			0001
FLORIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
In the Matter o	f:		
	DOCKET NO. 110	200-WU	
	INCREASE IN WATER IN COUNTY BY WATER ICES, INC/	CO	13 MAY
PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 9	COMMISSION	1Y-3 PH 1: 13
COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN		
DATE:	Thursday, April 25, 2013		
PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida		
REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732		
		DOUTH	ENT HUI
			475

# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

### PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. At this time we are going to move on to Item Number 9, and that is a panel. And so I'm going to turn the gavel over to Commissioner Edgar, and she's going to run the meeting from here on out.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We'll give a minute to let our staff switch out and get settled. We're going to take just a moment in place while everybody gets settled.

(Off the record.)

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. We are back on the record. I'll note that we are on Item 9, a panel, Edgar, Balbis, and Brown. This is post-hearing, so discussion is limited to Commissioners and to our staff. And, if you would, please go ahead and introduce the item for us.

MR. BROWN: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Todd Brown with Commission staff.

Item 9 is staff's post-hearing recommendation addressing the protested issues and resulting fallout issues related to Water Management Services' PAA rate case. Staff is prepared to answer any questions that you may have.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

24

18

19

20

21

22

Commissioners, I think I would suggest that we can take these items kind of in the small groupings as they are laid out before us. Are there any general questions or comments for the good of the order or for our staff before we do that?

Okay. Then the first grouping of issues is 1 and 2, which is rate base, and if our staff would go ahead and introduce 1 and 2 for us briefly.

MR. BROWN: Issue 1 addresses the appropriate working capital allowance. Staff is recommending an adjustment of \$39,885, which would bring the working capital allowance to zero for this utility. Staff's calculations ended up with a negative number, and it's typically the Commission's practice to, instead of including the negative number, to just bring that to zero.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** And I understand that Issue 2 is basically a fallout from that.

MR. BROWN: Correct, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Brown. COMMISSIONER BROWN: I'm prepared to make a motion on Issues 1 and 2. I move staff's recommendation on Issues 1 and 2.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you. A motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

1	(Vote taken.)
2	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.
3	The next issue would be cost of capital,
4	Issue 3.
5	MR. MAUREY: Andrew Maurey, Commission Staff.
6	The cost of long-term debt was a protested issue in the
7	PAA case. Therefore, the weighted average cost of
8	capital had to be litigated in the hearing. We have the
9	cost of capital of 5.61 that's recommended in this case.
10	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioners, any
11	questions or discussion?
12	Commissioner Brown.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I have two questions
13 14	<b>COMMISSIONER BROWN:</b> I have two questions regarding the cost of life insurance being included in
14	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in
14 15	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is
14 15 16	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in
14 15 16 17	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the
14 15 16 17 18	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the other Commissioners here some information, is there
14 15 16 17 18 19	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the other Commissioners here some information, is there evidence in the record that shows that the policy was a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the other Commissioners here some information, is there evidence in the record that shows that the policy was a requirement by the bank for making the loan?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the other Commissioners here some information, is there evidence in the record that shows that the policy was a requirement by the bank for making the loan? MR. MAUREY: There was testimony that the life
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regarding the cost of life insurance being included in the O&M rather than in the cost of capital here. Is there evidence we talked a little bit about this in the briefing, but for purposes of just providing the other Commissioners here some information, is there evidence in the record that shows that the policy was a requirement by the bank for making the loan? MR. MAUREY: There was testimony that the life insurance, the beneficiary of the life insurance had to

that -- historically, when life insurance is required in loans, it is either factored into the cost of debt by the bank itself, or in rarer occasions it's a separate cost. In this case, an O&M expense.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** But it would be delineated, though, in the actual promissory note, per se?

MR. MAUREY: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BROWN: But we don't have -- do we have that documentation in the record requiring that Mr. Brown obtain the life insurance as part of -- as collateral, other than the assignment? I know we have the assignment with just his signature, but not the lender's.

MR. MAUREY: Yes. And in this instance the loan is a perspective loan, and it's going to be a requirement of the loan when it occurs. But the project hasn't taken place, and it's our understanding the loan hasn't occurred yet, either. Because the rate increase that was granted in the PAA was for a pro form project that has not taken place yet.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** And I guess I just want some assurances from staff, really, that they are comfortable with having -- with the information that we have in the record, the fact that we don't have the

lender's signature on the assignment, it's not a fully executed assignment, but I want assurances from staff that they are comfortable having this -- that the utility has proven this as a justifiable and reasonable and prudent cost.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know it's a small number here, but I always -- I would prefer to have both the lender and Mr. Brown have that assignment in the record, and we don't have that. So I would like some assurances from our legal staff that they are comfortable with that.

