$	-	\$; -	\$	
ITC	9.01%	0.12%	0.01%	\$	614	\$	3,615	\$	3,492	\$	3,368	\$	3,245	\$	3,122	\$	2,999	\$	2,875
			9 .																
Subtotal		100.00%	7.89%	\$	447,712	\$	2,637,565	\$	2,547,648	\$	2,457,731	\$	2,367,814	\$	2,277,897	\$	2,187,980	\$	2,098,063
Income Taxes		37.63%	3.06%	\$	173,377	\$	1,021,403	\$	986,582	\$	951,761	\$	916,941	\$	882,120	\$	847,300	\$	812,479
										7					2000		2 022 222		
Pre-tax Return on C	Capital		10.94%	\$	621,089	\$	3,658,968	\$	3,534,230	\$	3,409,493	\$	3,284,755	\$	3,160,018	Ş	3,035,280	\$	2,910,543
							×			2					50.500	ž	52 500		62.500
Amortization Exper	nse (tax deductible	e)		\$	10,417	100	62,500		62,500		62,500	- 60	62,500		62,500		62,500		62,500
Amortization Exper	nse (not tax deduc	ctible)		\$	179,542		1,077,250		1,077,250		1,077,250		1,077,250		1,077,250		1,077,250		1,077,250
Tax Gross-up				\$	108,324	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943
									F 222 222		5 400 405		5 074 440		4 0 40 740	,	4 024 072		4 700 225
Revenue Requirem				\$	919,371		5,448,661		5,323,923		5,199,185		5,074,448		4,949,710		4,824,973	\$	4,700,235
Revenue Requirem	ent (T&T)			\$	133,327		773,794		725,483	_	677,171		628,860	_	484,564		4 024 072	\$	4 700 225
				\$	1,052,698	\$	6,222,455	\$	6,049,406	\$	5,876,357	\$	5,703,307	\$	5,434,275	\$	4,824,973	\$	4,700,235
00116		3.009	4	ć	11 2	\$	2,077,727	Ś	3,247,818	\$	4,983,797	\$	5,133,311	Ś	5,287,310	Ś	5,445,930	Ś	5,609,307
O&M Savings				5	5	¢	392,194		969,504		998,589		1,028,547		1,059,403	- 3	1,091,185		1,123,921
Fuel Savings		3.009		ç	-	¢	330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124	- 23	330,124
Cost of Capital Savi	ings	0.009	70	\$	<u> </u>	\$	2,800,045	_	4,547,446	_	6,312,510	_	6,491,982		6,676,837	_	6,867,239	\$	7,063,352
Total Savings				2		Ş	2,000,043	٦	4,547,440	7	0,512,510	7	0,731,302	7	0,070,037	7	0,007,200	<u> </u>	7,003,332

