

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 130001-EI
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

MARCH 1, 2013

**IN RE: LEVELIZED FUEL COST RECOVERY
AND CAPACITY COST RECOVERY
FINAL TRUE-UP**

JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

TERRY J. KEITH

COM 5
AFD 6
APA _____
ECO 1
ENG 1
GCL 1
IDM _____
TEL _____
CLK 1-C Ref

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01070 MAR-13

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
TESTIMONY OF TERRY J. KEITH
DOCKET NO. 130001-EI
MARCH 1, 2013

Q. Please state your name, business address, employer and position.

A. My name is Terry J. Keith and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida, 33174. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or the Company) as the Director, Cost Recovery Clauses, in the Regulatory & State Governmental Affairs Department.

Q. Have you previously testified in predecessors to this docket?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the schedules necessary to support the actual Fuel Cost Recovery (FCR) Clause and Capacity Cost Recovery (CCR) Clause Net True-Up amounts for the period January 2012 through December 2012. The Net True-Up for the FCR is an under-recovery, including interest, of \$4,550,654. The Net True-Up for the CCR is an under-recovery, including interest, of \$7,913,484. FPL is requesting Commission approval to include the FCR true-up under-recovery of \$4,550,654 in the calculation of the FCR factor for the period January 2014 through December 2014. FPL is also requesting Commission approval to include the CCR true-up under-recovery of \$7,913,484 in the calculation of the CCR factor for the

1 period January 2014 through December 2014.

2 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction,**
3 **supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?**

4 A. Yes, I have. It consists of two appendices. Appendix I contains the FCR
5 related schedules and Appendix II contains the CCR related schedules. In
6 addition, FCR Schedules A-1 through A-12 for the January 2012 through
7 December 2012 period have been filed monthly with the Commission and
8 served on all parties of record in this docket. Those schedules are
9 incorporated herein by reference.

10 **Q. What is the source of the data you present?**

11 A. Unless otherwise indicated, the data are taken from the books and records of
12 FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular course of the Company's
13 business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and
14 practices, and with the applicable provisions of the Uniform System of
15 Accounts as prescribed by the Commission.

16

17 **FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE**

18

19 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the FCR net true-up amount.**

20 A. Appendix I, page 1, titled "Summary of Net True-Up," shows the calculation
21 of the Net True-Up for the period January 2012 through December 2012, an
22 under-recovery of \$4,550,654.

23

24 The Summary of the Net True-up amount shown on Appendix I, page 1 shows

1 the actual End-of-Period True-Up over-recovery for the period January 2012
2 through December 2012 of \$94,655,667 on line 1. The Actual/Estimated
3 True-Up over-recovery for the same period of \$99,206,321 is shown on line 2.
4 Line 1 less line 2 results in the Net Final True-Up for the period January 2012
5 through December 2012, an under-recovery of \$4,550,654 (line 3).

6

7 The calculation of the true-up amount for the period follows the procedures
8 established by this Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule A-2
9 “Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision.”

10 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the calculation of the FCR actual**
11 **true-up by month?**

12 A. Yes. Appendix I, page 2, titled “Calculation of Actual True-up Amount,”
13 shows the calculation of the FCR actual true-up by month for January 2012
14 through December 2012.

15 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between actual and**
16 **actual/estimated FCR costs and applicable revenues for 2012?**

17 A. Yes. Appendix I, page 3, provides a comparison of jurisdictional fuel
18 revenues and costs on a dollar per MWh basis. Appendix I, page 4, compares
19 the actual End-of-Period True-up over-recovery of \$43,534,642 to the
20 Actual/Estimated End-of-Period True-up over-recovery of \$48,085,296
21 resulting in the \$4,550,654 under-recovery.

22 **Q. Please describe the variance analysis on page 3 of Appendix I.**

23 A. Appendix I, page 3, provides a comparison of Jurisdictional Total Fuel
24 Revenues and Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs (including Net Power

1 Transactions) on a dollar per MWh basis. The \$4,550,654 under-recovery
2 was primarily due to a decrease in the fuel cost per MWh of \$34.94/MWh vs.
3 \$34.98/MWh that resulted in a cost decrease of \$4,359,280, and a decrease in
4 fuel revenues per MWh of \$36.44/MWh vs. \$36.52/MWh that resulted in a
5 revenue decrease of \$9,018,664, for a net decrease due to cost of \$4,659,384.

6

7 The \$4,659,384 variance due to cost was slightly offset by an increase due to
8 consumption of \$93,222 and an increase of \$15,509 in interest that was
9 primarily due to higher than expected commercial paper rates.

10 **Q. What was the variance in Adjusted Total Fuel Costs and Net Power**
11 **Transactions?**

12 A. The variance in Adjusted Total Fuel Costs and Net Power Transactions was a
13 decrease of \$4,033,422. As shown on Appendix I, page 4, this decrease was
14 due primarily to a \$34.8 million decrease in Energy Payments to Qualifying
15 Facilities (QFs), a \$7.3 million higher credit to Inventory Adjustments, a \$7.3
16 million decrease in Fuel Cost of Purchased Power, and a \$0.8 million decrease
17 in Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs. These amounts were partially offset by a
18 \$37.1 million increase in the Fuel Cost of System Net Generation, a \$4.3
19 million decrease in the Fuel Cost of Power Sold, a \$2.7 million increase in
20 Non-Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms, a \$1.4 million increase in Energy Cost of
21 Economy Purchases and a \$0.6 million decrease in Gains from Off-System
22 Sales.

1 Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (\$34.8 million decrease)

2 The variance for Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities was primarily
3 attributable to lower than projected QF purchases. FPL purchased
4 approximately 692,000 MWh less from QFs. Lower purchases resulted in a
5 decrease of approximately \$29.2 million, which was 84% of the total variance.
6 Additionally, the fuel cost of QF purchases was approximately \$2.28/MWh
7 less than originally projected. Lower than projected fuel costs resulted in a
8 decrease of approximately \$5.6 million, which was 16% of the total variance.
9 The combination of lower volume and lower fuel costs resulted in a total
10 variance of \$34,825,999.

