



John T. Butler
 Assistant General Counsel – Regulatory
 Florida Power & Light Company
 700 Universe Boulevard
 Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
 (561) 304-5639
 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)
John.Butler@fpl.com

April 1, 2013

RECEIVED-FPSC
 13 APR - 1 AM 11:53
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

-VIA HAND DELIVERY -

Ms. Ann Cole
 Commission Clerk
 Florida Public Service Commission
 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 130007-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

I am enclosing for filing in the above docket the original and seven (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's ("FPL's") Petition for Approval of Environmental Cost Recovery True-Up for the Period Ending December 2012, together with a CD containing the electronic version of same.

Also enclosed for filing are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the prefiled testimony and documents of FPL witness Terry J. Keith and FPL's Supplemental CAIR/MATS/CAVR Filing, which is identified as Exhibit RRL-1 and will be sponsored by FPL witness Randall R. LaBauve.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at 561-304-5639.

COM S (testimony only)
 AFD |
 APA |
 ECO |
 ENG S+d
 GCL |
 IDM |
 TEL |
 CLK I-Ct Rep (testimony only)
 Enclosure

Sincerely,

John T. Butler

cc: Counsel for Parties of Record (w/encl.)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 01581 APR-1 2013
 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental Cost)
Recovery Clause)

Docket No. 130007-EI
Filed: April 1, 2013

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY
TRUE-UP FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 2012**

Florida Power & Light Company (“FPL”) hereby petitions this Commission for approval of FPL’s actual End-of-Period Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (“ECRC”) true-up over-recovery amount of \$1,235,370 for the period January 2012 through December 2012 and an over-recovery of \$1,227,750 as the adjusted net true-up amount for the same period. In support of this petition, FPL incorporates the prepared written testimony and exhibits of FPL witness Terry J. Keith.

1. The actual End-of-Period ECRC true-up over-recovery of \$1,235,370 for the period January 2012 through December 2012 was calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in Schedule A-2 for the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause, attached to Order No. 10093 dated June 19, 1981. This calculation and the supporting documentation are contained in the prepared testimony and exhibit of FPL witness Terry J. Keith, which is being filed together with this Petition and incorporated herein.

2. In Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI, dated November 16, 2012, the Commission approved an over-recovery of \$7,620 as the actual/estimated ECRC true-up for the period January 2012 through December 2012.

3. The adjusted net true-up for the period January 2012 through December 2012 is an over-recovery of \$1,227,750.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
01581 APR-1 2013
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

4. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI, FPL is providing its current estimates of project activities and associated costs related to its Clean Air Interstate Rule (“CAIR”), Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Rule (“MATS”), and Clean Air Visibility Rule (“CAVR”)/BART Projects as Exhibit RRL-1, which is being filed together with this Petition and incorporated herein. Exhibit RRL-1 will be sponsored by FPL witness Randall R. LaBauve.

WHEREFORE, Florida Power & Light Company respectfully requests the Commission to approve an actual End-of-Period Environmental Cost Recovery true-up over-recovery amount of \$1,235,370, and an over-recovery of \$1,227,750 as the adjusted net true-up, for the period January 2012 through December 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Vice President and
General Counsel
John T. Butler, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel – Regulatory
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420
Telephone: 561-304-5639
Fax: 561-691-7135

By: 
John T. Butler
Florida Bar No. 283479

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Docket No. 130007-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by hand delivery (*) or U.S. Mail on this 1st day of April, 2013, to the following:

Charles Murphy, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

J. R Kelly, Esq
Patricia Christensen, Esq.
Charles Rehwinkel, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W Madison St. Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

James D. Beasley, Esq.
J. Jeffrey Wahlen, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen
Attorneys for Tampa Electric
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

John T. Burnett, Esq.
Dianne Triplett, Esq.
Progress Energy Service Company, LLC
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq.
Russell A. Badders, Esq.
Beggs & Lane
Attorneys for Gulf Power
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950

Jon C. Moyle, Esq.
The Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
Co-Counsel for FIPUG
118 N. Gadsden St
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Gary V. Perko, Esq.
Hopping Green & Sams
P.O Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314
Attorneys for Progress Energy Florida

James W. Brew, Esq.
F. Alvin Taylor, Esq.
Attorneys for White Springs
Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007

By:



John T. Butler
Fla. Bar No. 283479

**BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**DOCKET NO. 130007-EI
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

APRIL 1, 2013

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY

**FINAL TRUE-UP
JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012**

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

TERRY J. KEITH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04581 APR-1 2013

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF TERRY J. KEITH

DOCKET NO. 130007-EI

APRIL 1, 2013

Q. Please state your name and address.

A. My name is Terry J. Keith, and my business address is 9250 West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida, 33174.

Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as Director, Cost Recovery Clauses in the Regulatory & State Governmental Affairs Department.

Q. Have you previously testified in this or predecessor dockets?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission review and approval the Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause true-up costs associated with FPL environmental compliance activities for the period January 2012 through December 2012.

Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction, supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?

A. Yes, I have. My Exhibit TJK-1 contained in Appendix I consists of nine forms.

- 1 • Form 42-1A reflects the final true-up for the period January 2012
2 through December 2012.
- 3 • Form 42-2A consists of the final true-up calculation for the period.
- 4 • Form 42-3A consists of the calculation of the interest provision for the
5 period.
- 6 • Form 42-4A reflects the calculation of variances between actual and
7 actual/estimated costs for O&M Activities.
- 8 • Form 42-5A presents a summary of actual monthly costs for the
9 period for O&M Activities.
- 10 • Form 42-6A reflects the calculation of variances between actual and
11 actual/estimated costs for Capital Investment Projects.
- 12 • Form 42-7A presents a summary of actual monthly costs for the
13 period for Capital Investment Projects.
- 14 • Form 42-8A consists of the calculation of depreciation expense and
15 return on capital investment. Pages 39 through 42 provide the
16 beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production
17 plant name, unit or plant account and applicable depreciation rate or
18 amortization period for each Capital Investment Project.
- 19 • Form 42-9A presents the capital structure, components and cost rates
20 relied upon to calculate the revenue requirement rate of return applied
21 to capital investments and working capital amounts included for
22 recovery through the ECRC for the period.

23

1 **Q. What is the source of the data that you present by way of testimony**
2 **or exhibits in this proceeding?**

3 A. Unless otherwise indicated, the data are taken from the books and
4 records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular course of
5 FPL's business in accordance with generally accepted accounting
6 principles and practices, and with the provisions of the Uniform System of
7 Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.

8 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Net True-up Amount.**

9 A. Form 42-1A, entitled "Calculation of the Final True-up" shows the
10 calculation of the Net True-Up for the period January 2012 through
11 December 2012, an over-recovery of \$1,227,750, which FPL is requesting
12 to be included in the calculation of the ECR factors for the January 2014
13 through December 2014 period.

14
15 The actual End-of-Period over-recovery for the period January 2012
16 through December 2012 of \$1,235,370 (shown on Form 42-1A, Line 3)
17 minus the actual/estimated End-of-Period over-recovery for the same
18 period of \$7,620 (shown on Form 42-1A, Line 6) results in the Net True-
19 Up over-recovery for the period January 2012 through December 2012
20 (shown on Form 42-1A, Line 7) of \$1,227,750.

21 **Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the calculation of the End-of-**
22 **Period true-up?**

23 A. Yes. Form 42-2A, entitled "Calculation of Final True-up Amount," shows
24 the calculation of the Environmental End-of-Period true-up for the period

1 January 2012 through December 2012. The End -of-Period true-up shown
2 on Form 42-2A, lines 5 plus 6 is an over-recovery of \$1,235,370.
3 Additionally, Form 42-3A shows the calculation of the Interest Provision of
4 \$10,162, which is applicable to the End-of-Period true-up over-recovery of
5 \$1,225,208.

6 **Q. Is the true-up calculation consistent with the true-up methodology**
7 **used for the other Commission cost recovery clauses?**

8 A. Yes, it is. The calculation of the true-up amount follows the procedures
9 established by the Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule A-2
10 "Calculation of the True-Up and Interest Provisions" for the Fuel Cost
11 Recovery Clause.

12 **Q. Are all costs listed in Forms 42-4A through 42-8A attributable to**
13 **Environmental Compliance Projects approved by the Commission?**

14 A. Yes, they are.

15 **Q. How did actual expenditures for January 2012 through December**
16 **2012 compare with FPL's actual/estimated projections as presented**
17 **in previous testimony and exhibits?**

18 A. Form 42-4A shows that total O&M project costs were \$111,888, or 0.4%
19 lower than projected and Form 42-6A shows that total capital investment
20 project costs were \$887,539 or 0.5% lower than projected. Individual
21 project variances are provided on Forms 42-4A and 42-6A. Return on
22 Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes for each capital project for
23 the actual period January 2012 through December 2012 are provided on
24 Form 42-8A, pages 12 through 42.

1 **Q. Please explain the reasons for the significant variances in O&M**
2 **Projects and Capital Investment Projects.**

3 A. The variances in FPL's 2012 O&M expenses and capital expenditures
4 primarily relate to the following projects:

5

6 O&M Variance Explanations

7 **Project 3a. Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (CEMS)**

8 Project expenditures were \$98,963 or 16.3% higher than previously
9 projected. The variance was primarily due to the following reasons:

- 10 • A new purchase order written for a chemical vendor was
11 assigned to the wrong account. This resulted in an additional
12 \$157,628 being charged to the CEMS project in error. The
13 correction reversing the charges to the CEMS account was
14 made in February 2013.
- 15 • Costs associated with CEMS routine maintenance were lower
16 than projected at the Manatee, Putnam, Port Everglades,
17 Sanford, and Ft. Lauderdale plants as a result of lower than
18 expected operation of these facilities. This decrease was
19 partially offset by higher than expected costs at Manatee Unit 1
20 due to air conditioning unit replacements on the unit's CEMS
21 shelter and the critical orifice replacement on the new dilution
22 probe along with associated recertification tests required by
23 change-out of CEMS parts.

1 **Project 5a. Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage**
2 **Tanks**

3 Project expenditures were \$291,685 or 16.9% higher than previously
4 projected. The variance was primarily due to the following reasons:

- 5 • In FPL's compliance plan for the Clean Air Visibility Rule at its
6 affected oil steam generating units, the Florida Department of
7 Environmental Protection (FDEP) accepted FPL's proposal to
8 limit fuel oil sulfur for the Manatee Plant to 0.7% in-lieu of
9 installation of additional controls by December 31, 2013. The
10 Manatee Plant permit was modified by the FDEP for this
11 purpose. In order to change to a lower sulfur content oil and be
12 ready for compliance with the 2013 deadline, the Manatee Fuel
13 Terminal TMT-1271A tank was emptied of the higher sulfur
14 content oil and the bottom sludge removed. This tank was
15 scheduled for a required internal inspection by 2016. The
16 internal inspection requires that the tank be emptied which is
17 approximately 70% of the inspection costs. Therefore, FPL
18 elected to accelerate the API-653 (American Petroleum
19 Institute) internal tank inspection on this tank into September
20 2012 which eliminates the need to empty and inspect the tank
21 in 2016. Associated costs of \$408,000 were not forecasted to
22 occur in 2012.
- 23 • Storage tanks have a cathodic protection system to mitigate

1 underside corrosion of the tank's steel bottom plate. Testing of
2 the system in July 2012 indicated that the anodes were
3 depleted and needed replacement at both the Martin Fuel
4 Terminal (TMR) and the Manatee Fuel Terminal (TMT).
5 Associated costs of \$229,000 were not forecasted to occur in
6 2012.

- 7 • Tanks Martin Fuel Terminal-1272 and Martin Fuel Terminal-
8 1271B were scheduled for their API-653 Internal Inspection in
9 2012. The jobs were bid together and completed at a savings
10 of \$346,000.

11 **Project 8a. Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment**

12 Project expenditures were \$73,801 or 18.3% higher than previously
13 projected. The variance was due to higher than expected spill
14 response equipment maintenance and repair at FPL power plants and
15 fuel terminal locations, which were partially offset by lower than
16 estimated training costs and response plan update costs.

17
18 Planned monthly inspections of the FPL spill response equipment
19 identified that additional unanticipated expenditures would be required
20 as specified under OPA-90 for spill response equipment and repair
21 that included: restocking of clean-up materials from response to spills;
22 replacement of degraded containment boom; repairs to oil spill
23 response boats, motors and pumps; and replacement of equipment

1 storage containers, at a cost of \$114,000 in 2012. Required
2 HAZWOPER, ICS and Corporate Response Team annual drill training
3 was completed in 2012 as planned at a savings of \$20,000.

4
5 Corporate Response Plan updates were completed in 2012 and used
6 during the Corporate Response Team annual drill noted above.
7 These plan updates were completed at a savings of \$20,000 below
8 the estimate.

9 **Project 13. RCRA Corrective Action**

10 Project expenditures were \$24,000 or 100% lower than previously
11 projected. The variance was due to delays in receiving FDEP
12 approval of the report submitted under the Amended Agreement and
13 Consent Order ("AAACO"; OCG file # 05-0242 and 99-2924). The
14 work plan has been deferred until approval is received. Under the
15 AAACO, FPL is currently required to achieve "no-further action with
16 controls" or "no-further action without controls" for waste cleanup and
17 petroleum contaminated sites at Port St. Lucie (PSL). Additional terms
18 of the AAACO required the submittal of a report summarizing the
19 sites, which was provided prior to 2012. Through 2012, the request for
20 "no further action with controls" for two of the contaminated sites at
21 PSL is awaiting FDEP comment or approval. No charges were
22 incurred during this waiting period.

23

1 **Project 17a. Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste**

2 Project expenditures were \$26,595 or 16.5% lower than previously
3 projected. The decrease was primarily due to lower than projected oil-
4 fired operation at the Martin site with associated lower production of
5 ash from oil combustion, which resulted from lower than projected
6 natural gas prices. The decrease was partially offset by additional
7 work done at the Turkey Point site to completely remove all ash in
8 order to prepare the basin for liner replacement.

9 **Project 19a. Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention and**
10 **Removal – Distribution**

11 Project expenditures were \$264,941 or 17.1% higher than previously
12 projected. The variance was primarily due to an increase in
13 transformer leak repair and regasketing work. The increase was due
14 to an improvement in the repair process that resulted in an increase in
15 the amount of substation equipment that FPL was able to repair
16 and/or regasket in the period.

17 **Project 19b. Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention and**
18 **Removal – Transmission**

19 Project expenditures were \$162,667 or 16.9% higher than previously
20 projected. The variance was primarily due to the same process
21 improvement described above for Project 19a.

22 **Project 22. Pipeline Integrity Management**

23 Project expenditures were \$150,715 or 35.1% lower than previously

1 projected. The variance was primarily due to the following:

- 2 • Martin Terminal-30 pipeline remediation project executed
3 inspections and repairs at six locations in 2012. Utilizing
4 available in-house operating contractors allowed FPL to
5 conduct this work more efficiently resulting in a savings of
6 \$49,300 in 2012.
- 7 • Manatee Terminal-16 pipeline depth of cover projects were
8 delayed due to permitting constraints and weather conditions
9 that prohibited the ability to conduct the work in 2012 as
10 planned. These delays resulted in deferral of work that was
11 projected to cost \$101,400.

12 **Project 23. Spill Prevention, Control & Countermeasures – SPCC**
13 Project expenditures were \$64,599 or 5.7% lower than previously
14 projected. Based on the projected scope of work earlier last year, the
15 replacement/upgrade of the Sanford plant's water separator was
16 budgeted as O&M. After completion of the upgrade, a review of the actual
17 scope of work determined that the upgrade was an installation of a "filter
18 special assembly" which is a retirement unit and thus meets the
19 capitalization policy. As a result, costs were reclassified from O&M to
20 capital. This variance was partially offset by an increase in substation oil
21 diversionary structure (i.e., perimeter curbing) installation/repair work. The
22 cost increase was a result of changes to the substation maintenance
23 schedule which allowed additional oil diversionary structure installations

1 and/or repairs. Two additional vendors were employed to perform this
2 work. In addition, Manatee Terminal had unplanned repairs to the
3 secondary containment as a result of an environmental audit.

4 **Project 24. Manatee Reburn**

5 Project expenditures were \$72,996 or 6.3% lower than previously
6 projected. The mid-year forecast included the completion of maintenance
7 to Unit 1 Reburn Combustion Air Dampers, but this work was deferred to
8 2013 as a result of material delays and available contractor labor support.
9 The lower project expenditures were partially offset by the acceleration of
10 the burner igniter work which was originally planned for completion in
11 2013.

12 **Project 25. Port Everglades Electrostatic Precipitators - ESP**

13 Project expenditures were \$76,386 or 23.1% lower than previously
14 projected. The variance was primarily due to lower than projected
15 cost of natural gas displacing the originally planned oil use and
16 associated oil ash production. As a result of greater than projected
17 use of natural gas and the retirement of the fossil steam units on
18 November 30, 2012, ESP maintenance was lower than originally
19 projected.

20 **Project 28. CWA 316(b) Phase II Rule**

21 Project expenditures were \$18,766 or 26.1% higher than previously
22 projected. The variance was primarily due to an under estimate of
23 payroll expenses for the project. In addition, costs were incurred to

1 reply to an unanticipated EPA Notice of Data Availability (NODA).

2 **Project 29. Selective Catalytic Reduction Consumables (SCR)**

3 Project expenditures were \$70,213 or 14.2% higher than previously
4 projected. The variance was primarily due to the unanticipated need
5 to rent a special skyclimber/manlift required to perform the ammonia
6 grid inspections at the Manatee site. This cost was not factored into
7 the mid-year reforecast. Additionally, the use of ammonia at the
8 Manatee and Martin Plants was higher than projected due to greater
9 than projected unit operations.

10 **Project 31. CAIR Compliance**

11 Project expenditures were \$1,408,349 or 39.9% lower than previously
12 projected as a result of decreases in SCR costs at Plant Scherer and
13 an incorrect account being used for CAIR costs at Martin.

14 • Plant Scherer - The variance was primarily due to decreases in
15 labor and materials cost for the completion of the Selective
16 Catalytic Reduction (SCR), and a decrease in ammonia
17 expenses. In addition, labor and materials associated with the
18 Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) completion were lower than
19 previously projected and included decreased limestone use
20 which resulted from the 6 week extension for FGD acceptance
21 testing prior to release for full operation.

22 • Martin Plant - Upon investigation, it was identified that an
23 incorrect account on a purchase order for a new chemical

1 contractor was posting charges to the CEMS project. The
2 incorrect account that was used resulted in an under posting to
3 CAIR and an over-posting in CEMS. The correction was made
4 in February 2013.

5 • Costs associated with the Turkey Point Cooling Canal
6 Monitoring Plan were incorrectly mapped to CAIR. The
7 correction was made in February 2013.