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 2 of 4

					2017	2018		2019	2020		2021		2022		2023		2024
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$ 25,454,410	\$ 24,314,660	\$	23,174,910	\$ 22,035,160	\$	20,895,410	\$	19,755,660	\$	18,615,910	\$	17,476,160
		\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$ 23,519,951	\$ 22,442,701	\$	21,365,451	\$ 20,288,201	\$	19,210,951	\$	18,133,701	\$	17,056,451	\$	15,979,201
		\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$ 1,364,583	\$ 1,302,083	\$	1,239,583	\$ 1,177,083	\$	1,114,583	\$	1,052,083	\$	989,583	\$	927,083
	Cost Rate		Ratio	Weighted Cost		The American Control of the Control of Contr		31 4 000 3 5 8 3 3 5 5 5	1				_,		203,000	Υ.	32,,003
Equity	10.85%		46.67%	5.06%	\$ 1,288,934	\$ 1,231,220	\$	1,173,507	\$ 1,115,793	\$	1,058,080	Ś	1,000,366	\$	942,653	Ś	884,939
LT Debt	6.96%		30.76%	2.14%	\$ 544,952	\$ 520,552	\$	496,151	\$ 471,750	\$	447,349	- 5	422,948		398,547		374,146
ST Debt	1.76%	,	0.00%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$ 171,508	\$ 163,829	\$	156,149	\$ 148,470	\$	140,790	\$	133,111		125,431	\$	117,752
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ 	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$,	\$	-	\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$ 2,752	\$ 2,629	\$	2,506	\$ 2,382	\$	2,259	\$	2,136		2,013		1,890
																,	-,
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	\$ 2,008,146	\$ 1,918,229	\$	1,828,312	\$ 1,738,395	\$	1,648,478	\$	1,558,561	\$	1,468,644	\$	1,378,727
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	\$ 777,659	\$ 742,838	\$	708,018	\$ 673,197	\$	638,377	\$	603,556	\$	568,735	\$	533,915
Pre-tax Return on Ca	apital			10.94%	\$ 2,785,805	\$ 2,661,067	\$	2,536,330	\$ 2,411,592	\$	2,286,855	\$	2,162,117	\$	2,037,380	\$	1,912,642
Amortization Expens	se (tax deduc	tible)			\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$	62,500	\$ 62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500
Amortization Expens	se (not tax de	educti	ible)		\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ -	1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250
Tax Gross-up					\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$	649,943	\$ 649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943
Revenue Requireme	nt (Premium)			\$ 4,575,498	\$ 4,450,760	\$	4,326,022	\$ 4,201,285	\$	4,076,547	\$	3,951,810	\$	3,827,072	Ś	3,702,335
Revenue Requireme	nt (T&T)				\$ 	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	Ś		Ś	-	5	-	\$	5,702,333
					\$ 4,575,498	\$ 4,450,760	\$	4,326,022	\$ 4,201,285	\$	4,076,547	\$	3,951,810	\$	3,827,072	т.	3,702,335
														-	-,,	<u> </u>	3,702,333
O&M Savings			3.00%		\$ 5,777,587	5,950,914	\$	6,129,442	\$ 6,313,325	\$	6,502,725	\$	6,697,806	\$	6,898,741	\$	7,105,703
Fuel Savings			3.00%		\$ 1,157,638	\$ 1,192,368	\$	1,228,139	\$ 1,264,983	\$	1,302,932	\$	1,342,020	\$	1,382,281	\$	1,423,749
Cost of Capital Savin	gs		0.00%		\$ 330,124	 330,124	\$	330,124	\$ 330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124
Total Savings					\$ 7,265,349	\$ 7,473,406	\$	7,687,704	\$ 7,908,432	\$	8,135,781	\$	8,369,951	\$	8,611,145	\$	8,859,576

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 3 of 4

						2025		2026	2027	2028		2029		2030		2031		2032
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	16,336,410	\$	15,196,660	\$ 14,056,910	\$ 12,917,160	\$	11,777,410	\$	10,637,660	\$	9,497,910	\$	8,358,160
		\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$	14,901,951	\$	13,824,701	\$ 12,747,451	\$ 11,670,201	\$	10,592,951	\$	9,515,701	\$	8,438,451	\$	7,361,201
		\$	1.875.000	Deductible	\$	864,583	- 7		\$ 739,583	The state of the s	\$	614,583		552,083	100.0	489,583	3000	427,083
	Cost Rate	Mark Contra	Ratio	Weighted Cost				,		, , , , ,	*	01.,000	*	332,003	Ψ.	103,303	~	427,003
Equity	10.85%		46.67%		6 \$	827,226	\$	769,512	\$ 711,799	\$ 654,086	\$	596,372	\$	538,659	\$	480,945	\$	423,232
LT Debt	6.96%		30.76%	2.14%	6 \$	349,746	\$	325,345	\$ 300,944	\$ 276,543	\$	252,142	- 1	227,741		203,340	100	178,940
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	6 \$	-	\$	-	\$	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	6 \$	110,072	\$	102,393	\$ 94,713	\$ 87,034	\$	79,354	\$	71,675	\$	63,995	\$	56,316
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	6 \$	-	\$	-	\$ 	\$ · ·	\$	-	\$	2	\$	-	\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	6 \$	1,766	\$	1,643	\$ 1,520	\$ 1,397	\$	1,273	\$	1,150		1,027		904
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	6 \$	1,288,810	\$	1,198,893	\$ 1,108,976	\$ 1,019,059	\$	929,142	\$	839,225	\$	749,308	\$	659,391
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	6 \$	499,094	\$	464,274	\$ 429,453	\$ 394,633	\$	359,812	\$	324,992	\$	290,171	\$	255,350
Pre-tax Return on Ca	pital			10.94%	6 \$	1,787,904	\$	1,663,167	\$ 1,538,429	\$ 1,413,692	\$	1,288,954	\$	1,164,217	\$	1,039,479	\$	914,741
Amortization Expens	e (tax deduc	tible)			\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500
Amortization Expens	e (not tax de	duct	ible)		\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250
Tax Gross-up					\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943
Revenue Requiremen	nt (Premium))			\$	3,577,597	\$	3,452,859	\$ 3,328,122	\$ 3,203,384	\$	3,078,647	\$	2,953,909	\$	2,829,172	\$	2,704,434
Revenue Requiremen	nt (T&T)				\$		\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$	_	\$	-
					\$	3,577,597	\$	3,452,859	\$ 3,328,122	\$ 3,203,384	\$	3,078,647	\$.	2,953,909	\$	2,829,172	\$	2,704,434
															,			
O&M Savings			3.00%		\$	7,318,874	\$	7,538,440	\$ 7,764,593	\$ 7,997,531	\$	8,237,457	\$	8,484,581	\$	8,739,118	\$	9,001,292
Fuel Savings			3.00%		\$	1,466,462	\$	1,510,456	\$ 1,555,769	\$ 1,602,442	\$	1,650,516		1,700,031		1,751,032	S	1,803,563
Cost of Capital Saving	gs		0.00%		\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$	330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124
Total Savings					\$	9,115,460	\$	9,379,020	\$ 9,650,487	\$ 9,930,097	\$	10,218,097	\$	10,514,736	\$	10,820,274	\$	11,134,979

