		00000
FLORIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
In the Matter of		
In the Matter of	DOCKET NO. 3	120001 01
FUEL AND PURCHAS		120001-61
PERFORMANCE INCE	WITH GENERATING	COMMISSION COMMISSION
		-EN
PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE	(ION
COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALI PREHEARING OFFICER	
DATE:	Wednesday, October 17, 2012	
TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 11:48 a.m.	
PLACE :	Betty Easley Conference Cent Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	ter
REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732	
		DOCUMENT NUM
F.	LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSI	07199

r

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

APPEARANCES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

JAMES D. BEASLEY, ESQUIRE and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, ESQUIRE, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

JEFFREY STONE, ESQUIRE, RUSSELL BADDERS, ESQUIRE STEVEN GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE and F. ALVIN TAYLOR, ESQUIRE, c/o Brickfield Law Firm, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington D.C., 20007, appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

15 KAREN WHITE, ESQUIRE, CAPTAIN SAMUEL MILLER,
16 STAFF ATTORNEY, AND MAJOR CHRISTOPHER THOMPSON,
17 ESQUIRE, Federal Executive Agencies, c/o
18 USAF/AFLOA/JACL/ULFSC, 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1,
19 Tyndall AFB, Florida 32403-5319, appearing on behalf of
20 Federal Executive Agencies.

JON C. MOYLE, JR., ESQUIRE, Moyle Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN T. BUTLER, ESQUIRE, and KEN RUBIN, ESQUIRE, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE and JOHN T. LAVIA, III, ESQUIRE, c/o Gardner Law Firm, 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of Florida Retail Federation.

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

CHARLES REHWINKEL, ESQUIRE and JOSEPH MCGLOTHLIN, ESQUIRE, and PATTY CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison St., Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of Florida.

JOHN T. BURNETT, ESQUIRE and DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, Progress Energy Service Company, LLC, Post Office Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042, appearing on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

```
APPEARANCES (continued):
1
                   MARTHA BARRERA, ESQUIRE, LISA BENNETT,
2
        ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard
3
        Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
 4
         appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service
 5
        Commission Staff.
6
7
                   MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel,
        Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak
8
        Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to
 9
        the Florida Public Service Commission.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Good morning. I'd like to call this clause prehearing conference to order.

Staff, could you please read the notice.

MS. BROWN: By notice issued September 18th, 2012, this time and place is set for a prehearing conference in the following dockets: 120001-EI, 120002-EG, 120003-GU, 120004-GU, 120007-EI. The purpose of the prehearing conference is set out in the notice.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you.

We can now take appearances. We have, obviously, five dockets to address today, and I assume staff recommends that we take appearances at once, and all parties should enter their appearances and declare the dockets that they are entering an appearance for.

> So we'll start with Florida Power and Light. MR. BUTLER: Thank you.

Good morning, Commissioner. John Butler and Ken Rubin appearing on behalf of FPL in the 01, the 02, and the 07 dockets.

MS. TRIPLETT: Good morning. Dianne Triplett on behalf of Progress Energy Florida, and also I would like to make an appearance for John Burnett also on behalf of Progress Energy Florida in the 01, 02, and 07

dockets. And then also in the 07 docket, Gary Perko. 1 MR. BEASLEY: Good morning, Commissioner. 2 James D. Beasley and J. Jeffry Wahlen for Tampa 3 Electric Company in the 01, 02, and 07 dockets. 4 MR. GRIFFIN: Good morning, Commissioner. 5 Steven Griffin with the law firm of Beggs and Lane on 6 7 behalf of Gulf Power. Also appearing in the dockets with me are Jeffrey Stone and Russell Badders in 01, 8 02, and 07. 9 MS. KEATING: Good morning, Commissioner. 10 Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm. 11 I'm here today on behalf of FPUC in the 01 and 02 dockets; for FPUC in 12 the 03 docket, as well as Florida City Gas; and in the 13 04 docket, FPU, FPU Indiantown, Chesapeake, and Florida 14 15 City Gas. MS. WHITE: Good morning, Commissioner. 16 I'm Karen White on behalf of Federal Executive Agencies. 17 I'm also entering an appearance for Major Christopher 18 Thompson and Captain Samuel Miller in the 01, 02, and 19 07 dockets. 20

21 MR. BREW: Good morning, Commissioner. I'm 22 James Brew of the firm of Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts, 23 and Stone. I'm here for White Springs Agricultural 24 Chemicals, PSC Phosphate. I'd like to also make an 25 appearance for F. Alvin Taylor, and we're here in the

01, 02, and 07 dockets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

MR. REHWINKEL: Good morning, Commissioner. Charles Rehwinkel with the Office of Public Counsel. I'd like to enter an appearance in 01, 02, and 07. And I would also like to enter an appearance for Mr. McGlothlin, Joe McGlothlin in 01, 02, and 07, and I'll let Ms. Christensen tell you hers.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And Patty Christensen also on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel in the 01, 02, 03, 04, and 07 dockets.

MR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Commissioner. Robert Scheffel Wright, and I'd also like to enter an appearance for my partner John T. LaVia, III, on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation in the fuel cost-recovery docket, 0001. Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Good morning. Jon Moyle with the Moyle Law Firm representing the Florida Industrial Power Users Group. I'd like to enter an appearance in the 01, 02, 07, and 09 dockets.

20 **MR. CAVROS:** Good morning, Commissioner. 21 George Cavros appearing on behalf of Southern Alliance 22 for Clean Energy in the 02 docket.

MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr., appearing on behalf of Sebring Gas System, Inc. in the 04 docket.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other

parties before I move to staff? 1 MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown and Michael 2 Lawson in the 02 docket -- 03 docket, I'm sorry. 3 MR. MURPHY: Charles Murphy in the 07 docket. 4 MS. ROBINSON: Pauline Robinson in the 04 5 docket. 6 7 MS. TAN: Lee Eng Tan for the 02 docket. MS. BARRERA: Martha Barrera in the 01 8 docket, and also I would like to enter an appearance 9 for Lisa Bennett. 10 MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton, I'm here 11 12 to advise you in all the dockets. * * * * * * 13 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. We will go ahead 14 and reconvene. And we have moved on to Docket Number 15 120001-EI, the fuel and purchased power cost-recovery 16 clause prehearing conference. Let's move on to 17 preliminary matters. Are there any preliminary 18 matters? 19 MS. BARRERA: Yes, Commissioner, Staff has 20 two preliminary matters. We are proposing a new issue 21 22 which would be Issue 35, which would read, "Should the Commission authorize its staff to investigate a change 23 in the annual fuel cost-recovery clause effective date 24 of the new factors to begin on or after the first 25

billing cycle in January." Staff's position would be, 1 "Yes, the Commission staff should be instructed to 2 commence an investigation in 2013." 3 Staff also proposes another new issue today 4 which has not been shared with the parties, and it 5 would be Issue 36 -- mainly because we just thought of 6 7 it -- but it should be Issue 36, "Should the Commission authorize staff to initiate an investigation on the 8 GPIF mechanism in 2013?" 9 Staff recommends that if any party is not 10 available at this time to state a position on these 11 issues that the Commissioner give them until tomorrow 12 at 4:00 to look at it and submit positions. 13 **MR. WRIGHT:** Commissioner? 14 15 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Yes. Hold on a second. MR. WRIGHT: Certainly. 16 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: For this new Issue 36, 17 I would assume staff's position on that would be yes, 18 as well, or has staff --19 MS. BARRERA: Oh, yes. I'm sorry, our 20 position is yes. 21 22 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Wright. 23 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. I just did not quite 24 understand exactly what the proposed issue is. Could 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

you ask Ms. Barrera to reread the issue as staff proposes it?

1

2

MS. BARRERA: Okay. The issue is should the 3 Commission authorize staff to initiate an investigation 4 on the GPIF mechanism in 2013. There have been some 5 questions concerning the existing mechanisms, and so 6 7 rather than bring it up during these proceedings, staff thought that there may be -- it would be best to do a 8 separate investigation and provide parties the 9 10 opportunity, timely opportunity to participate in that. 11 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are there any other preliminary matters? 13 This concludes our MS. BARRERA: No. 14 15 preliminary matters. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Does the 16 deadline for the end of the day today work for all 17 parties for those two new issues? 18 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel, I 19 think, is prepared to take a position at this time and 20 has no objection to spin-off dockets to investigate 21 22 the -- I think one was the date that the factor should be implemented, and the other spin-off docket would be 23 an investigation into the GPIF mechanism. So we can 24 just change our position. We can just say yes to both. 25

MR. BREW: Commissioner, as to both issues, PCS would say yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MS. WHITE: Also FEA says yes to both issues. MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, we have already communicated our position of yes as to Issue 35, and we'll also take the position of yes as to Issue 36. Thank you.

MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner, Dianne Triplett for Progress. Can I maybe get -- because I guess I wasn't thinking it would be a spin-off docket, but can I get clarification on what staff is meaning by the word investigation?

MS. BARRERA: Looking into, exploring. You know, not the idea of -- just sort of -- how do I explain it? Rather than conducting a criminal investigation, for example, it would be something that would be like sharing information on the issue.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I believe the question was more procedurally would we open up another spin-off docket, or would it be a separate issue -- another docket. I think her question was procedurally.

MS. BARRERA: Oh, okay. Sorry.

23 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And I'm not sure we
 24 ever conduct criminal investigations.

(Laughter.)

MS. BARRERA: Yes, I couldn't think. 1 But, anyway. No, it's not going to be a spin-off docket. 2 It would be part of the 01 for next year. Both of them 3 would be part of --4 MS. TRIPLETT: Okay. Thank you. 5 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And I just want 6 7 to confirm with technical staff, as well. Would that be the best way to handle that or would a spin-off 8 docket --9 MR. WILLIS: Commissioner, I believe that is 10 correct, it should be part of the 01 docket. 11 That would afford all the parties the ability to file 12 testimony and have it fully litigated. 13 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Is everyone 14 15 clear on that? And I think we were establishing positions from each party. 16 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Right. And whether or not 17 it's a spin-off or not, we are still in favor of 18 opening an investigation. So yes would still remain 19 our position. 20 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. PCS remains yes? 21 22 MR. BREW: Yes. **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** The Retail Federation? 23 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: FEA? 25

MS. WHITE: Yes. 1 MR. MOYLE: FIPUG is fine with it. 2 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So that would be a yes? 3 MR. MOYLE: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. 5 MR. BUTLER: We'll get back to staff by the 6 7 end of the day for FPL. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. 8 MS. TRIPLETT: The same for Progress. 9 MR. BEASLEY: As for Tampa Electric. 10 MR. BADDERS: The same for Gulf. 11 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are there any 12 other preliminary matters? 13 MS. BARRERA: No, sir. 14 15 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Now we are going to go through the same process with the other dockets 16 noting we'll probably spend a little more time on some 17 of these sections. 18 Section I, case background. Any questions or 19 comments on that? 20 Section II, conduct of proceedings. 21 22 Section III, jurisdiction. Section IV, procedure for handling 23 confidential information. 24 Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits. 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Section VI, order of witnesses. 1 And with this, since -- well, let me ask, are 2 there any witnesses that will need to be taken out of 3 order or excused? 4 MS. BARRERA: Staff will note that it 5 believes that Staff witnesses Stephens, Brown, Welch, 6 7 Mavrides, and Ngo may be excused. Other witnesses may also be suggested by the parties. 8 It would also be good if we asked the same 9 question after we go through the stipulated or not 10 stipulated issues to see if there are other witnesses 11 that are suggested to be excused, after we do the 12 issues. 13 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are there any 14 15 objections to the Staff witnesses that Staff proposed to be excused? 16 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No. Office of Public 17 Counsel has no questions for the Staff witnesses. 18 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Seeing no other 19 objections, let the record reflect that the parties 20 have not objected. And, of course, you will confirm 21 22 with the Commission offices. MS. BARRERA: Okay. And staff will discuss 23 with the remaining Commissioners as to whether or not 24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Commissioners have questions for staff witnesses.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And I'll note staff's recommendation as we go through the issues, that if they are stipulated we can discuss any specific witnesses at that time.

Mr. Butler.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner, yes. A couple of points on the order of witnesses. First, this is something I should have noticed before, but have not, is that for some reason FPL's Witnesses Yupp and Keith appear multiple sometimes in the list. It looks like it was split up by different segments of issues they were addressing. Just to be clear, it's not our intention if they have to testify, that they would appear separately multiple times. So I think it probably just could collapse into the first time each of them appears in the list, and have all of the issues appear behind their name at that point in the order of witnesses.

