



RECEIVED FPSC  
13 APR 24 AM 10: 01

COMMISSION  
CLERK

April 23, 2013

claim of confidentiality  
 notice of intent  
 request for confidentiality  
 filed by OPC

Via U.S. Mail  
Ms. Ann Cole  
Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

For DN 02145-13, which  
is in locked storage. You must be  
authorized to view this DN.-CLK

Re: Docket No. 130001-EI; Request for Specified Confidential Treatment of 423 Forms

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find enclosed for filing, Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s ("PEF") Request for Confidential Classification for PEF's 423 Forms for March, 2013. The confidential 423 Form information is attached in a sealed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (727)820-4692 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Dianne M. Triplett  
Associate General Counsel

DMT/emc  
Enclosures

COM  
AFD 3  
APA  
ECO  
ENG  
GCL  
IDM  
TEL  
CLK

299 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL 33701 ☎ P.O. Box 14042, St. Petersburg, FL 33733  
Phone: 727.820.4692 ☎ Fax: 727.820.5041 ☎ Email: Dianne.triplett@pgnmail.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02144 APR 24 2

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 130001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s foregoing Request for Confidential Classification has been furnished to the following individuals via email on this 23rd day of April, 2013.



Attorney

Martha Barrera, Esq.  
Office of General Counsel  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850  
[mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:mbarrera@psc.state.fl.us)

James D. Beasley, Esq.  
Jeffry Wahlen, Esq.  
Ausley & McMullen Law Firm  
P.O. Box 391  
Tallahassee, FL 32302  
[jbeasley@ausley.com](mailto:jbeasley@ausley.com)

John T. Butler, Esq.  
Florida Power & Light Co.  
700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, FL 33408  
[John.butler@fpl.com](mailto:John.butler@fpl.com)

Ken Hoffman  
Florida Power & Light  
215 S. Monroe Street, Ste. 810  
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859  
[Ken.hoffman@fpl.com](mailto:Ken.hoffman@fpl.com)

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq.  
Russell A. Badders, Esq.  
Steven R. Griffin  
Beggs & Lane Law Firm  
P.O. Box 12950  
Pensacola, FL 32591  
[jas@beggslane.com](mailto:jas@beggslane.com)  
[rab@beggslane.com](mailto:rab@beggslane.com)  
[srg@beggslane.com](mailto:srg@beggslane.com)

Ms. Paula K. Brown  
Tampa Electric Company  
P.O. Box 111  
Tampa, FL 33601  
[regdept@tecoenergy.com](mailto:regdept@tecoenergy.com)

Mr. Robert L. McGee  
Gulf Power Company  
One Energy Place  
Pensacola, FL 32520-0780  
[rlmcgee@southernco.com](mailto:rlmcgee@southernco.com)

Beth Keating  
Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.  
215 S. Monroe St., Ste 618  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[bkeating@gunster.com](mailto:bkeating@gunster.com)

J.R.Kelly/Charles Rehwinkel  
Office of Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 West Madison Street, #812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
[Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us)

Curtis Young  
Florida Public Utilities Company  
P.O. Box 3395  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395  
[cyoung@fpuc.com](mailto:cyoung@fpuc.com)

James W. Brew, Esq.  
c/o Brickfield Law Firm  
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW  
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20007  
[jbrew@bbrslaw.com](mailto:jbrew@bbrslaw.com)

Moyle Law Firm  
Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
118 North Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[jmoyle@moylelaw.com](mailto:jmoyle@moylelaw.com)

Florida Retail Federation  
Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia,  
c/o Gardner, Bist, Wiener Law Firm  
1300 Thomaswood Drive  
Tallahassee, FL 32308  
[schef@gbwlegal.com](mailto:schef@gbwlegal.com)

Samuel Miller  
c/o AFLSA/JACL-ULT  
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1  
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5319  
[samuel.miller@tyndall.af.mil](mailto:samuel.miller@tyndall.af.mil)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost  
Recovery Clause and Generating  
Performance Incentive Factor.

