

COM _____APA ____ECR ____BCL ____SRC ___ADM ___OPC ___

CLK _

RECEIVED-FPSC

12 MAY 17 AM 10: 15

	12	CHI I I MICIO I O
VIA OVERNIGHT UPS		COMMISSION CLERK
May 16, 2012	claim of confidentiality	OLLINI
Ann Cole, Commission Clerk	request for confidentiality filed by OPC	
Office of Commission Clerk		
Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	For DN <u>03133-12</u> , which is in locked storage. You must be	120000-0T
Tallahassee, Florida 32399	authorized to view this DNCLK	
RE: RNK Inc. d/b/a RNK Communication Information on RNK's responses to the Competition Report Data Request	ons, Inc. (Company Code TX942) Data Requests associated with the	Request for Confidential Commission's 2012 Local
Dear Clerk Cole:		
According to the procedures contained treatment of some of its responses to the Local Competition Report Data Requestinformation regarding its local voice gover Internet Protocol ("VoIP") cut Form 477 filings to the FCC for the period	ne Data Requests associated with the st. In particular, RNK submits tha rade equivalent channels), II-B (info istomers) and V (Zip Codes of its cu	e Commission's Year 2012 It responses to Parts II-A formation pertaining to its stomers) included with its
In particular, Part II-A of its FCC Form 4 FCC, states the number of Voice Grade various other statistics regarding the sa the presubscribed long distance carrie interconnected VoIP customers, the permany resellers of RNK's VoIP service have consists of a list of ZIP codes of RNK's customers.	e Equivalents RNK provides to its ome, including how they are provision. Part II-B of its FCC Form 477 ercentage of those customers that we end users in the state of Florida. If	customers in Florida, and oned and whether RNK is states the number of its are "nomadic," and how
The information that is requested by the		
This information is not known to the pu authorized individuals within the RNK.	blic or to RNK's competitors and is When it is necessary for RNK to rele	maintained by specifically ase this information to an
authorized individuals within the RNK. When it is necessary for RNK to release this information to an third party, it does so only under a strict Non-Disclosure Agreement signed by the receiving party		
placing them under a contractual dut information. Furthermore, RNK has re	ry to maintain confidentiality rega equested, and received, similar trea	
submitted to other State Public Utility Co		titlette for sirillar reports
The Commission requires RNK to divulge	e information that is competitively s	sensitive and confidential.
It requests RNK to provide information that would provide detailed intelligence regarding the location and nature of RNK's customer base. Any one (or part of any one) of these alone would be cause for		
and nature of KINK's customer base. An	iy one (or part or any one) or these	aione would be cause for
- Amply -		
¹ Rule 25-22:006(5), F.A.C.		no operator mente a research
		CONTRACTOR MEMORES DATE

03132 MAY 17 º

Page 2
 May 16, 2012

RNK to demand confidentiality, but in the aggregate it gives competitors a detailed picture of RNK's business plan. Piecing this information together, in conjunction with other, publicly available information will give competitors detailed insight regarding the thrust of RNK's competitive endeavors and, perhaps, use it to their business advantage to undermine or unfairly target RNK's services or prices, or duplicate RNK's business plan, among other actions they might take. Simply stated, maintaining the confidentiality of customer information and network structure is in the public interest and does not deprive the citizens of Florida of any vital information necessary for an informed choice.

As required by the Commission's rules, included with this letter is a sealed envelope containing a CD with one copy of all confidential materials, and one copy in which the specific information claimed as confidential has been highlighted, in pdf.

Also included are two hard copies of a redacted public version of the Form 477 report. The redacted public version will also be filed via email.

RNK apologizes that it is submitting its report late. Due to events relating to its chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization, and departure of key regulatory reporting personnel, it was unable to meet the Commission's deadline. RNK understands the Commission cannot grant an extension to the statutory deadline, but has been in contact with Commission staff who are aware of RNK's situation.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (781) 613-9148 or by email at mtennis@rnkcom.com.

Sincerely,

Matthew Tennis

Sr. Counsel

Manager of Regulatory Affairs

Cc: Jeff Bates [compreport@psc.state.fl.us] - Public

Greg Shafer - Public

2012 Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) Questionnaire (Due by April 15, 20 pg)¹

Company Name:RNK Inc. d/b/a RNK Communications, Inc	
:RNK Communications	
Company CodeTX942	
ct name & title:Matthew Tennis, Sr. Counsel/Manager of Regulatory Affairs	
none number:(781) 613-9148	
address:mtennis@rnkcom.com	
Symbol (if company is publicly traded):n/a	
ces Provided in Florida Do you currently serve local telephone customers in Florida? Please check yes or no.	
Residential Business Yes X Yes X No No Please complete all applicable data tables.	
If you submitted data tables in 2011, please provide an explanation below of any differences in the number of access lines by category that occur in your 2012 submission that exceed 10 percent. Any differences are attributable to market fluctuations in our business. An increase in the number of reported lines is due to increased number provisioning to meet customer subscriptions to RNK products and services.	