And I would also observe that depending on where we go with this, I think some, if not all of our witnesses may be in a position of being stipulated, but I agree with staff that it is probably best to return to that after we have gone through the individual issues.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And the final

prehearing order will reflect the combination of the 1 issues for the specific witnesses. 2 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Any other comments on 4 order of witnesses? 5 Okay. Let's move on to Section VII, basic 6 7 positions. And I want to start off with a comment. At least the draft that I have for FPL's basic positions 8 for 24E, the text for that issue doesn't match what is 9 listed under the specific issues. It looks like that 10 should be 24C, unless my copy is wrong. So 24E in the 11 basic positions on Page 7 are associated -- that is 12 associated with West County Energy Center 3, and then 13 if you go to my Page 39, Issue 24C has to do -- that's 14 15 24C in the specific issues. MR. BUTLER: You are correct, Commissioner. 16 I think that is just probably a typographical error on 17 our part. The italicized language in the statement of 18 basic position, I think that should be Issue 24C 19 instead of E. Is that what you're referring to? 20 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. I just want to 21 make sure that it wasn't just my copy, and we'll have 22 that corrected, and it was FPL's intent --23 MR. BUTLER: Yes, that is the statement of 24 25 the position on -- FPL's position on how to handle the

West County 3 costs, which is 24C as in Charlie, not E as in Edward.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are there any other comments or questions on the basic positions?

MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, Commissioner Balbis.
Public Counsel would like to state its basic position.
I'll read this, and then I can provide it by e-mail to
the staff.

OPC's basic position for PEF is the same as the first paragraph of PCS Phosphate's basic position. For FPL, I'm going to read this statement, and this will also be our position on Issues 30 through 33.

Capacity cost-recovery amounts and related factors must be based on a lawful final order in Docket Number 120015-EI prior to FPL implementing changes in rates as a result of this docket. And I'll provide that to staff by e-mail.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

MR. MOYLE: Commissioner Balbis, FIPUG also with respect to its basic position would like to add one sentence to it, I think, that tracks what OPC said with regard to Progress Energy. And if I could just read it into the record and I can follow up with an e-mail.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Sure.

MR. MOYLE: This would be the additional 1 portion of the basic position. FIPUG adopts the 2 position of PCS regarding capacity factor adjustments 3 associated with the Crystal River 3 extended uprate 4 project. 5 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other 6 7 modifications to basic positions? MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Balbis, it has been 8 pointed out to me that on this 24C and E it is not a 9 10 mere typographical error, but a transposition. If you turn over to Page 8, what appears there as 24C, 11 Charlie, should be 24E, Edward. So if you could 12 correct that, as well. 13 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. 14 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. 15 MS. BARRERA: We did. Commissioner, I have a 16 question. Does FIPUG's position adopt the entire PCS 17 position? I know OPC said it would be the first 18 sentence. 19 MR. REHWINKEL: Paragraph. 20 MS. BARRERA: Pardon? 21 22 MR. REHWINKEL: Paragraph. MR. MOYLE: Yes, we will adopt their position 23 with respect to the Crystal River 3 matter. Thank you. 24 25 MS. BARRERA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Last chance on modifying basic positions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Let's move on to Section VIII, issues and positions.

MS. BARRERA: Issue 1A, should the Commission approve as prudent PEF's actions to mitigate the volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices as reported in PEF's April 2012 and August 2012 hedging reports.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I think probably the best way to handle this is instead of reading the text of each issue, I will just read the issue number and then we will stop on any one that is required. So Issue 1A for Progress Energy Florida, any questions, comments, or revisions to positions at this time?

MS. BARRERA: Okay. And, Commissioner, staff will note that as the order establishing procedure requires that a party take a position at the prehearing conference unless good cause is shown as to why that party can't take a position. So if a party's position is currently no position at this time, then the party must change it at today's prehearing conference or show good cause. Otherwise, the prehearing order will reflect that that party has no position.

MR. REHWINKEL: Public Counsel's position

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

will be no position on 1A.

MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG is fine with what staff read out, and we don't feel the need on each issue to say, you know, we're taking no position. It just happens automatically, it goes to no position. So unless we affirmatively state a new position, we are fine with it.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. That's my understanding, as well.

MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner, Diane Triplett with Progress. It was my understanding that this issue was perhaps ripe for a Type B Stipulation, and so right now Progress's position on this is as reflected in this prehearing order, but we would be amenable to the proposed staff language, which I don't have to read. I don't know of you have this, but I didn't want to lose that for the record.

MS. BARRERA: That is correct. Basically, I 18 was waiting until everybody, you know, said whether or 19 not they had a position on it. And staff does have a 20 position. The position is yes, PEF's actions to 21 mitigate the price volatility of natural gas, residual 22 oil, and purchased power prices were reasonable and 23 prudent. And we believe that would be a Type B 24 Stipulation. 25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Any objections to that? 1 Okay. Hearing none, move on to Issue 1B. 2 Any questions, comments, or revisions to positions? 3 Issue 1C. 4 MS. BARRERA: On 1B, Staff has a stipulation; 5 it's, yes, PEF's 2013 risk management plan is 6 7 consistent with the hedging guidelines. MS. TRIPLETT: And Progress would agree to 8 that position. 9 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, I was prepared 10 to just state when our position changes. And then in 11 the context of these Type 2 Stipulations, I'd prefer to 12 state for the record where we are so there is no 13 confusion about whether we are affirmatively agreeing 14 with the stipulation. So on 1B we would be no position 15 to facilitate the Type 2 Stipulation. 16 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Then maybe I'll, 17 at the next issue, just ask if there are any 18 stipulations, and that way we can just do this once. 19 MR. REHWINKEL: All right. 20 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? 21 22 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. MR. WRIGHT: We're in the same position as 23 Public Counsel on these issues so far. Our 24 understanding is that no position at this time will 25

1	change to no position, which will leave us not opposing
2	a Type 2 or Type B Stipulation. And if it's okay with
3	you, unless I say otherwise we will just assume that is
4	correct as we go on.
5	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.
6	MR. REHWINKEL: That's a good way to do it.
7	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I agree.
8	Issue 1C. Are there any stipulations for
9	this issue?
10	MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, the Public
11	Counsel's position will be no on that one, so we would
12	not be in a position to facilitate a stipulation.