---

Docket No. 120001-EI

Submitted for filing:  
April 23, 2013

**REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION**

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. (Progress Energy or the Company), pursuant to Section 366.093, F.S., and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C., hereby requests confidential classification of the highlighted information on its FPSC Form 423 Fuel Report for the reporting month of March, 2013 (the 423 Report), which is contained in the sealed envelope enclosed with this Request as Attachment C. A public version of the 423 Report, with the confidential information redacted, is attached to each filed copy of this Request. In support hereof, Progress Energy states as follows.

1. Subsection 366.093(1), F.S., provides that any records “found by the commission to be proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from s. 119.07(1) [requiring disclosure under the Public Records Act].” Proprietary confidential business information includes, but is not limited to, “[i]nformation concerning . . . contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms” (paragraph 366.093(3)(d)). The designated

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

02144 APR 24 2013

portions of the 423 Report fall within this statutory category and, thus, constitute propriety confidential business information entitled to protection under Section 366.093 and Rule 25-22.006.


2. Attachment A to this Request is a matrix providing justification and support for confidential classification of the highlighted information in each section of the 423 Report (Forms 423-1A, 2, 2A, 2B and 2C) on a line-by-line, column-by-column basis.

3. The designated information for which confidential classification is sought by this Request is intended to be and is treated by the Company as private and has not been publicly disclosed.

4. Progress Energy requests that the confidential information contained in the 423 Report be protected from disclosure for a period of 24 months. For the reasons explained in Attachment B to this Request, this is the minimum time necessary to ensure that purposes for which confidential classification is granted are not contravened and frustrated by a premature subsequent disclosure. In addition, Progress Energy asks that the version of the 423 Report containing the highlighted information be returned to the Company when the Commission no longer needs the information to conduct its business, in accordance with Rule 25-22.006 (9)(b), F.A.C.

WHEREFORE, Progress Energy requests that the highlighted information in its 423 Report enclosed with this Request be accorded confidential classification for the reasons set forth in Attachment A, and that such confidential classification be maintained for a duration of 24 months for the reasons set forth in Attachment B.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Dianne M. Triplett  
Associate General Counsel  
Progress Energy Service Company, LLC  
Post Office Box 14042  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042  
Telephone: 727-820-4692  
Facsimile: 727-820-5041  
Email: [dianne.triplett@pgnmail.com](mailto:dianne.triplett@pgnmail.com)

Attorney for  
**PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.**

## Justification Matrix

Reporting Month: March 2013

FORM 423-1A		
Line No.	Column	Justification
1	H	(1) §366.093(3)(d) The information under "Invoice Price", identifies the basic component of the contract pricing mechanism. Disclosure of the invoice price, particularly if in conjunction with information under other columns discussed below, would enable suppliers to determine the pricing mechanisms of their competitors. The likely result would be greater price convergence in future bidding. Disclosure would also result in a reduced ability on the part of a major purchaser such as PEF to bargain for price concessions, since suppliers would be reluctant or unwilling to grant concessions that other potential purchasers would then expect.
1	I	(2) §366.093(3)(d) Disclosure of the Invoice Amount, when divided by the Volume figure available from column G, would also disclose the Invoice Price in column.
1	J	(3) §366.093(3)(d) Disclosure of the Discount, in conjunction with other information under columns K, L, M or N, could also disclose the Invoice Price shown in column H by mathematical deduction. In addition, disclosure of discounts resulting from bargaining concessions would impair the ability of PEF to obtain such concessions in the future for the reasons discussed in item (1) above.
1	K	(4) §366.093(3)(d) See item (3) above.
1	L	(5) §366.093(3)(d) See item (3) above.
1	M	(6) §366.093(3)(d) See item (3) above.
1	N	(7) §366.093(3)(d) See item (3) above. This column is particularly sensitive because it is usually the same as or only slightly different from the Invoice Price in column H.
1	O	(8) §366.093(3)(d) Disclosure of the Transportation to Terminal Charges, in conjunction with the information under column P, would also disclose the Effective Purchase Price in column N by subtracting them from the Delivered Price available in column Q.
1	P	(9) §366.093(3)(d) See item (8) above.