MR. LAWSON: The requirement for insurance in a loan, especially in this situation, is largely a business decision of the bank. Now, the fact that we do have a document signed by Mr. Brown that charges him with assigning it meets the minimum legal requirement. As far as the bank is concerned, traditionally when this occurs it is usually handled by the bank. The bank requires insurance, and sometimes they do in these situations, particularly given Mr. Brown's age, they would usually handle the insurance requirements themselves and make that part of the loan payment. This is also similar to situations where people have a mortgage on their house and there is a requirement for insurance to be provided to cover the cost of the mortgage.

In this instance, however, we have two -- the unique situation is the loan has not been yet consummated. When that occurs, there is nothing to prohibit us from requesting to see proof and documentation that says, yes, the loan has been had, here are the loan documents; the note, the addendums, the insurance requirements, and whatnot, and verifying that that has taken place.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondarily, the information we have, which is the testimony from Mr. Brown, and, of course, the document, which preferably we would prefer to see completely signed by all parties. But in this case, with Mr. Brown's signature it meets the minimum legal requirement that a charging document has been signed by the party who will be liable.

I believe the layman's terms is we are not letting perfect be the enemy of good. But based on the situation we have at the time, it does meet sort of a minimum standard. And, yes, you are absolutely right, this is something we, as staff, both legal and technical staff would want to follow as the loan consummates and becomes a real loan and not a perspective loan.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. The reason why this also raised some concerns to me is because in the PAA there wasn't an assignment to the lender at the

time. So it just -- there was red flags all over it on this for me.

MR. LAWSON: And you are absolutely correct in that this is something that has come since the PAA, so it is obviously something that as it develops we have to monitor.

# COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Commissioner Brown, do you have any suggested language or further direction that you would like to give to your staff before we vote on this item -- our staff?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I would definitely like to see evidence included after the loan has been consummated. Since the ratepayers will be bearing the cost of this life insurance policy as part of the collateral, further evidence, I don't know if that's -- is that a condition of approval? Can we obtain additional evidence after the record has been closed indicating --

MR. MAUREY: Commissioners -- oh, I'm sorry. There is a true-up process in place for this project, and at that time we can verify all the costs that went into the project, including the cost incurred for the loan, or we will do those things.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Does the true-up -- the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

true-up doesn't present new evidence, though, like --1 MR. MAUREY: That's correct. It will not --2 3 there will be no need to reopen the record, we will not enter new evidence. We will look at the -- the 4 pro forma, the increase for the pro forma project was 5 based on a set of assumptions. We will look at the 6 7 actual costs incurred to make the pro forma project come to fruition, we'll compare the two, and bring a 8 9 recommendation to the Commission about what to do with the difference. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. I think we could go ahead and vote on this item, since it's not included 12 13 in this issue. It just references it, and --14 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: If I may, hang on for a second, I believe Commissioner Balbis has a question or 15 16 a comment. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes, thank you. And I'm 17 glad that Mr. Maurey brought up the true-up provision, 18 19 because I have, with some other issues, some concerns 20 that perhaps we could utilize the true-up mechanism, if 21

you will, to provide additional document. So that might be a workable solution. Because, I, too, had some real concerns as Commissioner Brown did on this issue.

22

23

24

25

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. What I think I'm hearing is perhaps that we would be ready for a motion

to approve the staff recommendation on Issue 3 with the understanding that there may, before we have concluded this item, be some further discussion, perhaps some additional direction to staff, and perhaps some additional language in a final order, but that we are not quite there yet. Does that -- so, Commissioner Brown, a motion?

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. I'm going to move to approve Issue 3.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. A second? COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Second.

**CHAIRMAN BRISÉ:** A motion and a second. All in favor say aye.

(Vote taken.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

The next grouping of issues involves net operating income, Issues 4 through 10A. I expect there may be some questions or discussion. So if the staff would go ahead and introduce Issue 4.

20 MR. BROWN: Issue 4 basically asks whether any 21 adjustments needed to made to contractual services 22 accounting expenses. Staff believed that accounting 23 expenses should be reduced by \$5,883, which brings us to 24 the 3,667. That's the same amount that was approved in 25 the last rate case a couple of years back, and I think

that's it on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. And if you would go ahead with Issue 5, as well. We can take those two up together.

