Commissioners: Art Graham, Chairman Lisa Polak Edgar Ronald A. Brisé Eduardo E. Balbis Julie I. Brown

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL CLIVESHD - FPSC S. CURTIS KISER GENERAL COUNSEL (850) 413-6199 **11 JUL - 5 PM 1: 52**

COMMISSION CLERK

Hublic Service Commission

July 5, 2011

Ms. Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804

STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST

Re: Docket No. 110133-GU - Petition for approval of acquisition adjustment and recovery of regulatory assets, and request for consolidation of regulatory filings and records of Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

Dear Ms. Keating:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Chesapeake) provide responses to the following data requests.

Data Request

- 1. Please provide the details showing the calculation of the Net Operating Income Multiplier (1.61970) located on Page 2 of 2 of Exhibit TAG-2.
- 2. Should the same Net Operating Income Multiplier be used for both the Calculation of Revenue Requirements On Acquisition Premium And Total as shown on Exhibit TAG-9 and the Florida Public Utilities Natural Gas 13-month Average Capital Structure shown on Page 2 of 2 in Exhibit TAG-2? If not, please explain why not?
- 3. In the Petition, page 10, Item E, the company states that FPUC Indiantown Division was excluded from the request to establish a benchmark analogy for the Company's combined natural gas operation. Please explain the exclusion of FPUC Indiantown Division from the benchmark analogy.
- 4. Witness Kim's testimony, page 13, lines 20-23, states that accounting principles prescribe the matching of the acquisition costs with the operating savings. Also, the company states that matching is required to justify and support the recovery of these costs in the revenue requirements. Please state the accounting principles that specifically prescribe the matching of costs and revenues which the company used to determine the revenue requirement and to justified the recovery of these costs with the operational savings.

။ မှ

Ę

599

Ms. Beth Keating Page 2 July 5, 2011

5. Witness Kim's testimony, page 9, lines 10-14, states that \$908,512 in transaction and transition costs were deductible for income purposes. Please provide a breakdown of the \$908,512 in transaction and transition costs by type and amount that were deductible for income tax purposes.

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Wednesday, July 27, 2011, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6226 if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Keino Young Senior Attorney Office of the General Counsel

KY/sh

cc: Office of Commission Clerk Division of Economic Regulation (Davis, Kaproth, Springer) Office of Public Counsel

Data Request Ltr-2.doc