Diamond Williams

From:

Kelly, Tamela D [Tamela.Kelly@CenturyLink.com]

Sent:

Monday, June 20, 2011 4:42 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Masterton, Susan S

Subject:

000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - May 2011

Attachments: 000121B-TP, Embarq's RCA Report-May 2011.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Senior Counsel

Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Telephone: 850/599-1560

Fax: 850/224-0794

Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - May 2011

Filed on behalf of: Embarg Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 5 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - May 2011

Tamela Kelly

Regulatory/Government Affairs Specialist

CenturyLink

Voice: 850.599.1029 | Fax: 850.224.0794 | Email: tamela.kelly@centurylink.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

04235 JUN 20 =



Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

FLTLHZ0501-507 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel: 850.599,1560

June 20, 2011

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's May 2011 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of January 2011 through March 2011 as published in the February, March and April reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Susan S. Masterton

usan S. Masterton

Enclosures

cc: David Rich Jerry Hallenstein Lisa Harvey

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 20th day of June, 2011.

Adam Teitzman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 dkonuch@fcta.com

Pennington Law Firm
Peter Dunbar
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301
pete@penningtonlawfirm.com

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P. Ms. Carolyn Ridley Time Warner Telecom 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069-4002 carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc.

E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee ** 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company
Ms. Lael Atkinson
7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2
Austin, TX 78731-3045

latkinson@covad.com

Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

^{**} Requested RCA report not be sent via email.
ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



May 2011 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects March 2011 data, published April 20, 2010) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, Embarq shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. Embarq's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

| Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic - Residential POTS | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan | | |
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. | 1Q2010 | | | | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. | | |

| Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan | |
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. | 1Q2010 | | | ≡ : . - | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. | |

| Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops Non-designed | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan | | |
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. | 1Q2010 | | | | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. | | |

04235 JUN 20 =



| Measure 2: | Aver | age F | OC | Notice | Interval |
|------------|------|-------|----|--------|----------|
| Submeasure | | - | | | |

| Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan |
|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. | 1Q2010 | | | | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. |

Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval

| Submeasure 03.03.02.01: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - Resale Orders | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|---|--|
| Description of Issue | Start | Projected | Estimated | End | Improvement Plan | |
| | Date | Improvement | Impact | Date | | |
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide | 2Q2010 | | | Ongoing | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust | |
| within time limitations a rejected notice. This is | | | | | issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has | |
| because of the way EASE handles orders as compared | | | | | been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of | |
| to IRES benchmarks. There are a number of reporting | | | | | redesigning measure 3 to accommodate EASE as part of the next | |
| related issues that are effecting reporting but not | | į | | | cookbook filing. | |
| customer service. | | | | | | |

Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval

| Submeasure 03.03.02.02: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - UNE Loops and Ports | | | | | |
|---|--------|-------------|-----------|------|--|
| Description of Issue | Start | Projected | Estimated | End | Improvement Plan |
| | Date | Improvement | Impact | Date | • |
| On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide within time limitations a rejected notice. This is because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. There are a number of reporting related issues that are effecting reporting but not | 2Q2010 | | | | Management is working to address ordering issues and exhaust issues to allow for timelier processing of orders now that EASE has been implemented. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 3 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. |
| customer service. | | | | | |

Measure 7: Average Completed Interval Submeasure 07.02.02: Business POTS - No Field Work

| Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| The increase in porting orders and the way in which they are closed out (CLEC has 10 days after DD) is causing non-compliance. | 2Q2008 | | | | This issue is being investigated to see if it is a CLEC training issue or a system/analyst problem which can be corrected with training. Once this is determined proper course of action will be taken. Embarq will continue to monitor this measure to ensure parity is maintained. |



Measure 11: Percent of Due Dates Missed

| Submeasure 11.02.01: Business POTS - Field Work Description of Issue | Start Date | Projected Improvement | Estimated Impact | End Date | Improvement Plan |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Of the 38 non-compliant orders, 22 or 58% were delayed in Service Provisioning, six or 16% were delayed due to tech workload, three or 8% were delayed due to customer actions, three or 8% were delayed waiting on the hunt to be added, two or 5% were delayed due to lack of facilities and two or 5% were delayed due to order errors. | 1Q2010 | N/A | 33% | | Management is working to address provisioning and exhaustion issues to allow for timelier processing of orders. Dispatching centers continue efforts to balance workload with resources to ensure orders are completed in a timely manner. The appropriate management has been notified of the importance of timely error correction and prevention. |

| Measure 18: | Average Completion Notice Interval |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| C. L | 40 Od ATE TO A |

| Submeasure 18.01: All Electronic | | v. | | | |
|---|--------|-------------|-----------|------|--|
| Description of Issue | Start | Projected | Estimated | End | Improvement Plan |
| | Date | Improvement | Impact | Date | |
| On an aggregate level we were non-compliant, we were non-compliant because they were held up in the system before an analyst found them and cleared them for completion. Additionally, we were reporting how EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks | 1Q2008 | | | | The issue with closing dates not being received from ARC into EASE is being addressed by IT. Management responsible for clearing errors is coaching associates on error resolution process. The NEAC has been notified of the importance of correcting errors as soon as they happen rather than correcting them all at once before month end. The reporting team is also in the process of redesigning measure 18 to accommodate EASE as part of the next |
| | | | | | cookbook filing. |