13	MS. BARRERA: Staff takes no position on
14	Issue 1C.
15	MR. MOYLE: FIPUG would change to just no,
16	consistent with Public Counsel's change.
17	MS. WHITE: FEA, as well, changes to no.
18	MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, as will the Retail
19	Federation.
20	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And I assume PCS will
21	just match?
22	MR. BREW: Still agreeing with OPC.
23	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Issue 2A.
24	Florida Power and Light.
25	MR. MOYLE: There's a 1D.
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I don't have that on my list.

MS. BARRERA: It should be in the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: The previous draft I had did not, but the new one that's here --

MR. MOYLE: If it's okay, Mr. Prehearing Chairman, I'll take the lead on this, because FIPUG at the staff informal meeting had indicated that it may have an issue that it was intending to raise. It had to have some further discussion. It had some further discussions, and yesterday I put an issue out that relates to the NEIL coverage and whether there was one event versus two events, and whether the insurance gets reloaded and what assumptions are made.

We shared this with all the parties. Progress came back with some proposed alternative language that states what amount, if any, should PEF include in its 2013 projections to account for potential insurance recoveries for Crystal River Unit 3 from Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited. So that was the agreed to rephrasing of the FIPUG issue as it relates to insurance matters, and there was e-mail traffic that basically said yes, you know, it was understood that subsumed within that were questions

about whether it was one event at Crystal River or two events, and what the appropriate insurance proceeds are as it relates to replacement fuel. So that's the issue, and FIPUG had previously circulated a position yesterday, an e-mail. But just so we have a clean record, if I could read FIPUG's position to that issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Given that the first two delamination events are separated by more than one year in time and occurred at different portions of the containment building, these two events, and possibly others should be considered separate events for the purposes of NEIL replacement fuel insurance coverage. Consequently, additional replacement fuel insurance dollars beyond coverage for only one event should be assumed when establishing the fuel factor.

Thank you for the opportunity to clarify that.

MS. BARRERA: Excuse me. And staff would propose that any new wording on any issue or position be submitted in writing so we can incorporate it.

MR. MOYLE: And this was sent around yesterday. It was in the e-mail that I sent yesterday, I think, to all the parties.

MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner, if I may, I just wanted to clarify something. Our understanding is that

this issue would allow Mr. Moyle and anyone else to test the assumption upon which our projections are based with respect to NEIL recovery. Not what NEIL is going to do ultimately and whether -- the coverage determination issue. So I think that is what Mr. Moyle is reflecting in his position, and that's what he intends to question about. I think that was some of the e-mail traffic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But what I heard was just a little bit different, so I just wanted to make sure that was clear what our position is. And then for the record, and I can send it again by e-mail, I think we already did, but just our position on this issue would be the amount described by Ms. Olivier in her projection testimony.

MR. MOYLE: Yes. I don't think we're going to be able to have -- there is no NEIL witness, so to the extent we could at this hearing figure out what NEIL is going to do, I don't think that's going to happen. But I do think it's, as you articulated, fair game to have questions about for the purposes of setting a factor, you know, should one event or two events be the assumed situation.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other positions on this issue from the other parties? MS. WHITE: Yes. FEA agrees with FIPUG on

Issue 1D.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MR. BREW: Commissioner, I'd ask that we be allowed to e-mail the staff specific positions by the end of day or by tomorrow at lunch time.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I think the end of the day today would be fine, unless that's very problematic.

MR. BREW: Okay.

MR. REHWINKEL: Public Counsel would be in the same position, but we will take no position at this time, pending amending that by the end of the day.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

MR. WRIGHT: We also would like to have until the end of the day to give a position. We will take no position at this time for the next few hours. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And I assume the next issue is Issue 2A for Florida Power and Light.

MS. BARRERA: At this time staff would take the position that, yes, FPL's actions to mitigate the price volatility of natural gas, residual oil, and purchased power prices were reasonable and prudent.

23 MR. BUTLER: FPL is prepared to adopt staff's
24 language on this issue.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And Office of Public

	00002
1	Counsel is taking to position on 2A, 2B, and 2C.
2	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other
3	revisions for 2A?
4	Okay. Issue 2B.
5	MS. BARRERA: Staff has a position on this
6	issue. It would be, yes, FPL's 2013 risk management
7	plan is consistent with the hedging guidelines.
8	MR. BUTLER: Again, FPL is prepared to adopt
9	staff's position on this in order to facilitate a Type
10	B Stipulation.
11	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Office of Public
12	Counsel?
13	MS. CHRISTENSEN: We'll take no position.
14	MR. WRIGHT: As I said earlier, I'm assuming
15	our no position at this time has become a no position.
16	Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other
18	changes? Seeing none, we will move on to Issue 2C.
19	MS. BARRERA: Staff has taken a position in
20	its rate case Docket Number 120015-EI. FPL proposed a
21	new optional residential time-of-use rider, RTR-1. If
22	the Commission approves the RTR-1 rider in the rate
23	case, the proposed fuel factors for the RTR-1 rider
24	should be approved. If the Commission denies the RTR-1
25	rider in the rate case, this issue would be moot in

this docket.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I do have a question for staff on this, and I'm glad you brought that up, and it's probably something I should have asked during the briefing, but if in the 120015 docket, based on the cost of service study and other evidence in the record, make other modifications to any of the rate design, are there adjustments that would need to be made in this docket, and do you have the flexibility to do so, or how would that work?

MS. BARRERA: There is the opportunity to make adjustments after the Commission rules on several of the issues, depending on how the Commission rules in the 015 docket in the rate case. What the staff is trying to do at this time is that the issues that relate to the settlement agreement or any decision by the Commission on the rate case not be dealt with in this docket so that there would be no danger of the appearance of prejudgment as to this docket. And in several we will be -- whether or not there is agreement, we will be recommending that the matter be dealt with after the Commission rules in the 015 docket.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS:

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Patty Christensen with the

Okav.