MR. BROWN: Issue 5, whether any adjustment should be made to transportation expenses. And at the end the PAA, or as part of the PAA process, staff recommended no recovery for transportation expenses. The utility provided additional documentation during the PAA phase, which essentially was 2011 mileage logs, and staff believes that they should be allowed to recover transportation expense and made a small adjustment, what you see here in Issue 5.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Commissioners, any questions or comments on Issues 4 and 5?

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I have a quick question on Issue 5. And can you explain in detail the mileage logs that were provided? Because I know it wasn't provided during the PAA process. And was it -- was it included? I have some concerns in that it may not have been included in the test year, and it was 2011, and are we setting a precedent here, or not.

MR. BROWN: They were actually 2011 mileage logs. We have a 2010 test year here, but they did

25

provide the 2011 mileage logs. There was no requirement for them to keep mileage logs for basically the executive officers of the water company in 2010. So they provided the 2011 mileage logs, made a slight adjustment, the utility did, when factoring for 2010, and then staff made an additional adjustment off that. I don't know if that answers your question or not, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: It does. I just want to make sure that the utility met its burden of proof for these expenses and that they are appropriate to recover through rates.

MR. BROWN: Staff believes they are.

**COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. With that, I move approval on Issues 4 and 5, or staff's recommendation on Issues 4 and 6.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** A motion and a second to approve staff rec on Issues 4 and 5.

All in favor say aye.

(Vote taken.)

21 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you. 22 And we can look at 6 and 7. So we'll start 23 with 6.

MR. BROWN: Issue 6, whether any adjustment should be made to rate case expense previously

authorized by the Commission, which is currently being amortized and customer rates, and if so, in what amount. Staff believes that no adjustment to the previously authorized rate case expense is necessary.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** And then if you would go ahead and present 7, and we will look at those together.

MR. BROWN: Issue 7 is the appropriate amount of additional rate case expense associated with the protest of the Commission's PAA order. Staff believes the appropriate amount of rate case expense associated with the protest is \$108,271.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Commissioners, any questions?

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I just wanted to make a comment. I appreciate the Office of Public Counsel's concerns raised in Issue 6. I was not persuaded by them, though. I believe the record evidence indicated Mr. Brown's commitment to pay off those, the prior rate case, and if fails to do so, there is another court of competent jurisdiction that could handle that matter. But I just wanted to make that comment. And with that, I would move item -- go ahead.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Balbis.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you.

I want to discuss probably an overarching theme, if you will, for both of these issues. And there was a lot of evidence and discussion during the hearing about the lack of payment from Mr. Brown to their previous consultant. I think it was somewhere upwards of \$300,000. And I agree with staff on Issue 6 on previous rate case expense, but I do have some concern with Issue 7, and it really deals with assurances that the company will be paying its vendors.

And I know that there is another avenue for vendors to receive payment. However, customers are paying rates that include the payment to these vendors. And we have a company that has a history of lack of payment. And I think that ultimately customers will suffer for that; whether it is the lack of quality consultants that the utility can hire due to this lack of payment issue, or increased costs associated with it, I don't know. But I do recognize that in other issues, staff recommends quarterly reporting on that, and it goes to that true-up discussion we had before.

And I wanted to ask staff if you have any recommendations with that quarterly reporting or annual reporting, some sort of documentation that the vendors have been paid, especially associated with rate case

expense, because he has not made those payments in the past.

MR. MOURING: Yes, Commissioner. In Issue 10 later in this discussion, staff does recommend a quarterly report for an unrelated matter to rate case expense. But it would be administratively easy to add that requirement to that quarterly reporting, that the company be required to document payments to its consultants accompanied with affidavits from these vendors when they are paid in full.

# COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you.

And coming from the local government side, and I know it's a different contractual relationship, but all of the vendors required with their invoices affidavits of release of liens from subcontractors to avoid this issue. I know it's different. It's a different contractual relationship, but I think that that would be a way for staff to monitor if these vendors have been paid and not have an additional administrative burden on the company.

So if it's appropriate, I would move staff's recommendation on Issues 6 and 7 with the final order including direction for the utility to include in their quarterly reports documentation that the vendors have been paid.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Brown. COMMISSIONER BROWN: I will second it, but I do have a question for staff as a follow-up.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** With those quarterly reports, what can we do with that information, even if we have it, that Commissioner Balbis is suggesting?