8 **Project 33. MATS Project**

9 Project expenditures were \$230,151 or 6.9% lower than previously
10 projected. The variance was primarily due to a 50% decrease in the
11 use of Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC) at the Scherer 4 Baghouse
12 due to improvements made during the spring 2012 overhaul to the
13 PAC injection system, and as a result of decreased cost of materials
14 for the O&M project.

15 **Project 36. Low Level Waste Storage**

16 Project expenditures were projected to be \$0. A credit of \$30,376
17 was incorrectly mapped to the Low Level Waste Storage project and
18 corrected in March 2013.

19 **Project 37. DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center**

20 Project expenditures were \$100,894 or 10.3% lower than previously
21 projected. The variance was primarily due to lower than projected
22 costs associated with the installation of current transformers on solar
23 system inverters that was completed in 2012. Vendor bidding for the

1 installation and the self purchase of materials for this job resulted in a
2 significant savings than previously projected. As required for
3 Sarbanes Oxley (SOX) compliance, FPL established a Solar PV store
4 room. Material that was left over from site construction was added to
5 inventory (fuses of various sizes, spare inverter parts, replacement
6 cards used in the inverters, and spare solar panels) and erroneously
7 credited to O&M. Those charges will be credited to the capital account
8 in March 2013. Additionally, planned technical fleet team support
9 payroll and expenses were less than projected as a result of less fleet
10 support. This position remained vacant for the remainder of the year.

11 **Project 38. Space Coast Next Generation Solar Energy Center**

12 Project expenditures are \$93,870 or 32.2% lower than previously
13 projected. There was an under-run to payroll and expenses as a
14 result of fewer trips than estimated for maintenance personnel to both
15 Kennedy and Space Coast sites. Fewer trips were possible because
16 of the lower than projected forced outage rate for the sites. The
17 project for installing current transformers on solar system inverters
18 was completed in 2012 at a lower than estimated cost. Vendor
19 bidding for the installation and the self purchase of materials for this
20 job resulted in a significant savings than previously projected.
21 Planned electrical maintenance work on the inverters at the site was
22 also deferred from 2012 to 2013. This was done to allow the site to
23 resume the January through June planned maintenance schedule

1 moving forward. Additionally, planned technical fleet team support
2 payroll and expenses were less than projected as a result of lower
3 than anticipated fleet support allowing for that position to remain
4 unfilled in 2012.

5 **Project 39. Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center**

6 Project expenditures were \$1,264,265 or 35.7% higher than
7 previously projected. The variance was a result of the following
8 maintenance activities:

- 9 • Additional purchase and delivery of Heat Transfer Fluid (HTF)
10 that was required to top off the system making up for HTF
11 working fluid losses. Going forward, the budget will reflect the
12 need for one tanker (5000 gals) per year to maintain the
13 required volume.
- 14 • Clean-up cost associated with HTF Spill on Solar field loop 311
15 Heat Collecting Element (HCE) tube weld leak and additional
16 cost associated with HCE tube radiography that was performed
17 to determine tube failure mode and probability of recurrence of
18 similar conditions on other tubes.
- 19 • Replacement of solar field motor control valve actuator. The
20 new actuators have not only improved reliability but solar field
21 temperature control as well.
- 22 • Repair of pipe-penetration leak in C train steam generator

1 vessel.

- 2 • Repair of Unit 1B HTF Pump mechanical seal following earlier
3 than anticipated failure.

4 The following initiatives were implemented in 2012 to improve overall
5 plant reliability:

- 6 • installation of cold reheat steam capability
7 • installation of main steam vent pressure control
8 • main steam motor operator upgrade
9 • main steam stop check upgrade
10 • solar field motor control valve actuator upgrade
11 • addition of over pressure containment system
12 • installation of redundant transformer feed to the solar field

13 **Project 41. Manatee Temporary Heating System**

14 Project expenditures were \$33,820 or 5.4% lower than previously
15 projected. Use of the manatee temporary heating systems are required
16 when ambient water temperatures are below 65F during the November 15
17 - March 31 period when manatees are present in the area, as determined
18 through aerial surveys. Aerial survey costs for the Riviera plant were
19 lower than projected as a result of fewer than projected required aerial
20 manatee surveys. This variance was partially offset by higher than
21 projected costs for required aerial surveys at the Cape Canaveral plant,
22 which had to acquire an additional qualified manatee aerial surveyor.

1 **Project 42. Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan**

2 Project expenditures were \$416,381 or 16.2% higher than previously
3 projected. The variance was primarily due to additional sampling that was
4 required by the South Florida Water Management District, FDEP and
5 Miami-Dade County. This work was necessary to comply with the FPL
6 Turkey Point Power Plant Groundwater, Surface Water, and Ecological
7 Monitoring Plan and the Quality Assurance Project Plan. Additionally,
8 costs associated with the Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan
9 were incorrectly mapped to CAIR. The correction was made in
10 February 2013.

11 **Project 45. 800 MW Unit ESP Project**

12 Project expenditures were \$363,370 or 83.8% lower than previously
13 projected. The variance was primarily due to lower than projected labor
14 costs, lower than projected costs for replacement parts, and lower than
15 projected operation on oil. Costs for repair and replacement of major
16 components were lower than projected as a result of warranty
17 replacement by the manufacturer that was not previously planned.
18 Additionally, the amount of maintenance required was significantly
19 reduced as a result of lower than forecasted operation on oil due to
20 lower than anticipated natural gas prices. The lower natural gas prices
21 resulted in less run time of the equipment, resulting in a direct
22 savings. This resulted in lower than projected FPL labor costs.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Project 49. Thermal Discharge Standards

Project expenditures were \$28,205 or 16.1% lower than previously projected. The variance was primarily due to the fact that the required identification of organisms by the contractor in samples collected in December of 2012 was deferred to 2013. Deferring the identification of organisms to 2013 still complies with the permit condition requiring this activity.

Project 51. Gopher Tortoise Relocation Project

Project expenditures were \$37,500 or 100% lower than previously projected. As part of the project mid-year estimate, FPL had planned to discuss with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission the relocation process and the risk involved in gopher tortoises burrowing into the cooling pond embankments. During the second half of 2012, new draft guidelines were issued that may change how some of the relocations are conducted. FPL decided to delay planned relocations and to better understand the process prior to moving forward with the relocations. FPL bid for the relocations of the tortoises in the first quarter of 2013. The \$37,500 that was estimated for 2012 is now estimated to be spent by May 2013.

Capital Variance Explanations

Project 2. Low NOx Burner Technology

Project depreciation and return on investment were \$14,246 or 4.6% lower than previously projected. Due to retirements associated with

1 the modernization of Port Everglades Units 1 and 2 on November 30,
2 2012, which were not included in the mid-year estimate, actual
3 depreciable plant in November and December 2012 was lower than
4 estimated. Therefore, actual depreciation expense in November and
5 December 2012 was also lower than previously estimated.

6 **Project 25. Port Everglades Electrostatic Precipitator (ESP)**
7 **Technology**

8 Project depreciation and return on investment were \$82,740 or 1.0%
9 lower than previously projected. Due to retirements associated with
10 the modernization of Port Everglades Units 1 and 2 on November 30,
11 2012, which were not included in the mid-year estimate, actual
12 depreciable plant in November and December 2012 was lower than
13 estimated. Therefore, actual depreciation expense in November and
14 December 2012 was also lower than previously estimated.

15 **Project 31. CAIR Compliance**

16 Project depreciation and return on investment were \$607,897 or 1.1%
17 lower than previously projected. The variance was due to lower final
18 installation/operational testing costs for both Flue Gas Desulfurization
19 (FGD) and Selective Catalytic Reduction systems and decreased
20 contingencies for the remainder of 2012. The operational testing also
21 resulted in a one month delay in placing the FGD at Scherer Unit 4
22 into service. Therefore, actual depreciation expense from July-

1 December 2012 was also lower than previously estimated since
2 depreciation expense is a product of the plant balance.

3 **Project 39. Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center**

4 Project depreciation and return on investment were \$53,393 or 0.1%
5 lower than previously projected. Costs primarily associated with the
6 reheat steam pipe, dust suppression, feed water recirculation, heat
7 transfer fluid protection and containment and auxiliary sky vents were
8 incurred later than previously expected resulting in a lower plant in
9 service balance and depreciation expense.

10 **Project 45. 800 MW Unit ESP Project**

11 Project depreciation and return on investment were \$127,977 or 2.1%
12 lower than previously projected, primarily due to the elimination of the
13 Outboard Steam Coils on Manatee Unit 2 which was included in the mid-
14 year estimate and less underground interferences than anticipated on
15 Manatee Unit 1, resulting in lower plant in service and depreciation
16 expense. These costs were partially off-set by accelerated milestone
17 payments in 2012 due to work being completed earlier than projected.

18 **Q. Please explain the nature of the account/mapping errors mentioned**
19 **in variance explanations discussed above.**

20 **A.** In July 2011, FPL implemented the SAP software system enterprise-wide.
21 As a result, FPL's entire account structure and coding were completely
22 changed. As with any new enterprise system, time is required for
23 employees to become completely familiar with all of the internal

1 relationships within the system. However, as can be seen in some of the
2 explanations above, FPL's year-end review process is detailed enough to
3 identify these types of errors and FPL immediately processes the
4 corrections.

5 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

6 **A. Yes, it does.**

APPENDIX I

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY
COMMISSION FORMS 42-1A THROUGH 42-9A

JANUARY 2012 - DECEMBER 2012
FINAL TRUE-UP

TJK-1
DOCKET NO. 130007-EI
EXHIBIT _____
PAGES 1-43

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-1A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	2012
1. Over/(Under) Recovery for the Current Period (Form 42-2A Page 2 of 2, Line 5)	\$1,225,208
2. Interest Provision (Form 42-2A Page 2 of 2, Line 6)	\$10,162
3. Total	<u>\$1,235,370</u>
4. Actual/Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the Same Period ⁽¹⁾	(\$397)
5. Interest Provision	\$8,018
6. Total	<u>\$7,620</u>
7. Net True-Up for the period	<u>\$1,227,750</u>

⁽¹⁾ Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI dated November 16, 2012

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-2A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Total
1. ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	\$13,222,460	\$11,667,373	\$12,547,706	\$13,594,989	\$13,868,057	\$16,281,152	\$17,037,060	\$17,601,911	\$16,837,637	\$15,817,677	\$12,719,870	\$12,059,782	\$173,255,675
2. True-up Provision (Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI)	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$1,145,425	\$13,745,099
3. ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	\$14,367,885	\$12,812,798	\$13,693,131	\$14,740,414	\$15,013,482	\$17,426,577	\$18,182,485	\$18,747,336	\$17,983,062	\$16,963,102	\$13,865,295	\$13,205,207	\$187,000,774
4. Jurisdictional ECRC Costs													
a. O&M Activities (Form 42-5A, Line 9)	\$2,043,067	\$1,417,798	\$1,475,729	\$2,029,427	\$1,978,052	\$2,069,485	\$2,012,266	\$1,588,772	\$1,869,735	\$2,110,884	\$2,916,152	\$2,845,600	\$24,356,956
b. Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7A, Line 9)	\$12,824,620	\$12,993,914	\$13,029,463	\$13,040,002	\$13,065,653	\$13,269,899	\$13,516,691	\$13,574,780	\$13,799,317	\$14,074,809	\$14,111,285	\$14,118,176	\$161,418,610
c. Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs	\$14,867,687	\$14,411,703	\$14,505,191	\$15,069,429	\$15,043,705	\$15,339,384	\$15,528,957	\$15,163,552	\$15,669,052	\$16,185,693	\$17,027,437	\$16,963,777	\$185,775,566
5. Over/(Under) Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	(\$499,802)	(\$1,598,905)	(\$812,061)	(\$329,015)	(\$30,223)	\$2,087,193	\$2,653,528	\$3,583,784	\$2,314,010	\$777,409	(\$3,162,141)	(\$3,758,569)	\$1,225,208
6. Interest Provision (Form 42-3A, Line 10)	\$869	\$1,121	\$779	\$669	\$658	\$594	\$774	\$1,135	\$980	\$1,015	\$1,159	\$408	\$10,162
7. Prior Periods True-Up to be (Collected)/Refunded	\$13,745,099	\$12,100,741	\$9,357,533	\$7,400,826	\$5,927,055	\$4,752,065	\$5,694,427	\$7,203,304	\$9,642,799	\$10,812,364	\$10,445,364	\$6,138,956	\$13,745,099
a. Deferred True-Up ⁽¹⁾	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$976,912	\$0
8. True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (See Line 2)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$1,145,425)	(\$13,745,099)
9. End of Period True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	\$13,077,653	\$10,334,445	\$8,377,738	\$6,903,967	\$5,728,977	\$6,671,339	\$8,180,216	\$10,619,711	\$11,789,276	\$11,422,276	\$7,115,868	\$2,212,282	\$1,235,370
10. Adjustments to Period Total True-Up including Interest	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11. End of Period Total Net True-Up (Lines 9+10)	\$13,077,653	\$10,334,445	\$8,377,738	\$6,903,967	\$5,728,977	\$6,671,339	\$8,180,216	\$10,619,711	\$11,789,276	\$11,422,276	\$7,115,868	\$2,212,282	\$1,235,370

⁽¹⁾ From 2011 Form 42-1A, line 7

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-3A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Total
1. Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10)	\$14,722,011	\$13,077,653	\$10,334,445	\$8,377,738	\$6,903,967	\$5,728,977	\$6,671,339	\$8,180,216	\$10,619,711	\$11,789,276	\$11,422,276	\$7,115,868	N/A
2. Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)	\$13,076,784	\$10,333,323	\$8,376,959	\$6,903,299	\$5,728,319	\$6,670,746	\$8,179,443	\$10,618,576	\$11,788,296	\$11,421,260	\$7,114,709	\$2,211,873	N/A
3. Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	\$27,798,795	\$23,410,976	\$18,711,404	\$15,281,037	\$12,632,286	\$12,399,723	\$14,850,782	\$18,798,792	\$22,408,007	\$23,210,536	\$18,536,985	\$9,327,741	N/A
4. Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	\$13,899,397	\$11,705,488	\$9,355,702	\$7,640,519	\$6,316,143	\$6,199,861	\$7,425,391	\$9,399,396	\$11,204,003	\$11,605,268	\$9,268,492	\$4,663,871	N/A
5. Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month)	0.03000%	0.12000%	0.11000%	0.09000%	0.12000%	0.13000%	0.10000%	0.15000%	0.14000%	0.07000%	0.14000%	0.16000%	N/A
6. Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month)	0.12000%	0.11000%	0.09000%	0.12000%	0.13000%	0.10000%	0.15000%	0.14000%	0.07000%	0.14000%	0.16000%	0.05000%	N/A
7. Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	0.15000%	0.23000%	0.20000%	0.21000%	0.25000%	0.23000%	0.25000%	0.29000%	0.21000%	0.21000%	0.30000%	0.21000%	N/A
8. Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	0.07500%	0.11500%	0.10000%	0.10500%	0.12500%	0.11500%	0.12500%	0.14500%	0.10500%	0.10500%	0.15000%	0.10500%	N/A
9. Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.00625%	0.00958%	0.00833%	0.00875%	0.01042%	0.00958%	0.01042%	0.01208%	0.00875%	0.00875%	0.01250%	0.00875%	N/A
10. Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	\$869	\$1,121	\$779	\$869	\$658	\$594	\$774	\$1,135	\$980	\$1,015	\$1,159	\$408	\$10,162

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-4A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
VARIANCE REPORT OF O&M ACTIVITIES

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROJECT #	ECRC - 2012 Final True-up ^(a)	ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(b)	Diff. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(b)	% Diff. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(b)
1. Description of O&M Activities				
1 - Air Operating Permit Fees	\$509,063	\$506,168	\$2,895	0.6%
3a - Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems	\$705,177	\$608,214	\$98,963	16.3%
5a - Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks	\$2,017,988	\$1,728,273	\$291,685	16.9%
8a - Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment	\$476,862	\$403,061	\$73,801	18.3%
13 - RCRA (Resource Conservation & Recovery Act) Corrective Action	\$0	\$24,000	(\$24,000)	(100.0%)
14 - NPDES Permit Fees	\$75,243	\$74,325	\$918	1.2%
17a - Disposal of Non-Containerized Liquid Waste	\$134,657	\$161,252	(\$26,595)	(16.5%)
19a - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Distribution	\$1,815,431	\$1,550,490	\$264,941	17.1%
19b - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Transmission	\$1,125,005	\$962,338	\$162,667	18.9%
19c - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Costs in Base Rates	(\$560,232)	(\$560,232)	\$0	0.0%
NA - Amortization of Gains on Sales of Emissions Allowances	(\$598,888)	(\$598,910)	\$22	(0.0%)
22 - Pipeline Integrity	\$279,078	\$429,792	(\$150,715)	(35.1%)
23 - SPCC - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures	\$1,069,176	\$1,133,775	(\$64,599)	(5.7%)
24 - Manatee Reburn	\$1,085,664	\$1,158,659	(\$72,996)	(6.3%)
25 - Pt. Everglades ESP Technology	\$254,865	\$331,251	(\$76,386)	(23.1%)
27 - Lowest Quality Water Source	\$309,089	\$322,942	(\$13,853)	(4.3%)
28 - CWA 316(b) Phase II Rule	\$90,785	\$72,018	\$18,768	26.1%
29 - SCR Consumables	\$564,356	\$494,143	\$70,213	14.2%
30 - HBMP	\$36,633	\$35,653	\$980	2.7%
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Compliance	\$2,122,660	\$3,531,009	(\$1,408,349)	(39.9%)
32 - BART	\$15,845	\$15,900	(\$56)	(0.3%)
33 - MATS Project	\$3,109,752	\$3,339,903	(\$230,151)	(6.9%)
34 - St Lucie Cooling Water System Inspect. & Maintenance	\$9	\$0	\$9	N/A
35 - Martin Plant Drinking Water System Compliance	\$17,856	\$20,001	(\$2,145)	(10.7%)
36 - Low Level Waste Storage	(\$30,376)	\$0	(\$30,376)	N/A
37 - DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$880,203	\$981,097	(\$100,894)	(10.3%)
38 - Spacecoast Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$197,650	\$291,520	(\$93,870)	(32.2%)
39 - Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$4,803,324	\$3,539,059	\$1,264,265	35.7%
40 - Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$0	0.0%
41 - Manatee Temporary Heating System	\$596,179	\$629,999	(\$33,820)	(5.4%)
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan	\$2,981,382	\$2,665,000	\$416,381	16.2%
44 - PMR Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation	\$0	\$100	(\$100)	(100.0%)
45 - 800MW Unit ESP	\$70,134	\$433,504	(\$363,370)	(63.8%)
46 - St Lucie Cooling Water Discharge Monitoring	\$413,980	\$421,990	(\$8,030)	(1.9%)
47 - NPDES Permit Renewal Requirements	\$94,291	\$100,878	(\$6,386)	(6.3%)
48 - Industrial Boiler MACT	\$0	\$1,000	(\$1,000)	(100.0%)
49 - Thermal Discharge Standards	\$146,795	\$175,000	(\$28,205)	(16.1%)
50 - Steam Electric Effluent Guidelines Revised Rules	\$0	\$5,000	(\$5,000)	(100.0%)
51 - Gopher Tortoise Relocations	\$0	\$37,500	(\$37,500)	(100.0%)
2. Total O&M Activities	\$24,811,063	\$24,922,971	(\$111,888)	(0.4%)