**FORM 423-2**

<b>Plant Name, Line No.</b>	<b>Column</b>	<b>Justification</b>
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1- 6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1- 4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	H	(10) §366.093(3)(d) The Effective Purchase Price is also found on Form 423-2A, column L, and on Form 423-2B, column G. In nearly every case it is the same as the FOB Mine Price found under column F on Form 423-2A, which is the current contract price of coal purchased from each supplier PEF, adjusted for quality. Disclosure of this information would enable suppliers to determine the prices of their competitors, which would likely result in greater price convergence in future bidding. Disclosure would also result in a reduced ability on the part of a major purchaser such as PEF to bargain for price concessions since suppliers would be reluctant or unwilling to grant concessions that other potential purchasers would then expect. In addition, disclosure of the Effective Purchase Price would also disclose the Total Transportation Cost in column H by subtracting column G from the FOB Plant Price in column I.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1- 6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1- 4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	I	(11) §366.093(3)(d) See item (25) below. In addition, disclosure of the Total Transportation Cost would also disclose the Effective Purchase Price in column G when subtracted from the FOB Plant Price in column I.

**FORM 423-2A**

<b>Plant Name, Lines</b>	<b>Column</b>	<b>Justification</b>
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-2 Crystal River, 1- 3 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-6 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	F	(12) §366.093(3)(d) The FOB Mine Price is the current contract price of coal purchased from each supplier by PEF. Disclosure of this information would enable suppliers to determine the prices of their competitors, which would likely result in greater price convergence in future bidding. Disclosure would also result in a reduced ability on the part of a major purchaser such as PEF to bargain for price concessions since suppliers would be reluctant or unwilling to grant concessions that other potential purchasers would then expect.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-2 Crystal River, 1- 3 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-6 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	H	(13) §366.093(3)(d) The Original Invoice Price is the same as the FOB Mine Price in column F, except in rare instances when the supplier is willing and able to disclose its short haul and loading costs (column G), if any, included in the contract price of coal. Disclosure would therefore be detrimental for the reasons identified in item (12) above.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-2 Crystal River, 1- 3 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-6 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	J	(14) §366.093(3)(d) The Base Price is the same as the Original Invoice Price in column H, since retroactive price adjustments (column I) are normally received well after the reporting month and are included on Form 423-2C at that time. Disclosure would therefore be detrimental for the reasons identified in item (12) above.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-2 Crystal River, 1- 3 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-6 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	K	(15) §366.093(3)(d) These adjustments are based on variations in coal quality characteristics (usually BTU content) between contract specifications and actual deliveries. Disclosure of this information would allow the FOB mine price to be calculated using the associated tonnage and available contract BTU specifications.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-2 Crystal River, 1- 3 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-6 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	L	(16) §366.093(3)(d) The Effective Purchase Price is the Base Price in column J adjusted by Quality Adjustments reported in column K. Disclosure would therefore be detrimental for the reasons identified in item (12) above.



**FORM 423-2B**

<b>Plant Name, Lines</b>	<b>Column</b>	<b>Justification</b>
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	G	(17) §366.093(3)(d) See item (16) above.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	I	(18) §366.093(3)(d) The information under Rail Rate is a function of PEF's contract rate with the railroad and the distance between each coal supplier and Crystal River. Since these distances are readily available, disclosure of the Rail Rate would effectively disclose the contract rate. This would impair the ability of a high volume user such as PEF to obtain rate concessions, since railroads would be reluctant to grant concessions that other rail users would then expect.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	J	(19) §366.093(3)(d) Other Charges Incurred consist of PEF's railcar ownership cost. This cost is internal information which is not available to any party with whom PEF contracts, railroads or otherwise. If this information were disclosed to the railroad, their existing knowledge of PEF's rail rates would allow them to determine PEF's total rail cost and be better able to evaluate PEF's opportunity to economically use competing transportation alternatives.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	K	(20) §366.093(3)(d) The figures under River Barge Rate are a portion of the total cost reported in the Transportation Charges under column P on Form 423-2B. See item (24) below. In the case of waterborne deliveries to the Crystal River Plants, the figures represent PEF's current river barge transportation rate. Disclosure of these transportation rates would enable coal suppliers to bid a FOB mine price calculated to produce a delivered plant price at or marginally below PEF's current delivered price, which is available on Form 423-2, column I. Without this opportunity to calculate a perceived maximum acceptable price, suppliers would be more likely to bid their best price.
Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0	L	(21) §366.093(3)(d) The figures under Transloading Rate are a portion of the total cost reported in the Transportation Charges under column P on Form 423-2B. See item (24) below. In the case of waterborne deliveries to the Crystal River Plants, the figures represent PEF's current transloading rate. Disclosure of these transportation rates would enable coal suppliers to bid a FOB mine price calculated to produce a delivered plant price at or marginally below PEF's current delivered price, which is available on Form 423-2, column I. Without this opportunity to calculate a perceived maximum acceptable price, suppliers would be more likely to bid their best price.

