

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: March 14, 2012
TO: Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk
FROM: Kiwanis L. Curry, Regulatory Analyst III, Division of Regulatory Analysis *KLC*
RE: Docket No. 110303-OT

Please add the attached responses to the survey questions regarding Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees. The responses were submitted by BudgeTel Systems, Inc. (TX126).

RECEIVED-FPSC
12 MAR 14 PM 3:43
COMMISSION
CLERK

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01520 MAR 14 2012

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BudgeTel Systems, Inc.

A Public Service Commission Certified
Local Exchange Telecommunications Service
Dade County: (305) 899-1155
Broward County (954) 922-9392

Wachovia Bank Building
12550 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 800
North Miami, FL 33181

Mail Address:
324 S.E. 10th Street
Suite 404
Dania Beach, FL 33004

March 12, 2012

Judy Harlow
c/o Ann Cole
Commission Clerk
Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Docket No. 110303-OT

Kindly find herewith attached our response to: Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. – Survey Questions

Yours truly,

H.B.Schlenger
BudgeTel Systems, Inc.

Priority Dispatch
Urgent

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01520 MAR 14 2012

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. - Survey Questions
Page 1

BUDGETEL SYSTEMS, INC. IS A RESELLER OF AT&T

Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. - Survey Questions

The following survey questions apply to Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. For responding to these questions, please refer to Subsection 120.541(2), F.S., and Subparagraph 120.745(1)(b)2, F.S. "Transactional costs" are defined in Subparagraph 120.541(2)(d), F.S., as:

...direct costs that are readily ascertainable based upon standard business practices, including filing fees, the cost of obtaining a license, the cost of equipment required to be installed or used or procedures required to be employed in complying with the rule, additional operating costs incurred, the cost of monitoring and reporting, and any other costs necessary to comply with the rule.

The company's response data to these survey questions should be provided for the entire rule, unless the response data is available by rule section, in which case we request the response data be provided by rule section. Please present data in annualized format, if possible, and all cost or benefit dollar estimates should be stated in nominal terms.

1. What are the estimated transactional costs resulting from the Company's compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011? *0000 -*
 - a. What are your actual transactional costs resulting from your Company's compliance with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the period July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011? *PREMIUM Jan Year 2011*
2. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small businesses (as defined by Section 288.703, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the compliance of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011? *3,000 COST*
3. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on small counties and small cities (as defined in Section 120.52, F.S.) located in the Company's service territory, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011? *3,000 COST*
4. What is your estimate of the likely impact, stated in terms of costs and/or benefits, on entities located in the Company's service territory other than those specifically identified in Questions 2 and 3, resulting from the compliance of 25-4.0161, F.A.C., for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011? *NONE*
5. What expected impact do you believe Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on economic growth, private sector job creation or employment, and private sector investment for the five year period July 1, 2011 in the Company's service territory? *NONE*
6. What expected impact do you believe Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., will have on business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the Company's service territory to

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01520 MAR 14 09

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. – Survey Questions

Page 2

compete with persons doing business in states other than Florida or other domestic markets, productivity, and innovation, for the five year period July 1, 2011?

7. What are the benefits to your Company associated with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.? *NONE*

8. Since the December 4, 2011 rule change *NONE* has your Company revised customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.? If so, please explain any rate changes that were made. *NO*

9. If the Company did revise customer rates after the rule change, what were the specific costs associated with processing and implementing these rate changes? *N/A*

10. Does the Company anticipate having to revise customer rates in the future in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.? If so, please explain any anticipated rate changes for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011.

11. If the company anticipates revising customer rates in order to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., what costs does the company expect to incur to process and implement the rate changes for the five year period beginning July 1, 2011?

*POSSIBLE - SUBJECT TO A NUMBER OF VARIABLES -
EVENLY INCREASE TO CUSTOMERS IN RESULTS IN CUSTOMERS
DISCONTINUING SERVICE.*