

VOTE SHEET

August 2, 2012

Docket No. 120150-TL – 2013 State Annual certification of rural telecommunications carriers pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.314, High Cost Universal Service.

Issue 1: Should the FPSC certify to the FCC and to the USAC that Windstream Florida, Inc., Frontier Communications of the South, LLC, GTC, Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications, ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM, Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone, and Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom, have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended?

Recommendation: Yes. The FPSC should certify to the FCC and to the USAC that Windstream Florida, Inc., Frontier Communications of the South, LLC, GTC, Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications, ITS Telecommunications Systems, Inc., Northeast Florida Telephone Company d/b/a NEFCOM, Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone, and Smart City Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a Smart City Telecom, have used the federal high-cost support in the preceding calendar year, and will use the federal high-cost support they receive in the coming calendar year only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS

All Commissioners

ASSIGNED:

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of four commissioners on the majority side.

Four horizontal lines for dissenting signatures, all of which are blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05246 AUG-2 09

Vote Sheet

August 2, 2012

Docket No. 120150-TL – 2013 State Annual certification of rural telecommunications carriers pursuant to 47 C.F.R. 54.314, High Cost Universal Service.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed and subsequent annual certifications of rural telephone companies should be addressed in a new docket.

APPROVED