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 4 of 4

						2033		2024		2025		2026		2027		2020		2020
		ć 2410	2 402	August Dag and June	<u>_</u>		4	2034	4	2035	4	2036	,	2037		2038		2039
		\$ 34,19	32,493	Average Premium	\$	7,218,410	\$	6,078,660	\$	4,938,910	\$	3,799,160	\$	2,659,410	\$	1,519,660	\$	401,830
		\$ 32,31	17,493	Non-Deductible	\$	6,283,951	\$	5,206,701	\$	4,129,451	\$	3,052,201	\$	1,974,951	\$	897,701	\$	(0)
		\$ 1,87	75,000	Deductible	\$	364,583	\$	302,083	\$	239,583	\$	177,083	\$	114,583	\$	52,083	\$	(0)
	Cost Rate	Ratio	0	Weighted Cost														
Equity	10.85%	4	46.67%	5.06%	\$	365,518	\$	307,805	\$	250,091	\$	192,378	\$	134,664	\$	76,951	\$	16,956
LT Debt	6.96%	3	30.76%	2.14%	\$	154,539	\$	130,138	\$	105,737	\$	81,336	\$	56,935	\$	32,534	\$	7,169
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	· = 1	\$		\$. +	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=
Cust Deposits	6.21%	1	10.85%	0.67%	\$	48,637	\$	40,957	\$	33,278	\$	25,598	\$	17,919	\$	10,239	\$	2,256
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	1	11.60%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	\$	Sec.	\$	-	\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$	780	\$	657	\$	534	\$	411	\$	288	\$	164	\$	36
Subtotal		10	00.00%	7.89%	\$	569,474	\$	479,557	\$	389,640	\$	299,723	\$	209,806	\$	119,889	\$	26,418
Income Taxes		3	37.63%	3.06%	\$	220,530	\$	185,709	\$	150,889	\$	116,068	\$	81,248	\$	46,427	\$	10,230
Pre-tax Return on C	apital			10.94%	Ś	790,004	\$	665,266	Ś	540,529	\$	415,791	Ś	291,054	Ś	166,316	Ś	36,648
									::Ti		.70	/		/	. *	200,020	*	30,010
Amortization Expen	se (tax deduct	ible)			\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	52,083
Amortization Expen	se (not tax dec	ductible)			\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	897,701
Tax Gross-up					\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	541,615
Revenue Requireme	ant (Promium)				\$	2,579,696	Ś	2,454,959	\$	2,330,221	\$	2,205,484	\$	2,080,746	\$	1,956,009	¢	1 520 047
Revenue Requireme					ć	2,373,030	¢	2,434,333	\$	2,330,221	\$	2,203,464	\$	2,080,740	\$	1,930,009	\$	1,528,047
nevenue neguneme	in (T&T)				\$	2,579,696	4	2,454,959	\$	2,330,221	\$	2,205,484	\$	2,080,746	\$	1,956,009	\$	1,528,047
						2,373,030	7	2,454,555	Υ	2,330,221	7	2,203,404	7	2,000,740	7	1,550,005	Ÿ	1,328,047
														Total Reven	ue R	equirement:	\$	113,238,319
OP.M Sovings			2.000/		¢	0 271 221	4	0.540.430	4	0.835.055	,	10 121 022	4	10 474 004		10.740.013		44 070 453
O&M Savings			3.00%		\$	9,271,331	22		\$	9,835,955	\$	10,131,033	\$	10,434,964	\$	10,748,013	257	11,070,453
Fuel Savings			3.00%		\$	1,857,670			\$		\$	2,029,926		2,090,824	\$	2,153,549		2,218,155
Cost of Capital Savin	igs		0.00%		\$	330,124		330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124		330,124	\$	330,124		330,124
Total Savings					\$	11,459,124	\$	11,792,994	\$	12,136,881	\$	12,491,083	\$	12,855,912	\$	13,231,686	\$	13,618,732
													-		1	Total Savings:	\$	273,420,317

