

VOTE SHEET

September 20, 2011

Docket No. 110013-TP – Request for submission of proposals for relay service, beginning in June 2012, for the deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, or speech impaired, and other implementation matters in compliance with the Florida Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991.

Issue 1: Should the Commission select Hamilton as the relay service provider and direct the Commission's Executive Director or designee to: (1) issue the letter of intent, Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated September 8, 2011; (2) provide notice on the agency's website of the Commission's decision to award a three-year contract to Hamilton to be the provider of the statewide telecommunications relay service in Florida; and (3) finalize and sign a contract with Hamilton to provide the Florida Relay Service?

Recommendation: Yes, based upon the RFP evaluation process, the Commission should select Hamilton as the relay service provider and direct the Commission's Executive Director or designee to: (1) issue the letter of intent, Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated September 8, 2011; (2) provide notice on the agency's website of the Commission's decision to award a three-year contract to Hamilton to be the provider of the statewide telecommunications relay service in Florida; and (3) finalize and sign a contract with Hamilton to provide the Florida Relay Service.

DENIED

Reject all bids and staff is directed to initiate a new RFP.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Julie
[Handwritten signatures in majority column]

[Empty lines for dissenting signatures]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

06787 SEP 20 =

Vote Sheet

September 20, 2011

Docket No. 110013-TP – Request for submission of proposals for relay service, beginning in June 2012, for the deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, or speech impaired, and other implementation matters in compliance with the Florida Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open for the life of the contract.

APPROVED