^(a) The 12-Month Totals on Form 42-5A

^(b) The approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI

^(c) Column (2) - Column (3)

^(d) Column (4) / Column (3)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-4A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
 VARIANCE REPORT OF O&M ACTIVITIES

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	ECRC - 2012 Final True-up	ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated	Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated	% Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated
2. Total of O&M Activities	\$24,811,083	\$24,922,971	(\$111,888)	(0.4%)
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$12,091,860	\$13,631,132	(\$1,539,272)	(11.3%)
4a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to CP Demand	\$11,183,908	\$10,021,465	\$1,162,443	11.6%
4b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to GCP Demand	\$1,535,315	\$1,270,374	\$264,941	20.9%
7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs	\$11,859,851	\$13,369,589	(\$1,509,738)	(11.3%)
8a. Jurisdictional CP Demand Recoverable Costs	\$10,961,790	\$9,822,434	\$1,139,356	11.6%
8b. Jurisdictional GCP Demand Recoverable Costs	\$1,535,315	\$1,270,374	\$264,941	20.9%
9. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities	<u>\$24,356,956</u>	<u>\$24,462,397</u>	<u>(\$105,440)</u>	<u>(0.4%)</u>

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM 42-5A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
 O&M ACTIVITIES

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	Monthly Data												Method of Classification			
																	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount	Energy	CP Demand	GCP Demand
1. Description of O&M Activities																																
1 - Air Operating Permit Fees	\$67,322	(\$10,897)	\$64,001	\$64,001	\$63,969	\$5,921	\$42,249	\$42,558	\$42,249	\$42,249	\$42,249	\$43,260	\$509,063	\$509,063																		
3a - Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems	\$131,586	\$7,689	\$38,178	\$40,342	\$24,062	\$37,871	\$140,988	\$48,670	\$80,898	\$23,816	\$80,555	\$70,415	\$705,177	\$705,177																		
5a - Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks	\$336	(\$803)	\$200,801	\$196,834	\$603,605	\$241,999	\$1,310	\$0	\$1,885	\$408,094	\$283,073	\$80,824	\$2,017,958		\$2,017,958																	
8a - Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment	\$8,358	\$10,563	\$15,222	\$14,867	\$13,113	\$14,772	\$18,624	\$63,250	\$55,796	\$59,751	\$138,491	\$68,037	\$478,862	\$478,862																		
13 - RCRA (Resource Conservation & Recovery Act) Corrective Action	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																	
14 - NPDES Permit Fees	\$101,800	(\$15,282)	\$2,319	(\$5,342)	(\$375)	(\$8,836)	(\$159)	\$0	\$0	\$258	\$659	\$0	\$75,243		\$75,243																	
17a - Disposal of Non-Containerized Liquid Waste	\$818	\$285	\$825	\$123	\$0	\$0	\$680	\$0	\$5,174	\$21,402	\$9,054	\$98,395	\$134,657	\$134,657																		
19a - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Distribution	\$58,824	\$204,124	\$77,949	\$68,522	\$167,000	\$89,171	\$148,659	\$178,828	\$131,539	\$109,890	\$93,499	\$482,624	\$1,815,431		\$1,815,431																	
19b - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Transmission	(\$57,709)	\$109,084	\$52,676	\$142,329	\$259,885	\$53,077	\$11,490	\$101,965	\$25,390	\$248,002	\$50,060	\$128,737	\$1,125,005	\$88,539	\$1,038,468																	
19c - Substation Pollutant Discharge Prevention & Removal - Costs in Base Rates	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$48,888)	(\$580,232)	(\$21,547)	(\$258,589)	(\$280,118)																
NA - Amortization of Gains on Sales of Emissions Allowances	(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$50,223)	(\$49,953)	(\$49,909)	(\$48,898)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$598,888)	(\$598,888)																		
22 - Pipeline Integrity	\$44,859	\$0	\$16,000	\$62,908	\$823	\$8,578	\$7,400	\$5,608	\$8,318	\$52,737	\$1,998	\$73,753	\$279,078		\$279,078																	
23 - SPOC - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures	\$48,606	\$103,254	\$130,464	\$337,890	(\$246,182)	\$141,058	\$98,584	\$4,818	\$58,898	\$106,135	\$217,741	\$69,020	\$1,099,178		\$1,099,178																	
24 - Manatee Return	\$208,824	\$19,375	\$78,819	\$123,400	\$75,841	\$38,721	\$8,538	\$13,343	\$288,543	\$145,029	\$25,130	\$56,103	\$1,085,884	\$1,085,884																		
25 - Pt. Everglades ESP Technology	\$30,753	\$32,216	\$14,028	\$20,474	\$19,181	\$28,862	\$18,862	\$15,862	\$20,022	\$22,869	\$14,009	\$20,256	\$254,885	\$254,885																		
27 - Lowest Quality Water Source	\$28,392	\$26,789	\$26,784	\$24,673	\$25,202	\$25,500	\$25,397	\$26,804	\$27,064	\$25,581	\$26,992	\$24,950	\$309,089		\$309,089																	
28 - CWA 316(b) Phase II Rule	\$2,005	\$2,008	\$51,988	\$2,330	\$2,382	\$5,328	\$3,893	\$2,254	\$4,560	\$8,764	\$2,803	\$2,893	\$90,785		\$90,785																	
29 - SCR Consumables	\$79,045	\$72,868	\$70,889	\$55,881	\$47,278	\$35,791	\$28,347	\$20,743	\$43,358	\$28,248	\$41,710	\$40,402	\$584,358	\$584,358																		
30 - HBMP	\$5,645	\$1,802	\$1,802	\$1,802	\$1,802	\$3,083	\$1,802	\$2,824	\$8,167	\$3,078	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$36,833		\$36,833																	
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Compliance	\$132,628	\$86,371	\$79,553	\$87,278	\$116,848	\$118,098	\$102,893	\$211,413	\$318,825	\$182,188	\$400,582	\$305,304	\$2,122,880	\$2,122,880																		
32 - BART	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,488	\$4,520	\$4,858	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15,845		\$15,845																	
33 - MATS Project	\$311,385	\$344,830	\$80,220	\$268,199	\$364,269	\$785,084	\$108,690	\$143,145	\$183,440	\$85,068	\$242,517	\$183,017	\$3,109,752	\$3,109,752																		
34 - St Lucie Cooling Water System Inspect. & Maintenance	(\$4,893)	\$17,573	(\$1,958)	\$592	(\$18,148)	(\$841)	\$8,278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																	
35 - Martin Plant Drinking Water System Compliance	\$1,839	\$0	\$1,839	\$1,839	\$1,839	\$1,839	\$2,468	\$0	\$8	\$1,839	\$2,120	\$2,237	\$17,856		\$17,856																	
36 - Low Level Waste Storage	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$14,064)	(\$8,772)	(\$695)	(\$327)	(\$8,579)	(\$30,378)	(\$2,337)																		
37 - DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$75,888	\$59,302	\$78,589	\$62,773	\$85,161	\$82,997	\$103,571	\$77,984	\$62,843	\$69,139	\$78,128	\$86,949	\$880,203	\$880,203																		
38 - Spacecoast Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$14,119	\$18,450	\$29,818	\$14,082	\$13,872	\$8,799	\$22,412	\$13,589	\$12,468	\$24,803	\$9,934	\$17,490	\$187,850		\$187,850																	
39 - Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$398,001	\$248,855	\$240,185	\$223,898	\$370,538	\$369,843	\$374,844	\$303,474	\$516,253	\$382,536	\$395,583	\$973,233	\$4,803,324	\$4,803,324																		
40 - Greenhouse Gas Reduction Program	\$1,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$1,500																		
41 - Manatee Temporary Heating System	\$69,588	\$94,200	\$14,924	\$2,283	\$32,869	\$14,348	\$71,582	\$28,780	\$44,716	\$63,300	\$71,677	\$87,915	\$598,179	\$598,179																		
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan	\$394,488	\$108,068	\$179,844	\$337,597	\$32,267	\$44,859	\$749,268	\$399,511	(\$58,268)	\$75,432	\$758,355	(\$38,018)	\$2,981,382	\$2,981,382																		
44 - PMR Bayley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																		
45 - 800MW Unit ESP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,063	\$18,896	\$18,300	\$11,438	\$18,437	\$70,134	\$70,134																	
46 - St. Lucie Cooling Water Discharge Monitoring	\$33,329	\$0	\$41,140	\$6,898	\$29,015	\$39,718	\$38,941	\$7,899	\$117,777	\$8,527	\$4,388	\$88,329	\$413,980		\$413,980																	
47 - NPDES Permit Renewal Requirements	\$0	\$3,130	\$13,322	\$13,277	\$14,851	\$8,811	\$8,555	\$5,219	\$8,088	\$71,703	(\$58,573)	\$2,308	\$94,291		\$94,291																	
48 - Industrial Boiler MACT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																		
49 - Thermal Discharge Standards	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$123,558	\$23,238	\$148,795		\$148,795																	
50 - Steam Electric Effluent Guidelines Revised Rules	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																		
51 - Gopher Tortoise Relocations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0																		
2. Total of O&M Activities	\$2,082,800	\$1,442,358	\$1,504,122	\$2,069,007	\$2,014,078	\$2,109,343	\$2,048,888	\$1,817,189	\$1,904,758	\$2,151,412	\$2,872,590	\$2,883,731	\$24,811,083	\$12,081,860	\$11,183,908	\$1,535,315																

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-5A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
 O&M ACTIVITIES

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
2. Total of O&M Activities	\$2,082,800	\$1,442,358	\$1,504,122	\$2,069,007	\$2,014,076	\$2,109,343	\$2,049,688	\$1,617,199	\$1,904,758	\$2,151,412	\$2,972,590	\$2,893,731	\$24,811,083
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$1,378,128	\$722,273	\$586,769	\$971,343	\$757,990	\$1,080,193	\$1,241,930	\$955,027	\$976,208	\$714,901	\$1,789,882	\$917,216	\$12,091,860
4a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to CP Demand	\$669,191	\$539,303	\$862,747	\$1,054,484	\$1,081,529	\$963,322	\$684,442	\$508,687	\$820,354	\$1,349,964	\$1,112,552	\$1,537,334	\$11,183,908
4b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to GCP Demand	\$35,481	\$180,781	\$54,606	\$43,179	\$174,557	\$65,828	\$123,316	\$153,485	\$108,196	\$86,547	\$70,156	\$439,181	\$1,535,315
5. Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	
6a. Retail CP Demand Jurisdictional Factor	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	
6b. Retail GCP Demand Jurisdictional Factor	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	100.00000%	
7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs ^(a)	\$1,351,685	\$708,415	\$575,510	\$952,706	\$743,446	\$1,059,467	\$1,218,101	\$936,703	\$957,478	\$701,184	\$1,755,539	\$899,617	\$11,859,861
8a. Jurisdictional CP Demand Recoverable Costs ^(b)	\$655,900	\$528,592	\$845,613	\$1,033,541	\$1,060,049	\$944,190	\$670,848	\$498,584	\$804,061	\$1,323,153	\$1,090,456	\$1,506,802	\$10,961,790
8b. Jurisdictional GCP Demand Recoverable Costs ^(c)	\$35,481	\$180,781	\$54,606	\$43,179	\$174,557	\$65,828	\$123,316	\$153,485	\$108,196	\$86,547	\$70,156	\$439,181	\$1,535,315
9. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities ^(d)	<u>\$2,043,067</u>	<u>\$1,417,788</u>	<u>\$1,475,729</u>	<u>\$2,029,427</u>	<u>\$1,978,052</u>	<u>\$2,069,485</u>	<u>\$2,012,266</u>	<u>\$1,588,772</u>	<u>\$1,869,735</u>	<u>\$2,110,884</u>	<u>\$2,916,152</u>	<u>\$2,845,600</u>	<u>\$24,356,956</u>

^(a) Line 3 x Line 5

^(b) Line 4a x Line 6a

^(c) Line 4b x Line 6b

^(d) Line 7 + Line 8

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-6A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
VARIANCE REPORT OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS - RECOVERABLE COSTS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
PROJECT #	ECRC - 2012 Final True-up ^(a)	ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(b)	Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(c)	% Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated ^(d)
1. Description of Investment Projects				
2 - Low NOX Burner Technology	\$292,923	\$307,169	(\$14,246)	(4.6%)
3b - Continuous Emission Monitoring	\$655,675	\$653,321	\$2,354	0.4%
4b - Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration	\$2,012	\$2,012	\$0	(0.0%)
5b - Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Tanks	\$1,046,060	\$1,041,411	\$4,649	0.4%
7 - Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Piping	\$1,539	\$1,539	\$0	0.0%
8b - Oil Spill Clean-up/Response Equipment	\$186,145	\$190,333	(\$4,188)	(2.2%)
10 - Reroute Storm Water Runoff	\$8,218	\$8,218	\$0	(0.0%)
12 - Scherer Discharge Pipeline	\$55,428	\$55,428	\$0	0.0%
20 - Wastewater/Stormwater Discharge Elimination	\$122,628	\$122,932	(\$304)	(0.2%)
NA - Amortization of Gains on Sales of Emissions Allowances	(\$143,984)	(\$143,983)	(\$1)	0.0%
21 - St. Lucie Turtle Nets	\$107,681	\$107,594	\$87	0.1%
22 - Pipeline Integrity	\$143,013	\$146,324	(\$3,311)	(2.3%)
23 - SPCC - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures	\$2,015,754	\$2,008,679	\$7,075	0.4%
24 - Manatee Reburn	\$3,280,524	\$3,280,524	\$0	0.0%
25 - Ft. Everglades ESP Technology	\$7,972,464	\$8,055,204	(\$82,740)	(1.0%)
26 - UST Remove/Replace	\$11,202	\$11,680	(\$479)	(4.1%)
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Compliance	\$54,700,681	\$55,308,578	(\$607,897)	(1.1%)
33 - MATS Project	\$12,467,346	\$12,470,431	(\$3,086)	(0.0%)
35 - Martin Plant Drinking Water System Compliance	\$25,998	\$25,998	\$0	0.0%
36 - Low Level Waste Storage	\$723,648	\$723,551	\$97	0.0%
37 - DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$17,424,564	\$17,408,852	\$15,712	0.1%
38 - Spacecoast Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$8,236,116	\$8,246,055	(\$9,939)	(0.1%)
39 - Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$47,986,509	\$48,039,902	(\$53,393)	(0.1%)
41 - Manatee Temporary Heating System	\$889,395	\$899,349	(\$9,954)	(1.1%)
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan	\$398,925	\$398,925	\$0	(0.0%)
44 - PMR Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation	\$18,934	\$18,934	(\$0)	(0.0%)
45 - 800MW Unit ESP	\$6,043,999	\$6,171,976	(\$127,977)	(2.1%)
2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$164,673,397	\$165,580,936	(\$887,539)	(0.5%)

^(a) The 12-Month Totals on Form 42-7A

^(b) The approved projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI

^(c) Column (2) - Column (3)

^(d) Column (4) / Column (3)

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-6A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
 VARIANCE REPORT OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS - RECOVERABLE COSTS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	ECRC - 2012 Final True-up	ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated	Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated	% Dif. ECRC - 2012 Actual Estimated
2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$164,673,397	\$165,560,936	(\$887,539)	(0.5%)
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$23,330,900	\$23,476,680	(\$145,781)	(0.6%)
4. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$141,342,498	\$142,084,256	(\$741,758)	(0.5%)
7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs	\$22,883,245	\$23,026,229	(\$142,984)	(0.6%)
8. Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs	\$138,535,365	\$139,262,392	(\$727,027)	(0.5%)
9. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects	<u>\$161,418,610</u>	<u>\$162,288,620</u>	<u>(\$870,010)</u>	<u>(0.5%)</u>