11

12 Inventory Adjustments (\$7.3 million variance)

13 A \$7,020,652 Gain on Sale of Inventory in August, 2012 as a result of tank
14 dismantlement at FPL's Sanford Plant, which was not projected, reduced the
15 overall fuel cost.

16

17 Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (\$7.3 million decrease)

18 The Fuel Cost of Purchased Power was approximately \$7.3 million lower than
19 originally projected. The cost of PPA contracts, SJRPP purchases and St.
20 Lucie purchases were lower than projected but partially offset by higher UPS
21 fuel costs.

22

23 FPL purchased approximately 148,000 fewer MWh under its PPA contracts.

24 The lower purchased volume, when compared to projected amounts, resulted

1 in a decrease of approximately \$6.2 million. The per-unit cost of PPA
2 purchases was \$4.34/MWh lower than originally projected. The lower than
3 projected unit cost for PPAs resulted in a decrease of approximately \$2.1
4 million. The total variance for PPA purchases was \$8.3 million lower than
5 projected.

6
7 The total costs for SJRPP purchases were approximately \$235,000 lower than
8 originally projected. FPL purchased approximately 63,000 fewer MWh than
9 projected, while the overall unit cost was \$1.22/MWh higher than projected.

10
11 St. Lucie purchases resulted in a total cost decrease of approximately
12 \$115,000. FPL purchased approximately 19,000 fewer MWh than projected,
13 while the overall unit cost was \$0.08/MWh higher than originally projected.

14
15 The total costs for UPS purchases were approximately \$2.6 million higher
16 than originally projected, primarily due to higher fuel costs. This was
17 partially offset by a reduction of \$1.2 million due to approximately 35,000
18 MWh less UPS purchases, resulting in a net variance of \$1.4 million for the
19 fuel cost of UPS purchases.

20
21 Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (\$0.8 million decrease)

22 The decrease in nuclear fuel disposal costs was primarily due to less
23 generation from Turkey Point Unit 3. The EPU outage and power ascension
24 was longer than originally planned.

1 Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (\$37.1 million increase)

2 FPL's natural gas cost averaged \$4.97 per MMBtu, which was \$0.04 per
3 MMBtu or 0.9% lower than projected during the period and FPL consumed
4 24,226,409 or 4.2% more MMBtu than projected during the period. The net
5 \$95.4 million increase in the cost of natural gas reflects a \$121.3 million
6 increase due to higher than projected consumption that was partially offset by
7 a \$26.0 million decrease due to lower than projected unit costs.

8

9 FPL's heavy oil cost averaged \$13.81 per MMBtu, which was \$0.77 per
10 MMBtu or 5.3% lower than projected during the period. Additionally, FPL
11 consumed 3,300,978 less MMBtu (42.4%) than projected during the period.
12 Of the total \$51.6 million decrease for heavy oil, \$48.1 million was due to
13 lower than projected consumption and \$3.5 million was due to lower than
14 projected unit costs.

15

16 FPL's nuclear fuel cost averaged \$0.57 per MMBtu, which was \$0.04 per
17 MMBtu or 6.6% lower than projected during the period. Additionally, FPL
18 consumed 11,911,888 less MMBtu (6.0%) than projected during the period.
19 Of the total \$14.8 million decrease for nuclear, \$7.2 million was due to lower
20 than projected unit costs and \$7.6 million was due to lower than projected
21 consumption.

22

23 FPL's coal cost averaged \$2.89 per MMBtu, which was \$0.04 per MMBtu or
24 1.6% higher than projected during the period. Additionally, FPL consumed

1 2,042,848 more MMBtu (4.3%) than projected during the period. Of the total
2 \$8.0 million increase for coal, \$5.8 million was due to higher than projected
3 consumption and \$2.2 million was due to higher than projected unit costs.

4
5 FPL's light oil cost averaged \$20.52 per MMBtu, which was \$0.53 per
6 MMBtu or 2.5% lower than projected during the period. FPL consumed
7 15,925 more MMBtu (4.0%) than projected during the period. The total \$0.1
8 million increase for light oil reflects a \$0.3 million increase due to higher than
9 projected consumption, partially offset by a \$0.2 million decrease due to
10 lower than projected unit costs.

11
12 Fuel Cost of Power Sold (\$4.3 million decrease)

13 The approximately \$4.3 million decrease in Fuel Cost of Power Sold was
14 primarily attributable to lower than projected fuel costs for economy sales.
15 FPL's average fuel cost attributable to economy sales was \$20.67/MWh as
16 compared to an original estimate of \$30.60/MWh. Additionally, FPL sold
17 approximately 16,000 MWh less of economy power than originally projected.
18 Approximately 88% of the variance is due to lower than projected fuel costs
19 for economy sales and the remaining 12% is due to lower than projected
20 volume of economy sales. This variance was slightly increased by lower than
21 projected sales and costs related to the St. Lucie Reliability Exchange.
22 Overall, the total variance of \$4,253,429 for Fuel Cost of Power Sold was
23 92% attributable to lower than projected fuel costs and 8% attributable to
24 lower than projected sales.

1 Non-Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms (\$2.7 million increase)

2 The increase in non-recoverable oil/tank bottoms was primarily due to \$1.8
3 million associated with a tank at Turkey Point Fossil which was placed in
4 service in September 2012 and \$1.2 million in October 2012 associated with a
5 tank at Cape Canaveral Energy Center. Neither amount had been projected.