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 1 of 4

				2009	2010	2011		2012		2013	2014	2015	2016
	\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$ 34,179,993	\$ 34,125,826	\$ 34,001,537	\$	33,694,486	\$	33,043,851	\$ 32,171,316	\$ 31,078,065	\$ 29,800,884
	\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$ 32,301,741	\$ 32,207,230	\$ 32,066,806	\$	31,626,836	\$	30,836,960	\$ 29,977,550	\$ 28,770,472	\$ 27,563,393
	\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$ 1,874,085	\$ 1,868,596	\$ 1,860,441	\$	1,834,889	\$	1,789,016	\$ 1,739,105	\$ 1,669,003	\$ 1,598,900
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost										
Equity	10.85%	46.67%	5.06%	\$ 288,486	\$ 1,728,176	\$ 1,721,882	\$	1,706,332	\$	1,673,383	\$ 1,629,197	\$ 1,573,833	\$ 1,509,155
LT Debt	6.96%	30.75%	2.14%	\$ 121,968	\$ 730,651	\$ 727,990	\$	721,415	\$	707,485	\$ 688,804	\$ 665,397	\$ 638,051
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	(-)	\$	_	\$ 1 -	\$ 1-0	\$ -
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$ 38,420	\$ 230,153	\$ 229,314	\$	227,243	\$	222,855	\$ 216,971	\$ 209,598	\$ 200,984
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	0.00%	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$
ITC	9.01%	0.12%	0.01%	\$ 601	\$ 3,597	\$ 3,584	\$	3,552	\$	3,483	\$ 3,391	\$ 3,276	\$ 3,142
Subtotal		100.00%	7.89%	\$ 449,475	\$ 2,692,576	\$ 2,682,770	\$	2,658,543	\$	2,607,207	\$ 2,538,363	\$ 2,452,104	\$ 2,351,332
Income Taxes		37.63%	3.06%	\$ 174,054	\$ 1,042,669	\$ 1,038,871	\$	1,029,490	\$	1,009,611	\$ 982,951	\$ 949,549	\$ 910,526
Pre-tax Return on C	Capital		10.95%	\$ 103,922	\$ 3,735,245	\$ 3,721,641	\$	3,688,033	\$	3,616,818	\$ 3,521,314	\$ 3,401,652	\$ 3,261,858
Amortization Exper	nse (tax deductib	le)	3.33%	\$ 915	5,489	8,155	100	25,552	. 8	45,873	49,911	70,102	70,102
Amortization Exper	nse (not tax dedu	ictible)	3.33%	\$ 15,752	\$ 94,511	\$ 140,424		439,970		789,876	859,410	1,207,078	1,207,078
Tax Gross-up			2.01%	\$ 9,504	\$ 57,022	\$ 84,723	\$	265,449	\$	476,560	\$ 518,512	\$ 728,272	\$ 728,272
Revenue Requirem	ent (Premium)		16.28%	\$ 130,092	\$ 3,892,267	\$ 3,954,943	\$	4,419,004	\$	4,929,127	\$ 4,949,147	\$ 5,407,106	\$ 5,267,312
Revenue Requirem				\$ 86,452	\$ 570,864	\$ 872,428	\$	815,918	\$	628,792	\$ 484,549	\$ -	\$
				\$ 216,544	\$ 4,463,132	\$ 4,827,371	\$	5,234,922	\$	5,557,919	\$ 5,433,697	\$ 5,407,106	\$ 5,267,312
						155							
O&M Savings		3.009	6	\$ -	\$ 2,077,727	\$ 3,247,818	\$	4,983,797	\$	5,133,311	\$ 5,287,310	\$ 5,445,930	\$ 5,609,307
Fuel Savings		3.009	6	\$ -	\$ 392,194	\$ 969,504	\$	998,589	\$	1,028,547	\$ 1,059,403	1,091,185	1,123,921
Cost of Capital Sav	ings	0.009	6	\$ -	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	 330,124
Total Savings	100			\$ -	\$ 2,800,045	\$ 4,547,446	\$	6,312,510	\$	6,491,982	\$ 6,676,837	\$ 6,867,239	\$ 7,063,352