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-7A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS-RECOVERABLE COSTS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)														
																Monthly Data												Method of Classification	
																January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount	Energy
1. Description of Investment Projects^(a)																													
2 - Low NOX Burner Technology	\$26,488	\$26,310	\$26,151	\$25,993	\$25,835	\$25,677	\$25,518	\$25,360	\$25,202	\$25,044	\$20,057	\$15,309	\$292,923	\$292,923															
3b - Continuous Emission Monitoring	\$55,084	\$54,890	\$54,700	\$54,509	\$54,318	\$55,073	\$55,880	\$55,719	\$55,729	\$56,058	\$54,900	\$48,815	\$655,675	\$655,675															
4b - Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration	\$171	\$170	\$170	\$169	\$169	\$168	\$167	\$167	\$166	\$166	\$165	\$165	\$2,012	\$155	\$1,857														
5b - Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Tanks	\$85,192	\$85,004	\$86,959	\$88,913	\$88,720	\$88,526	\$88,332	\$88,147	\$87,962	\$87,891	\$88,792	\$83,822	\$1,048,080	\$80,466	\$965,594														
7 - Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Piping	\$131	\$130	\$130	\$129	\$129	\$128	\$128	\$127	\$127	\$126	\$126	\$125	\$1,539	\$118	\$1,420														
8b - Oil Spill Clean-up/Response Equipment	\$12,939	\$12,891	\$14,600	\$17,044	\$16,407	\$16,343	\$16,246	\$15,972	\$15,895	\$15,884	\$15,953	\$15,971	\$188,145	\$14,319	\$171,826														
10 - Reroute Storm Water Runoff	\$693	\$691	\$690	\$688	\$687	\$686	\$684	\$683	\$681	\$680	\$679	\$677	\$8,218	\$632	\$7,586														
12 - Scherer Discharge Pipeline	\$4,891	\$4,878	\$4,865	\$4,852	\$4,839	\$4,826	\$4,813	\$4,800	\$4,586	\$4,573	\$4,560	\$4,547	\$55,428	\$4,264	\$51,165														
20 - Wastewater/Stormwater Discharge Elimination	\$10,351	\$10,331	\$10,312	\$10,293	\$10,273	\$10,254	\$10,206	\$10,159	\$10,140	\$10,121	\$10,102	\$10,084	\$122,628	\$9,433	\$113,195														
NA - Amortization of Gains on Sales of Emissions Allowances	(\$14,186)	(\$13,787)	(\$13,389)	(\$12,995)	(\$12,601)	(\$12,202)	(\$11,802)	(\$11,403)	(\$11,004)	(\$10,604)	(\$10,205)	(\$9,806)	(\$143,984)	(\$143,984)															
21 - St. Lucie Turtle Nets	\$8,935	\$8,947	\$8,959	\$8,967	\$8,974	\$8,979	\$8,984	\$8,984	\$8,988	\$8,990	\$8,987	\$8,988	\$107,681	\$8,283	\$99,398														
22 - Pipeline Integrity	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,018	\$22,036	\$22,024	\$21,996	\$21,993	\$21,989	\$21,957	\$143,013	\$11,001	\$132,012														
23 - SPCC - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures	\$172,820	\$172,504	\$170,435	\$168,388	\$168,059	\$167,750	\$167,438	\$167,086	\$166,658	\$166,821	\$166,030	\$161,785	\$2,015,754	\$155,058	\$1,860,696														
24 - Manatee Reburn	\$277,360	\$276,809	\$275,634	\$274,464	\$273,924	\$273,383	\$272,843	\$272,302	\$271,762	\$271,221	\$270,681	\$270,141	\$3,280,524	\$3,280,524															
25 - Pl. Everglades ESP Technology	\$677,948	\$676,734	\$675,519	\$674,304	\$673,089	\$671,874	\$670,660	\$669,445	\$668,230	\$667,015	\$638,146	\$609,500	\$7,972,464	\$7,972,464															
26 - UST Remove/Replace	\$1,017	\$1,014	\$1,012	\$1,011	\$995	\$984	\$934	\$904	\$872	\$838	\$821	\$819	\$11,202	\$862	\$10,340														
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Compliance	\$4,223,136	\$4,220,903	\$4,219,930	\$4,225,424	\$4,243,665	\$4,424,207	\$4,583,031	\$4,801,304	\$4,830,233	\$5,048,208	\$5,042,096	\$5,038,548	\$54,700,681	\$4,207,745	\$50,492,936														
33 - MATS Project	\$1,048,623	\$1,048,772	\$1,044,974	\$1,043,288	\$1,041,583	\$1,039,926	\$1,038,272	\$1,036,494	\$1,034,640	\$1,032,786	\$1,030,931	\$1,029,077	\$12,467,348	\$959,027	\$11,508,319														
35 - Martin Plant Drinking Water System Compliance	\$2,185	\$2,181	\$2,178	\$2,175	\$2,171	\$2,168	\$2,165	\$2,162	\$2,158	\$2,155	\$2,152	\$2,148	\$25,998	\$2,000	\$23,998														
36 - Low Level Waste Storage	\$60,700	\$60,633	\$60,557	\$60,479	\$60,402	\$60,335	\$60,273	\$60,203	\$60,127	\$60,054	\$59,981	\$59,903	\$723,848	\$55,665	\$667,983														
37 - DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$1,475,800	\$1,471,480	\$1,467,240	\$1,463,953	\$1,459,920	\$1,449,912	\$1,445,979	\$1,442,718	\$1,439,103	\$1,436,762	\$1,438,253	\$1,434,463	\$17,424,564	\$1,340,351	\$16,084,213														
38 - Spacecoast Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$696,934	\$694,693	\$693,012	\$691,331	\$689,648	\$687,966	\$685,359	\$682,740	\$681,048	\$679,370	\$677,691	\$676,323	\$8,236,116	\$633,547	\$7,602,569														
39 - Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center	\$3,999,460	\$3,997,500	\$3,999,704	\$4,003,340	\$4,003,549	\$3,999,460	\$4,000,565	\$4,001,853	\$3,994,480	\$3,992,472	\$3,993,025	\$4,001,303	\$47,986,509	\$3,691,270	\$44,295,239														
41 - Manatee Temporary Heating System	\$73,821	\$73,748	\$73,671	\$73,642	\$73,627	\$73,583	\$73,499	\$73,435	\$73,372	\$73,308	\$73,244	\$80,466	\$889,395	\$68,415	\$820,980														
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan	\$33,480	\$33,437	\$33,394	\$33,351	\$33,308	\$33,265	\$33,222	\$33,179	\$33,136	\$33,093	\$33,050	\$33,007	\$398,925	\$30,687	\$368,238														
44 - PMR Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation	\$1,590	\$1,588	\$1,586	\$1,584	\$1,581	\$1,579	\$1,577	\$1,574	\$1,572	\$1,570	\$1,568	\$1,565	\$18,934		\$18,934														
45 - 800MW Unit ESP	\$147,811	\$335,646	\$379,356	\$387,848	\$409,005	\$441,823	\$532,427	\$562,765	\$599,718	\$670,249	\$754,110	\$803,242	\$6,043,999		\$6,043,999														
2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$13,083,152	\$13,255,879	\$13,292,150	\$13,302,904	\$13,329,078	\$13,537,451	\$13,789,238	\$13,848,503	\$14,077,579	\$14,358,843	\$14,395,893	\$14,402,941	\$164,873,397	\$23,330,900	\$141,342,498														

^(a) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8A, Line 9.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 CALCULATION OF THE FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT FOR THE PERIOD

FORM: 42-7A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012
 CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS-RECOVERABLE COSTS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$13,083,152	\$13,255,879	\$13,292,150	\$13,302,904	\$13,329,076	\$13,537,451	\$13,789,236	\$13,848,503	\$14,077,579	\$14,358,643	\$14,395,883	\$14,402,941	\$164,673,397
3. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$1,936,911	\$1,936,163	\$1,933,431	\$1,931,444	\$1,930,251	\$1,943,055	\$1,954,801	\$1,953,941	\$1,968,870	\$1,983,972	\$1,947,935	\$1,908,126	\$23,330,900
4. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$11,144,241	\$11,319,717	\$11,358,719	\$11,371,460	\$11,398,824	\$11,594,397	\$11,834,435	\$11,894,562	\$12,108,709	\$12,374,671	\$12,447,948	\$12,494,816	\$141,342,498
5. Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	
6. Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	
7. Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs ⁽⁶⁾	\$1,901,709	\$1,899,013	\$1,896,333	\$1,894,385	\$1,893,215	\$1,905,773	\$1,917,293	\$1,916,450	\$1,931,093	\$1,945,905	\$1,910,560	\$1,871,514	\$22,883,245
8. Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs ⁽⁶⁾	\$10,922,911	\$11,094,901	\$11,133,129	\$11,145,617	\$11,172,438	\$11,364,126	\$11,599,398	\$11,658,330	\$11,868,224	\$12,128,904	\$12,200,725	\$12,246,662	\$138,535,365
9. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects	\$12,824,620	\$12,993,914	\$13,029,463	\$13,040,002	\$13,065,653	\$13,269,899	\$13,516,691	\$13,574,780	\$13,799,317	\$14,074,809	\$14,111,285	\$14,118,176	\$161,418,610

⁽⁶⁾ Line 3 x Line 5

⁽⁶⁾ Line 4 x Line 6

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
2 - Low NOX Burner Technology														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$5,058,205)	\$0	(\$5,058,205)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$5,058,205)	\$0	(\$5,058,205)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$49,830)	(\$49,830)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$9,896,803	\$4,836,598	\$4,836,598	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$9,050,547	\$9,070,322	\$9,090,098	\$9,109,873	\$9,129,648	\$9,149,423	\$9,169,199	\$9,188,974	\$9,208,749	\$9,228,525	\$9,248,300	\$4,205,023	\$4,165,273	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	<u>\$846,256</u>	<u>\$826,481</u>	<u>\$806,705</u>	<u>\$788,930</u>	<u>\$767,155</u>	<u>\$747,380</u>	<u>\$727,604</u>	<u>\$707,829</u>	<u>\$688,054</u>	<u>\$668,278</u>	<u>\$648,503</u>	<u>\$633,575</u>	<u>\$673,325</u>	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$836,368	\$816,583	\$796,818	\$777,042	\$757,267	\$737,492	\$717,717	\$697,941	\$678,166	\$658,391	\$641,039	\$653,450	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$5,335	\$5,209	\$5,083	\$4,957	\$4,831	\$4,704	\$4,578	\$4,452	\$4,326	\$4,200	\$4,089	\$4,168	\$55,933
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$1,357	\$1,325	\$1,293	\$1,261	\$1,229	\$1,197	\$1,165	\$1,133	\$1,101	\$1,068	\$1,040	\$1,060	\$14,229
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$19,775	\$14,928	\$10,080	\$222,761
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	<u>\$26,468</u>	<u>\$26,310</u>	<u>\$26,151</u>	<u>\$25,993</u>	<u>\$25,835</u>	<u>\$25,677</u>	<u>\$25,518</u>	<u>\$25,360</u>	<u>\$25,202</u>	<u>\$25,044</u>	<u>\$20,057</u>	<u>\$15,309</u>	<u>\$202,923</u>	

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7018% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
3b - Continuous Emission Monitoring														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$875	\$115,536	(\$3,713)	(\$1,599)	\$9,609	\$118,032	(\$2,150,532)	(\$30)	(\$1,911,822)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$70,124)	(\$19,059)	\$0	(\$42,922)	\$0	(\$2,150,499)	\$0	(\$2,282,604)
d. Other		\$0	(\$319)	(\$959)	\$0	(\$0)	(\$3)	(\$145)	\$10	(\$567)	(\$0)	\$0	\$970,040	\$968,057
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$10,232,475	\$10,232,475	\$10,232,475	\$10,232,475	\$10,232,475	\$10,233,350	\$10,348,886	\$10,345,173	\$10,343,574	\$10,353,183	\$10,471,216	\$8,320,683	\$8,320,653	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$6,385,777	\$6,410,179	\$6,434,262	\$6,457,705	\$6,482,107	\$6,506,509	\$6,460,989	\$6,466,592	\$6,491,391	\$6,472,692	\$6,497,325	\$4,370,021	\$5,381,229	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	<u>\$3,846,698</u>	<u>\$3,822,296</u>	<u>\$3,798,213</u>	<u>\$3,774,770</u>	<u>\$3,750,369</u>	<u>\$3,726,841</u>	<u>\$3,887,897</u>	<u>\$3,878,581</u>	<u>\$3,852,184</u>	<u>\$3,880,491</u>	<u>\$3,973,890</u>	<u>\$3,950,662</u>	<u>\$2,959,424</u>	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$3,834,497	\$3,810,255	\$3,786,492	\$3,762,589	\$3,738,605	\$3,807,389	\$3,883,239	\$3,865,382	\$3,866,338	\$3,927,191	\$3,962,276	\$3,455,043	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$24,460	\$24,306	\$24,154	\$24,001	\$23,849	\$24,287	\$24,771	\$24,657	\$24,663	\$25,052	\$25,275	\$22,040	\$291,515
b. Debt Component (Line 5 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$6,223	\$6,183	\$6,145	\$6,106	\$6,067	\$6,179	\$6,302	\$6,273	\$6,274	\$6,373	\$6,430	\$5,607	\$74,161
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$24,402	\$24,402	\$24,402	\$24,402	\$24,403	\$24,607	\$24,807	\$24,789	\$24,791	\$24,634	\$23,185	\$21,168	\$289,999
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		<u>\$55,084</u>	<u>\$54,890</u>	<u>\$54,700</u>	<u>\$54,509</u>	<u>\$54,318</u>	<u>\$55,073</u>	<u>\$55,860</u>	<u>\$55,719</u>	<u>\$55,729</u>	<u>\$56,058</u>	<u>\$54,900</u>	<u>\$48,815</u>	<u>\$655,675</u>

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
4b - Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	\$41,612	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$28,925	\$28,995	\$29,064	\$29,134	\$29,203	\$29,273	\$29,342	\$29,412	\$29,481	\$29,551	\$29,620	\$29,690	\$29,759	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	<u>\$12,686</u>	<u>\$12,617</u>	<u>\$12,547</u>	<u>\$12,478</u>	<u>\$12,408</u>	<u>\$12,339</u>	<u>\$12,269</u>	<u>\$12,200</u>	<u>\$12,130</u>	<u>\$12,061</u>	<u>\$11,991</u>	<u>\$11,922</u>	<u>\$11,852</u>	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$12,652	\$12,582	\$12,513	\$12,443	\$12,374	\$12,304	\$12,235	\$12,165	\$12,096	\$12,026	\$11,956	\$11,887	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$81	\$80	\$80	\$79	\$79	\$78	\$78	\$78	\$77	\$77	\$76	\$76	\$939
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$21	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$19	\$19	\$239
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$70	\$834
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		<u>\$171</u>	<u>\$170</u>	<u>\$170</u>	<u>\$169</u>	<u>\$169</u>	<u>\$168</u>	<u>\$167</u>	<u>\$167</u>	<u>\$166</u>	<u>\$166</u>	<u>\$165</u>	<u>\$165</u>	<u>\$2,012</u>

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.81425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.81425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
5b - Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Tanks														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$421,985	\$329	\$41	\$185	\$30	\$1,977	\$14	(\$14,898)	(\$796,773)	\$0	(\$387,110)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$796,754)	\$0	(\$796,754)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$537,912	\$537,912
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base^(a)	\$11,726,140	\$11,726,140	\$11,726,140	\$12,148,126	\$12,148,455	\$12,148,496	\$12,148,661	\$12,148,710	\$12,150,687	\$12,150,701	\$12,135,803	\$11,339,030	\$11,339,030	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$4,001,436	\$4,024,910	\$4,048,384	\$4,072,315	\$4,096,703	\$4,121,092	\$4,145,481	\$4,169,871	\$4,194,262	\$4,218,656	\$4,243,034	\$3,470,010	\$4,031,022	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	<u>\$7,724,705</u>	<u>\$7,701,231</u>	<u>\$7,677,757</u>	<u>\$8,075,811</u>	<u>\$8,051,751</u>	<u>\$8,027,404</u>	<u>\$8,003,199</u>	<u>\$7,978,840</u>	<u>\$7,956,425</u>	<u>\$7,932,045</u>	<u>\$7,892,769</u>	<u>\$7,869,020</u>	<u>\$7,308,008</u>	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$7,712,968	\$7,689,494	\$7,876,784	\$8,063,781	\$8,039,577	\$8,015,301	\$7,991,019	\$7,967,632	\$7,944,235	\$7,912,407	\$7,880,895	\$7,588,514	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(c)}		\$49,201	\$49,051	\$50,246	\$51,439	\$51,284	\$51,130	\$50,975	\$50,826	\$50,678	\$50,473	\$50,272	\$48,407	\$603,980
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$12,517	\$12,479	\$12,782	\$13,086	\$13,047	\$13,007	\$12,968	\$12,930	\$12,892	\$12,840	\$12,789	\$12,315	\$153,651
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$23,474	\$23,474	\$23,931	\$24,389	\$24,389	\$24,389	\$24,389	\$24,392	\$24,394	\$24,378	\$23,731	\$23,100	\$288,429
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		<u>\$85,192</u>	<u>\$85,004</u>	<u>\$86,959</u>	<u>\$88,913</u>	<u>\$88,720</u>	<u>\$88,526</u>	<u>\$88,332</u>	<u>\$88,147</u>	<u>\$87,962</u>	<u>\$87,691</u>	<u>\$86,792</u>	<u>\$83,822</u>	<u>\$1,046,060</u>

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
7 - Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Piping														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)														
	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	\$31,030	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation														
	\$22,388	\$22,450	\$22,512	\$22,574	\$22,636	\$22,698	\$22,761	\$22,823	\$22,885	\$22,947	\$23,009	\$23,071	\$23,133	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing														
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)														
	\$8,642	\$8,580	\$8,518	\$8,456	\$8,394	\$8,332	\$8,269	\$8,207	\$8,145	\$8,083	\$8,021	\$7,959	\$7,897	N/A
6. Average Net Investment														
		\$8,611	\$8,549	\$8,487	\$8,425	\$8,363	\$8,301	\$8,238	\$8,176	\$8,114	\$8,052	\$7,990	\$7,928	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$55	\$55	\$54	\$54	\$53	\$53	\$53	\$52	\$52	\$51	\$51	\$51	\$633
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$14	\$14	\$14	\$14	\$14	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$161
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$62	\$745
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)														
		\$131	\$130	\$130	\$129	\$129	\$128	\$128	\$127	\$127	\$126	\$126	\$125	\$1,539

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
8b - Oil Spill Clean-up/Response Equipment														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		(\$57,638)	\$449	\$366,140	\$9,273	\$1	\$0	\$9,946	(\$38,773)	(\$2,600)	\$5,128	\$16,279	(\$13,893)	\$294,311
c. Retirements		(\$58,779)	(\$1,621)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$38,773)	(\$2,600)	\$0	\$0	(\$13,891)	(\$115,664)
d. Other		(\$285)	(\$567)	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$1,162	\$0	\$0	(\$14)	\$0	\$0	\$294
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$964,442	\$906,804	\$907,253	\$1,273,393	\$1,282,866	\$1,282,866	\$1,282,866	\$1,292,812	\$1,253,839	\$1,251,239	\$1,256,367	\$1,272,645	\$1,258,752	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$263,094	\$211,380	\$216,538	\$224,176	\$232,822	\$240,861	\$248,900	\$258,032	\$226,983	\$232,093	\$239,818	\$247,602	\$241,511	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$701,348	\$695,424	\$690,715	\$1,049,217	\$1,049,844	\$1,041,805	\$1,033,767	\$1,034,580	\$1,026,856	\$1,019,146	\$1,016,549	\$1,025,043	\$1,017,241	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$698,386	\$693,070	\$889,966	\$1,049,530	\$1,045,825	\$1,037,786	\$1,034,173	\$1,030,718	\$1,023,001	\$1,017,848	\$1,020,796	\$1,021,142	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$4,455	\$4,421	\$5,550	\$6,895	\$6,871	\$6,620	\$6,597	\$6,575	\$6,526	\$6,493	\$6,512	\$6,514	\$73,628
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$1,133	\$1,125	\$1,412	\$1,703	\$1,697	\$1,664	\$1,678	\$1,673	\$1,660	\$1,652	\$1,657	\$1,657	\$18,731
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$7,350	\$7,346	\$7,839	\$8,646	\$8,039	\$8,039	\$7,971	\$7,725	\$7,709	\$7,739	\$7,784	\$7,800	\$93,787
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$12,939	\$12,891	\$14,600	\$17,044	\$16,407	\$16,343	\$16,246	\$15,972	\$15,895	\$15,884	\$15,953	\$15,971	\$186,145