Office of Public Counsel. I have no objection to 1 staff's explanation that some of these issues related 2 to issues that have been raised as part of the FPL rate 3 case be addressed once those decisions have been made 4 final. I'm not clear that the language that staff was 5 proposing gets us there, but we have stated our 6 7 position, our basic position in Issues 30 through 33, and probably that would be applicable here that any 8 rate changes must be based on a lawful final order in 9 Docket 120015 prior to FPL implementing the changed 10 rate as a result in this docket. 11 12 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. 13 MR. WRIGHT: We agree with Public Counsel. Thank you. 14 MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Balbis? 15 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: 16 Yes. MR. BUTLER: For FPL, we're not completely 17 sure, and it may be just a matter of getting 18 clarification from staff, as to how this staff position 19 would play out in the event that there were a decision 2.0 in the FPL rate case docket that is not effective at 21 22 the beginning of January 2013, and then there was initially a period without the RTR-1 rider, and then if 23 the Commission approved it in the rate case docket 24 subsequent to that, the RTR-1 rider base rates would be 25

applicable, how that would work under the staff 1 proposed position on this issue. And if they could 2 clarify that I would appreciate it. 3 MS. BARRERA: My staff has advised that since 4 the rider is not in existence at this time, that 5 wouldn't be implemented until after the Commission 6 7 ruled in the 015 case. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Well, would there be an 8 ability to true-up, since there would be a month or a 9 few weeks without that rider being in place? 10 MS. BARRERA: Excuse me, sir. (Pause.) 11 Since there has been discussion, my idea 12 would be that we have this discussion later and come 13 back with a final decision on the issue whether or not 14 15 we have a stipulation or not. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: But my question was on 16 the 015 docket, whether or not a decision is made there 17 that approves the rider, and was the true-up and any 18 other adjustments that could be made in the 015 docket. 19 So, I mean, if staff needs time to discuss what the 2.0 options are, but I think it's a legitimate question. 21 22 MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Balbis? COMMISSIONER BALBIS: 23 Yes. MR. BUTLER: Just a brief comment on that. 24 Truing-up at sort of an overall revenue collection 25

level is something that may very well be workable for dealing with some of these changes of outcomes based on the rate case decision. This one seems like it raises a little bit different question because it would be not only class-specific, but specific to people who are actually on this RTR-1 rider. I mean, presumably one wouldn't true-up in the normal sense of truing-up just to flow the money back to the general body of customers based on some difference in the charges to the people who are on the RTR-1 rider.

I think I can state fairly confidently that it would be very difficult for FPL to go back and try to true-up for individual customers who are on the RTR-1 rider for some period where there is a transition. So it's a little bit of a concern there. I mean, our thought would be that the best thing here would be that, you know, if there isn't the RTR-1 underlying base rider in effect for however long that's the case that we wouldn't be applying factors using the RTR-1 basis in the clause. And then if the Commission approved the RTR-1 base rider, then at whatever point that became game effective these clause factors would change to sort of correspond to it. I just throw that out there as an idea, but it's a little bit of a complication, because it is something that just effects

a relatively small number of customers rather than something that applies to the general body of customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I think that's a workable solution. I mean, I don't know if anyone would object to it, or if staff's language in their position would have to change, but --

MS. BARRERA: And we have no problem with that. We will discuss it and see if we can arrive at good language. The rider is prospective if it's approved, so any fuel rates accompanying it would start at the time that the rider is approved. There wouldn't be any back fees.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I think we can move on to Issue 3A.

MR. BUTLER: Commissioner, before you move on to 3, we had on Issues 1A and 1B, I think, stated on the record that there were Class B or Type B Stipulations for those. I think that would apply for FPL's Issues 2A and 2B, as well. And if that's the case, I would just like to make that clear on the record.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: That was my understanding. I just want staff to confirm that would be a Type B Stipulation.

1	MS. BARRERA: 2A and 2B?
2	MR. BUTLER: That's right.
3	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes.
4	MS. BARRERA: Yes. I thought we read it.
5	FPL's 2013 risk management plan is consistent with the
6	hedging guidelines. And then 2A was, yes.
7	MR. BUTLER: You had read it. I had not
8	heard if I missed it, I apologize. I had not heard
9	saying that there was a Type B Stipulation.
10	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: No, that wasn't
11	mentioned, but it was the same where staff adopted the
12	position and FPL adopted staff's position. So that
13	would be a Type B. So thank you for clarifying that.
14	MS. BARRERA: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Issue 3A, Florida
16	Public Utilities. Does staff have a position on that?
17	MS. BARRERA: Staff's position is no position
18	at this time.
19	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any changes or
20	modifications to other parties' positions on this
21	issue?
22	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel
23	would take no position on 3A.
24	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Issue 3B.
25	MS. BARRERA: On Issue 3B, staff has no
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

position at this time. 1 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other 2 changes to other parties' positions? 3 Issue 4A, Gulf Power Company. 4 MS. BARRERA: On Issue 4A, staff has a 5 position and it is, yes, Gulf's actions to mitigate the 6 7 price volatility of natural gas and purchased power prices were reasonable and prudent. 8 MR. BADDERS: Gulf can agree with staff's 9 10 language. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any objections? 11 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel 12 takes no position on 4A or 4B for Gulf Company. 13 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And I believe 14 that would be a Type B Stipulation? 15 MS. BARRERA: Yes, sir. 16 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Moving on to 4B. 17 MS. BARRERA: On 4B staff has a position, 18 which is, yes, Gulf's 2013 risk management plan is 19 consistent with the hedging guidelines. 20 MR. BADDERS: And in that case Gulf also 21 adopts staff's language. 22 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Seeing no 23 objections, that would, again, be a Type B Stipulation, 24 so we can move on to Issue 5A. 25

	00003
1	Staff, do you have a position?
2	MS. BARRERA: Yes. 5A, staff has a position.
3	Yes, TECO's actions to mitigate the price volatility of
4	natural gas and purchased power prices were reasonable
5	and prudent.
6	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric adopts staff's
7	position.
8	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: And the Office of Public
10	Counsel has no position on 5A or 5B for Tampa Electric
11	Company.
12	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So that would be
13	a Type B Stipulation for 5A. On 5B, does staff have a
14	position?
15	MS. BARRERA: Yes. On 5B the position is
16	TECO's 2013 risk management plan is consistent with the
17	hedging guidelines.
18	MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric adopts staff's
19	position.