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 2 of 4

						2017	2018	2019		2020	2021	2022		2023		2024
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	28,523,703	\$ 27,246,522	\$ 25,969,342	\$	24,692,161	\$ 23,414,980	\$ 22,137,799	\$	20,860,619	\$	19,583,438
	si.	\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$	26,356,315	\$ 25,149,237	\$ 23,942,159	\$	22,735,080	\$ 21,528,002	\$ 20,320,924	\$	19,113,846	\$	17,906,767
		\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$	1,528,798	\$ 1,458,695	\$ 1,388,593	\$	1,318,490	\$ 1,248,388	\$ 1,178,285	\$	1,108,183	\$	1,038,080
	Cost Rate		Ratio	Weighted Cost												
Equity	10.85%		46.67%	5.06%	\$	1,444,477	\$ 1,379,799	\$ 1,315,121	\$	1,250,443	\$ 1,185,765	\$ 1,121,087	\$	1,056,409	\$	991,731
LT Debt	6.96%		30.75%	2.14%	\$.	610,706	\$ 583,361	\$ 556,016	\$	528,671	\$ 501,326	\$ 473,981	\$	446,636	\$	419,291
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$	_	\$ -	\$ ÷	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 	\$	-	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$	192,371	\$ 183,757	\$ 175,143	\$	166,530	\$ 157,916	\$ 149,302	\$	140,689	\$	132,075
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$	-	\$:=:	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 	\$		\$	
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$	3,007	\$ 2,872	\$ 2,738	\$	2,603	\$ 2,468	\$ 2,334	\$	2,199	\$	2,064
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	\$	2,250,561	\$ 2,149,790	\$ 2,049,018	\$	1,948,247	\$ 1,847,475	\$ 1,746,704	\$	1,645,933	\$	1,545,161
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	\$	871,504	\$ 832,481	\$ 793,458	\$	754,436	\$ 715,413	\$ 676,391	\$	637,368	\$	598,346
Pre-tax Return on C	apital			10.95%	\$	3,122,064	\$ 2,982,271	\$ 2,842,477	\$	2,702,683	\$ 2,562,889	\$ 2,423,095	\$	2,283,301	\$	2,143,507
Amortization Expen	se (tax deduct	ible)		3.33%	\$	70,102	\$ 70,102	\$ 70,102	\$	70,102	\$ 70,102	\$ 70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102
Amortization Expen	se (not tax dec	ductil	ble)	3.33%	\$	1,207,078	\$ 1,207,078	\$ 1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$ 1,207,078	\$ 1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078
Tax Gross-up				2.01%	\$	728,272	\$ 728,272	\$ 728,272	\$	728,272	\$ 728,272	\$ 728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272
Revenue Requireme				16.28%	\$	5,127,518	\$ 4,987,724	\$ 4,847,930	\$	4,708,136	\$ 4,568,342	\$ 4,428,548	\$	4,288,754	\$	4,148,960
Revenue Requireme	ent (T&T)				\$	-	\$ V 4	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 	\$ 5	\$		\$	
					\$	5,127,518	\$ 4,987,724	\$ 4,847,930	\$	4,708,136	\$ 4,568,342	\$ 4,428,548	\$	4,288,754	\$	4,148,960
O&M Savings			3.00%		\$	5,777,587	\$ 5,950,914	\$ 6,129,442	\$	6,313,325	\$ 6,502,725	\$ 6,697,806	\$	6,898,741	Ś	7,105,703
Fuel Savings			3.00%		\$	1,157,638	1,192,368	 1,228,139	- 63	1,264,983	1,302,932	1,342,020	- 19	1,382,281	200	1,423,749
Cost of Capital Savin	igs		0.00%		\$	330,124	 330,124	330,124		330,124	330,124	330,124		330,124		330,124
Total Savings	770				\$	7,265,349	\$ 7,473,406	\$ 7,687,704	\$	7,908,432	\$ 8,135,781	8,369,951		8,611,145	\$.	8,859,576

