

GEORGE CAVROS, ESQ., P.A.

120 East Oakland Park Blvd, Suite 105, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334

January 17, 2012

Ms. Ann Cole, Director  
Division of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RECEIVED-FPSC  
12 JAN 17 PM 3:26  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Re: **Notice of Appeal** of Order No. PSC-11-0590-FOF-EG; Docket Nos. 100155-EG & 100160-EG.

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed is Southern Alliance for Clean Energy's (SACE) Notice of Appeal of Order No. PSC-11-0590-FOF-EG with the attached order.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,



George Cavros

GSC/kf  
Enclosure

12 JAN 17 11:10:09

- COM \_\_\_\_\_
- APA \_\_\_\_\_
- ECR \_\_\_\_\_
- GCL \_\_\_\_\_
- WAD \_\_\_\_\_
- SRC \_\_\_\_\_
- ADM \_\_\_\_\_
- OPC \_\_\_\_\_
- CLK   /

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

email: george@cavros-law.com • telephone: 954.563.0074 • facsimile: 866.924.2824

00318 JAN 17 2

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

**IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
DOCKET NOS. 100155-EG; 100160-EG**

**SOUTHERN ALLIANCE FOR  
CLEAN ENERGY**

Appellants,

vs.

**NOTICE OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE  
APPEAL**

**FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION; and FLORIDA POWER  
AND LIGHT COMPANY; and PROGRESS  
ENERGY FLORIDA, INC**

Appellees.

\_\_\_\_\_ /


**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

NOTICE IS GIVEN that Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, Appellants, appeal to the Florida Supreme Court the Final Order, No. PSC-11-0590-FOF-EG, rendered by the Florida Public Service Commission (“Commission”) on December 22, 2011.

The nature of the order appealed is a final administrative order upholding the Commission’s Order Nos. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG, and PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG, modifying the Demand Side Management Plans for Florida Power and Light Company and Progress Energy Florida, Inc. respectively. A copy of the Final Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A. This appeal is filed pursuant to, *inter alia*,

the provisions of Sections 366.10, 120.68, Florida Statutes; Rules 9.190(b), 9.030(a)(1)(B)(ii) and 9.110 of the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Respectfully submitted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of January 2012.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'George Cavros', written over a horizontal line.

George Cavros  
George Cavros, Esq., P.A.  
120 E. Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334  
(954) 563-0074  
Florida Bar No. 0022405


Gary Davis,  
NC Bar No. 25976  
Admission Requested *Pro Hac Vice*  
James S. Whitlock  
NC Bar No. 34304  
Admission Requested *Pro Hac Vice*  
Gary A. Davis and Associates  
P.O. Box 649  
61 North Andrews Avenue  
Hot Springs, NC 28743  
(828) 622-0044

*Attorney for Southern Alliance  
for Clean Energy*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Administrative Appeal has been provided by US Mail on this 17th day of January, 2012 to the persons listed below:

Larry Harris, Esq. Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850	John T. Burnett & Dianne Triplett Progress Energy Service Company, LLC P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042
Paul Lewis, Jr. Director of Regulatory Affairs Progress Energy Florida 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301	Vicki Gordon Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 433 N. Magnolia Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308	Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens, Taylor, Wheeler & Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73105-1401
James W. Brew, Esq., F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201	Jessica A. Cano, Esq. Principal Attorney Florida Power & Light Co. 700 Universe Blvd Juno Beach, FL 33408
Charles A. Guyton Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 2 15 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301	R. Wade Litchfield, Esq. VP of Regulatory Affairs, Florida Power & Light Co. 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408

  
Attorney

**EXHIBIT A**

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Florida Power & Light Company.

DOCKET NO. 100155-EG

In re: Petition for approval of demand-side management plan of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 100160-EG  
ORDER NO. PSC-11-0590-FOF-EG  
ISSUED: December 22, 2011

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ART GRAHAM, Chairman  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN

**ORDER DENYING PROTESTS, CONSUMMATING PROPOSED  
AGENCY ACTION ORDERS, AND CLOSING DOCKETS**

BY THE COMMISSION:

**BACKGROUND**

As required by the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act<sup>1</sup> (FEECA), we are required to adopt annual goals for seasonal peak demand and annual energy consumption for the FEECA Utilities, which include Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) and Progress Energy Florida (PEF). By Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG ("goal setting order"), issued December 30, 2009, we established annual numeric goals for summer peak demand, winter peak demand, and annual energy consumption for all seven FEECA utilities for the period 2010 through 2019.

On March 30, 2010, both PEF and FPL filed petitions requesting approval of their Demand-Side Management (DSM) plans pursuant to Rule 25-17.0021, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). On May 7, 2010, we granted the Florida Industrial Users Group (FIPUG) leave to intervene.<sup>2</sup> On August 9, 2010, we granted the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE) leave to intervene.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sections 366.80 through 366.85 and 403.519, Florida Statutes.

<sup>2</sup> See Order No. PSC-10-0287-PCO-EG, issued May 7, 2010, in Docket No. 100155-EG, In re: Petition of approval of demand-side management plan of Florida Power & Light Company. (FIPUG)

<sup>3</sup> See Order No. PSC-10-0494-PCO-EG, issued August 9, 2010, in Docket No. 100155-EG, In re: Petition of approval of demand-side management plan of Florida Power & Light Company. (SACE)

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

09108 DEC 22 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

On October 4, 2010, by Order No. PSC-10-0605-PAA-EG, we denied PEF's DSM plan and directed the Company to modify its DSM plan to meet the annual goals set forth in the goal setting order.<sup>4</sup> During the discussion at the September 14, 2010, Commission Conference, we also encouraged PEF to provide an alternative DSM plan to reduce the customer rate impact in addition to the DSM plan designed to meet the goals as set. Therefore, on November 29, 2010, PEF filed two DSM plans: an Original Goal Scenario DSM Plan and a Revised Goal DSM Plan.