6

7 Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (\$1.4 million increase)

8 The increase of \$1.4 million for the Energy Cost of Economy Purchases was
9 primarily attributable to higher than projected economy purchases. FPL
10 purchased approximately 47,000 MWh more of economy energy than it
11 projected. This higher volume of economy purchases resulted in an increase
12 of approximately \$2.0 million. The costs of economy purchases were, on
13 average, \$0.76/MWh lower than projected, which resulted in a decrease of
14 approximately \$0.6 million that partially offset the volume variance.

15

16 Gains from Off-System Sales (\$0.6 million decrease)

17 Gains from Off-System Sales were lower than projected primarily because of
18 lower than projected margins on economy sales. FPL's average margin on
19 economy sales was \$1.11/MWh lower than projected. Additionally, FPL
20 made 16,000 MWh less economy sales than projected. Approximately 71%
21 of the total decrease of \$575,136 was attributable to lower than projected
22 margins on economy sales and the remaining 29% was attributable to lower
23 than projected economy sales.

24 **Q. What was the variance in retail (jurisdictional) FCR revenues?**

1 A. As shown on Appendix I, page 4, line 24, actual jurisdictional FCR revenues,
2 net of revenue taxes, were approximately \$6.8 million or 0.2% lower than the
3 actual/estimated projection.

4 **Q. Pursuant to Commission Order No. PSC-12-0664-FOF-EI, FPL's 2012**
5 **gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales were to be measured**
6 **against a three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark of**
7 **\$6,680,369. Did FPL exceed this benchmark?**

8 A. No.

9 **Q. Will FPL continue to use in 2013 the shareholder incentive mechanism**
10 **that was approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-**
11 **EI?**

12 A. No. FPL is implementing a new Incentive Mechanism beginning in 2013,
13 which was a component of the Stipulation and Settlement that was approved
14 by the Commission in Order No. PSC-13-0023-S-EI issued on January 14,
15 2013 in Docket No. 120015-EI. The new Incentive Mechanism does not rely
16 upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in
17 Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so there is no need to continue calculating
18 that benchmark.

19

20 **CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE (CCR)**

21

22 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the CCR net true-up amount.**

23 A. Appendix II, page 1, titled "Summary of Net True-Up" shows the calculation
24 of the CCR Net True-Up for the period January 2012 through December 2012,

1 an under-recovery of \$7,913,484, which FPL is requesting to be included in
2 the calculation of the CCR factors for the January 2014 through December
3 2014 period.

4
5 The actual End-of-Period under-recovery for the period January 2012 through
6 December 2012 of \$23,791,944 shown on line 1 less the Actual/Estimated
7 End-of-Period under-recovery for the same period of \$15,878,460 shown on
8 line 2 that was approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-12-0664-FOF-
9 EI, results in the Net True-Up under-recovery for the period January 2012
10 through December 2012 of \$7,913,484 (line 3).

11 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the calculation of the CCR actual**
12 **true-up by month?**

13 A. Yes. Appendix II, page 2, titled "Calculation of Final True-up" shows the
14 calculation of the CCR End-of-Period true-up for the period January 2012
15 through December 2012 by month.

16 **Q. Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up methodology used**
17 **for the FCR clause?**

18 A. Yes, it is. The calculation of the true-up amount follows the procedures
19 established by this Commission set forth on Commission Schedule A-2
20 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision" for the FCR clause.

21 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between actual and**
22 **actual/estimated capacity charges and applicable revenues for 2012?**

23 A. Yes. Appendix II, page 3, titled "Calculation of Final True-up Variances,"
24 shows the actual capacity charges and applicable revenues compared to

1 actual/estimated capacity charges and applicable revenues for the period
2 January 2012 through December 2012.

3 **Q. What was the variance in net capacity charges?**

4 A. Appendix II, page 3, line 12 provides the variance in Jurisdictional Capacity
5 Charges, which is an increase of \$1,893,356 or 0.3%. This \$1.9 million
6 increase was primarily due to a \$3.7 million increase in Payments to
7 Cogenerators, a \$0.4 million increase in Transmission of Electricity by Others
8 and approximately \$64,000 less revenues from Transmission Revenues from
9 Capacity Sales. These increases were partially offset by a \$2.0 million
10 decrease in Incremental Plant Security Costs and a \$0.1 million decrease in
11 Payments to Non-cogenerators.

12

13 Payments to Cogenerators (\$3.7 million increase)

14 The \$3.7 million increase was due primarily to increased payments to two
15 cogenerators. Both Indiantown (ICL) and Cedar Bay (CB) units had better
16 availability performance. Therefore, the capacity payments to these
17 cogenerators were approximately \$4.9 million higher than projected. In
18 contrast, payments to the Solid Waste Authority (SWA) were approximately
19 \$1.3 million lower than projected, partially offsetting the increased payments
20 to ICL and CB.

1 Transmission of Electricity by Others (\$0.4 million increase)

2 The approximately \$0.4 million increase was due to lower than projected UPS
3 power purchases, resulting in higher than projected unutilized transmission
4 costs. FPL purchased approximately 35,000 MWh less than projected from
5 the UPS units for the last five months of 2012.

6

7 Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales (\$0.06 million variance)

8 The approximately \$64,000 decrease in Transmission Revenues from
9 Capacity Sales was due to lower than projected economy power sales. FPL
10 sold approximately 16,000 MWh less economy power during the period when
11 compared to amounts projected.

12

13 Incremental Plant Security Costs (\$2.0 million decrease)

14 The decrease in incremental plant security costs was primarily due to the
15 deferral of the Force on Force Upgrades work scope due to Extended Power
16 Uprate (EPU) outages at St. Lucie and Turkey Point as well as lower than
17 projected Part 73 Cyber Security Digital Assessment costs. Additionally,
18 Force on Force drills were modified from the initial project plan due to EPU
19 outages at St. Lucie and Turkey Point. Finally, the costs to install a security
20 fence at the Port Everglades terminal were lower than projected and a change
21 in project work scope at Turkey Point and Sanford plants also contributed to
22 the lower variance.

