

**Dorothy Menasco**

---

**From:** Clark, Eileen [Eileen.Clark@pgnmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:32 AM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Keino Young; Lisa Bennett; Anna Norris (anorris@psc.state.fl.us); James Brew (jay.brew@bbrslaw.com); F. Alvin Taylor (al.taylor@bbrslaw.com); George Cavros Esquire (george@cavros-law.com); Robert Scheffel Wright (schef@gbwlegal.com); Vicki Gordon Kaufman (vkaufman@kagmlaw.com); John C. Moyle Jr. (jmoyle@kagmlaw.com); J. R. Kelly (Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us); Charles Rehwinkel (rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us); Erik Saylor (saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us); Lewis Jr, Paul  
**Subject:** Docket No.: 100437-EI  
**Attachments:** PEF's Objections to OPC's 5th Set of PODs (Nos. 41-57).pdf; PEF's Objections to OPC's 5th Set of Rogs (No. 51-82).pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John T. Burnett  
P. O. box 14042  
St. Petersburg, FL 33733  
727-820-5184  
[John.Burnett@pgnmail.com](mailto:John.Burnett@pgnmail.com)

Docket No.: 100437-EI

In Re: Examination of the outage and replacement fuel/power costs associated with the CR3 steam generator replacement project, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

The attached for filing:

1. PEF's Objections to OPC's Fifth Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Nos. 41-57) (consisting of 5 pages)
2. PEF's Objections to OPC's Fifth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 51-82) (consisting of 3 pages)

11/15/2011

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE  
08391 NOV 15 =  
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Examination of the outage and replacement fuel/power costs associated with the CR3 steam generator replacement project, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 100437-EI

Filed: November 15, 2011

**PEF'S OBJECTIONS TO OPC'S FIFTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Nos. 41-57)**

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, and Rule 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF") hereby serves its objections to OPC's Fifth Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 41-57) and states as follows:

**GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in OPC's Fifth Request for Production of Documents and will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Progress Energy Florida, Inc., 106 E. College Ave., Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and OPC for purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents.

PEF also objects to the extent OPC asks PEF to do work, such as producing documents in a searchable electronic format for OPC, presumably at PEF's expense, where such work may not have been previously done for PEF.

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Fifth Request for Production of Documents, PEF objects to any definitions or instructions that are inconsistent

with PEF's discovery obligations under applicable rules. If some question arises as to PEF's discovery obligations, PEF will comply with applicable rules and not with any of OPC's definitions or instructions that are inconsistent with those rules. PEF objects to any definition or request that seeks to encompass persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this action and that are otherwise not subject to discovery. Furthermore, PEF objects to any request that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under the applicable rules and law.

Additionally, PEF generally objects to OPC's requests to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log in accordance with the applicable law or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent, if at all, that any document request calls for the production of privileged or protected documents.


Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain requests to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law or in the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Order Establishing Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

PEF generally objects to OPC's Fifth Request for Production of Documents to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents when no objection has been asserted to the production of such documents, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to OPC's requests for production if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required to aggregate them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

PEF also objects to any Interrogatory or Request for Production that purports to require PEF or its experts to prepare studies, analyses, or to do work for OPC that has not been done for PEF, presumably at PEF's cost.

Finally, PEF objects to any attempt by OPC to evade the numerical limitations set on document requests in the Order Establishing Procedure by asking multiple independent questions within single individual questions and subparts.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to OPC's discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order Establishing Procedure. PEF provides these general objections at this time to comply with the intent of the Order Establishing Procedure to reduce the delay in identifying and resolving any potential discovery disputes.



R. ALEXANDER GLENN  
General Counsel - Florida  
JOHN T. BURNETT  
Associate General Counsel – Florida  
PROGRESS ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LLC  
299 First Avenue North  
St. Petersburg, FL 33701  
Telephone: (727) 820-5184  
Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished via electronic and U.S. Mail this 15th day of November, 2011 to all parties of record as indicated below.



JOHN T. BURNETT

Keino Young/Lisa Bennett/Anna Norris Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 <a href="mailto:kyoung@psc.state.fl.us">kyoung@psc.state.fl.us</a> <a href="mailto:lbennett@psc.state.fl.us">lbennett@psc.state.fl.us</a> <a href="mailto:anorris@psc.state.fl.us">anorris@psc.state.fl.us</a>	Florida Industrial Power Users Group Vicki Gordon Kaufman John C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 <a href="mailto:vkaufman@kagmlaw.com">vkaufman@kagmlaw.com</a> <a href="mailto:jmoyle@kagmlaw.com">jmoyle@kagmlaw.com</a>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Mr. James W. Brew/F. Alvin Taylor  
c/o Brickfield Law Firm  
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW  
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20007  
[jbrew@bbrslaw.com](mailto:jbrew@bbrslaw.com)  
[al.taylor@bbrslaw.com](mailto:al.taylor@bbrslaw.com)

George Cavros, Esquire  
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd., Ste. 105  
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334  
[George@cavros-law.com](mailto:George@cavros-law.com)

Robert Scheffel Wright  
John T. LaVia, III  
1300 Thomaswood Drive  
Tallahassee FL 32308  
(850) 385-0070  
[schef@gbwlegal.com](mailto:schef@gbwlegal.com)

J.R.Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel/Erik Saylor  
Office of Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 West Madison Street, #812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
[Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Saylor.erik@leg.state.fl.us)

Paul Lewis, Jr.  
Progress Energy Florida, Inc.  
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740  
[Paul.lewisJr@pgnmail.com](mailto:Paul.lewisJr@pgnmail.com)