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
10 - Reroute Storm Water Runoff														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	\$117,794	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$53,226	\$53,403	\$53,579	\$53,756	\$53,933	\$54,109	\$54,286	\$54,463	\$54,639	\$54,816	\$54,993	\$55,169	\$55,346	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$64,568	\$64,391	\$64,215	\$64,038	\$63,861	\$63,684	\$63,508	\$63,331	\$63,154	\$62,978	\$62,801	\$62,624	\$62,448	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$64,480	\$64,303	\$64,126	\$63,950	\$63,773	\$63,596	\$63,419	\$63,243	\$63,066	\$62,889	\$62,713	\$62,536	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(c)}		\$411	\$410	\$409	\$408	\$407	\$406	\$405	\$403	\$402	\$401	\$400	\$399	\$4,861
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$105	\$104	\$104	\$104	\$103	\$103	\$103	\$103	\$102	\$102	\$102	\$101	\$1,237
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$177	\$2,120
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$693	\$691	\$690	\$688	\$687	\$686	\$684	\$683	\$681	\$680	\$679	\$677	\$8,218

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.81425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.81425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
12 - Scherer Discharge Pipline														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base^(a)	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	\$854,324	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$471,276	\$472,908	\$474,541	\$476,173	\$477,805	\$479,437	\$481,070	\$482,702	\$484,334	\$485,967	\$487,599	\$489,231	\$490,864	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$383,048	\$381,416	\$379,783	\$378,151	\$376,519	\$374,886	\$373,254	\$371,622	\$369,989	\$368,357	\$366,725	\$365,092	\$363,460	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$382,232	\$380,599	\$378,967	\$377,335	\$375,702	\$374,070	\$372,438	\$370,805	\$369,173	\$367,541	\$365,908	\$364,276	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$2,438	\$2,428	\$2,417	\$2,407	\$2,397	\$2,386	\$2,376	\$2,365	\$2,355	\$2,345	\$2,334	\$2,324	\$28,572
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$620	\$618	\$615	\$612	\$610	\$607	\$604	\$602	\$599	\$596	\$594	\$591	\$7,269
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$1,632	\$19,588
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(c)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$4,691	\$4,678	\$4,665	\$4,652	\$4,639	\$4,626	\$4,613	\$4,599	\$4,586	\$4,573	\$4,560	\$4,547	\$55,428

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
20 - Wastewater/Stormwater Discharge Elimination														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$26,090)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$26,090)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$26,090)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$26,090)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,235,070	\$1,208,980	\$1,208,980	\$1,208,980	\$1,208,980	\$1,208,980	\$1,208,980	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$242,830	\$245,251	\$247,672	\$250,093	\$252,513	\$254,934	\$257,355	\$233,658	\$236,022	\$238,386	\$240,751	\$243,115	\$245,479	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$992,240	\$989,819	\$987,399	\$984,978	\$982,557	\$980,136	\$977,715	\$975,323	\$972,958	\$970,594	\$968,230	\$965,865	\$963,501	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$991,030	\$988,609	\$986,188	\$983,767	\$981,346	\$978,926	\$976,519	\$974,140	\$971,776	\$969,412	\$967,048	\$964,683	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$6,322	\$6,306	\$6,291	\$6,275	\$6,260	\$6,245	\$6,229	\$6,214	\$6,199	\$6,184	\$6,169	\$6,154	\$74,848
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$1,608	\$1,604	\$1,600	\$1,596	\$1,593	\$1,589	\$1,585	\$1,581	\$1,577	\$1,573	\$1,569	\$1,565	\$19,041
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$2,421	\$2,421	\$2,421	\$2,421	\$2,421	\$2,421	\$2,393	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$2,364	\$28,739
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$10,351	\$10,331	\$10,312	\$10,293	\$10,273	\$10,254	\$10,206	\$10,159	\$10,140	\$10,121	\$10,102	\$10,084	\$122,628

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
21 - St. Lucie Turtle Nets														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		(\$650)	(\$3,483)	(\$641)	(\$2,289)	(\$569)	(\$1,906)	(\$276)	(\$683)	(\$1,500)	(\$119)	(\$35)	(\$1,353)	(\$13,505)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	\$352,942	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(\$697,407)	(\$697,528)	(\$700,481)	(\$700,593)	(\$702,352)	(\$702,392)	(\$703,789)	(\$703,515)	(\$703,669)	(\$704,840)	(\$704,229)	(\$703,736)	(\$704,559)	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$1,050,349	\$1,050,470	\$1,053,423	\$1,053,535	\$1,055,295	\$1,055,334	\$1,056,711	\$1,056,458	\$1,056,612	\$1,057,582	\$1,057,172	\$1,056,678	\$1,057,501	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$1,050,409	\$1,051,947	\$1,053,479	\$1,054,415	\$1,055,314	\$1,056,023	\$1,056,584	\$1,056,535	\$1,057,097	\$1,057,377	\$1,056,925	\$1,057,089	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$6,701	\$6,710	\$6,720	\$6,726	\$6,732	\$6,738	\$6,740	\$6,740	\$6,743	\$6,745	\$6,742	\$6,743	\$80,779
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$1,705	\$1,707	\$1,710	\$1,711	\$1,713	\$1,714	\$1,715	\$1,715	\$1,715	\$1,716	\$1,715	\$1,715	\$20,550
B. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$529	\$6,353
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$8,935	\$8,947	\$8,959	\$8,967	\$8,974	\$8,979	\$8,984	\$8,984	\$8,986	\$8,990	\$8,987	\$8,988	\$107,661

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.96% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
22 - Pipeline Integrity														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,261,238	\$3,428	\$658	\$38	\$5,773	(\$18)	(\$51)	\$2,271,069
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,261,238	\$2,264,666	\$2,265,324	\$2,265,363	\$2,271,136	\$2,271,120	\$2,271,069	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,979	\$5,939	\$9,902	\$13,867	\$17,836	\$21,811	\$25,785	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,259,260	\$2,258,728	\$2,255,422	\$2,251,496	\$2,253,299	\$2,249,309	\$2,245,284	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,129,630	\$2,258,994	\$2,257,075	\$2,253,459	\$2,252,398	\$2,251,304	\$2,247,296	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,206	\$14,410	\$14,398	\$14,375	\$14,368	\$14,361	\$14,336	\$93,453
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,833	\$3,666	\$3,663	\$3,657	\$3,655	\$3,653	\$3,647	\$23,774
B. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,979	\$3,960	\$3,964	\$3,964	\$3,969	\$3,974	\$3,974	\$25,785
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,018	\$22,036	\$22,024	\$21,996	\$21,993	\$21,989	\$21,957	\$143,013

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
23 - SPCC - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	(\$368,141)	\$59	\$415	(\$1)	\$3	\$203	(\$7,065)	\$69,102	(\$1,092,896)	\$120,334	(\$1,275,987)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$7,065)	(\$13,559)	(\$1,092,882)	\$0	(\$1,113,506)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$921,584	\$921,584
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$20,000,812	\$20,000,812	\$20,000,812	\$19,634,671	\$19,634,730	\$19,635,145	\$19,635,144	\$19,635,146	\$19,635,349	\$19,628,284	\$19,697,387	\$18,604,490	\$18,724,825	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$3,317,828	\$3,357,312	\$3,396,797	\$3,435,991	\$3,474,896	\$3,513,801	\$3,552,707	\$3,591,612	\$3,630,476	\$3,662,155	\$3,687,484	\$2,632,677	\$3,591,598	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$16,682,984	\$16,643,499	\$16,604,015	\$16,198,679	\$16,159,834	\$16,121,343	\$16,082,437	\$16,043,534	\$16,004,873	\$15,966,129	\$16,009,902	\$15,971,813	\$15,133,227	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$16,663,242	\$16,623,757	\$16,401,347	\$16,179,257	\$16,140,589	\$16,101,890	\$16,062,966	\$16,024,204	\$15,985,501	\$15,988,015	\$15,990,858	\$15,552,520	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$106,295	\$106,043	\$104,624	\$103,207	\$102,981	\$102,714	\$102,466	\$102,218	\$101,971	\$101,988	\$102,006	\$99,210	\$1,235,702
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$27,041	\$26,977	\$26,616	\$26,256	\$26,193	\$26,130	\$26,067	\$26,004	\$25,941	\$25,945	\$25,950	\$25,239	\$314,359
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$39,484	\$39,484	\$39,195	\$38,905	\$38,905	\$38,905	\$38,905	\$38,864	\$38,745	\$38,888	\$38,075	\$37,337	\$465,692
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$172,820	\$172,504	\$170,435	\$168,368	\$168,059	\$167,750	\$167,438	\$167,066	\$166,658	\$166,821	\$166,030	\$161,785	\$2,015,754

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.96% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
24 - Manatee Reburn														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	(\$578,976)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$578,976)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	(\$578,976)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$578,976)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$31,749,547	\$31,749,547	\$31,749,547	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	\$31,170,571	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$5,649,884	\$5,718,674	\$5,787,465	\$5,276,653	\$5,344,189	\$5,411,725	\$5,479,261	\$5,546,798	\$5,614,334	\$5,681,870	\$5,749,406	\$5,816,943	\$5,884,479	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$26,099,663	\$26,030,872	\$25,962,082	\$25,893,918	\$25,826,382	\$25,758,846	\$25,691,309	\$25,623,773	\$25,556,237	\$25,488,701	\$25,421,164	\$25,353,628	\$25,286,092	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$26,065,268	\$25,996,477	\$25,928,000	\$25,860,150	\$25,792,614	\$25,725,078	\$25,657,541	\$25,590,005	\$25,522,469	\$25,454,933	\$25,387,396	\$25,319,860	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$166,270	\$165,831	\$165,395	\$164,962	\$164,531	\$164,100	\$163,669	\$163,239	\$162,808	\$162,377	\$161,946	\$161,515	\$1,968,644
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$42,299	\$42,187	\$42,076	\$41,966	\$41,856	\$41,747	\$41,637	\$41,527	\$41,418	\$41,308	\$41,199	\$41,089	\$500,309
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$68,791	\$68,791	\$68,163	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$67,536	\$813,571
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$277,360	\$276,809	\$275,634	\$274,464	\$273,924	\$273,383	\$272,843	\$272,302	\$271,762	\$271,221	\$270,681	\$270,141	\$3,280,524

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
25 - Ft. Everglades ESP Technology														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$29,953,082)	\$0	(\$29,953,082)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$29,953,082)	\$0	(\$29,953,082)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)														
	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$81,901,169	\$51,948,087	\$51,948,087	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation														
	\$16,073,562	\$16,225,378	\$16,377,195	\$16,529,011	\$16,680,828	\$16,832,645	\$16,984,461	\$17,136,278	\$17,288,094	\$17,439,911	\$17,591,728	(\$12,237,303)	(\$12,141,017)	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing														
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)														
	\$65,827,608	\$65,675,791	\$65,523,975	\$65,372,158	\$65,220,341	\$65,068,525	\$64,916,708	\$64,764,892	\$64,613,075	\$64,461,258	\$64,309,442	\$64,157,390	\$64,005,104	N/A
6. Average Net Investment														
		\$65,751,700	\$65,599,883	\$65,448,066	\$65,296,250	\$65,144,433	\$64,992,617	\$64,840,800	\$64,688,983	\$64,537,167	\$64,385,350	\$64,233,533	\$64,081,716	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$419,430	\$418,462	\$417,493	\$416,525	\$415,556	\$414,588	\$413,619	\$412,651	\$411,683	\$410,714	\$409,746	\$408,777	\$4,969,686
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$106,702	\$106,455	\$106,209	\$105,963	\$105,716	\$105,470	\$105,224	\$104,977	\$104,731	\$104,485	\$104,239	\$104,000	\$1,264,275
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$151,817	\$124,051	\$86,286	\$1,738,504
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)														
		\$677,948	\$676,734	\$675,519	\$674,304	\$673,089	\$671,874	\$670,660	\$669,445	\$668,230	\$667,015	\$665,800	\$664,585	\$7,872,464

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
26 - UST Remove/Replace														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$341	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,581	\$3,581	\$3,581	\$3,581	\$4,004	\$4,004	\$0	\$0	\$22,873
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	\$115,447	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$13,336	\$13,878	\$14,080	\$14,283	\$14,485	\$18,268	\$22,051	\$25,834	\$29,618	\$33,823	\$38,029	\$38,231	\$38,433	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	<u>\$102,111</u>	<u>\$101,568</u>	<u>\$101,366</u>	<u>\$101,164</u>	<u>\$100,962</u>	<u>\$97,179</u>	<u>\$93,396</u>	<u>\$89,612</u>	<u>\$85,829</u>	<u>\$81,624</u>	<u>\$77,418</u>	<u>\$77,216</u>	<u>\$77,014</u>	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$101,840	\$101,467	\$101,265	\$101,063	\$99,070	\$95,287	\$91,504	\$87,721	\$83,726	\$79,521	\$77,317	\$77,115	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$650	\$647	\$646	\$645	\$632	\$608	\$584	\$560	\$534	\$507	\$493	\$492	\$6,997
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$165	\$165	\$164	\$164	\$161	\$155	\$148	\$142	\$138	\$129	\$125	\$125	\$1,780
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$202	\$2,424
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		<u>\$1,017</u>	<u>\$1,014</u>	<u>\$1,012</u>	<u>\$1,011</u>	<u>\$995</u>	<u>\$964</u>	<u>\$934</u>	<u>\$904</u>	<u>\$872</u>	<u>\$838</u>	<u>\$821</u>	<u>\$819</u>	<u>\$11,202</u>

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Compliance														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$11,474	\$880	\$965	\$1,759,723	\$3,030,309	\$2,041,546	\$2,272,059	\$1,143,306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,280,262
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	(\$53,464)	\$1,540,099	(\$105,678)	\$1,649,831	\$134,670,043	\$792,080	\$1,152,664	\$201,799,738	\$242,707	\$287,895	\$747,312	\$342,923,227
c. Retirements		\$0	(\$124,608)	(\$107,071)	(\$105,677)	\$0	\$0	(\$1,218)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$338,572)
d. Other		(\$38,187)	(\$54,366)	(\$24,922)	(\$461)	(\$6,215)	(\$1,464)	(\$363)	(\$158)	(\$81)	(\$13)	\$11	\$10	(\$126,209)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base^(a)														
	\$165,405,318	\$165,405,318	\$165,351,854	\$166,891,953	\$166,786,275	\$168,636,106	\$303,306,149	\$304,098,228	\$305,250,892	\$507,050,630	\$507,293,338	\$507,581,233	\$508,328,545	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation														
	\$9,183,187	\$9,504,868	\$9,685,705	\$9,915,135	\$10,171,972	\$10,530,622	\$11,051,640	\$11,709,573	\$12,371,034	\$13,252,436	\$14,352,787	\$15,453,736	\$16,555,806	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing														
	\$326,732,729	\$326,744,297	\$326,745,176	\$325,022,127	\$326,781,849	\$327,980,245	\$196,871,975	\$199,144,034	\$200,287,341	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)														
	\$482,954,860	\$482,644,746	\$482,411,325	\$481,998,945	\$483,398,152	\$486,085,728	\$489,126,484	\$491,532,690	\$493,167,199	\$493,798,194	\$492,940,551	\$492,127,497	\$491,772,739	N/A
6. Average Net Investment														
		\$482,799,803	\$482,528,036	\$482,205,135	\$482,697,548	\$484,740,940	\$487,606,106	\$490,329,587	\$492,349,945	\$493,482,697	\$493,369,373	\$492,534,024	\$491,950,118	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(c)}		\$3,079,779	\$3,078,046	\$3,075,988	\$3,079,127	\$3,092,162	\$3,110,439	\$3,127,812	\$3,140,700	\$3,147,926	\$3,147,203	\$3,141,874	\$3,138,149	\$37,359,202
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(d)(e)}		\$783,488	\$783,046	\$782,522	\$783,322	\$786,638	\$791,287	\$795,707	\$798,985	\$800,824	\$800,640	\$799,284	\$798,337	\$9,504,080
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$359,869	\$359,811	\$361,422	\$362,976	\$364,865	\$522,481	\$659,512	\$661,619	\$881,484	\$1,100,363	\$1,100,938	\$1,102,060	\$7,837,400
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)														
		\$4,223,136	\$4,220,903	\$4,219,930	\$4,225,424	\$4,243,665	\$4,424,207	\$4,583,031	\$4,601,304	\$4,830,233	\$5,048,206	\$5,042,096	\$5,038,546	\$54,700,681

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
33 - MATS Project														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$429	\$10,421	\$18,847	\$14,404	\$24,667	\$10,980	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$79,748
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)														
	\$106,879,091	\$106,879,091	\$106,879,520	\$106,889,941	\$108,908,788	\$106,923,193	\$106,947,859	\$106,958,839	\$106,958,839	\$106,958,839	\$106,958,839	\$106,958,839	\$106,958,839	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation														
	\$4,650,632	\$4,882,170	\$5,113,708	\$5,345,257	\$5,576,837	\$5,808,450	\$6,040,104	\$6,271,816	\$6,503,560	\$6,735,304	\$6,967,048	\$7,198,793	\$7,430,537	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing														
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)														
	\$102,228,459	\$101,996,921	\$101,765,812	\$101,544,684	\$101,331,952	\$101,114,742	\$100,907,755	\$100,687,023	\$100,455,279	\$100,223,535	\$99,991,791	\$99,780,047	\$99,528,303	N/A
6. Average Net Investment														
		\$102,112,690	\$101,881,366	\$101,655,248	\$101,438,318	\$101,223,347	\$101,011,249	\$100,797,389	\$100,571,151	\$100,339,407	\$100,107,663	\$99,875,919	\$99,644,175	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$651,377	\$649,901	\$648,459	\$647,075	\$645,704	\$644,351	\$642,986	\$641,543	\$640,065	\$638,587	\$637,108	\$635,630	\$7,722,785
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$165,708	\$165,333	\$164,966	\$164,614	\$164,265	\$163,921	\$163,574	\$163,207	\$162,831	\$162,455	\$162,079	\$161,703	\$1,964,656
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$231,538	\$231,538	\$231,549	\$231,579	\$231,614	\$231,654	\$231,712	\$231,744	\$231,744	\$231,744	\$231,744	\$231,744	\$2,779,905
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)														
	\$1,048,623	\$1,046,772	\$1,044,974	\$1,043,268	\$1,041,583	\$1,039,926	\$1,038,272	\$1,036,494	\$1,034,640	\$1,032,786	\$1,030,931	\$1,029,077	\$1,027,223	\$12,487,346

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
35 - Martin Plant Drinking Water System Compliance														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	\$235,391	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$13,654	\$14,066	\$14,477	\$14,889	\$15,301	\$15,713	\$16,125	\$16,537	\$16,949	\$17,361	\$17,773	\$18,185	\$18,597	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$221,738	\$221,326	\$220,914	\$220,502	\$220,090	\$219,678	\$219,266	\$218,854	\$218,442	\$218,030	\$217,618	\$217,206	\$216,794	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$221,532	\$221,120	\$220,708	\$220,296	\$219,884	\$219,472	\$219,060	\$218,648	\$218,236	\$217,824	\$217,412	\$217,000	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$1,413	\$1,411	\$1,408	\$1,405	\$1,403	\$1,400	\$1,397	\$1,395	\$1,392	\$1,390	\$1,387	\$1,384	\$16,784
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$360	\$359	\$358	\$357	\$357	\$356	\$355	\$355	\$354	\$353	\$353	\$352	\$4,270
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$412	\$4,943
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$2,185	\$2,181	\$2,178	\$2,175	\$2,171	\$2,168	\$2,165	\$2,162	\$2,158	\$2,155	\$2,152	\$2,148	\$25,998