¹ The due date is established by Section 364.386(1)(b), Florida Statutes. Failure to comply with this rule may result in the Commission assessing penalties of up to \$25,000 per offense, with each day of noncompliance constituting a separate offense per Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes.

1.	How is your local service provisioned? Please mark the appropriate response(s).	
	Resold lines from ILEC	
	Wholesale platform (formerl	v known as LINF-P)
	UNEs (other than wholesale	
	Elements (e.g., loops, switch	ing) from other than ILEC (e.g., other CLECs)
	X Completely self-provisione	ed
	X Other (please describe)	VoIP
j.	What services, other than local service	e, does your company currently provide in Florida?
	Please check all that apply.	
	Private line/special access	Wholesale loops
	_X VoIP	Fiber or copper based video service
	Wholesale transport	Cable television
	X Interexchange service	Satellite television
	Cellular/wireless service	Broadband Internet access
		lephone service in Florida. Please place a check by its whether or not you offer prepaid local telephone
	Company offers prepaid AN	aid local telephone service in Florida D non-prepaid local telephone service in Florida prepaid local telephone service in Florida
<u>Bun</u>	dled Services	
	voice service packaged with additional s	ntial and business customers purchase bundled (i.e. services such as internet or video service) offerings? On not include bundles of telecom-only services. If the ate "not applicable."
	Residential	
	Business	
	XNot applicable	

VoIP

8.	Indicate below whether you are currently providing VoIP service to end users in Florida. VoIP service is defined as IP-based voice service over a digital connection. Please check all that apply.		
	Not providing VoIP service to end users Y Providing VoIP services to business end users Y Providing VoIP services to residential end users		
9.	If you are providing VoIP service in Florida, please check all that apply:		
	Peer-to-Peer only (no interconnection with PSTN) X Use of public Internet Use of private IP network		
Bro	adband		
10.	Do you offer broadband to residential customers in Florida? YesNo		
11.	If you do offer broadband to residential customers in Florida, please provide your best estimate of the percentage of residential end user premises in your Florida service area for which your broadband services are available.		
12.	How many residential broadband subscribers do you have in Florida?		
13.	Please list the method(s) of broadband provisioning utilized in Florida by your company (i.e. DSL, cable modem, fiber, etc.).		
14.	What are the typical downstream and upstream speeds for your most popular broadband service?		
15.	What is the monthly price for your most popular residential broadband service?		

Fiber	Deple	oyment

16.	Did you deploy fiber to homes or businesses in Florida between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011?
	Yes XNo
17.	Please provide the number of new residential and business subscribers served by Fiber to the Home, Fiber to the Premises, and\or Fiber to the Curb technology since the last reporting period.
	Residential Subscribers
	Business Subscribers
	Total
18.	Please provide your company's total number of residential and business subscribers currently served by Fiber to the Home, Fiber to the Premises, and\or Fiber to the Curb technology.
	Residential Subscribers
	Business Subscribers
	Total
Mis	cellaneous
19.	In calendar year 2011, how much money did you invest in your network directly serving Florida's local service customers? Please check the applicable answer.
	1. X \$0
	1X\$0 2\$1 - \$249,999 3
	J ψ250,000 - ψ272,272
	4\$1,000,000 - \$9,999,999 5\$10,000,000 or more
	5 \$10,000,000 or more
20.	Are you currently operating under Chapter 7 or Chapter 11 protection? Please check yes or
	no.
	XYes No
	N0
21.	Please provide a copy of the Form 477 you filed with the FCC with data as of December 31,
	2011

Comments

22. Have you experienced any significant barriers in entering Florida's local exchange markets? Please list and describe any major obstacles or barriers encountered that you believe may be impeding the growth of local competition in the state, along with any suggestions as to how to remove such obstacles. Any additional general comments or information you believe will assist staff in evaluating and reporting on the development of local exchange competition in Florida are welcome.

RNK's greatest concern regarding the present and future regulatory environment in Florida, as it pertains to furthering competition in the Florida telecommunications market, is the level of market power exerted by the merged ILECs (BellSouth-AT&T-SBC; Verizon-MCl) and the potential (and actual) abuse of that market power.

2012 LOCAL COMPETITION DATA REQUEST RESPONSE CHECKLIST

RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST QUESTIONNAIRE:

Note: For those CLECs NOT providing local telephone services, you may check the box on the cover page and fax it to (850) 413-6392. Since the attached CLEC questionnaire contains questions of a general nature, you may wish to respond to any applicable questions.

Does your response include:

• A CD or diskette containing a Word (.doc) file or a paper hardcopy of the questionnaire along with your written response to each question?

Yes	No No
V	

RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST TABLES FOR DATA AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2011:

Does your response include:

- A CD or diskette containing an Excel (.xls) file of the data requested in **Tables 1-5**, or
- A copy of your 2011 calendar year FCC Form 477?

	Yes 9	No
ſ	V	

If you opt to e-mail or fax your response to us, please e-mail it to compreport@psc.state.fl.us or fax to (850) 413-6392.