GOMMISSION

In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

claim of confidentiality
notice of intent

request for confidentiality filed by OPC

For DN 0953-13, which PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S is in locked storage. You must be REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION authorized to view this DN.-CLK

Progress Energy Florida, Inc., ("PEF" or "Company"), pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), submits this Request for Confidential Classification for information contained in the FPSC's Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction and related work papers. In support of this Request, PEF states:

- 1. In Staff's Review, there is sensitive PEF information that is "proprietary business information" under Section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes.
 - 2. The following exhibits are included with this request:
- (a) Sealed Composite Exhibit A is a package containing the unredacted pages of FPSC's Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction and related work papers for which PEF seeks confidential treatment. Composite Exhibit A is being submitted separately in a sealed envelope labeled "CONFIDENTIAL." In the unredacted versions, the information asserted to be confidential is highlighted by yellow marker.

AFD
APA 5
ECO
ENG
GCL 1
IDM
TEL
CLK 1

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00952 FEB 21 2

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

- (b) Composite Exhibit B is a package containing two copies of redacted pages of FPSC's Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction and related work papers for which the Company requests confidential classification. The specific information for which confidential treatment is requested has been blocked out by opaque marker or other means.
- (c) Exhibit C is a table which identifies by page and line the information for which PEF seeks confidential classification and the specific statutory bases for seeking confidential treatment.
- 3. As indicated in Exhibit C, the information for which PEF requests confidential classification is "proprietary confidential business information" within the meaning of Section 366.093(3), F.S. Specifically, the information at issue relates to PEF's internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, which if disclosed, could impair the efforts of the Company to protect internal business information. PEF is requesting confidential classification of this material because it contains confidential information related to the Company's internal audits, including reports of the Company's internal auditors. It is essential to the Company's internal auditing process that information provided to internal auditors and the reports thereof remain confidential this allows for full cooperation and candor with the Company's auditors. The disclosure of this information would compromise the Company's ability to effectively perform internal audits of its projects and procedures and thereby harm PEF's competitive business interests. See § 366.093(3)(b), F.S.; Affidavit of Matt Powers at ¶ 6. Accordingly, such information constitutes "proprietary confidential business information" which is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act pursuant to Section 366.093(3), F.S.

4. The information identified as Exhibit "A" is intended to be and is treated as confidential by the Company. See Affidavit of Matt Powers at ¶ 5. The information has not been disclosed to the public, and the Company has treated and continues to treat its internal guidelines and processes as confidential. See Affidavit of Matt Powers at ¶ 7.

5. PEF requests that the information identified in Exhibit A be classified as "proprietary confidential business information" within the meaning of section 366.093(3), F.S., that the information remain confidential for a period of at least 18 months as provided in section 366.093(4) F.S., and that the information be returned as soon as it is no longer necessary for the Commission to conduct its business.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, PEF respectfully requests that this Request for Confidential Classification be granted.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of February, 2013.

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett

JOHN T. BURNETT

Deputy General Counsel - Florida

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT

Associate General Counsel - Florida

Progress Energy Service Company, LLC

Post Office Box 14042

St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

Telephone: 727-820-5184

Facsimile: 727-820-5249

Email: john.burnett@pgnmail.com

Attorneys for

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Exhibit B REDACTED

Take the

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
00952 FEB21 ≥

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for

Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS FOR DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION
OFFICIAL WORKPAPERS
PA-12-04-001

PAGES 281 THROUGH 295 REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for

Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS FOR DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION
OFFICIAL WORKPAPERS
PA-12-04-001

PAGES 303 THROUGH 311 REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY



















In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for

Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS FOR DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION
OFFICIAL WORKPAPERS
PA-12-04-001

PAGES 373 THROUGH 392 REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

Only Approved Safety-Related Source

Page 460 of 590

Single Source Justification:

"Single source" describes the situation where there are multiple contractors capable of providing the scope of work, but only one contractor is preferred. The following are examples of acceptable single source justifications:

- Continuation of Prior Work: Materials and services needed, but unknown at time of original order
- . Emergency: Can meet required delivery and situation does not provide time to seek elsewhere

DR1.4.b:

Response:

The majority of distribution work is completed by established resources and in the case where some distribution work may be selected for bidding, the company seeks bids from contractors with an established history or known diverse vendors, but a formal list is not maintained.

DR1.4.c:

Confidential items in this response are highlighted.