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7018% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
36 - Low Level Waste Storage														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$1,958	\$213	(\$7)	(\$2)	\$3	\$2,175	\$1,144	\$349	\$89	\$837	\$7	(\$3)	\$8,783
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base^(a)	\$6,449,693	\$6,451,651	\$6,451,864	\$6,451,857	\$6,451,855	\$6,451,857	\$6,454,033	\$6,455,176	\$6,455,526	\$6,455,615	\$6,456,452	\$6,456,459	\$6,456,456	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$69,214	\$78,890	\$88,567	\$98,245	\$107,923	\$117,601	\$127,280	\$136,962	\$146,645	\$156,328	\$166,013	\$175,697	\$185,382	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$6,380,480	\$6,372,762	\$6,363,296	\$6,353,612	\$6,343,932	\$6,334,257	\$6,326,753	\$6,318,214	\$6,308,881	\$6,299,287	\$6,290,440	\$6,280,762	\$6,271,075	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$6,376,621	\$6,368,029	\$6,358,454	\$6,348,772	\$6,339,094	\$6,330,505	\$6,322,484	\$6,313,548	\$6,304,084	\$6,294,863	\$6,285,601	\$6,275,918	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$40,676	\$40,622	\$40,561	\$40,499	\$40,437	\$40,382	\$40,331	\$40,274	\$40,214	\$40,155	\$40,096	\$40,034	\$484,281
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(a)}		\$10,348	\$10,334	\$10,318	\$10,303	\$10,287	\$10,273	\$10,260	\$10,246	\$10,230	\$10,215	\$10,200	\$10,185	\$123,200
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$9,676	\$9,678	\$9,678	\$9,678	\$9,678	\$9,679	\$9,682	\$9,683	\$9,683	\$9,684	\$9,685	\$9,685	\$116,168
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$60,700	\$60,633	\$60,557	\$60,479	\$60,402	\$60,335	\$60,273	\$60,203	\$60,127	\$60,054	\$59,981	\$59,903	\$723,648

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
37 - DeSoto Next Generation Solar Energy Center														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$55,682	\$1,985	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$32,736)	\$0	\$0	\$24,931
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	(\$184,983)	\$63,399	\$58	(\$633,999)	(\$354)	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	\$634,047	\$3,999	(\$12,103)	(\$129,935)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$4,837)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$12,103)	(\$18,940)
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$448)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$448)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$152,746,852	\$152,746,852	\$152,561,870	\$152,625,269	\$152,625,327	\$151,991,328	\$151,990,974	\$151,990,974	\$151,990,974	\$151,990,974	\$152,625,021	\$152,629,021	\$152,616,918	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$10,999,047	\$11,422,983	\$11,846,720	\$12,270,344	\$12,694,055	\$13,116,894	\$13,533,576	\$13,955,204	\$14,377,185	\$14,799,166	\$15,222,018	\$15,645,580	\$16,056,876	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$55,682	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$57,667	\$24,931	\$20,932	\$20,932	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$141,747,806	\$141,379,551	\$140,772,817	\$140,412,592	\$139,988,940	\$138,932,101	\$138,515,065	\$138,093,437	\$137,671,456	\$137,249,475	\$137,427,934	\$137,004,373	\$136,580,973	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$141,563,078	\$141,076,184	\$140,592,705	\$140,200,766	\$139,460,520	\$138,723,583	\$138,304,251	\$137,882,446	\$137,460,466	\$137,338,705	\$137,216,153	\$136,792,673	N/A
a. Average ITC Balance		\$40,709,121	\$40,587,055	\$40,464,989	\$40,342,923	\$40,220,857	\$40,098,791	\$39,976,725	\$39,854,659	\$39,732,593	\$39,610,527	\$39,488,461	\$39,366,395	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(6)}		\$973,617	\$970,296	\$967,000	\$964,288	\$959,354	\$954,442	\$951,555	\$948,653	\$945,749	\$944,761	\$943,768	\$940,855	\$11,464,337
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(6)}		\$238,641	\$237,823	\$237,012	\$236,349	\$235,121	\$233,898	\$233,191	\$232,480	\$231,768	\$231,544	\$231,318	\$230,804	\$2,809,749
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$417,678	\$417,678	\$417,565	\$417,652	\$416,780	\$415,908	\$415,569	\$415,922	\$415,922	\$416,793	\$417,503	\$417,340	\$5,002,510
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$6,059	\$72,708
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$160,395)	(\$1,824,740)
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	\$1,475,800	\$1,471,460	\$1,467,240	\$1,463,953	\$1,456,920	\$1,449,912	\$1,445,979	\$1,442,718	\$1,439,103	\$1,438,762	\$1,438,253	\$1,434,463	\$1,424,584	

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
38 - Spacecoast Next Generation Solar Energy Center														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	(\$233)	\$0	\$0	\$75	(\$193,221)	(\$2,431)	\$157	\$192	\$0	\$0	(\$195,460)
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	(\$568)	\$4	(\$3)	\$1	(\$5)	(\$4)	(\$0)	(\$2)	(\$1)	\$2	(\$577)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$70,633,358	\$70,633,358	\$70,633,358	\$70,633,125	\$70,633,125	\$70,633,125	\$70,633,200	\$70,439,979	\$70,437,548	\$70,437,705	\$70,437,897	\$70,437,897	\$70,437,897	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$4,049,709	\$4,248,025	\$4,445,785	\$4,642,977	\$4,840,740	\$5,038,497	\$5,236,257	\$5,433,859	\$5,631,306	\$5,828,754	\$6,026,200	\$6,223,648	\$6,421,411	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$66,583,649	\$66,385,333	\$66,187,573	\$65,990,148	\$65,792,385	\$65,594,629	\$65,396,943	\$65,006,120	\$64,806,242	\$64,608,952	\$64,411,697	\$64,214,250	\$64,016,486	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$66,484,491	\$66,286,453	\$66,088,861	\$65,891,267	\$65,693,507	\$65,495,786	\$65,201,531	\$64,906,181	\$64,707,597	\$64,510,324	\$64,312,974	\$64,115,368	N/A
a. Average ITC Balance		\$17,352,939	\$17,301,750	\$17,250,581	\$17,199,372	\$17,148,183	\$17,096,994	\$17,045,805	\$16,994,616	\$16,943,427	\$16,892,238	\$16,841,049	\$16,789,860	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^(b)		\$454,191	\$452,839	\$451,480	\$450,141	\$448,791	\$447,441	\$445,475	\$443,502	\$442,147	\$440,799	\$439,452	\$438,102	\$5,354,370
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^(c)		\$111,690	\$111,357	\$111,025	\$110,693	\$110,361	\$110,029	\$109,540	\$109,050	\$108,716	\$108,385	\$108,054	\$107,722	\$1,316,622
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(d)		\$195,404	\$194,848	\$194,848	\$194,847	\$194,847	\$194,848	\$194,895	\$194,539	\$194,536	\$194,537	\$194,537	\$194,850	\$2,337,335
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$2,912	\$34,944
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$67,263)	(\$807,156)
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$696,934	\$694,693	\$693,012	\$691,331	\$689,648	\$687,966	\$685,359	\$682,740	\$681,048	\$679,370	\$677,691	\$676,323	\$8,236,116

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
39 - Martin Next Generation Solar Energy Center														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$134,405	\$993,558	\$1,553,421	\$1,275,748	\$831,230	\$456,630	\$201,306	\$7,162	\$35,852	\$2,011,415	\$780,875	\$149,342	\$8,430,943
b. Clearings to Plant		\$1,098,617	\$175,301	\$217,874	\$305,879	\$30,682	\$40,442	\$4,846,506	\$687,205	(\$58,842)	\$65,289	\$79,906	\$4,446,248	\$11,938,907
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$28,995)	\$0	(\$1,549)	\$0	(\$190,859)	\$0	(\$3,099)	\$0	(\$224,502)
d. Other		(\$9,848)	(\$547)	\$1	(\$1,380)	(\$36)	(\$1,753)	(\$2,365)	(\$50)	(\$131)	\$148	\$911	(\$20,946)	(\$35,997)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$399,543,272	\$400,641,889	\$400,817,190	\$401,034,884	\$401,340,743	\$401,371,425	\$401,411,867	\$406,258,373	\$406,945,578	\$406,888,738	\$406,954,025	\$407,033,931	\$411,480,179	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$14,329,602	\$15,450,812	\$16,582,746	\$17,715,769	\$18,848,147	\$19,953,356	\$21,085,941	\$22,223,084	\$23,371,700	\$24,330,244	\$25,479,935	\$26,627,492	\$27,763,123	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$973,287	\$283,117	\$1,274,541	\$2,736,772	\$3,908,591	\$4,739,810	\$5,196,441	\$887,065	\$486,394	\$522,246	\$2,249,105	\$2,930,420	\$534,911	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$386,186,957	\$385,474,195	\$385,508,986	\$386,057,868	\$386,401,176	\$386,157,879	\$385,522,367	\$384,922,354	\$384,060,271	\$383,080,738	\$383,723,195	\$383,336,859	\$384,251,967	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$385,830,576	\$385,491,590	\$385,783,427	\$386,229,522	\$386,279,528	\$385,840,123	\$385,222,360	\$384,491,312	\$383,570,505	\$383,401,966	\$383,530,027	\$383,794,413	N/A
a. Average ITC Balance		\$119,225,809	\$118,882,011	\$118,538,213	\$118,194,415	\$117,850,817	\$117,506,819	\$117,163,021	\$116,819,223	\$116,475,425	\$116,131,627	\$115,787,829	\$115,444,031	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$2,667,929	\$2,665,171	\$2,666,436	\$2,668,686	\$2,668,409	\$2,665,010	\$2,660,473	\$2,655,213	\$2,648,743	\$2,647,072	\$2,647,293	\$2,648,383	\$31,908,818
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$652,224	\$651,599	\$651,997	\$652,646	\$652,652	\$651,864	\$650,786	\$649,524	\$647,955	\$647,606	\$647,738	\$648,092	\$7,804,684
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$1,102,211	\$1,103,634	\$1,104,174	\$1,104,912	\$1,105,393	\$1,105,491	\$1,112,210	\$1,119,819	\$1,120,686	\$1,120,698	\$1,120,897	\$1,127,731	\$13,347,856
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$28,847	\$348,164
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$451,751)	(\$5,421,012)
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	\$3,999,460	\$3,997,500	\$3,999,704	\$4,003,340	\$4,003,549	\$3,999,460	\$4,000,565	\$4,001,653	\$3,994,480	\$3,992,472	\$3,993,025	\$4,001,303	\$4,798,509	

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0163-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
41 - Manatee Temporary Heating System														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$113	(\$2,548)	\$8,839	\$65	(\$4)	(\$5)	\$125	\$165	\$0	\$0	\$1,470,380	\$1,477,130
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$14)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$14)
d. Other		\$2,395	(\$0)	(\$32)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,383
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$8,383,225	\$8,383,225	\$8,383,338	\$8,380,790	\$8,389,829	\$8,389,894	\$8,389,890	\$8,389,885	\$8,389,810	\$8,389,975	\$8,389,975	\$8,389,975	\$9,880,356	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$156,478	\$166,906	\$174,940	\$182,939	\$190,981	\$199,036	\$207,091	\$215,133	\$223,188	\$231,244	\$239,300	\$247,358	\$256,821	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$8,226,747	\$8,216,319	\$8,208,398	\$8,197,851	\$8,198,848	\$8,190,858	\$8,182,599	\$8,174,553	\$8,166,622	\$8,158,731	\$8,150,675	\$8,142,619	\$9,603,534	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$8,221,533	\$8,212,359	\$8,203,125	\$8,198,250	\$8,194,653	\$8,186,828	\$8,178,576	\$8,170,587	\$8,162,677	\$8,154,703	\$8,146,647	\$8,873,077	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(c)}		\$52,445	\$52,387	\$52,328	\$52,297	\$52,274	\$52,222	\$52,171	\$52,120	\$52,070	\$52,019	\$51,967	\$56,601	\$630,901
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$13,342	\$13,327	\$13,312	\$13,304	\$13,298	\$13,285	\$13,272	\$13,259	\$13,246	\$13,233	\$13,220	\$14,399	\$160,499
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$8,034	\$8,034	\$8,031	\$8,042	\$8,055	\$8,055	\$8,055	\$8,056	\$8,056	\$8,056	\$8,056	\$9,465	\$97,995
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$73,821	\$73,748	\$73,671	\$73,642	\$73,627	\$73,563	\$73,499	\$73,435	\$73,372	\$73,308	\$73,244	\$80,488	\$889,395

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
 ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
 RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Plan														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	\$3,582,753	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$67,592	\$72,966	\$78,341	\$83,715	\$89,089	\$94,463	\$99,837	\$105,211	\$110,585	\$115,960	\$121,334	\$126,708	\$132,082	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$3,515,161	\$3,509,786	\$3,504,412	\$3,499,038	\$3,493,664	\$3,488,290	\$3,482,916	\$3,477,542	\$3,472,168	\$3,466,793	\$3,461,419	\$3,456,045	\$3,450,671	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$3,512,473	\$3,507,099	\$3,501,725	\$3,496,351	\$3,490,977	\$3,485,603	\$3,480,229	\$3,474,855	\$3,469,480	\$3,464,106	\$3,458,732	\$3,453,358	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$22,408	\$22,372	\$22,338	\$22,303	\$22,269	\$22,235	\$22,200	\$22,166	\$22,132	\$22,098	\$22,063	\$22,029	\$266,610
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$5,700	\$5,691	\$5,683	\$5,674	\$5,665	\$5,656	\$5,648	\$5,639	\$5,630	\$5,622	\$5,613	\$5,604	\$67,825
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(c)		\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$5,374	\$64,490
b. Amortization ^(d)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$33,460	\$33,437	\$33,394	\$33,351	\$33,308	\$33,265	\$33,222	\$33,179	\$33,136	\$33,093	\$33,050	\$33,007	\$388,925

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
44 - PMR Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base ^(a)	\$164,704	\$164,704	\$164,704	\$164,704	\$164,704	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	\$164,719	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,820	\$2,108	\$2,396	\$2,684	\$2,972	\$3,261	\$3,549	\$3,837	\$4,125	\$4,414	\$4,702	\$4,990	\$5,278	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$162,885	\$162,596	\$162,308	\$162,020	\$161,732	\$161,458	\$161,170	\$160,881	\$160,593	\$160,305	\$160,017	\$159,728	\$159,440	N/A
6. Average Net Investment		\$162,741	\$162,452	\$162,164	\$161,876	\$161,595	\$161,314	\$161,026	\$160,737	\$160,449	\$160,161	\$159,873	\$159,584	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$1,038	\$1,036	\$1,034	\$1,033	\$1,031	\$1,029	\$1,027	\$1,025	\$1,024	\$1,022	\$1,020	\$1,018	\$12,337
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(b)(a)}		\$264	\$264	\$263	\$263	\$262	\$262	\$261	\$261	\$260	\$260	\$259	\$259	\$3,138
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(a)		\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$288	\$3,459
b. Amortization ^(a)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(b)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$1,590	\$1,588	\$1,586	\$1,584	\$1,581	\$1,579	\$1,577	\$1,574	\$1,572	\$1,570	\$1,568	\$1,565	\$18,934

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
45 - 800MW Unit ESP														
1. Investments														
a. Expenditures/Additions		\$36,809,229	\$10,003,840	\$921,282	\$1,201,143	\$4,086,932	\$4,115,748	\$785	\$564	\$1,030,746	\$14,022,214	\$6,942,013	\$5,489,536	\$84,724,030
b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,781,467	\$684,040	\$2,019,113	\$57,170	(\$419,288)	\$22,655	\$57,145,158
c. Retirements		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Other		(\$35,121)	(\$26)	\$5	\$1	(\$5)	(\$12)	(\$9)	(\$6)	(\$12,609)	(\$171,684)	(\$533,592)	(\$67,258)	(\$820,317)
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base^(a)														
	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54,781,467	\$55,465,507	\$57,484,820	\$57,541,790	\$57,122,503	\$57,145,158	N/A
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation														
	\$0	(\$35,121)	(\$35,148)	(\$35,143)	(\$35,142)	(\$35,147)	(\$35,159)	\$37,579	\$157,007	\$266,762	\$219,689	(\$189,683)	(\$133,151)	N/A
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing														
	\$0	\$36,809,229	\$46,913,069	\$47,834,351	\$49,035,493	\$53,122,425	\$57,238,172	\$2,876,879	\$2,877,442	\$3,908,189	\$17,930,403	\$24,872,416	\$30,381,952	N/A
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)														
	\$0	\$36,944,350	\$46,948,216	\$47,869,493	\$49,070,635	\$53,157,572	\$57,273,331	\$57,620,766	\$58,185,942	\$61,126,047	\$75,252,504	\$82,184,601	\$87,640,261	N/A
6. Average Net Investment														
		\$18,472,175	\$41,946,283	\$47,408,855	\$48,470,084	\$51,114,103	\$55,215,452	\$57,447,049	\$57,903,354	\$59,855,994	\$68,189,275	\$78,718,553	\$84,912,431	N/A
7. Return on Average Net Investment														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^{(b)(a)}		\$117,834	\$287,575	\$302,421	\$309,190	\$326,057	\$352,219	\$366,455	\$369,365	\$380,546	\$434,979	\$502,146	\$541,656	\$4,270,444
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x debt rate x 1/12) ^{(c)(d)}		\$29,977	\$68,070	\$76,935	\$78,657	\$82,948	\$89,604	\$93,225	\$93,966	\$98,810	\$110,658	\$127,744	\$137,796	\$1,086,389
8. Investment Expenses														
a. Depreciation ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$72,747	\$119,434	\$122,363	\$124,612	\$124,220	\$123,790	\$687,166
b. Amortization ^(e)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. Dismantlement ^(f)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. Property Expenses		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e. Other		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)														
		\$147,811	\$335,646	\$379,356	\$387,848	\$409,005	\$441,823	\$532,427	\$582,765	\$599,718	\$670,249	\$754,110	\$803,242	\$6,043,999

^(a) Applicable beginning of period and end of period depreciable base by production plant name(s), unit(s), or plant account(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(b) Equity Component: The Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.7019% reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(c) Debt Component: 1.9473% reflects a 10% ROE per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(d) Applicable depreciation rate or rates. See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(e) Applicable amortization period(s). See Form 42-8A, pages 39-42.

^(f) Dismantlement only applies to Solar projects - DeSoto (37), NASA (38) & Martin (39).