1 Payments to Non-cogenerators (\$0.1 million decrease)

2 The primary cause of the approximately \$139,000 decrease was a reduction of
3 approximately \$304,000 in costs associated with the SJRPP agreement,
4 partially offset by a net increase of approximately \$165,000 in capacity
5 payments under the Seminole (PPA) and Franklin (UPS) contracts.

6
7 Approximately \$723,000 of the SJRPP variance was due to lower costs for
8 Debt Service. Transmission Service and JEA O&M expense charges to FPL
9 were also approximately \$290,000 lower than projected. These amounts were
10 partially offset by payments for Property Taxes, Cumulative Capital Recovery
11 Amount (CCRA) payments, and Inventory costs which in total were
12 approximately \$709,000 higher than projected.

13
14 Increased PPA capacity costs associated with a contract term extension with
15 Seminole contributed \$187,500 to the overall variance. Finally, there was a
16 reduction of approximately \$22,000 in costs due to Capacity Availability
17 Performance Adjustment (CAPA) payments related to the Franklin unit in the
18 UPS agreement.

19 **Q. What was the variance in Capacity Cost Recovery revenues?**

20 **A.** As shown on page 3, line 13, actual Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues (Net of
21 Revenue Taxes) were \$6,025,791 or 0.9% lower than the actual/estimated
22 projection. This \$6,025,791 decrease in revenues, plus the \$1,893,356
23 increase in costs and \$5,663 decrease in interest (page 3, line 17), result in the
24 final under-recovery of \$7,913,484.

1 **Q. Have you provided Schedule A12 showing the actual monthly capacity**
2 **payments by contract?**

3 A. Yes. Schedule A12 consists of two pages that are included in Appendix II as
4 pages 4 and 5. Page 4 shows the actual capacity payments for Qualifying
5 Facilities, the Southern Company UPS contract and the SJRPP contract for the
6 period January 2012 through December 2012. Page 5 provides the Short
7 Term Capacity payments for the period January 2012 through December
8 2012.

9 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

10 A. Yes, it does.

APPENDIX I
FUEL COST RECOVERY
2012 FINAL TRUE UP CALCULATION

TJK-1
DOCKET NO. 130001-EI
FPL WITNESS: TERRY J. KEITH
PAGES 1-4
EXHIBIT _____
MARCH 1, 2013

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Total
1. End of Period True-up ⁽¹⁾	\$94,655,667
2. Less: Actual Estimated True-up for the same period ⁽²⁾	\$99,206,321
3. Net True-up for the period	<u>(\$4,550,654)</u>

⁽¹⁾ From Page 2, Column (14), Lines 32 & 33.

⁽²⁾ Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-12-0664-FOF-EI.

() Reflects Underrecovery

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 CALCULATION OF ACTUAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT
 FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