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 3 of 4

						2025		2026		2027		2028		2029		2030		2031		2032
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	18,306,257	\$	17,029,076	\$	15,751,896	\$	14,474,715	\$	13,197,534	\$	11,920,354	\$	10,643,173	\$	9,365,992
		\$	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$	16,699,689	\$	15,492,611	\$	14,285,532	\$	13,078,454	\$	11,871,376	\$	10,664,298	\$	9,457,219	\$	8,250,141
		\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$	967,978	\$	897,875	\$	827,773	\$	757,670	\$	687,568	\$	617,465	\$	547,363	\$	477,261
	Cost Rate		Ratio	Weighted Cost	_											000000			2	
Equity	10.85%		46.67%	5.06%		927,052		862,374		797,696		733,018		668,340		603,662		538,984		474,306
LT Debt	6.96%		30.75%	2.14%	0	391,946		364,601	\$	337,256		309,911		282,566		255,221		227,876		200,530
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	(1)	\$		\$		\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$	123,462	\$	114,848	\$	106,234	\$	97,621	\$	89,007	\$	80,394	\$	71,780		63,166
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$, n,	\$		\$	-	\$	1.00	\$	1,2	\$		\$		\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$	1,930	\$	1,795	\$	1,661	\$	1,526	\$	1,391	\$	1,257	\$	1,122	\$	987
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	\$	1,444,390	\$	1,343,618	\$	1,242,847	\$	1,142,076	\$	1,041,304	\$	940,533	\$	839,762	\$	738,990
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	\$	559,323	\$	520,301	\$	481,278	\$	442,256	\$	403,233	\$	364,210	\$	325,188	\$	286,165
Pre-tax Return on C	apital			10.95%	\$	2,003,713	\$	1,863,919	\$	1,724,125	\$	1,584,331	\$	1,444,537	\$	1,304,743	\$	1,164,949	\$	1,025,155
Amortization Exper	se (tax deduc	tible))	3.33%	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102		70,102		70,102	\$	70,102		70,102		70,102
Amortization Exper	se (not tax de	educt	ible)	3.33%	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078		1,207,078	\$			1,207,078		1,207,078
Tax Gross-up				2.01%	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272
Revenue Requirem	ent (Premium)		16.28%	6 \$	4,009,166	\$	3,869,372	\$	3,729,578	\$	3,589,784	\$	3,449,991	\$	3,310,197	\$	3,170,403	\$	3,030,609
Revenue Requirem					\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	1 12	\$	-	\$		\$	-
English Michigan Fallen					\$	4,009,166	\$	3,869,372	\$	3,729,578	\$	3,589,784	\$	3,449,991	\$	3,310,197	\$	3,170,403	\$	3,030,609
ORMS			3.00%		\$	7,318,874	¢	7,538,440	\$	7,764,593	\$	7,997,531	\$	8,237,457	Ś	8,484,581	\$	8,739,118	\$	9,001,292
O&M Savings			3.00%		4	1,466,462		1,510,456		1,555,769		1,602,442	100	1,650,516		1,700,031		1,751,032	- 5	1,803,563
Fuel Savings			0.00%		ç	330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124				330,124		330,124		330,124
Cost of Capital Savi	ngs		0.00%		0	9,115,460		9,379,020	_	9,650,487	_	9,930,097	\$	10,218,097	\$	10,514,736	\$	10,820,274	_	11,134,979
Total Savings					2	9,113,460	Y	3,373,020	Y	3,030,407	7	3,330,037	4	20,220,037	Y	20,02.,.00	4	_0/020/2/ 1	-	-2/20 ./575