^(g) For solar projects the return on investment calculation is comprised of two parts:

Average Net Investment: See footnotes (b) and (c).

Average Unamortized ITC Balance:

Equity Component: Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 5.98% reflects a 10% return on equity.

Debt Component: Return of 2.21% based on the 10% ROE. Per FPSC Order PSC 10-0153-FOF-EI.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
RETURN ON CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, DEPRECIATION AND TAXES

FORM: 42-8A

FOR THE PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2012 THROUGH DECEMBER 2012

	Beginning of Period Amount	January Actual	February Actual	March Actual	April Actual	May Actual	June Actual	July Actual	August Actual	September Actual	October Actual	November Actual	December Actual	Twelve Month Amount
1. Working Capital Dr(Cr)														
a. 158.100 Allowance Inventory	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b. 158.200 Allowances Withheld	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c. 182.300 Other Regulatory Assets-Losses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d. 254.900 Other Regulatory Liabilities-Gains	(\$1,797,695)	(\$1,747,905)	(\$1,698,116)	(\$1,648,328)	(\$1,598,658)	(\$1,549,838)	(\$1,499,929)	(\$1,450,032)	(\$1,400,125)	(\$1,350,218)	(\$1,300,310)	(\$1,250,403)	(\$1,200,496)	
2. Total Working Capital	(\$1,797,695)	(\$1,747,905)	(\$1,698,116)	(\$1,648,328)	(\$1,598,658)	(\$1,549,838)	(\$1,499,929)	(\$1,450,032)	(\$1,400,125)	(\$1,350,218)	(\$1,300,310)	(\$1,250,403)	(\$1,200,496)	
3. Average Net Working Capital Balance		(\$1,772,800)	(\$1,723,010)	(\$1,673,221)	(\$1,623,992)	(\$1,574,748)	(\$1,524,883)	(\$1,474,981)	(\$1,425,079)	(\$1,375,171)	(\$1,325,264)	(\$1,275,357)	(\$1,225,449)	
4. Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance														
a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes ^(a)		(\$11,309)	(\$10,991)	(\$10,673)	(\$10,359)	(\$10,045)	(\$9,727)	(\$9,409)	(\$9,091)	(\$8,772)	(\$8,454)	(\$8,135)	(\$7,817)	
b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 1.6698% x 1/12)		(\$2,877)	(\$2,796)	(\$2,715)	(\$2,635)	(\$2,556)	(\$2,475)	(\$2,394)	(\$2,313)	(\$2,232)	(\$2,151)	(\$2,070)	(\$1,989)	
5. Total Return Component ^(a)		(\$14,186)	(\$13,787)	(\$13,388)	(\$12,995)	(\$12,601)	(\$12,202)	(\$11,802)	(\$11,403)	(\$11,004)	(\$10,604)	(\$10,205)	(\$9,806)	(\$143,984)
6. Expense Dr(Cr)														
a. 411.800 Gains from Dispositions of Allowances		(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$50,223)	(\$49,953)	(\$49,909)	(\$49,896)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	
b. 411.900 Losses from Dispositions of Allowances		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
c. 509.000 Allowance Expense		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Net Expense (Lines 6a + 6b + 6c) ^(a)		(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$49,790)	(\$50,223)	(\$49,953)	(\$49,909)	(\$49,896)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$49,907)	(\$598,888)
8. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 5 + 7)		(\$63,975)	(\$63,577)	(\$63,178)	(\$63,218)	(\$62,554)	(\$62,111)	(\$61,699)	(\$61,311)	(\$60,911)	(\$60,512)	(\$60,112)	(\$59,713)	
a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		(\$63,975)	(\$63,577)	(\$63,178)	(\$63,218)	(\$62,554)	(\$62,111)	(\$61,699)	(\$61,311)	(\$60,911)	(\$60,512)	(\$60,112)	(\$59,713)	
b. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
9. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	98.08128%	
10. Demand Jurisdictional Factor		98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	98.01395%	
11. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs ^(b)		(\$62,748)	(\$62,357)	(\$61,966)	(\$62,005)	(\$61,354)	(\$60,919)	(\$60,515)	(\$60,134)	(\$59,742)	(\$59,351)	(\$58,959)	(\$58,567)	
12. Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs ^(c)		\$0												
13. Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 11 + 12)		(\$62,748)	(\$62,357)	(\$61,966)	(\$62,005)	(\$61,354)	(\$60,919)	(\$60,515)	(\$60,134)	(\$59,742)	(\$59,351)	(\$58,959)	(\$58,567)	(\$728,618)

^(a) March 2010 forward, the Gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.6764% is based on 2012 ROR Surveillance Report and reflects a 10% return on equity per FPSC Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI.

^(b) Line 8a times Line 9

^(c) Line 8b times Line 10

^(d) Line 5 is reported on Capital Schedule

^(e) Line 7 is reported on O&M Schedule

Florida Power & Light Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
2012 Annual Capital Depreciation Schedule

Project	Function	Site / Unit	Account	Depreciation Rate / Amortization Period	Actual Balance December 2011	Actual Balance December 2012
02 - Low NOX Bumer Technology						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31200	2.30%	2,689,233	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31200	2.30%	2,368,972	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt U1	31200	2.50%	2,563,376	2,563,376
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt U2	31200	2.50%	2,275,222	2,275,222
02 - Low NOX Bumer Technology Total					9,896,803	4,838,598
03 - Continuous Emission Monitoring						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler Comm	31100	1.70%	64,884	
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler Comm	31200	2.20%	36,277	
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler U5	31200	2.20%	310,454	
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler U6	31200	2.20%	311,862	
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31200	2.60%	31,859	61,584
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31100	2.10%	56,430	56,430
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31200	2.60%	477,897	487,371
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31100	2.10%	56,333	56,333
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	508,552	508,552
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31200	2.60%	31,632	31,632
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31100	2.10%	36,811	36,811
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31200	2.60%	529,319	533,645
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U2	31100	2.10%	36,845	36,845
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U2	31200	2.60%	525,202	529,520
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	127,911	127,911
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31200	2.30%	67,788	67,788
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31200	2.30%	458,061	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31200	2.30%	480,322	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U3	31200	2.30%	507,658	507,658
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U4	31200	2.30%	517,303	517,303
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3	31100	1.90%	54,282	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3	31200	2.40%	434,357	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer U4	31200	2.60%	515,653	515,653
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP - Comm	31100	2.10%	43,193	43,193
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U1	31200	2.60%	780	780
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U2	31200	2.60%	780	780
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31100	2.10%	59,056	59,056
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31200	2.50%	37,955	37,955
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt U1	31200	2.50%	545,584	545,584
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt U2	31200	2.50%	504,689	504,689
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34100	3.50%	58,860	58,860
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34500	3.40%	34,502	34,502
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale U4	34300	4.30%	462,254	462,254
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale U5	34300	4.20%	473,380	473,380
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLMyers U2	34300	4.20%	23,619	141,611
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLMyers U3	34300	5.20%	2,283	2,283
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin U3	34300	4.20%	416,872	421,952
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin U4	34300	4.20%	409,474	413,986
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin U8	34300	4.30%	13,693	13,693
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34100	2.60%	82,858	82,858
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34300	4.20%	3,139	3,139
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam U1	34300	4.00%	346,616	346,616
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam U2	34300	3.30%	380,355	380,355
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Sanford U4	34300	4.80%	88,340	139,961
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Sanford U5	34300	4.20%	56,521	88,149
03 - Continuous Emission Monitoring Total					10,232,475	8,320,653
04 - Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	19,812	19,812
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31100	2.10%	21,799	21,799
04 - Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration Total					41,612	41,612
05 - Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Tanks						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31100	2.10%	3,111,263	3,111,263
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31200	2.60%	174,543	174,543
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31200	2.60%	104,845	104,845
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	127,429	127,429
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31100	2.10%	1,110,450	1,110,450
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31200	2.60%	94,329	94,329
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31100	2.10%	176,339	176,339
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	1,132,078	1,132,078
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3	31100	1.90%	796,754	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP - Comm	31100	2.10%	42,091	42,091
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP - Comm	31200	2.60%	2,292	2,292
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31100	2.10%	87,560	87,560
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt U2	31100	2.10%	42,159	42,159
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34200	3.80%	898,111	898,111
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale GTs	34200	2.60%	584,290	584,290
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLMyers GTs	34200	2.70%	133,479	133,479
	05 - Other Generation Plant	PIEverglades GTs	34200	2.60%	2,359,100	2,768,744
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34200	2.90%	749,026	749,026
05 - Maintenance of Above Ground Fuel Tanks Total					11,726,140	11,339,030

Florida Power & Light Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
2012 Annual Capital Depreciation Schedule

Project	Function	Site / Unit	Account	Depreciation Rate / Amortization Period	Actual Balance December 2011	Actual Balance December 2012
07 - Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Piping						
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie U1	32300	2.40%	31,030	31,030
07 - Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Piping Total					31,030	31,030
08 - Oil Spill Clean-up/Response Equipment						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31100	2.10%	47,082	46,882
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31800	2.40%	23,107	23,107
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	(38)	366,102
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Amortizable	31650	5-Year	86,360	86,360
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Amortizable	31670	7-Year	394,959	335,362
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34100	3.50%	354,919	358,605
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34650	5-Year	22,458	32,186
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34670	7-Year	31,181	5,734
	08 - General Plant		39000	2.10%	4,413	4,413
08 - Oil Spill Clean-up/Response Equipment Total					964,442	1,258,762
10 - Reroute Storm Water Runoff						
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie Comm	32100	1.80%	117,794	117,794
10 - Reroute Storm Water Runoff Total					117,794	117,794
12 - Scherer Discharge Pipeline						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer Comm	31000	0.00%	0	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer Comm	31100	2.10%	524,873	524,873
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer Comm	31200	2.60%	328,762	328,762
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer Comm	31400	2.60%	689	689
12 - Scherer Discharge Pipeline Total					854,324	854,324
20 - Wastewater/Stormwater Discharge Elimination						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31200	2.60%	380,995	367,908
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U2	31200	2.60%	416,672	403,671
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	437,404	437,404
20 - Wastewater/Stormwater Discharge Elimination Total					1,235,070	1,208,980
21 - St. Lucie Turtle Nets						
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie Comm	32100	1.80%	352,942	352,942
21 - St. Lucie Turtle Nets Total					352,942	352,942
22 - Martin Common						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31100	2.10%	0	2,271,069
22 - Martin Common Total					0	2,271,069
23 - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler Comm	31400	2.20%	12,236	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Cutler U5	31400	2.20%	18,388	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31100	2.10%	807,719	807,621
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31200	2.60%	33,272	33,272
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31500	2.40%	26,325	26,325
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31200	2.60%	45,750	45,750
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	37,431	37,431
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31100	2.10%	343,785	343,785
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31500	2.40%	34,755	34,755
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31100	1.90%	3,333,895	2,967,754
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31200	2.30%	159,754	159,754
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31500	2.00%	7,783	7,783
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3	31100	1.90%	850,531	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3	31200	2.40%	211,727	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Sanford U3 Common	34100	3.50%	0	280,569
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31100	2.10%	92,013	92,013
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	31500	2.20%	13,559	0
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie U1	32300	2.40%	1,019,614	712,225
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie U1	32400	1.80%	437,945	745,335
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie U2	32300	2.40%	552,390	552,390
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34100	3.50%	189,219	189,219
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34200	3.80%	1,480,169	1,480,169
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale Comm	34300	6.00%	28,250	28,250
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale GTs	34100	2.20%	92,727	92,727
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale GTs	34200	2.60%	513,250	513,250
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FIMyers GTs	34100	2.30%	98,715	98,715
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FIMyers GTs	34200	2.70%	629,983	629,983
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FIMyers GTs	34500	2.20%	12,430	12,430
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FIMyers U2	34300	4.20%	49,727	49,727
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FIMyers U3	34500	3.40%	12,430	12,430
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Comm	34100	3.50%	61,216	61,216
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin U8	34200	3.80%	84,868	84,868
	05 - Other Generation Plant	PIEverglades GTs	34100	2.20%	454,081	454,081
	05 - Other Generation Plant	PIEverglades GTs	34200	2.60%	1,835,190	1,835,190
	05 - Other Generation Plant	PIEverglades GTs	34500	2.10%	7,783	7,783
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34100	2.60%	148,511	148,511
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34200	2.90%	1,730,935	1,730,935
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Putnam Comm	34500	2.50%	60,747	60,747
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34670	7-Year	7,065	0
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35200	1.90%	1,042,157	964,906
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35300	2.60%	177,982	177,982
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35800	1.80%	65,655	65,655
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36100	1.90%	2,961,659	2,962,098
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36670	2.00%	70,499	70,499
	08 - General Plant		39000	2.10%	146,691	146,691
23 - Spill Prevention Clean-Up & Countermeasures Total					20,900,812	18,724,826

Florida Power & Light Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
2012 Annual Capital Depreciation Schedule

Project	Function	Site / Unit	Account	Depreciation Rate / Amortization Period	Actual Balance December 2011	Actual Balance December 2012
24 - Manatee Reburn						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31200	2.60%	16,687,067	16,687,067
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	15,062,479	14,483,504
24 - Manatee Reburn Total					31,749,547	31,170,571
25 - PPE ESP Technology						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31100	1.90%	298,710	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31200	2.30%	10,404,603	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31500	2.00%	2,500,249	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U1	31800	2.10%	307,032	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31100	1.90%	184,084	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31200	2.30%	11,979,735	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31500	2.00%	3,954,582	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U2	31800	2.10%	324,087	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U3	31100	1.90%	713,693	713,693
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U3	31200	2.30%	18,160,534	18,160,534
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U3	31500	2.00%	4,304,057	4,304,057
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U3	31800	2.10%	528,541	528,541
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U4	31100	1.90%	313,276	313,276
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U4	31200	2.30%	20,648,501	20,648,501
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U4	31500	2.00%	6,729,950	6,729,950
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades U4	31800	2.10%	551,535	551,535
25 - PPE ESP Technology Total					61,901,169	61,848,087
26 - UST Remove/Replace						
	08 - General Plant		39000	2.10%	115,447	115,447
26 - UST Remove/Replace Total					115,447	115,447
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee Comm	31100	2.10%	102,052	102,052
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31200	2.60%	20,059,060	20,059,060
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U1	31400	2.60%	7,168,980	7,240,711
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	17,191,439	20,461,529
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31400	2.60%	7,918,302	7,912,966
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31200	2.60%	518,275	518,275
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31400	2.60%	287,258	287,258
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31200	2.60%	20,895,251	19,504,077
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U1	31400	2.60%	7,794,707	7,794,707
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U2	31200	2.60%	19,057,800	20,248,975
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin U2	31400	2.60%	7,385,556	7,477,120
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer U4	31200	2.60%	0	339,602,073
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U1	31200	2.60%	27,708,299	27,708,299
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U1	31500	2.40%	455,148	455,148
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U1	31800	2.40%	9,138	9,138
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U2	31200	2.60%	26,630,303	26,523,410
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U2	31500	2.40%	426,220	426,220
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	SJRPP U2	31800	2.40%	9,591	9,591
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLauderdale GTs	34300	2.90%	110,242	110,242
	05 - Other Generation Plant	FLMyers GTs	34300	3.10%	57,855	57,855
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Comm	34100	3.50%	763,350	763,350
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Comm	34300	4.30%	244,343	244,343
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Comm	34500	3.40%	292,499	292,499
	05 - Other Generation Plant	PIEverglades GTs	34300	3.40%	107,874	107,874
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36500	3.90%	411,775	411,775
31 - Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) Total					165,405,318	508,328,646
33 - Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR)						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer U4	31100	2.10%	67,479	0
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer U4	31200	2.60%	106,777,873	106,958,839
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Scherer U4	31500	2.40%	33,739	0
33 - Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) Total					106,879,091	106,958,839
35 - Martin Drinking Water System						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31100	2.10%	235,391	235,391
35 - Martin Drinking Water System Total					235,391	235,391
36 - Low Level Waste Storage (LLW)						
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	StLucie Comm	32100	1.80%	6,449,693	6,456,456
36 - Low Level Waste Storage (LLW) Total					6,449,693	6,456,456
37 - DeSoto Solar Energy Center						
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Desoto Solar	34000	0.00%	255,507	255,507
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Desoto Solar	34100	3.30%	4,521,407	4,502,770
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Desoto Solar	34300	3.30%	115,754,063	115,303,900
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Desoto Solar	34500	3.30%	26,239,255	26,775,148
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34630	3-Year	12,103	0
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34650	5-Year	21,935	21,935
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34670	7-Year	59,592	59,592
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35200	1.90%	6,543	5,655
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35300	2.60%	704,628	831,317
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35310	2.90%	1,712,305	1,646,481
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35500	3.40%	394,418	394,418
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35600	3.20%	191,358	191,358
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36100	1.90%	608,244	540,994
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36200	2.60%	2,214,957	1,938,179
	08 - General Plant		39220	9.40%	28,426	28,426
	08 - General Plant	Amortizable	39720	7-Year	22,114	21,238
37 - DeSoto Solar Energy Center Total					162,746,852	162,616,918

Florida Power & Light Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
2012 Annual Capital Depreciation Schedule