20	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. That would be a
21	Type B Stipulation.
22	Now we will move on to Issue 6.
23	MS. BARRERA: Staff has a position on Issue
24	6. The appropriate actual benchmark levels for
25	calendar year 2012 for gains on nonseparated wholesale

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive are for FPL, 6,680,369; for PEF, 896,041; for FPUC, no position; for Gulf, 749,310; for TECO, 2,461,613.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Do any of the parties change their position based on staff's agreement with the utilities?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel has a question or request for clarification regarding the outstanding purported settlement. It has some language in it regarding gain on sale and change in asset optimization. It did not appear to us that those proposed changes were being flowed through Issues 6, 7, and other issues, but we wanted clarification if there were -- if those dollar amounts or anything was changing related to that asset optimization.

MR. BUTLER: Speaking for FPL, these numbers reflect the existing mechanism, the existing three-year rolling average calculation. It's not reflecting the results of the proposed stipulation.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Given that clarification, then the Office of Public Counsel can take no position.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Moving on to Issue 7. And I assume that would be a Type B Stipulation, as well?

MS. BARRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So we can move on to Issue 7. Is staff in agreement with the amounts listed by each utility?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MS. BARRERA: Yes, sir, except for FPUC's no position.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I'm not hearing any objections, so I would assume that would be a Type B Stipulation.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Right. Although I don't see OPC listed, we would take no position on Issue A.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. We will add that to the prehearing order for Issue 7.

Let's move on to Issue 8. Does staff agree with the over and underrecovery amounts listed from each utility?

MS. BARRERA: Yes, except for -- well, on PEF staff takes no position. On FPUC's Northwest Division, Marianna, staff takes no position at this time. And under the Northeast Division, Fernandina Beach, staff takes the position that the amount is 360,592 overrecovery.

22 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. Any objections? 23 **MR. BEASLEY:** May I inquire, Commissioner, if 24 that would be a Type B Stipulation other than the 25 exceptions that were just stated?

MS. BARRERA: 1 Yes. **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. Issue 9. 2 MS. BARRERA: On Issue 9, staff has a 3 stipulation. The appropriate fuel adjustment 4 actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period 5 January 2012 through December 2012 are for the 6 7 Northwest Division in FPUC, Marianna, there is no position at this time. For Progress there is no 8 position at this time. FPL, it's 99,206,321 9 overrecovery. For FIPUG's Northeast Division --10 FPUC's, I'm sorry, Northeast Division, Fernandina 11 Beach, it's 104,982 underrecovery. For Gulf it's 12 26,425,418 overrecovery. And for TECO it's 57,434,679 13 14 overrecovery.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. With staff's position, do any of the parties wish to change position? And that would be a Type B Stipulation with the exception of the no position.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KEATING: And, Commissioner, I should note with regard to FPUC, yesterday staff brought to our attention a possible error in our calculations that the company is still investigating at this time. If, in fact, there is an error, it would possibly have an impact on our numbers for Issues 9, 10, 11, 18, 20, and 22. We are conducting that review as expeditiously as

possible, and are going to be working with staff to get 1 any changes that may be required completed well in 2 advance of the hearing. 3 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Moving on to 4 Issue 10. Does staff agree with the amounts so that 5 you don't have to read each number? 6 7 MS. BARRERA: Thank you. Staff takes no position for FPUC's Marianna Northwest Division, and no 8 position at this time for Progress, and the rest of the 9 10 numbers are as stated. 11 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Moving on to 12 Issue 11. MS. BARRERA: Issue 11. The numbers are --13 staff takes the position that the numbers are as stated 14 with the exception of FPUC's Marianna and PEF. 15 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Which would be no 16 position? 17 MS. BARRERA: Which would be no position at 18 this time. 19 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Let's move on to 20 Issue 16, GPIF issues. Does staff have a position? 21 MR. BUTLER: I'm sorry, Commissioner, on 22 10 and 11, those were the same, the B Stipulation with 23 the exceptions for Progress and FPUC? 24 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	MR. BUTLER: Thank you.
2	MS. BARRERA: On Issue 16, I have been
3	advised that we agree with the parties' positions on
4	this issue.
5	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So hearing no
6	objections, that would be a Type B Stipulation.
7	Issue 17.
8	MS. BARRERA: On Issue 17 let's see.
9	Staff agrees with the target numbers as stated by the
10	parties.
11	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So hearing no
12	objections, I assume that would be a Type B
13	Stipulation.
14	Issue 18.
15	MS. BARRERA: Issue 18, the staff agrees with
16	the parties except for and the numbers stated by the
17	parties except for FPUC's Marianna and PEF.
18	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Hearing no
19	objections, with those exceptions, it would be a Type B
20	Stipulation.
21	Let's move on to Issue 19.
22	MS. BARRERA: Issues 19. The stipulation we
23	believe may be a Type A Stipulation. The appropriate
24	revenue tax factors to be applied in calculating each
25	investor-owned electric utilities' levelized fuel
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

factor for the projection period of January 2013 1 through December 2013 is 1.00072. 2 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Hearing no 3 objections --4 MS. CHRISTENSEN: The Office of Public 5 Counsel takes no position on this issue. 6 7 MS. BARRERA: Okay. We move that to a Type Β. 8 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So let the 9 record reflect that would be a Type B Stipulation. 10 Issue 20. 11 MS. BARRERA: Issue 20 would be a Type B 12 Stipulation for all companies except FPUC Marianna and 13 Progress. And we adopt the utilities' position on the 14 15 rest. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Hearing no 16 objections, let the record reflect it's a Type B 17 Stipulation with those exceptions. 18 Issue 21. 19 MS. BARRERA: On Issue 21 staff has a 20 stipulation. The appropriate fuel recovery line loss 21 22 multipliers are as the utilities -- yes, are as the utilities stated, and this stipulation includes PEF and 23 FPUC, so all the utilities. 24 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Let me record 25

reflect that. 1 Issue 22. 2 MS. BARRERA: On Issue 22 staff has no 3 position on Northwest Division, Marianna, and on 4 Progress, and agrees that the position is the same as 5 the positions for FPL, Gulf, TECO, and FPUC Northeast. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So hearing no objections, that would be a Type B Stipulation with 8 those exceptions. 9 Issue 23A. 10 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, Public 11 Counsel's position should state, "Agree with PCS." 12 MS. BARRERA: Excuse me one second, there 13 seems to be an issue. 14 15 (Pause.) MS. BARRERA: On Issue 22, we'd like to make 16 a correction. On FPUC, the staff takes no position 17 with both divisions, Northwest and Northeast. 18 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. 19 MS. BARRERA: Okay. Proceeding to 23A, staff 20 believes that there is a Type B Stipulation, and the 21 22 wording of the stipulation is for the Crystal River 3 uprate project the amount to be included is that which 23 is approved, if any, by the Commission in its 24 November 26th, 2012, Agenda Conference. For the Levy 25

nuclear project, the amount will be a function of the rates approved for collection in PEF's settlement agreement consistent with Page 147 of Order Number PSC-12-0104-FOF-EI. And after the Commission votes on November 26th, 2012, PEF will submit to the Commission, with copies to all parties, its revised schedule showing the calculation of the 2013 capacity cost-recovery factors. Commission staff is granted administrative authority to verify that the schedules are consistent with the Commission's vote on November 26th, 2012, in Order Number PSC-12-0101-FOF-EI as described above.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So as specified in the draft prehearing order, then?