<p>Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0</p>	<p>M</p>	<p>(22) §366.093(3)(d) The figures under Ocean Barge Rate are a portion of the total cost reported in the Transportation Charges under column P on Form 423-2B. See item (24) below. In the case of waterborne deliveries to the Crystal River Plants, the figures represent PEF's current transloading rate. Disclosure of these transportation rates would enable coal suppliers to bid a FOB mine price calculated to produce a delivered plant price at or marginally below PEF's current delivered price, which is available on Form 423-2, column I. Without this opportunity to calculate a perceived maximum acceptable price, suppliers would be more likely to bid their best price.</p>
<p>Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>(23) §366.093(3)(d) The figures under Other Charges Incurred are a portion of the total cost reported in the Transportation Charges under column P on Form 423-2B. See item (24) below. In the case of waterborne deliveries to the Crystal River Plants, the figures represent PEF's current transloading rate. Disclosure of these transportation rates would enable coal suppliers to bid a FOB mine price calculated to produce a delivered plant price at or marginally below PEF's current delivered price, which is available on Form 423-2, column I. Without this opportunity to calculate a perceived maximum acceptable price, suppliers would be more likely to bid their best price.</p>
<p>Transfer Facility – Associated, 1-6 Transfer Facility – Crystal River, 1-6 Transfer Facility – IMT, 1-4 Transfer Facility – UBT, 0</p>	<p>O</p>	<p>(24) §366.093(3)(d) The figures under Total Transportation Charges are the total cost reported as transportation charges. In the case of waterborne deliveries to the Crystal River Plants, the figures represent PEF's current transloading rate. Disclosure of these transportation rates would enable coal suppliers to bid a FOB mine price calculated to produce a delivered plant price at or marginally below PEF's current delivered price, which is available on Form 423-2, column I. Without this opportunity to calculate a perceived maximum acceptable price, suppliers would be more likely to bid their best price.</p>

**Explanation of the Need to Maintain  
Confidential Classification for a 24-Month Duration**

The majority of the fuel and transportation contracts from which the costs in the 423 Report are derived contain annual price adjustment provisions. If existing or potential fuel and transportation suppliers were to obtain confidential contract pricing information for a prior reporting month within the currently effective 12-month adjustment period, current pricing information would be disclosed. In addition, if contractual pricing information for a reporting month in the previous 12-month adjustment period were to be obtained, the information would be only one adjustment removed from the current price. Suppliers knowledgeable in the recent escalation experience of their market could readily calculate a reasonably precise estimate of the current price.

To guard against providing suppliers with such a competitive advantage, confidential information must be protected from disclosure for the initial 12-month period in which it could remain current, and for the following 12-month period in which it can be readily converted into essentially current information. For example, if information for the first month under an adjusted contract price is reported in May of Year 1, the information will remain current through April of Year 2. Thereafter, the initial May, Year 1 information will be only one escalation adjustment removed from the current information reported each month through April, Year 3. If confidential classification of the May, Year 1 information were to expire after 18 months, suppliers would be able to accurately estimate current

prices in October, Year 2 using information that had been current only six months earlier.

An 18-month confidentiality period would effectively waste the protection given in the first six months of the second 12-month pricing period (months 13 through 18) by disclosing information of the same vintage in the last six months of the pricing period. The information disclosed in months 19 through 24 would be equally as detrimental in terms of revealing the current price as the information protected from disclosure during the preceding six months. To make the protection provided in months 13 through 18 meaningful, it must be extended through month 24.

Extending the confidentiality period by six months would mean that the information will be one additional price adjustment further removed from the current price at the time of disclosure. Simply put, a six-month extension provides an additional 12 months of protection.