

**Eric Fryson**

---

**From:** Dana Rudolf [drudolf@sflaw.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2012 4:33 PM  
**To:** Filings@psc.state.fl.us  
**Cc:** Martin Friedman  
**Subject:** Docket No. 120076-SU; Investigation into possible overearnings of Mid-County Services, Inc.  
**Attachments:** PSC Clerk 03 (Justification Matrix).ltr.pdf

- a) Martin S. Friedman, Esquire  
Sundstrom, Friedman & Fumero, LLP  
766 North Sun Drive, Suite 4030  
Lake Mary, FL 32746  
(407) 830-6331  
[mfriedman@sflaw.com](mailto:mfriedman@sflaw.com)
- b) Docket No. 120076-SU  
Investigation into possible overearnings of Mid-County Services, Inc.
- c) Mid-County Services, Inc.
- d) 2 pages
- e) Justification Matrix.

**SUNDSTROM,  
FRIEDMAN & FUMERO, LLP**

Attorneys | Counselors



766 NORTH SUN DRIVE  
SUITE 4030  
LAKE MARY, FLORIDA 32746

PHONE (407) 830-6331  
FAX (407) 830-8522

www.sfflaw.com

February 21, 2012

VIA E-FILING

Ann Cole, Commission Clerk  
Office of Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Docket No.: 12007650 ; Investigation into possible overearnings of Mid-County Services, Inc.  
Our File No.: 30057.202

Dear Ms. Cole:

On January 17, 2012, Mid-County Services, Inc's Request for Confidential Classification was docketed (DN 00304-12). The Justification Matrix was inadvertently omitted from that Request, and a copy is enclosed herewith. In addition, the redacted documents that were included in that Request (DN 00306-12) included thirty-one pages. The unnumbered pages starting at 16 (2010 W2 Gross) apparently were not included in the audit workpapers and should be returned.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN  
For the Firm

MSF/der  
Enclosures

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00980 FEB 21 12

## Justification Matrix – Audit Control No. 11-230-4-1

<u>Location</u> (Specific request w/page number)	<u>Justification</u> <i>Please note: All information for which the Utility requests confidential treatment has been kept confidential by the Utility, and intends to keep such information confidential.</i>
Workpaper 44-1 (Columns (a) through (j) on pp. 1-10)	<p>§367.156(3)(d) Disclosure of compensation data, overtime data and salary increase data would impair the ability of the Utility to contract for employees on favorable terms.</p> <p>§367.156(3)(e) Disclosure of the compensation data would impair the Utility's competitive interests as described in <u>Florida Power &amp; Light Company et al. v. Public Service Commission</u>, 31 So. 3d 860 (Fla. 1st DCA 2010). The Utility keeps this information strictly confidential to prevent other utilities from stealing their employees and to prevent lowered morale and infighting among employees who have the same position but varying wages.</p> <p>Article I, Section 23 of the Florida Constitution. Disclosure of the information would invade the privacy rights of the employee.</p>