MS. BARRERA: Yes.

MR. MOYLE: I was not 100 percent clear on that because, you know, I think that the position of FIPUG would be to agree with PCS, and I think the position of PCS relates to monies flowing from the uprate project tied to the Crystal River 3. And if I understood the staff position, it would to be to -- you know, I didn't understand it to address that specific point with respect to monies to be recovered or not recovered for the uprate project tied to the Crystal River 3. I understood staff saying there is a

settlement agreement and the settlement dollars flow through, but I don't want to, you know, stipulate in some way to anything related to the uprate project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Well, I thought the language that staff just read from the stipulation is consistent with staff's position in the draft prehearing order, and I thought the first sentence deals with the CR-3 uprate project, and then the Levy is where it ties into the settlement agreement.

MR. MOYLE: Yeah. I mean, so long as we are all on the same page and crystal clear on that, you know, that that issue remains live and whatever is decided in that, you know, then I'm good.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Is that everyone's understanding, including staff?

MS. BENNETT: May I address -- I'm a little confused about -- I keep hearing that there might be some litigation in the fuel docket on what has actually already been discussed in the nuclear. And this is just an administrative action, because the Commission won't make a decision in the nuclear until after the decision in the fuel docket. So this is just an effort by staff to say, okay, when all the other numbers get figured out by the Commission, that's Number A, we'll add Number B to it on November the 26th and get your

final capacity factor. And I'm concerned that I'm hearing people say we're going to relitigate nuclear cost-recovery in the fuel docket, which is not appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MOYLE: And that's not the intent; I'm sorry if that was conveyed. You know, Mr. Brew has raised the issue and we have adopted the position. I think the Prehearing Officer has clarified, to my mind, that there is no litigation, but that other issue in the nuclear docket remains open, live, and to be decided, and whatever is decided there will flow through this provision.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: That's my

understanding, as well, so I think we are all on the same page.

MR. BREW: Commissioner, I think, yes, PCS's position was restating the position we had taken in the 09 nuclear cost-recovery docket. What I understood from staff is that they'll reflect in the fuel what the ultimate disposition is of that case, and I'm fine with that.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

23 **MR. MOYLE:** So I guess the question is, with 24 that understanding then it could be a Type B 25 Stipulation?

1	MS. BARRERA: Sounds good.
2	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: That is my
3	understanding as well, so the record will reflect that.
4	Okay. Moving on to Issue 24A.
5	MS. BARRERA: On Issue 24A, which applies to
6	FPL, the stipulation is staff's position is
7	essentially almost the same as Issue 23A, the amount to
8	be included is that which is approved by the Commission
9	at its November 26th
10	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I'm sorry, does it
11	match staff's position in the Prehearing Order?
12	MS. BARRERA: Yes, I'm sorry.
13	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I don't think we
14	need to read it again.
15	MS. BARRERA: Okay. I'm sorry. I have three
16	papers to read from, so excuse me. Sometimes I get
17	confused.
18	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.
19	MS. BARRERA: Okay. Yes, that's it. That's
20	our position.
21	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And I believe that
22	would be a Type B Stipulation, then.
23	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Office of Public Counsel
24	can take no position on that issue.
25	MR. WRIGHT: We will also take no position.

1	Our position as stated is not no position at this time.
2	That's because there was an earlier draft and it didn't
3	get picked up in the new one. So we will go to no
4	position. Thank you.
5	MS. BARRERA: Okay.
6	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Issue 24B.
7	MS. BARRERA: On Issue 24B staff has no
8	position at this time.
9	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other
10	changes to other parties' positions?
11	MS. BARRERA: Excuse me one second,
12	Commissioner. I'm sorry, I was looking at C. We do
13	have hold on. Excuse me.
14	All right. For 24B, C, and E, staff is still
15	consulting with parties, and so we will take no
16	position at this time.
17	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So I think with
18	that we can skip those issues since you are still
19	working with the parties on that, if everyone is okay.
20	MS. BARRERA: Yes. I would just like to add
21	that on Issue 24C, staff proposes new wording which
22	would eliminate the first sentence, and the issue would
23	start with, "What amounts should be included in the
24	capacity cost-recovery clause," and taking out the
25	wording regarding the rate case settlement agreement.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. You're 1 continuing to work with the parties on that and keep 2 everyone involved. That brings us to Issue 27. Does 3 staff agree those amounts or have taken a position at 4 this time? 5 MS. BARRERA: Yes, except as to Progress. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And what is staff's position for Progress? 8 MS. BARRERA: We take no position at this 9 time. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any other So that would be a Type B Stipulation with 12 changes? exception to the Progress? 13 MS. BARRERA: Yes, sir. 14 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Issue 28. 15 MS. BARRERA: On 28, that would be the same 16 thing. Staff takes no position at this time as to PEF, 17 and the other numbers staff takes the position of the 18 utilities. 19 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Issue 29. 20 MS. BARRERA: Issue 29, on Progress staff 21 22 takes no position, but staff agrees with the utilities -- the rest of the utilities. 23 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. With the 24 exception of Progress, let the record show that's a 25

Type B Stipulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moving on to Issue 30.

MS. BARRERA: Issue 30. Staff takes no position on Florida Power and Light and on Progress. On the position of Gulf and TECO, staff agrees.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, Office of Public Counsel takes no position regarding Gulf and TECO, however we have -- and PEF. However, we have taken a position an Issues 30, 31, 32, and 33 regarding FPL, and we have previously read that position into the record. And we can also e-mail that to staff to include into the draft prehearing order. And I guess just for clarity, on Issues 31, 32, and 33, as to the remaining companies, PEF, Gulf, and TECO, Office of Public Counsel will take no position.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes.