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 4 of 4

						2033		2034		2035		2036		2037		2038		2039
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	8,088,811	\$	6,811,631	\$	5,534,450	\$	4,257,269	\$	2,980,088	\$	1,702,908	\$	450,288
		\$	22 217 402	Non-Deductible	\$	7,043,063	ć	5,835,985	¢	4 628 006	¢	2 421 020	,	2 244 750	,	1 007 672		4 772
		\$		Deductible	\$	407,158		337,056		4,628,906 266,953		3,421,828 196,851		2,214,750 126,748		1,007,672 56,646		1,773
	Cost Rate	۲	Ratio	Weighted Cost	Ą	407,136	Þ	337,030	Ş	200,933	Ş	190,051	Ş	120,748	Þ	50,040	Þ	(1,773)
Equity	10.85%		46.67%		\$	409,628	\$	344,950	\$	280,272	\$	215,594	\$	150,916	\$	86,237	\$	19,003
LT Debt	6.96%		30.75%	2.14%	\$	173,185	\$	145,840	\$	118,495	\$	91,150	\$	63,805	\$	36,460	\$	8,034
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- /
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$	54,553	\$	45,939	\$	37,326	\$	28,712	\$	20,098	\$	11,485	\$	2,531
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	1 19 - 9	\$	-	\$	
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$	853	\$	718	\$	583	\$	449	\$	314	\$	180	\$	40
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	\$	638,219	\$	537,447	\$	436,676	\$	335,905	\$	235,133	\$	134,362	\$	29,607
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	\$	247,143	\$	208,120	\$	169,098	\$	130,075	\$	91,053	\$	52,030	\$	11,465
Pre-tax Return on Ca	apital			10.95%	\$	885,362	\$	745,568	\$	605,774	\$	465,980	\$	326,186	\$	186,392	\$	41,072
Amortization Expens	se (tax deduct	ible)		3.33%	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	70,102	\$	58,419
Amortization Expens	se (not tax de	duct	ible)	3.33%	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,207,078	\$	1,005,899
Tax Gross-up				2.01%	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	728,272	\$	606,894
Revenue Requireme	ent (Premium)			16.28%	\$	2,890,815	\$	2,751,021	\$	2,611,227	\$	2,471,433	\$	2,331,639	\$	2,191,845	\$	1,712,283
Revenue Requireme	ent (T&T)				\$		\$	-, -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
					\$	2,890,815	\$	2,751,021	\$	2,611,227	\$	2,471,433	\$	2,331,639	\$	2,191,845	\$	1,712,283
														Total Reve	nue	Requirement:	\$	118,633,276
O&M Savings			3.00%		\$	9,271,331	Ś	9,549,470	Ś	9,835,955	\$	10,131,033	\$	10,434,964	Ś	10,748,013	Ś	11,070,453
Fuel Savings			3.00%		Ś	1,857,670	- 0	1,913,400	\$	1,970,802		2,029,926		2,090,824		2,153,549	170	2,218,155
Cost of Capital Savin	ngs		0.00%		\$	330,124		330,124	-	330,124		330,124		330,124	1	330,124		330,124
Total Savings					\$	11,459,124	\$	11,792,994	\$	12,136,881	_	12,491,083	\$	12,855,912	- "	13,231,686		13,618,732
														F		Total Savings:	\$	273,420,317

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF REGULATORY ASSETS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - STRAIGHT LINE METHOD