SCHEDULE: E1-B

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	
Line No.	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Period Total	
1	Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions													
2	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (Per A3)	\$237,588,651	\$223,690,078	\$248,031,014	\$243,673,298	\$279,307,523	\$305,420,731	\$338,696,681	\$341,165,884	\$301,403,269	\$297,650,682	\$246,283,270	\$255,728,781	\$3,318,649,862
3	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (Per A2)	\$1,533,571	\$1,331,150	\$1,025,644	\$986,906	\$1,231,819	\$1,465,162	\$1,379,200	\$1,218,150	\$1,109,649	\$1,398,338	\$1,323,375	\$1,757,630	\$15,760,594
4	Scherer Coal Cars Depreciation & Return (Per A2)	(\$47,585)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$47,585)
5	Fuel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	(\$1,280,730)	(\$1,239,704)	(\$385,357)	(\$330,142)	(\$334,747)	(\$907,994)	(\$665,514)	(\$377,928)	(\$467,704)	(\$802,389)	(\$1,598,553)	(\$2,004,093)	(\$10,394,855)
6	Gains from Off-System Sales (Per A6)	(\$681,721)	(\$658,059)	(\$169,879)	(\$232,884)	(\$82,452)	(\$222,303)	(\$134,690)	(\$19,618)	(\$57,444)	(\$132,878)	(\$454,439)	(\$551,387)	(\$3,375,754)
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	\$6,158,434	\$2,629,790	\$12,566,896	\$23,732,423	\$21,448,226	\$18,503,612	\$27,438,159	\$30,451,285	\$21,695,916	\$14,586,221	\$7,826,788	\$10,375,796	\$197,413,526
8	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	\$7,741,501	\$3,850,202	\$8,383,765	\$6,093,903	\$9,058,931	\$9,876,552	\$13,928,525	\$16,215,742	\$10,620,753	\$7,753,543	\$2,191,607	\$1,886,364	\$98,701,388
9	Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	(\$306,696)	\$465,870	\$1,978,339	\$4,745,050	\$4,951,403	\$1,480,551	\$3,800,890	\$9,963,066	\$6,357,390	\$376,535	(\$124,288)	\$228,635	\$33,916,745
10	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$250,725,425	\$230,171,327	\$272,430,422	\$278,668,554	\$315,580,703	\$335,616,311	\$384,443,251	\$398,616,561	\$340,661,829	\$320,830,052	\$255,457,760	\$267,421,726	\$3,650,623,921
11	Adjustments to Fuel Cost													
12	Sales to City of Key West (CKW)	(\$670,275)	(\$630,502)	(\$579,079)	(\$615,288)	(\$651,163)	(\$735,092)	(\$805,703)	(\$872,772)	(\$901,434)	(\$795,134)	(\$755,239)	(\$684,198)	(\$8,695,879)
13	Energy Imbalance Fuel Revenues	\$19,819	(\$2,926)	(\$24,904)	(\$39,133)	(\$37,543)	(\$71,123)	\$1,283,800	\$29,698	(\$42,660)	(\$15,099)	\$55,108	(\$21,481)	\$1,133,556
14	Inventory Adjustments	(\$53,798)	\$11,078	\$205,134	\$71,452	(\$191,198)	(\$331,618)	\$103,354	(\$7,294,497)	(\$1,445)	(\$211,913)	\$160,370	\$16,232	(\$7,516,849)
15	Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms - Docket No. 13092	(\$64,362)	(\$102,828)	\$74,075	\$0	(\$16,447)	\$0	\$549,227	(\$115,211)	\$1,819,711	\$1,187,909	(\$179,334)	\$0	\$3,152,740
16	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$249,956,809	\$229,446,149	\$272,105,648	\$278,085,585	\$314,684,352	\$334,478,478	\$385,573,929	\$390,363,779	\$341,536,001	\$320,995,815	\$254,738,665	\$266,732,279	\$3,638,697,489
17	Jurisdictional kWh Sales													
18	Jurisdictional kWh Sales	7,840,404,689	6,965,004,441	7,465,369,459	8,057,607,586	8,207,468,174	9,555,068,717	9,956,736,569	10,258,713,687	9,847,231,749	9,298,734,059	7,574,513,864	7,198,695,149	102,225,548,143
19	Sale for Resale (excluding CKW) ⁽¹⁾	141,688,445	145,961,604	143,638,859	162,448,949	157,386,881	185,257,965	184,819,920	201,148,742	203,666,851	181,902,894	171,047,037	132,357,331	2,011,325,278
20	Sub-Total Sales (excluding CKW)	7,982,093,134	7,110,966,045	7,609,008,318	8,220,056,535	8,364,854,855	9,740,326,682	10,141,556,489	10,459,862,429	10,050,898,600	9,480,636,953	7,745,560,901	7,331,052,480	104,238,873,421
21														
22	Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (Line 18/20)	98.22492%	97.94737%	98.11225%	98.02375%	98.11848%	98.09803%	98.17760%	98.07695%	97.97365%	98.08132%	97.79168%	98.19457%	N/A
23	True-up Calculation													
24	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$284,993,002	\$250,837,229	\$269,729,572	\$290,359,370	\$297,287,803	\$349,926,235	\$366,419,368	\$378,952,669	\$362,032,432	\$339,901,215	\$274,530,139	\$259,684,699	\$3,724,655,733
25	Fuel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period													
26	Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded This Period ⁽²⁾	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$4,316,701)	(\$51,800,406)
27	GPIF, Net of Revenue Taxes ⁽³⁾	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$547,226)	(\$6,566,718)
28	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	\$280,129,075	\$245,973,302	\$264,865,645	\$285,495,443	\$292,423,876	\$345,064,308	\$361,555,441	\$374,088,743	\$357,168,505	\$335,037,288	\$269,668,212	\$254,820,772	\$3,666,288,610
29	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$249,956,809	\$229,446,149	\$272,105,648	\$278,085,585	\$314,684,352	\$334,478,478	\$385,573,929	\$390,363,779	\$341,536,001	\$320,995,815	\$254,738,665	\$266,732,279	\$3,638,697,489
30	Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line 22)	98.22492%	97.94737%	98.11225%	98.02375%	98.11848%	98.09803%	98.17760%	98.07695%	97.97365%	98.08132%	97.79168%	98.19457%	98.07043%
31	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line 29x30x1.00085)	\$245,728,568	\$224,927,495	\$267,195,897	\$272,821,620	\$309,025,952	\$328,395,697	\$378,868,995	\$383,182,317	\$334,899,709	\$315,104,544	\$249,324,966	\$262,139,244	\$3,571,615,003
32	True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 28 - Line 31)	\$34,400,508	\$21,045,807	(\$2,330,252)	\$12,673,823	(\$16,602,076)	\$16,668,611	(\$17,313,554)	(\$9,093,574)	\$22,268,796	\$19,932,744	\$20,341,246	(\$7,318,472)	\$94,673,607
33	Interest Provision for the Month	(\$5,223)	(\$4,936)	(\$3,154)	(\$2,483)	(\$2,712)	(\$2,077)	(\$1,843)	(\$3,210)	(\$1,371)	\$853	\$4,275	\$3,940	(\$17,940)
34	True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(\$51,800,406)	(\$13,088,421)	\$12,269,151	\$14,252,445	\$31,240,486	\$18,952,399	\$39,935,634	\$26,936,938	\$22,156,854	\$48,740,980	\$72,991,277	\$97,653,498	(\$51,800,406)
35	Deferred Final True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery ⁽⁴⁾	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)
36	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period ⁽²⁾	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$4,316,701	\$51,800,406
37	End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines 32 through 36)	(\$64,209,445)	(\$38,851,875)	(\$36,868,579)	(\$19,880,539)	(\$32,768,626)	(\$11,185,391)	(\$24,184,087)	(\$28,964,170)	(\$2,380,046)	\$21,870,252	\$46,532,473	\$43,534,642	\$43,534,642

⁽¹⁾ Billed KWH includes all wholesale customers except CKW.

⁽²⁾ Prior Period 2010/2011 True-up.

⁽³⁾ Generation Performance Incentive Factor is ((\$6,571,449/12) x 99.9280%) - See Order No. PSC-11-0579-FOF-EI.

⁽⁴⁾ Deferred 2011 Final True-up.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
REVENUE/COST VARIANCE ANALYSIS

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Line No.	Revenue/Cost Final Variance Analysis	FINAL TRUE-UP	ACTUAL/ESTIMATED	Difference
1	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues			
2	Revenues	\$3,724,655,733	\$3,731,467,296	(\$6,811,562)
3	MWH	102,225,548	102,165,119	60,429
4	\$ per MWH	36.43566	36.52389	(0.08822)
5				
6	Variance due to Consumption			\$2,207,101
7	Variance due to Cost			(\$9,018,664)
8	Total Variance			(\$6,811,562)
9				
10	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs			
11	Costs	\$3,571,615,003	\$3,573,860,404	(\$2,245,401)
12	MWH	102,225,548	102,165,119	60,429
13	\$ per MWH	34.93858	34.98122	(0.04264)
14				
15	Variance due to Consumption			\$2,113,880
16	Variance due to Cost			(\$4,359,280)
17	Total Variance			(\$2,245,401)
18				
19	Total Variance			
20	Variance due to Consumption			\$93,222
21	Variance due to Cost			(\$4,659,384)
22	Total Variance			(\$4,566,163)
23	Interest			\$15,509
24	Total True-up			(\$4,550,654)
25				
26				
27	() Reflect Underrecovery			
28				
29				
30				
31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36				
37				

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF VARIANCE - ACTUAL vs. ACTUAL/ESTIMATED

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Line No.	FCR - 2012 Final True-up	FCR - 2012 Actual Estimated - Actuals Through July	Dif. FCR - 2012 Actual Estimated - Actuals Through July	% Dif. FCR - 2012 Actual Estimated - Actuals Through July	
1 Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions					
2	Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (Per A3)	\$3,318,649,862	\$3,281,527,049	\$37,122,813	1.1%
3	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs (Per A2)	\$15,760,594	\$16,594,958	(\$834,364)	(5.0%)
4	Scherer Coal Cars Depreciation & Return (Per A2)	(\$47,585)	(\$47,585)	(\$0)	0.0%
5	Fuel Cost of Power Sold (Per A6)	(\$10,394,855)	(\$14,648,284)	\$4,253,429	(29.0%)
6	Gains from Off-System Sales (Per A6)	(\$3,375,754)	(\$3,950,890)	\$575,136	(14.6%)
7	Fuel Cost of Purchased Power (Per A7)	\$197,413,526	\$204,717,856	(\$7,304,330)	(3.6%)
8	Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (Per A8)	\$98,701,388	\$133,527,387	(\$34,825,999)	(26.1%)
9	Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Per A9)	\$33,816,745	\$32,546,458	\$1,370,287	4.2%
10	Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$3,650,623,921	\$3,650,266,950	\$358,971	0.0%
11 Adjustments to Fuel Cost					
12	Sales to City of Key West (CKW)	(\$8,695,879)	(\$8,918,099)	\$222,220	(2.5%)
13	Energy Imbalance Fuel Revenues	\$1,133,556	\$1,127,991	\$5,565	0.5%
14	Inventory Adjustments	(\$7,516,849)	(\$185,596)	(\$7,331,253)	3,950.1%
15	Non Recoverable Oil/Tank Bottoms - Docket No. 13092	\$3,152,740	\$439,665	\$2,713,075	617.1%
16	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$3,638,697,489	\$3,642,730,911	(\$4,033,422)	(0.1%)
17 Jurisdictional kWh Sales					
18	Jurisdictional kWh Sales	102,225,548,143	102,165,119,159	60,428,984	0.1%
19	Sale for Resale (excluding CKW)	2,011,325,278	2,058,288,295	(46,963,017)	(2.3%)
20	Sub-Total Sales (excluding CKW)	104,236,873,421	104,223,407,454	13,466,967	0.0%
21					
22	Jurisdictional % of Total Sales (Line 18/20)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
23 True-up Calculation					
24	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$3,724,655,733	\$3,731,467,296	(\$6,811,562)	(0.2%)
25 Fuel Adjustment Revenues Not Applicable to Period					
26	Prior Period True-up (Collected)/Refunded This Period ⁽¹⁾	(\$51,800,406)	(\$51,800,406)	\$0	0.0%
27	GPIF, Net of Revenue Taxes ⁽²⁾	(\$6,566,718)	(\$6,566,718)	\$0	0.0%
28	Jurisdictional Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	\$3,666,288,610	\$3,673,100,172	(\$6,811,562)	(0.2%)
29	Adjusted Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$3,638,697,489	\$3,642,730,911	(\$4,033,422)	(0.1%)
30	Jurisdictional Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line 22)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
31	Jurisdictional Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line 29x30x1.00085)	\$3,571,615,003	\$3,573,860,404	(\$2,245,401)	(0.1%)
32	True-up Provision for the Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 28 - Line 31)	\$94,673,607	\$99,239,770	(\$4,566,163)	(4.6%)
33	Interest Provision for the Month	(\$17,940)	(\$33,449)	\$15,509	(46.4%)
34	True-up & Interest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	(\$51,800,406)	(\$51,800,406)	\$0	0.0%
35	Deferred Final True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery	(\$51,121,025)	(\$51,121,025)	\$0	0.0%
36	Prior Period True-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period⁽¹⁾	\$51,800,406	\$51,800,406	\$0	0.0%
37	End of Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines 32 through 36)	\$43,534,642	\$48,085,296	(\$4,550,654)	(9.5%)
38					

⁽¹⁾ Prior Period 2010/2011 Net True-up

⁽²⁾ Generation Performance Incentive Factor is ((\$6,571,449/12) x 99.9280%) - See Order No. PSC-11-0579-FOF-EI.

41
42
43

APPENDIX II

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

2012 FINAL TRUE UP CALCULATION

TJK-2
DOCKET NO. 130001-EI
FPL WITNESS: TERRY J. KEITH
PAGES 1-5
EXHIBIT _____
MARCH 1, 2013

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP
 FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

Line No.	2012
1	End of Period True-up for the period January through December 2012 ⁽¹⁾ (\$23,791,944)
2	Less - Estimated/Actual True-up for the same period ⁽²⁾ <u>(\$15,878,460)</u>
3	Net True-up for the period January through December 2012 <u><u>(\$7,913,484)</u></u>
4	
5	⁽¹⁾ From Page 2, Column (14), Lines 16 & 17.
6	⁽²⁾ Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-12-0664-FOF dated December 21, 2012.
7	
8	() Reflects Underrecovery
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Line No.	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Total	
1	Payments to Non-cogenerators	\$16,212,289	\$18,735,487	\$17,260,731	\$19,897,479	\$17,649,852	\$18,338,941	\$18,371,831	\$18,499,389	\$18,163,391	\$16,789,946	\$17,450,102	\$16,961,656	\$214,331,095
2	Payments to Co-generators	\$25,047,746	\$24,589,854	\$24,964,259	\$25,107,774	\$24,536,250	\$25,841,540	\$25,154,871	\$25,144,912	\$25,095,059	\$25,403,546	\$25,368,502	\$25,192,701	\$301,447,014
3	SJRPP Suspension Accrual	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$77,987	\$935,844
4	Return on SJRPP Suspension Liability	(\$444,180)	(\$444,804)	(\$445,428)	(\$446,053)	(\$446,677)	(\$447,301)	(\$447,925)	(\$448,549)	(\$449,173)	(\$449,797)	(\$450,421)	(\$451,045)	(\$5,371,351)
5	Incremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761	\$3,155,284	\$2,826,276	\$2,979,759	\$3,069,584	\$3,232,072	\$3,030,391	\$3,018,723	\$2,726,382	\$3,531,375	\$2,853,223	\$3,049,160	\$4,372,180	\$37,844,409
6	Transmission of Electricity by Others	\$2,202,085	\$2,539,767	\$2,793,846	\$213,714	\$1,382,621	(\$694,480)	\$804,439	\$497,852	\$1,246,011	\$1,690,885	\$2,199,119	\$2,320,901	\$17,196,760
7	Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales	(\$183,416)	(\$189,248)	\$25,792	(\$65,281)	(\$24,007)	(\$83,793)	(\$43,542)	(\$5,041)	(\$14,295)	(\$63,992)	(\$148,968)	(\$191,835)	(\$987,627)
8	Total (Lines 1 through 7)	\$46,067,795	\$48,135,319	\$47,656,946	\$47,855,204	\$46,408,099	\$46,063,285	\$46,936,384	\$46,492,932	\$47,650,355	\$46,301,798	\$47,545,480	\$48,282,545	\$565,396,143
9	Jurisdictional Separation Factor ⁽⁶⁾	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	N/A
10	Jurisdictional Capacity Charges	\$45,152,866	\$47,179,328	\$46,710,455	\$46,904,776	\$45,486,411	\$45,148,446	\$46,004,204	\$45,569,559	\$46,703,995	\$45,382,221	\$46,601,203	\$47,323,629	\$554,167,093
11	Nuclear Cost Recovery Costs	\$12,722,828	\$12,890,348	\$16,437,588	\$15,015,050	\$15,273,871	\$19,744,593	\$16,537,502	\$16,638,363	\$17,111,442	\$17,427,238	\$17,634,384	\$18,655,606	\$196,088,812
12	Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized for Recovery through the CCR clause	\$57,875,694	\$60,069,676	\$63,148,043	\$61,919,826	\$60,760,282	\$64,893,039	\$62,541,706	\$62,207,922	\$63,815,436	\$62,809,459	\$64,235,587	\$65,979,235	\$750,255,904
13	CCR Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$53,321,438	\$48,321,333	\$51,351,805	\$54,944,454	\$56,137,491	\$64,510,352	\$67,627,868	\$69,862,447	\$67,142,792	\$63,377,288	\$52,079,034	\$49,230,795	\$697,907,097
14	Prior Period True-up Provision	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$2,384,023	\$28,608,272
15	CCR Revenues Applicable to Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$55,705,461	\$50,705,356	\$53,735,828	\$57,328,477	\$58,521,514	\$66,894,375	\$70,011,891	\$72,246,469	\$69,526,814	\$65,761,311	\$54,463,056	\$51,614,818	\$726,515,369
16	True-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 15 - Line 12)	(\$2,170,233)	(\$9,364,320)	(\$9,412,215)	(\$4,591,349)	(\$2,238,768)	\$2,001,336	\$7,470,185	\$10,038,547	\$5,711,378	\$2,951,852	(\$9,772,530)	(\$14,364,417)	(\$23,740,535)
17	Interest Provision for Month	(\$1,148)	(\$2,541)	(\$3,190)	(\$4,173)	(\$5,574)	(\$5,365)	(\$5,591)	(\$5,713)	(\$3,658)	(\$3,488)	(\$5,707)	(\$5,260)	(\$51,409)
18	True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Month - Over/(Under) Recovery	\$28,608,272	\$24,052,868	\$12,301,984	\$502,556	(\$6,476,989)	(\$11,105,353)	(\$11,493,405)	(\$6,412,834)	\$1,235,978	\$4,559,675	\$5,124,017	(\$7,038,244)	\$28,608,272
19	Deferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)
20	Prior Period True-up Provision - Collected/(Refunded) this Month	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$2,384,023)	(\$28,608,272)
21	End of Period True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery (Sum of Lines 16 through 20)	(\$20,651,707)	(\$32,402,591)	(\$44,202,019)	(\$51,181,564)	(\$55,809,928)	(\$56,197,980)	(\$51,117,409)	(\$43,468,597)	(\$40,144,900)	(\$39,580,558)	(\$51,742,819)	(\$68,496,519)	(\$68,496,519)

⁽⁶⁾ As approved in Order No PSC-11-0579-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Line No.	CCR - Final True-up Variance	CCR - 2012 Final True-up	CCR - 2012 Actual Estimated	Dif. CCR - 2012 Actual Estimated	% Dif. CCR - 2012 Actual Estimated
1	Payments to Non-cogenerators	\$214,331,095	\$214,470,011	(\$138,916)	(0.1%)
2	Payments to Co-generators	\$301,447,014	\$297,794,075	\$3,652,939	1.2%
3	SJRPP Suspension Accrual	\$935,844	\$935,844	\$0	0.0%
4	Return on SJRPP Suspension Liability	(\$5,371,351)	(\$5,371,351)	\$0	0.0%
5	Incremental Plant Security Costs-Order No. PSC-02-1761	\$37,844,409	\$39,862,475	(\$2,018,066)	(5.1%)
6	Transmission of Electricity by Others	\$17,196,760	\$16,824,422	\$372,338	2.2%
7	Transmission Revenues from Capacity Sales	(\$987,627)	(\$1,051,995)	\$64,367	(6.1%)
8	Total (Lines 1 through 7)	\$565,396,143	\$563,463,481	\$1,932,662	0.3%
9	Jurisdictional Separation Factor ^(a)	98.01395%	98.01395%	0.00000%	(0.0%)
10	Jurisdictional Capacity Charges	\$554,167,093	\$552,272,814	\$1,894,279	0.3%
11	Nuclear Cost Recovery Costs	\$196,088,812	\$196,089,735	(\$923)	(0.0%)
12	Jurisdictional Capacity Charges Authorized for Recovery through the CCR clause	\$750,255,904	\$748,362,549	\$1,893,356	0.3%
13	CCR Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$697,907,097	\$703,932,889	(\$6,025,791)	(0.9%)
14	Prior Period True-up Provision	\$28,608,272	\$28,608,272	\$0	N/A
15	CCR Revenues Applicable to Current Period (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$726,515,369	\$732,541,161	(\$6,025,791)	(0.8%)
16	True-up Provision for Month - Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 15 - Line 12)	(\$23,740,535)	(\$15,821,388)	(\$7,919,147)	50.1%
17	Interest Provision for Month	(\$51,409)	(\$57,071)	\$5,663	(9.9%)
18	True-up & Interest Provision Beginning of Month - Over/(Under) Recovery	\$28,608,272	\$28,608,272	\$0	N/A
19	Deferred True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery	(\$44,704,575)	(\$44,704,575)	\$0	N/A
20	Prior Period True-up Provision - Collected/(Refunded) this Month	(\$28,608,272)	(\$28,608,272)	\$0	N/A
21	End of Period True-up - Over/(Under) Recovery (Sum of Lines 16 through 20)	(\$68,496,519)	(\$60,583,035)	(\$7,913,484)	13.1%

^(a) As Approved in Order No. PSC-11-0579-FOF-EI.

Columns and Rows may not add due to rounding.

Florida Power & Light Company
 Schedule A12 - Capacity Costs
 Page 1 of 2

For the Month of **Dec-12**

Contract	Capacity MW	Term Start	Term End	Contract Type	
Cedar Bay	250	1/25/1994	12/31/2024	QF	
Indiantown	330	12/22/1995	12/1/2025	QF	
Broward North - 1991 Agreement	11	1/1/1993	12/31/2026	QF	
Broward South - 1991 Agreement	3.5	1/1/1993	12/31/2026	QF	
SWAPC	40	1/1/2012	12/31/2032	QF	SUBJECT TO ANNUAL NOTIFICATION - MW

QF = Qualifying Facility

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Year-to-date
Cedar Bay	12,182,233	11,771,326	12,009,522	12,219,024	11,647,500	12,966,537	12,279,868	12,228,668	12,178,815	12,256,130	12,417,890	12,259,274	146,416,787
ICL	11,453,088	11,406,103	11,542,312	11,476,325	11,476,325	11,462,578	11,462,578	11,503,818	11,503,818	11,734,991	11,538,187	11,521,003	138,081,127
BN-NEG '91	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	310,750	3,729,000
BS-NEG '91	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	98,875	1,186,500
SWAPC	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	1,002,800	12,033,600
Total	25,047,746	24,589,854	24,964,259	25,107,774	24,536,250	25,841,540	25,154,871	25,144,912	25,095,059	25,403,546	25,368,502	25,192,701	301,447,014

Florida Power & Light Company
 Schedule A12 - Capacity Costs
 Page 2 of 2

For the Month of Dec-12

Contract	Counterparty	Identification	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date
1	Oleander Power Project L.P.	Other Entity	June 1, 2002	September 30, 2012
2	Southern Co. - UPS Scherer	Other Entity	June, 2010	December 31, 2015
3	Southern Co. - UPS Harris	Other Entity	June, 2010	December 31, 2015
4	Southern Co. - UPS Franklin	Other Entity	June, 2010	December 31, 2015
5	JEA - SJRPP	Other Entity	April, 1982	September 30, 2021
6	DeSoto	Other Entity	January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012
7	Tampa Electric Company	Other Entity	January 1, 2012	December 31, 2012
8	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Other Entity	April 1, 2012	September 30, 2012
9	Other Short Term PPA's	Other Entity	Various	Various

2012 Capacity in MW

Contract	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	155	-	-	-
2	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163	163
3	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
4	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190
5	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375
6	305	305	305	305	305	305	305	305	305	305	305	305
7	75	25	25	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	75	75
8				150	150	150	150	150	150	-		
9												
Total	1,863	1,813	1,813	2,063	2,063	2,063	2,063	2,063	2,063	1,758	1,708	1,708

2012 Capacity in Dollars

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Total	16,212,289	18,528,445	18,373,623	18,718,337	17,649,852	18,338,941	18,371,831	18,772,681	18,163,391	16,789,946	17,450,102	16,961,656

Year-to-date Short Term Capacity Payments 214,331,065

Contract	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												

True ups	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												