Response:

Vendor Name	Effective Start Date	Effective End Date	Work Type
F&H Contractors, Inc.			Construct and maintain underground, distribution facilities
Infratech Corporation			Construct underground cable Placement
Irby Construction Co., Inc.			Construct and maintain overhead, distribution facilities
MasTec North America, Inc.			Construct and maintain Overhead and underground, Distribution facilities

Division of Regulatory Compliance
Bureau of Performance Analysis
I. PERPORMANCE ANALYSIS SECTIONSO PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AUDITS/IOU Quality Control-Construction/PEPO.0 Workpapers/DRI Summary/DRI Summary doc

Pike Electric, Inc.

Construct and maintain overhead and under the distribution facilities

DR1.4.d:

Response:

PEF utilizes a work planning process to assign distribution construction work to the appropriate resource. This process is based upon available construction man hours for Progress Energy crews, skill sets, project time constraints, type of work, and specialized projects. PEF crews typically focus on smaller construction projects, customer interfacing type work, maintenance and restoration. PEF utilizes contractors for large construction projects, underground and specialized skill sets.

When the planning process occurs jobs are assigned on the following criteria:

- Trenching and Bore- this type of work is typically assigned to our native underground contractors, with
 exceptions being in the rural areas where our crews perform their own trenching.
- Skill Sets PEF has a commitment to increase the overhead skill sets of our line work force. In order to provide
 these training opportunities to our crews, we look for projects around the 500-800 Man Hour range. This
 work would include overhead re-conductor and back lot type work to increase the skills sets for our
 apprentices.
- Volume by geographic location typically there are several service areas that are saturated with new
 customer, asset management and system improvement projects. Contractors will typically receive a bulk of
 the work in these service areas.
- Time Constraints DOT or System Load Projects are typically time sensitive. PEF evaluates the work load in the
 service areas that these projects are located and determines if we have the resources to meet the deadlines.
 In most cases, it is beneficial to assign this type of work to a contractor, as they are not pulled off for outage
 response or emergency situations.
- Specialized work this type of work is bid out and awarded. These types of projects would include underground subaqueous boring and in some cases Transmission Under build work, which exceeds the capacity of our native contractors and PE work force.

DR1.4.e;

Response:

Copies of the existing contracts listed in response to Document Request 1.4.b are included under separate cover in a three ring binder in hardcopy form. Tabs are included to indicate demarcation of the various master

Division of Regulatory Compliance

Bureau of Performance Analysis

I PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SECTIONOO PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AUDITSUUU Quulity Control-Construction/PEPU O Workpapers/DR I Summary DR I Summary doc

contracts as well as any contract amendments executed to extend master contract temps age 462 of 590 Supporting Documentation: Documentation provided to supporting this response includes the following: • Contracts between PEF and Vendors identified in 1.4.b DR1.4.f; Confidential items in this response are highlighted. Response: The distribution construction contracts in place during years 2008-2012 are: F and H Contrine. • Infratech Corp. Irby Constr Co Inc. MasTec North America Inc. Pike Elec Inc. Contractor Expenditures by year: 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 Total Dollars in (\$000) F&H CONTRINC INFRATECH CORP IRBY CONSTR CO INC MASTEC NORTH AMER PIKE ELEC INC TOTAL

Division of Regulatory Compliance
Bureau of Performance Analysis
I PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SECTIONSO PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AUDITSUOU Quality Control-Construction/PEPU 0 Workpapers/DRI Summary/DRI Summary doc

In re: Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for

Distribution Construction.

Docket No. Undocketed

Dated: February 21, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA INC.'S
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESS FOR DISTRIBUTION CONSTRUCTION
OFFICIAL WORKPAPERS
PA-12-04-001

PAGES 579 THROUGH 583 REDACTED IN THEIR ENTIRETY

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA Confidentiality Justification Matrix Docket No.: Undocketed

DOCUMENT/RESPONSES	PAGE/LINE	JUSTIFICATION
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers) Note: Page Numbers correspond with those pages on the confidential PDF file provided to PEF.	Pages 281 through 295 are redacted in their entirety	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 303 through 311 are redacted in their entirety	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 312 through 314, all information under columns titled "Manager" and "Final Score %"	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 373 through 392 are redacted in their entirety	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.

Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Page 460, all information under columns titled: "Effective Start Date" and "Effective End Date"	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 461, 2 nd column, 1 st row, 3 rd and 4 th sub-columns	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 462, all dollar amounts	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.
Review of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s Quality Assurance Process for Distribution Construction (including Workpapers)	Pages 579 through 583 are redacted in their entirety	§366.093(3)(b), F.S. The document in question contains confidential information relating to internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors, the disclosure of which would impair PEF's internal business operations.