Project	Function	Site / Unit	Account	Depreciation Rate / Amortization Period	Actual Balance December 2011	Actual Balance December 2012
38 - Spacecoast Solar Energy Center						
	01 - Intangible Plant	Amortizable	30300	30-Year	6,359,027	6,359,027
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Space Coast Solar	34100	3.30%	3,838,726	3,838,726
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Space Coast Solar	34300	3.30%	51,808,083	51,808,083
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Space Coast Solar	34500	3.30%	6,126,699	6,126,699
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34630	3-Year	7,272	7,272
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34650	5-Year	9,438	9,438
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34670	7-Year	51,560	51,560
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35300	2.60%	139,391	985,702
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35310	2.90%		1,252,142
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36100	1.90%	269,808	76,349
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36200	2.60%	2,187,147	86,728
	08 - General Plant		39220	9.40%	31,858	31,858
	08 - General Plant	Amortizable	39720	7-Year	6,351	6,314
38 - Spacecoast Solar Energy Center Total					70,633,358	70,437,897
39 - Martin Solar Energy Center						
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Solar	34000	0.00%	216,844	216,844
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Solar	34100	3.30%	184,126	20,741,647
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Solar	34300	3.30%	397,263,385	384,330,989
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Solar	34500	3.30%	21,637	4,127,545
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin Solar	34600	3.30%	1,299	1,299
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Martin U8	34300	4.30%	379,930	423,126
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34650	5-Year	21,384	21,384
	05 - Other Generation Plant	Amortizable	34670	7-Year	0	4,910
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35500	3.40%	603,692	603,692
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35800	3.20%	364,159	364,159
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36400	4.10%	9,282	9,282
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36660	1.50%	94,476	94,476
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36780	2.60%	2,728	2,728
	08 - General Plant		39220	9.40%	25,193	25,193
	08 - General Plant		39240	11.10%	205,307	393,073
	08 - General Plant		39280	3.50%	97,633	97,633
	08 - General Plant	Amortizable	39420	7-Year	18,993	18,993
	08 - General Plant	Amortizable	39720	7-Year	3,204	3,204
39 - Martin Solar Energy Center Total					399,643,272	411,480,179
41 - Manatee Heaters						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	CapeCanaveral Comm	31400	0.70%	4,043,057	4,042,459
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Riviera Comm	31400	0.60%	2,605,268	2,605,268
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	PIEverglades Comm	31400	2.30%	0	1,470,380
	06 - Transmission Plant - Electric		35300	2.60%	276,404	276,404
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36100	1.90%	29,779	30,023
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36200	2.60%	488,424	488,378
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36400	4.10%	223,460	226,155
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36500	3.90%	302,616	307,170
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36660	1.50%	221,326	221,326
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36780	2.60%	168,995	168,995
	07 - Distribution Plant - Electric		36910	3.90%	607	607
	08 - General Plant	Amortizable	39720	7-Year	23,287	23,190
41 - Manatee Heaters Total					8,383,225	9,860,356
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring						
	03 - Nuclear Generation Plant	Turkey Pt Comm	32100	1.80%	3,582,753	3,582,753
42 - Turkey Point Cooling Canal Monitoring Total					3,582,753	3,582,753
44 - Martin Plant Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation Project						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Martin Comm	31100	2.10%	164,704	164,719
44 - Martin Plant Barley Barber Swamp Iron Mitigation Project Total					164,704	164,719
45 - 900 MW Unit ESP Project						
	02 - Steam Generation Plant	Manatee U2	31200	2.60%	0	57,145,158
45 - 900 MW Unit ESP Project Total					0	57,145,158
Grand Total					1,093,243,264	1,459,860,925

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY					
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE					
CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATES PER 2009 RATE CASE (a)					
Equity @ 10.00% Docket No 080677-EI Order No PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI					
	ADJUSTED RETAIL	RATIO	MIDPOINT COST RATES	WEIGHTED COST	PRE-TAX WEIGHTED COST
LONG TERM DEBT	5,298,960,654	31.565%	5.49%	1.73%	1.73%
SHORT TERM DEBT	156,113,805	0.930%	2.11%	0.02%	0.02%
PREFERRED STOCK	0	0.000%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	544,711,775	3.245%	5.98%	0.19%	0.19%
COMMON EQUITY	7,889,967,199	46.999%	10.00%	4.70%	7.65%
DEFERRED INCOME TAX	2,892,247,084	17.229%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS					
ZERO COST	0	0.000%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
WEIGHTED COST	5,429,401	0.032%	8.19%	0.00%	
			0		
TOTAL	\$16,787,429,918	100.00%		6.65%	9.60%
CALCULATION OF THE WEIGHTED COST FOR CONVERTIBLE INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS (C-ITC) (b)					
	ADJUSTED RETAIL	RATIO	COST RATE	WEIGHTED COST	PRE TAX COST
LONG TERM DEBT	\$5,298,960,654	40.18%	5.49%	2.21%	2.21%
PREFERRED STOCK	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
COMMON EQUITY	7,889,967,199	59.82%	10.00%	5.98%	9.74%
TOTAL	\$13,188,927,853	100.00%		8.19%	11.94%
RATIO					
DEBT COMPONENTS:					
LONG TERM DEBT		1.7329%			
SHORT TERM DEBT		0.0196%			
CUSTOMER DEPOSITS		0.1940%			
TAX CREDITS -WEIGHTED		0.0007%			
TOTAL DEBT		1.9473%			
EQUITY COMPONENTS:					
PREFERRED STOCK		0.0000%			
COMMON EQUITY		4.6999%			
TAX CREDITS -WEIGHTED		0.0019%			
TOTAL EQUITY		4.7019%			
TOTAL		6.6492%			
PRE-TAX EQUITY		7.6546%			
PRE-TAX TOTAL		9.6019%			
Note:					
(a) Reflects approved capital structure and ROE reflected in Docket 080677-EI which ended in Order No. PSC-10-0153-FOF-EI. The above capital structure started effective March 2010.					
(b) This capital structure applies only to Convertible Investment Tax Credit (C-ITC).					

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 130007-EI
ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
FPL SUPPLEMENTAL CAIR/MATS/CAVR FILING
APRIL 1, 2013**

Per Order No. PSC-12-0613-FOF-EI, issued on November 16, 2012, the discussion below provides FPL's current estimates of project activities and associated costs related to its Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR), Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS), which was formerly the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) and Clean Air Visibility Rule (CAVR)/ Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) projects.

CAIR Compliance Project Update:

Status of CAIR Rule Revision – On December 23, 2008, the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (the Court) remanded CAIR to the EPA without vacatur, instructing EPA to remedy the CAIR flaws in accordance with the Court's July 11 opinion. This resulted in CAIR remaining in effect in its current form until a satisfactory replacement is adopted by EPA. On July 6, 2011 the EPA published its Cross State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) as the replacement to the CAIR. On August 21, 2012, the Court vacated the CSAPR, remanding the rule back to the EPA for further rulemaking to address several issues. The EPA sought rehearing of that decision, which was denied on January 24, 2013. The EPA's only remaining avenue to challenge to the Court's decision would be to file a petition for review before the U.S. Supreme Court within 90 days. That time period should expire on April 25, 2013.

In accordance with the December 23, 2008 Court decision, the CAIR remains in effect until a replacement rule is finalized by the EPA. The EPA has stated that it intends to implement Phase 2 of the CAIR in 2015 with further reductions for SO₂ in applicable states. FPL expects that the CAIR rule will remain in place at least through 2014, and the revised rulemaking may take three to five years. FPL will be working with the EPA during the rulemaking to address the court's decision on the CSAPR to ensure that Florida in general and our facilities in particular receive their fair allocation of allowances.

St. Johns River Power Park (SJRPP) Selective Catalytic Reduction Systems (SCR) and Ammonia Injection Systems – The construction and installation of SCR and Ammonia Injection Systems on SJRPP were accomplished in 2009 with the controls on both units being placed into service in 2010. FPL's ownership share of the total CAIR capital cost for installation of the SCR and Ammonia Injection System is \$55.3 million.

Estimated CAIR O&M expenses for 2013 are approximately \$0.23 million and estimated annual O&M expenses beginning 2014 are approximately \$0.6 million (FPL 20% ownership). Ongoing O&M activities for the SCR include incremental operating staff, ammonia consumption, maintenance of the SCR ammonia injection skid and SCR auxiliary equipment.

Scherer SCR and Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) - Current capital cost estimates for FPL's share of the installation of the FGD (Scrubber) and SCR with Ammonia Injection System on Scherer Unit 4 is \$400.3 million. The planned construction activities in 2013 and 2014 include installation of an additional slurry recycle pump for the absorber; installing an oxidation air system for the absorber; installing a redundant service water pipeline; and installing a third limestone grinding ball mill along with its associated equipment, piping, and electrical facilities, and completion of the Lime Injection system. Other 2013 and 2014 planned activities include Environmental Site Planning completion, Environmental common facilities and Site Restoration for the FGD. Site restoration work includes paving/repaving roadways; reclaiming site storage areas; repairing areas damaged during construction; and removing temporary facilities to return the site to the condition it was at the beginning of the construction project.

FPL estimates its share of the Scherer Unit 4 CAIR capital costs to be \$6.4 million in 2013 and \$4.9 million in 2014. FPL has estimated annual O&M for operation of the SCR, FGD, and common plant facilities supporting the controls at \$5.2 million for 2013 during the first full year of in-service operation and \$6.0 million annually beginning in 2014. O&M activities for the SCR include incremental operating staff, ammonia consumption, maintenance of the SCR ammonia injection skid and SCR auxiliary equipment. O&M activities for the FGD include limestone consumption, limestone and by-product handling operation, FGD operations, FGD tower and auxiliary equipment maintenance.

800 MW unit cycling project - FPL completed construction work associated with this project in 2011.

Total capital costs for the 800 MW unit cycling project are \$115.2 million. Projected O&M annual expenses are \$0.549 million in 2013 and \$0.581 in 2014 for treatment of condenser tube fouling.

Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) Plan for Gas Turbines (GT) - The Low Mass Emitting (LME) CEMS under 40 CFR Part 75 have been installed, tested, and are now in operation at the Fort Myers, Port Everglades, and Fort Lauderdale Gas Turbine Parks, as required by the CAIR and by the CSAPR.

FPL has projected that O&M expenses of \$5,000 per year will be required for routine maintenance of these CEMS systems. It should be noted that the LME option is available for a GT only if its emissions remain under EPA-prescribed thresholds. If any GT emits more than 50 tons of NOx or 25 tons of SO2 in a given calendar year, the testing for that GT will be required every year, instead of every five years. In addition to routine maintenance

projected costs for 2013 and 2014, costs also include required CEMS emission testing during permit renewal.

Purchases of allowances – To comply with the CAIR Ozone Season NOx program requirements, FPL must evaluate each year whether it needs to purchase CAIR allowances. FPL has evaluated the proposed allowance allocations under both CAIR and CSAPR and has projected that it will have sufficient allocated allowances to cover projected emissions in 2013 and 2014.

Actual CAIR capital costs through 2012 were \$559.3 million.

CAIR CAPITAL COST ESTIMATES (\$Millions)			
PROJECT	2013	2014	TOTAL PROJECT
SJRPP-SCR/Ammonia Injection System	Capital project completed	Capital project completed	55.3
Scherer-SCR/FGD	6.4	4.9	400.3
800 MW Unit Cycling - Martin	Capital project completed	Capital project completed	58.3
800 MW Unit Cycling - Manatee	Capital project completed	Capital project completed	56.9
CEMS at GTs	Capital project completed	Capital project completed	Capital project completed
Allowances	N/A	N/A	N/A

Actual CAIR O&M expenses through 2012 are \$8.7 million.

CAIR O&M EXPENSE ESTIMATES (\$Millions)			
PROJECT	2013	2014	TOTAL PROJECT
SJRPP-SCR/Ammonia Injection System	0.230	0.600	0.230 (2013+ annual operating costs are on-going)
Scherer-SCR/FGD	5.200	6.000	annual operating costs are on-going
800 MW Unit Cycling – Martin	0.304	0.331	annual operating costs are on-going
800 MW Unit Cycling – Manatee	0.245	0.250	annual operating costs are on-going
CEMS at GTs	0.072	0.074	annual operating costs are on-going
Allowances	0.000	0.000	N/A

Mercury Air Toxics Standards (MATS) Compliance Project Update (formerly CAMR):

On March 15, 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule to permanently cap and reduce mercury (Hg) emissions from coal-fired power plants for the first time. In response to the EPA CAMR, the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) promulgated two major rules to implement Hg reductions within Georgia: a rule to adopt the CAMR federal Hg cap and trade program: Rule 391-3-1-.02(15) – “*Georgia Mercury Trading Rule*” and a Georgia state specific Multipollutant Rule: Rule 391-3-1-.02(2) (sss) – “*Multipollutant Control for Electric Utility Steam Generating Units*”, which became effective June 1, 2008. The Multipollutant Rule was promulgated to specify the implementation of specific air pollution control equipment for reductions in Hg, sulfur dioxide (SO₂), and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions from identified coal-fired Electric Generating Units (EGUs) within Georgia. Section 4(i) of the Multipollutant Rule requires that Scherer Unit 4 may not be operated after April 30, 2010, unless it is equipped and operated with sorbent injection and a baghouse for the control of Hg emissions.

Installation of Hg controls, and associated continuous Hg emission monitoring that would have been needed to comply with the CAMR requirements remain necessary to comply with the requirements of the Georgia Multipollutant Rule; therefore installation of Hg controls on Plant Scherer Unit 4 must continue. The vacatur of the CAMR does not change the compliance obligations at Plant Scherer, including FPL’s share of Unit 4. In addition, on December 16, 2011, EPA published its final Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) Rule as a replacement for the CAMR. The EPA’s MATS Rule sets limits on emissions of Toxic Metal Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs), including Hg, limits on emissions of acid gasses, and work practice standards for emissions of Organic HAPs. FPL has reviewed the compliance requirements of the MATS rule and believes that controls installed on Scherer Unit 4 for compliance with the CAIR, the CAMR, and the Georgia Multi-Pollutant Rule, will allow the unit to meet the rule’s emission specifications for HAPs. Specifically, FPL is complying with the Hg reduction requirements of the Georgia Multipollutant Rule and the EPA’s MATS Rule by using the following projects identified previously under the CAMR:

1. Installation of Fabric Filter Baghouse and Mercury Sorbent Injection System on Scherer Unit 4 (completed 2010).
2. Installation of HgCEMS on Scherer Unit 4 (completed 2009).
3. Installation of HgCEMS on SJRPP Units 1 & 2 (completed in 2008 prior to the vacatur of CAMR). Hg CEMS are required to comply with MATS Rule.

Projected annual O&M associated with operation of the Hg controls includes purchase of new sorbent, disposal of spent sorbent, replacement of filter bags, and maintenance activities associated with the baghouse and sorbent injection system, and the maintenance costs associated with FPL’s share of the Scherer Unit 4 Hg CEMS. Projected MATS capital expenses for plant Scherer are \$1.2 million for 2013 and \$0.6 million for 2014 related to anticipated capital equipment component replacements. Projected MATS O&M expenses for Plant Scherer are \$2.6 million for 2013 and \$2.9 million for 2014, primarily for purchase and disposal of sorbents and replacement of bags.

FPL's cost associated with the installation of Hg CEMS at SJRPP represents a total capital cost of \$0.4 million. FPL does not yet know whether SJRPP will meet all applicable emission specifications of the MATS rule. FPL and JEA have recently initiated a study of the potential impacts of MATS and other proposed rules on the SJRPP units to develop the appropriate compliance strategy.

On December 21, 2011, EPA issued the final MATS rule, which has the effect of requiring ESPs for the 800 MW oil-fired units. Capital costs for FPL's installation of ESP's on the 800 MW units at the Martin and Manatee plants were moved into the ECRC docket following EPA's final rule. In its August 1, 2012 Actual/Estimated 2012 True-Up filing, FPL identified that costs were now being recovered under Project 45 as the 800 MW Unit ESP Project, and that consistent with the stipulation in Order No. 11-0083-FOF-EI, FPL transferred the construction costs for the Manatee Unit 2 ESP, together with accumulated AFUDC, to ECRC-recoverable accounts as part of its January 2012 accounting entries. Actual capital costs for construction of the ESPs through 2012 were \$84.7 million. Actual O&M costs for the maintenance and operation of the ESP's for 2012 were \$0.043 million. FPL's costs for compliance with the MATS rule include Project 33: the SJRPP Mercury CEMS project and the Scherer Sorbant Injection/Baghouse/Mercury CEMS, and Project 45: the 800 MW ESP project.

Actual MATS capital costs through 2012 are \$191.5 million.

MATS CAPITAL COST ESTIMATES (\$Millions)			
PROJECT	2013	2014	TOTAL PROJECT
SJRPP-Mercury CEMS	0.000	0.000	0.400
Scherer-Sorbent Injection/Baghouse/Mercury CEMS	1.200	0.600	108.940
800 MW ESP PMR/PMT	66.9	59.4	228.4

Actual MATS O&M expenses through 2012 are \$4.71 million.

MATS O&M EXPENSE ESTIMATES (\$Millions)			
PROJECT	2013	2014	TOTAL PROJECT
SJRPP-Mercury CEMS	0.0	0.0	0.0
Scherer-Sorbent Injection/Baghouse/HgCEMS	2.6	2.9	(2013+ annual operating costs are on-going)
800 MW ESP PMR/PMT	1.4	2.1	N/A

CAVR / BART Project Update:

FPL successfully concluded negotiations with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP or the Department) regarding Turkey Point Units 1 and 2 in February 2009, with the Department accepting FPL's proposed plan to comply with the BART requirements under the Regional Haze program. In 2011, FPL negotiated with the FDEP changes to its compliance plan at Turkey Point to address changes to the state's plan as a result of the CSAPRs impact on the regional haze SIP. FPL proposed to remove the requirement to install new multi-cyclone dust collectors and instead proposed to reduce emissions of SO₂ through use of 0.7% Sulfur residual fuel oil and to commit to no longer burning fossil fuels in the Unit 2 boiler effective immediately, and to take a significant reduction in fuel oil firing in Unit 1 boiler beginning in 2013. FPL projects that there will be no associated capital costs or increased O&M for compliance with the BART permit at Turkey Point. In 2011, the FDEP identified that there were concerns with the analysis of the Putnam units, which they were projecting exceedances of the criteria. FPL retained a consultant in 2012 to prepare modeling required by the state to demonstrate that the Putnam plant and the Manatee and Martin 800 MW units do not exceed the criteria thresholds. FPL recovered those 2012 O&M costs of \$0.012 million through the CAVR ECRC project.

The EPA has told the FDEP that it will not approve Florida's Draft CAVR SIP, primarily due to the FDEP Reasonable Progress Control Technology (RPCT) Rule, which uses a permit application process that the EPA finds unacceptable. The FDEP has indicated that it will withdraw the RPCT Rule from the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) and delete the RPCT provision from the SIP. The FDEP contends that visibility improvements at Florida's Class 1 Areas will meet the Reasonable Progress glide slope in 2018 by way of existing air rules promulgated previously. At this time, the FDEP has determined that no additional rulemaking will be needed. Until the EPA rules on the FDEP CAVR SIP, FPL cannot know if controls will be required beyond 2018.

When the EPA issued its CSAPR, Florida was no longer included in the particulate matter portion of the rule removing previously affected units from the annual NO_x and SO₂ requirements. Because of the regulatory uncertainty from the status of the CSAPR and the CAIR, FPL was required to perform a full 5-factor BART Determination for SO₂ and NO_x. Turkey Point Units 1 and 2, Manatee Units 1 and 2, and Martin Units 1 and 2 are affected by this change.

Actual CAVR capital costs through 2012 are \$0.

Actual CAVR O&M expenses through 2012 are \$0.056 million. FPL had projected a preliminary estimated O&M total cost of \$0.030 million in 2012 for the required modeling of the Putnam facility and a review of the control technology determinations for the Manatee and Martin 800 MW units. Actual costs in 2012 for compliance with the BART/CAVR requirements were \$0.015 million.

FPL does not anticipate CAVR/BART costs during the 2013 – 2014 period.

CAVR/BART O&M EXPENSE ESTIMATES (\$Millions)			
PROJECT	2013	2014	TOTAL PROJECT*
Reasonable Progress Control Technology Determination	0.000	0.000	0.056

* *Through 2012*