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 3 Page 1 of 1

				2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		\$ 2,207,158	Average Reg Assets	\$ 2,151,979	\$ 1,912,870	\$ 1,471,439	\$ 1,030,007	\$ 588,575	\$ 155,633
		\$ 1,019,439	Non-Deductible	\$ 985,458	\$ 781,570	\$ 577,682	\$ 373,794	\$ 169,907	\$ -
		\$ 1,187,719	Deductible	\$ 1,148,128	\$ 910,585	\$ 673,041	\$ 435,497	\$ 197,953	\$ · ·
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost						
Equity	10.85%	46.67%	5.06%	\$ 18,162	\$ 96,862	\$ 74,509	\$ 52,156	\$ 29,804	\$ 6,567
LT Debt	6.96%	30.76%	2.14%	\$ 7,679	\$ 40,953	\$ 31,502	\$ 22,051	\$ 12,601	\$ 2,777
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14	\$ -	\$ -	\$ =
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$ 2,417	\$ 12,889	\$ 9,914	\$ 6,940	\$ 3,966	\$ 874
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	0.00%	\$ N=C	\$,	\$ -	\$ -	\$ *o = o	\$ - ,
ITC	9.01%_	0.12%	0.01%	\$ 39	\$ 207	\$ 159	\$ 111	\$ 64	\$ 14
Subtotal		100.00%	7.89%	\$ 28,296	\$ 150,910	\$ 116,085	\$ 81,259	\$ 46,434	\$ 10,232
Income Taxes		37.63%	3.06%	\$ 10,958	\$ 58,440	\$ 44,954	\$ 31,468	\$ 17,982	\$ 3,962
Pre-tax Return on C	apital		10.94%	\$ 39,253	\$ 209,350	\$ 161,039	\$ 112,727	\$ 64,415	\$ 14,194
Amortization Expen	se (tax deducti	ble)		\$ 39,591	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 197,953
Amortization Expen	se (not tax ded	luctible)		\$ 33,981	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 169,907
Tax Gross-up				\$ 20,502	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 102,511
Revenue Requireme	ent			\$ 133,327	\$ 773,794	\$ 725,483	\$ 677,171	\$ 628,860	\$ 484,564

Total Revenue Requirement: \$

3,423,199

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF REGULATORY ASSETS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY PROPOSED METHOD

Exhibit___(MK-5) Schedule 4 Page 1 of 1

					2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	201	4
		\$ 2,	207,158	Average Reg Assets	\$ 2,179,746	\$ 2,034,353	\$ 1,626,484	\$ 1,082,081	\$ 588,575	\$. 1	155,633
		\$ 1,0	019,439	Non-Deductible	\$ 1,002,558	\$ 876,691	\$ 625,786	\$ 373,794	\$ 169,907	\$	2
		\$ 1,	187,719	Deductible	\$ 1,168,051	\$ 1,021,407	\$ 729,085	\$ 435,497	\$ 197,953	\$ 	-
	Cost Rate	Ra	itio	Weighted Cost							
Equity	10.85%		46.67%	5.06%	\$ 18,383	\$ 102,938	\$ 82,300	\$ 54,753	\$ 29,782	\$	6,563
LT Debt	6.96%		30.75%	2.14%	\$ 7,774	\$ 43,535	\$ 34,807	\$ 23,157	\$ 12,596	\$	2,775
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 	\$ -	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$ 2,434	\$ 13,630	\$ 10,897	\$ 7,250	\$ 3,943	\$	869
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$ 1-5	\$ 	\$ -	\$ 	\$ -	\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$ 36	\$ 203	\$ 163	\$ 108	\$ 59	\$	13
Subtotal			100.00%	7.88%	\$ 28,627	\$ 160,307	\$ 128,167	\$ 85,268	\$ 46,380	\$	10,220
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.05%	\$ 11,091	\$ 62,106	\$ 49,655	\$ 33,035	\$ 17,968	\$	3,959
Pre-tax Return on Co	apital			10.93%	\$ 39,718	\$ 222,413	\$ 177,821	\$ 118,303	\$ 64,348	\$	14,179
Amortization Expen	se (tax deduc	tible)			\$ 19,668	\$ 146,644	\$ 292,322	\$ 293,588	\$ 237,544	\$ 1	197,953
Amortization Expen	se (not tax de	ductible))		\$ 16,881	\$ 125,867	\$ 250,905	\$ 251,992	\$ 203,888	\$ 1	169,907
Tax Gross-up					\$ 10,185	\$ 75,940	\$ 151,380	\$ 152,035	\$ 123,013	\$ 1	102,511
Revenue Requireme	ent				\$ 86,452	\$ 570,864	\$ 872,428	\$ 815,918	\$ 628,792	\$ 4	184,549

Total Revenue Requirement: \$

3,459,004