On January 31, 2011, by Order No. PSC-11-0079-PAA-EG, we denied FPL's DSM plan for failure to satisfy the annual goals set forth in the goal setting order, and ordered FPL to file a revised plan which would meet those goals.<sup>5</sup> FPL filed its Modified DSM Plan on March 25, 2011. FPL also filed an Alternate Plan which has a lower rate impact but also has reduced projected savings as compared to the Modified Plan.

On August 16, 2011, in Docket No. 100155-EG, we issued Order No. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG, Modifying and Approving the Demand Side Management Plan of Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL Order"). Also on that date, in Docket Number 100160-EG, we issued Order No. PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG, Modifying and Approving the Demand Side Management Plan of Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF Order"). In both Proposed Agency Action ("PAA") Orders, we modified the DSM plans of FPL and PEF, such that the approved plans would consist of those existing programs in effect as of the date of the Orders.

On September 6, 2011, SACE timely protested portions of the FPL and PEF Orders. SACE's protests specifically state: "[w]hile SACE does not agree with the material facts the Commission utilized to reach its decision, SACE is not alleging any disputed issues of material fact in this protest in order to focus on the legal infirmity of the Commission's decision." As relief, SACE specifically requests that we vacate the PAA orders and order the approval of plans which meet the energy savings goals set for FPL and PEF in the goal setting order, or in the alternative, approve those portions of FPL and PEF's DSM plans which meet Commission approval and order the companies to submit modified plans which address specific deficiencies we may identify. The parties identified two legal issues for us to decide; given that our decisions were similar, the parties agreed that the two issues would be worded identically for both FPL and PEF.

On October 18, 2011, Order No. PSC-11-0469-PCO-EG was issued, consolidating Dockets 100155-EG and 100160-EG for hearing purposes, establishing hearing procedure,<sup>6</sup> and setting forth a total of four issues (two each for FPL and PEF) for our decision. On October 24, 2011, SACE filed its brief in support of its protest of the PAA orders<sup>7</sup>; on November 7, 2011, FPL, PEF, and FIPUG filed briefs in opposition. We held oral argument on December 6, 2011;

---

<sup>4</sup> We did, at that time, approve six solar pilot programs, which have been implemented and are currently in place.

<sup>5</sup> As with PEF, we approved seven FPL solar pilot programs for immediate implementation.

<sup>6</sup> As there were no disputed issues of material fact, this proceeding was conducted pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(2), Florida Statutes.

<sup>7</sup> Since these dockets have been consolidated for hearing, SACE filed a combined brief for both FPL and PEF. SACE's positions and arguments on Issues 3 and 4 (PEF) are identical to its arguments for Issues 1 and 2 (FPL).

at the conclusion of the oral argument, we rendered our decision on these matters. We have jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 366.80 through 366.85 and 403.519, Florida Statutes ("F.S.")

### DECISION

As stated in the Background, the parties stipulated to all the facts in the record, and therefore, the only issues before us are legal. All the parties (SACE, FPL, PEF, and FIPUG) submitted written briefs, which we were able to consider. In addition, all parties participated in oral argument.

Based upon the hearing record, briefs in opposition, and oral argument, we find that the plain language of Section 366.82(7), F.S., specifically and unequivocally grants us authority to modify a company's DSM plans "at any time it is in the public interest consistent with this act" or when plans or programs "would have an undue impact on the costs passed on to customers." Further, we reiterate that we did not in any way change the DSM goals as set by the goal setting order, Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG. Finally, given that we modified the DSM plans of FPL and PEF, and did not deny them, the plain language of Section 366.82(7), F.S. does not compel us to require FPL and PEF to undertake needless administrative action by filing a plan which merely mirrors information already on file with this Commission.

After having considered the briefs filed by the parties and the arguments presented, SACE has failed to persuade us that our previous decisions, as proposed in Order Nos. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG and PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG, were incorrect as a matter of law. SACE has similarly failed to convince us that we are required to reverse or modify those decisions. To the contrary, we find that our decision to modify the plans of FPL and PEF fully complies with the requirements of Section 366.82, F.S., and that such modification does not constitute a change of the DSM goals established by the goal setting order. We further find that that after such modification, we are not obligated to require FPL or PEF to file needlessly duplicative plans.

Therefore, we decline to reverse our previously proposed agency action orders modifying and approving the Demand Side Management plans of FPL and PEF, and hereby deny the protests filed by SACE. We further decline to require FPL or PEF to file plans which merely restate information already on file and publically available. Given our denial of SACE's protest, Order Nos. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG and PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG are consummated and made final and effective. Finally, Docket Nos. 100155-EG and 100160-EG are concluded and shall be closed.


Based on the foregoing, it is

**ORDERED** by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy's Protests of Proposed Agency Action Order Nos. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG and PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG are **DENIED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that Order Nos. PSC-11-0346-PAA-EG and PSC-11-0347-PAA-EG are made final and effective. It is further

ORDERED that after the time for filing an appeal has run, these dockets shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 22nd day of December, 2011.



---

HONG WANG  
Chief Deputy Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
(850) 413-6770  
www.floridapsc.com

LDH

**NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW**

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.