MR. WRIGHT: We, too, will take no position with respect to the utilities other than FPL. We will agree with OPC with respect to FPL in Issues 30, 31, 32, and 33.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

MS. BARRERA: I'm sorry. So we have a Type B Stipulation on Gulf and TECO, or no?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. We have taken no

position on Issue 30 regarding Gulf and TECO.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So I believe we can move on to Issue 34, effective date.

MS. BARRERA: On 34, staff's position is that it agrees with the parties' positions in light of the fact that we have that new Issue 35.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And we have already discussed 35 and 36.

MS. BARRERA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. I think we can move on to Section IX, which is the exhibit list.

MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, just one clarifying matter. On Issue 24C, we suggested that the language be tweaked. Can I have a ruling on the change?

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. I agree with staff's recommendation to eliminate the first sentence of Issue 24C and start the issue with what amount, the sentence that starts with what amount.

MS. BARRERA: Thank you, sir.

MR. MOYLE: And just a housekeeping matter. On 24A, in that same section, I think FIPUG's was left off. It's a Type B Stipulation, but if we could just get FIPUG added on 24A.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And we will do

1 so. MR. MOYLE: Thank you. 2 MS. BARRERA: I'm sorry. 3 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any questions or 4 comments on the exhibit list? 5 Section X, proposed stipulations. I think we 6 7 have beat that one to death. Section XI, pending motions. 8 9 MS. BARRERA: No motions from staff. 10 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. I assume those will 11 be addressed in a separate order? 12 MS. BARRERA: Yes, sir. We have a variety 13 of -- we tried very diligently to get through them, but 14 they are still coming in, so we will take care of them. 15 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Section XIII, 16 post-hearing procedures. 17 MS. BARRERA: Staff will note that there are 18 no other matters to address. Generally, there is a 19 bench decision in this docket and post-hearing briefs 20 are not necessary. Sometimes there will be one or two 21 22 issues that the Commission would like to have briefed. In that event, staff suggests that the Commission may 23 want briefs on Issues 3A and 3B, and 24B through E, 24 taking out D. 25

If there are any to be briefed, staff recommends position statements of no more than 50 words and post-hearing statements and briefs no longer than 40 pages. Staff notes that the briefs would be due on November 13 for the November 27 agenda conference.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Are all parties in agreement with those limitations if briefs are required?

MR. MOYLE: FIPUG would just reserve its right to brief. I think some issues were mentioned, 3A and 3B. The 1D issue that we had talked about related to the NEIL coverage, we would like to have the opportunity to brief that, depending on how the hearing goes.

15 **COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. And obviously 16 there could be other issues that Commissioners would 17 want to be briefed on.

18 MR. BREW: Commissioner, just to follow-up. 19 I have attempted to e-mail PCS's position on Issue 1D 20 that FIPUG raised. I'm just not sure, given the 21 signals in here, whether it has made it around to 22 people yet, but I will follow up with staff and the 23 parties.

> COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. MR. REHWINKEL: And Public Counsel is

generally in agreement with the position that Mr. Brew has circulated, so I think we are going to be in a position, if the other parties can agree, there is a potential stipulation there, that Progress will see it, as well. It will at least be our position if we don't reach agreement.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And I believe staff mentioned the potential of briefing Issue 3B, unless we come to some other agreement beforehand, which is the FPUC issue, correct?

MS. BARRERA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: She mentioned 3A, 3B, 25B, and 24E.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we included that. Thank you.

MS. BARRERA: 24B, C, and E. And, you know, I wrote this before I got the FIPUG issues, so -- all right. I mean, they are not prevented from doing that, of course.

Staff at this time would recommend, Commissioner, that you ask the parties whether any witnesses can be excused at this time, if possible.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And since we went through quite a few Type B Stipulations, et

cetera, I mean, I'd offer the parties the opportunity to just e-mail staff by the end of the day if there are any witnesses that can be excused. That might make it easier.

MR. BUTLER: May I make a proposal in that regard, and parties can react to it if there is anything that they feel they would need the witnesses for?

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes.

MR. BUTLER: As I was going through, it seems like for FPL that Mr. Yupp, Mr. Freeman, and Ms. Bullock are all witnesses who have no role in the small number of remaining issues, and we would propose that they be excused. At this point it's not clear whether Mr. Keith or Ms. Deaton could be excused, but I think those other three don't have any role in the issues that were not stipulated. So if parties could react to that, I would appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Any objections to those witnesses listed?

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Initially I don't think we would have any objection to it, based on what our previous agreements were. Just let us -- if you can give us the opportunity, if they will propose who they wish to have excused, and give us an opportunity to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

make sure that we don't have any questions on the remaining issues for those. I think that may be the most efficient way to deal with that.

MR. BUTLER: And that was my intent. I just wanted to put out there who the people were that we think may be potentially excused.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. And, again, I would just offer everyone -- we have gone through a lot today -- to send an e-mail out on the ones you are proposing to excuse, and then parties can object.

MS. BARRERA: Yes, Commissioner, we will engage in serial e-mailing.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, we can do it that way. All of the issues for Tampa Electric have been resolved by way of stipulation. I would just propose that we stipulate to the testimony and exhibits of our four witnesses, Mr. Aldazabal, Mr. Buckley, Mr. Smith, and Mr. Caldwell.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay.

All right. We are going to move on to rulings.

MS. BARRERA: At this time, Commissioner, staff would suggest that you make a ruling that opening statements, if any, should not exceed five minutes per

1	side.
2	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Any objections to those
3	that wish to make an opening statements for five
4	minutes?
5	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Per party, I believe.
6	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Per party.
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Then no objection.
8	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes. Not per side; per
9	party. Okay. Are there any other matters?
10	MS. BARRERA: No, sir.
11	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. This prehearing
12	conference is adjourned. Thank you.
13	(The prehearing concluded at 11:48 a.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ELODIDA DIDITA GEDUTAR COMMICATON
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	COUNTY OF LEON)
6	
7	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
8	at the time and place herein stated.
9 10	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct
11	supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
14	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
15	DATED THIS 23rd day of October, 2012.
16	
17	Bur aund
18	JANE FAUROT, RPR FPSC Official Commission Reporter
19	(850) 413-6732
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION