Eric Fryson

From:

Kelly, Tamela D < Tamela. Kelly@centurylink.com>

Sent:

Monday, March 18, 2013 2:53 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Masterton, Susan S

Subject:

000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt - February 2013

Attachments:

000121B-TP, CenturyLink's RCA Rpt-February 2013.pdf

Filed on Behalf of:

Susan S. Masterton

Senior Corporate Counsel

Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

315 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32301

Telephone: 850/599-1560

Fax: 850/224-0794

Email: susan.masterton@centurylink.com

Docket No. 000121B-TP

Title of filing: CenturyLink's RCA Rpt. - February 2013

Filed on behalf of: Embarg Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Number Pages: 5 pages

Description: CenturyLink's Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Rpt - February 2012

Tamela Kelly

Regulatory/Government Affairs

CenturyLink

Voice: 850.599.1029 | Fax: 850.224.0794 | Email: tamela.kelly@centurylink.com



March 18, 2013

Ms. Ann Cole Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 000121B-TP

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Embarq Florida, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink is CenturyLink's February 2013 Root Cause Analysis (RCA) report. This report is being provided as required by Order Number PSC-03-0176-CO-TP in Docket 000121B-TP. This order required that any failure in three consecutive months to meet any performance for a given level of disaggregation shall require a RCA by CenturyLink, which shall then be published on a monthly basis. This report is for results for the period of October 2012 through December 2012 as published in the November, December 2012 and January 2013 reports.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

/s/ Susan S. Masterton
Susan S. Masterton

Enclosures

SUSAN S. MASTERTON Senior Corporate Counsel

315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32031

Tel: (850) 599-1560 Fax: (850) 224-0794 susan.masterton@centurylink.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
01352 MAR 18 º

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to all known parties of record this 18th day of March, 2013.

Adam Teitzman
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Cable Telecommunications Assoc., Inc. David A. Konuch 246 E. 6th Avenue, Suite 100 Tallahassee, FL 32303 dkonuch@fcta.com

Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
Ms. Carolyn Ridley
Time Warner Telecom
233 Bramerton Court
Franklin, TN 37069-4002
carolyn.ridley@twtelecom.com

Gunster Law Firm Matthew J. Feil 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804 mfeil@gunster.com

AT&T Florida/TCG South Florida, Inc.

E. Edenfield/T. Hatch c/o Mr. Gregory Follensbee ** 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1561 greg.follensbee@att.com

Covad Communications Company

Ms. Lael Atkinson 7000 North MoPac Expressway, Floor 2 Austin, TX 78731-3045 latkinson@covad.com

> /s/ Susan S. Masterton Susan S. Masterton Senior Counsel

^{**} Requested RCA report not be sent via email.
ATT will access from FPSC website if needed.



Feb 2013 Root Cause Analysis Report (reflects Dec 2012 data, published Jan 20, 2012) Florida Public Service Commission

Background

If there is non-compliance at the aggregate level in three consecutive months for a given level of disaggregation, CenturyLink shall provide a report of root cause analysis on a monthly basis. CenturyLink's root cause analysis shall include a plan for corrective action with key activities and anticipated completion dates for implementation.

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.01: All Electronic - Residential POTS							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010			Ongoing	The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.		

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.02: All Electronic - Business POTS							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.		

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval								
Submeasure 02.01.11: All Electronic - UNE Loops Non-designed Description of Issue Start Projected Estimated End Improvement Plan								
Description of Issue	Date	Improvement		Date	improvement i un			
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010				The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.			

01352 MAR 18 2



Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.01.16: All Electronic - LNP							
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan		
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010			Ongoing	The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.		

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval								
Submeasure 02.03.02: Electronic/Manual Mix - Business POTS								
Description of Issue	Start	Projected	Estimated	End	Improvement Plan			
	Date	Improvement	Impact	Date				
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm	1Q2010			Ongoing	The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to			
Order Commit the orders within average time					accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.			
limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as								
compared to IRES benchmarks.								

Measure 2: Average FOC Notice Interval Submeasure 02.03.16: Electronic/Manual Mix - LNP					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not Firm Order Commit the orders within average time limitations because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks.	1Q2010			Ongoing	The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 2 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.

Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval Submeasure 03.03.02.01: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - Resale Orders								
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan			
On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide within time limitations a rejected notice. This is because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. There is a number of reporting related issues that are effecting reporting but not customer service.	2Q2010				The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 3 to accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing.			



Measure 3: Average Reject Notice Interval Submeasure 03.03.02.02: Electronic/Manual Mix - Content Errors (other edits) - UNE Loops and Ports **Description of Issue** Start Projected Estimated End Improvement Plan Date Improvement Impact Date On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide 202010 Ongoing The reporting team is in the process of redesigning measure 3 to within time limitations a rejected notice. This is accommodate EASE as part of the next cookbook filing. because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. There is a number of reporting related issues that are effecting reporting but not customer service.

Measure 18: Average Completion Notice Interval Submeasure 18.03: Electronic/Manual Mix					
Description of Issue	Start Date	Projected Improvement	Estimated Impact	End Date	Improvement Plan
On an aggregate level the center/system did not provide within time limitations a completion notice. This is because of the way EASE handles orders as compared to IRES benchmarks. There is a number of reporting related issues effecting reporting but not customer service.	3Q2011				Management has identified the reporting issues with this measurement and we are working towards implementation of a data fix.