BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Examination of the outage and replacement fuel/power costs associated with the CR3 steam generator replacement project, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 100437-EI

Filed: March 25, 2013

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO WHITE SPRINGS' REVISED SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 3-6)

Pursuant to Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.206, Rules 1.280 and 1.340 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Second Revised Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-13-0084-PCO-EI, issued February 13, 2013, as amended by Order No. PSC-13-0107-PCO-EI, issued March 1, 2013 (the "Order"), in this matter, Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF" or the "Company") hereby makes its objections to White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, d/b/a PCS Phosphate's ("White Springs") Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 3-6) and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

PEF generally objects to the time and place of production requirement in White Springs' Second Set of Interrogatories. If any interrogatory is to be answered through production of documents pursuant to Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340(c), PEF will make all responsive documents available for inspection and copying at the offices of Progress Energy Florida, Inc., 106 E. College Ave., Tallahassee, Florida, 32301 at a mutually-convenient time, or will produce the documents in some other manner or at some other place that is mutually convenient to both PEF and White Springs for purposes of inspection, copying, or handling of the responsive documents.

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in White Springs' Second Set of Interrogatories:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

PEF generally objects to White Springs' interrogatories to the extent that they call for information or documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. PEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that an interrogatory calls for the production of privileged or protected documents or information.

Further, in certain circumstances, PEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain interrogatories to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, PEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law. PEF hereby asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

PEF also generally objects to White Springs' Second Set of Interrogatories to the extent that it calls for the production of "all" documents or information of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. PEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents and information when no objection has been asserted, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" documents and information. In addition, PEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to White Springs interrogatories if PEF cannot produce documents immediately due to their magnitude and the work required aggregating them, or if PEF later discovers additional responsive documents in the course of this proceeding.

In addition, PEF objects to White Springs' Definitions, in particular, White Springs' Definitions Numbers 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, to the extent they seek to encompass documents or information from persons or entities other than PEF who are not parties to this Docket, who are not otherwise subject to discovery under the applicable rules and law, and to the extent these definitions request documents outside of PEF's possession, custody, or control. Furthermore, PEF objects to any interrogatory that calls for PEF to create documents that it otherwise does not have because there is no such requirement under applicable law.

PEF further objects to White Springs' Instructions and Definitions to the extent that they seek to impose requirements on the responses to the Interrogatories beyond the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. PEF will respond to all White Springs' Interrogatories consistent with the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and not some inconsistent and additional requirement under White Springs' Instructions and Definitions.

By making these general objections at this time, PEF does not waive or relinquish its right to assert additional general and specific objections to White Springs' discovery at the time PEF's response is due under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

White Springs' Interrogatory Number 3:

SPECIFIC OBJECTION: PEF objects to this interrogatory as irrelevant to the issues in phases 2 and 3 of this docket under the Settlement and because it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving this objection, PEF will provide an appropriate response.

White Springs Interrogatory Number 6:

SPECIFIC OBJECTION: PEF objects to this interrogatory because it calls for information that is irrelevant to the issues in this proceeding and not reasonably calculated to

lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. PEF further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information conveyed or created during the course of, or in furtherance of, mediation of the issue of insurance coverage related to the NEIL Policies. Such information is protected from discovery and rendered inadmissible by the mediation privilege created by section 44.401, Florida Statutes, et seq., and inadmissible under the Florida evidence code, section 90.408, Florida Statutes, and New York law, which governed the construction and interpretation of the NEIL Policies. See N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 4547. These statutes indicate the applicable public policy protecting the content of mediation and settlement communications made in furtherance of settling claims, consistent with the Commission's policy preference for settlement. See, e.g., In re: Allied Universal Corp., Order No. PSC-04-1115-FOF-EI (Nov. 9, 2004) (noting the "Commission's longstanding commitment to the support and encouragement of negotiated settlements.").

John T. Burnett
Deputy General Counsel
Dianne M. Triplett
Associate General Counsel
PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.
Post Office Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Telephone: (727) 820-5587 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 s/ Blaise N. Gamba

James Michael Walls

Respectfully submitted,

James Michael Walls Florida Bar No. 0706242 Blaise N. Gamba Florida Bar No. 0027942

Matthew R. Bernier

Florida Bar No. 0059886 CARLTON FIELDS, P.A. Post Office Box 3239

Tampa. FL 33601-3239

Telephone: (813) 223-7000

Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic and U.S. Mail this 25th day of March, 2013.

s/ Blaise N. Gamba_

Attorney

Keino Young Theresa Lee Eng Tan Michael Lawson

Florida Public Service Commission Staff

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Phone: (850) 413-6218

Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: kyoung@psc.state.fl.us

> ltan@psc.state.fl.us mlawson@psc.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Moyle Law Firm

Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828

Email: jmoyle@moylelaw.com

118 North Gadsden Street

Fax: (850) 681-8788

Robert Scheffel Wright

John T. LaVia

c/o Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive

Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070 Fax: (850) 385-5416

Email: schef@gbwlegal.com

Randy B. Miller

White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc.

PO Box 300

White Springs, FL 32096

Email: RMiller@pscphosphate.com

(via email only)

Charles Rehwinkel Associate Counsel

Erik Sayler

Associate Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature

111 West Madison Street, Room 812

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Phone: (850) 488-9330

Email: rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew

F. Alvin Taylor

Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC

1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW

8th FL West Tower

Washington, DC 20007-5201

Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807

Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com

ataylor@bbrslaw.com

George Cavros

120 East Oakland Park Blvd., Ste. 105

Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334

Phone: (954) 563-0074

Fax: (866) 924-2824

Email: George@cavros-law.com

Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.

Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

106 East College Avenue, Ste. 800

Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740

Phone: (850) 222-8738

Facsimile: (850) 222-9768

Email: paul.lewisjr@pgnmail.com