MR. MAUREY: Well, the quarterly reports that I first mentioned from Issue 10 were related to actions that the company may take in the future. Sometimes those reports won't -- there won't be any action to report. They will send us a report saying nothing has taken place. But with this requirement, they will continue to file a quarterly report noting the progress in paying rate case expense.

Your question is well taken. The Commission's ability to force payment in the future is limited, but it would be information the Commission could have to address with the company about what's going on and have a conversation with the company about that. But I'll defer to Legal about what we can specifically do regarding lack of payment.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

MS. BARRERA: Commissioners, we believe that consistent with case law that states that the Commission

has no power over contractual disputes, and this would be a contractual dispute between the vendor and the utility, that our Commission's power is limited in this circumstance. We have the authority, the Commission has the authority, however, to order reports, and -- but it's very limited as far as the power is concerned.

> COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Balbis. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you.

And I'm not sure who to direct this to, but this Commission often, in fact, almost always, specifically towards pro forma projects, require documentation and true-ups to document that those costs have been incurred. So we have done that in the past, although you can argue that those are contractual relationships, as well.

In this case I'm not proposing that we modify the rates as the vendor is being paid. We approve the revenue requirements associated with the rate case expense. And then there is a true-up process, whether it is quarterly or the end of the year, to make sure those costs have been incurred similar to what we have done with pro forma plant projects. And so although we may have limited jurisdiction, we commonly deal with those sorts of projects in that manner to make sure that the revenues associated with that and the costs are

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

incurred.

So I don't see where this is much different. I think we'll cross that bridge when we get there, if we see an issue for lack of payment. But we have a company that has, in the past, not paid its vendors. We did receive some assurances during the hearing that vendors will be paid, but I believe it was a question asked to one of the vendors if their bills have been paid, and they answered yes. So I don't think we're going to have an issue. I would like greater assurances that we are monitoring this, and I think we have precedence as to how we deal with pro forma projects, so I don't think it's that much of a stretch.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And just to follow up on that, I think kind of what I'm hearing is an interest -and I would concur -- an interest in, you know, ongoing monitoring and the information to come forward as appropriate to facilitate that monitoring. And should there be a need for an investigation, that the staff would, of course, bring that to the Commission's attention.

But, Ms. Barrera, if you have additional -- **MS. BARRERA:** Yes, I would just note that the fees that are collected are amortized over four years. So the Commission may want to include as payment, you

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

know, as reporting to extend that over a four-year period to ensure that the payments are being made. Whether or not that's required, I mean, it's not, but --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Let me ask, because I'm not remembering and I don't want to lose my place here right now. We are not there yet, but for Issue 10 that was raised in the quarterly report that is in there, what is the time period that those quarterly reports would be required?

MR. MAUREY: In the staff's recommendation that's open-ended.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Commissioners, any additional questions or discussion on this discussion? No.

Anything additional from our staff?

MS. BARRERA: I just didn't want to commit to a specific time whereby the legal fees -- I mean, the rate case expense would be finalized, and give the discretion to staff to bring it back for the investigation, should that -- no payments are being made with the quarterly reporting.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. I think that's included in the motion that was made. And we can also discuss, if we choose to, and I probably will ask that we do, when we come to the last issue of close the

docket. We can talk about what other things are kind of 1 hanging out there from the discussion that we have had. 2 So with that, we have had a motion and a 3 second on Issues 6 and 7 for the staff recommendation, 4 5 including, then, the additional information in the quarterly reports. 6 7 All in favor say aye. (Vote taken.) 8 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Show that adopted. 9 Thank 10 you. Okay. Let's look at Issues 8 and 9. 11 Issues 8 is whether any 12 MR. BROWN: 13 adjustments should be made to miscellaneous expense. Staff believes that miscellaneous expense should be 14 15 decreased by \$2,585, and plant increased by \$2,585. There is also a corresponding adjustment that needs to 16 be made to depreciation expense, and that's all on that. 17 Did you have any questions on Issue 8? 18 19 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I'm sorry? 20 MR. BROWN: Did you have any questions on Issue 8, or do you want me to proceed to 9? 21 22 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I don't see any, so let's 23 go ahead and do 9. Thank you. 24 MR. BROWN: Issue 9, basically, how should the 25 net gain on sale of land and other assets be treated.

1	The gain on sale of land and other assets should be
2	amortized over five years. The annual amortization as
3	calculated by staff is \$1,159.
4	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Any
5	questions?
6	Commissioner Balbis.
7	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you.
8	I just want to make a comment on Issue 9,
9	because I spent a lot of time on this issue and
10	reviewing the evidence in the record and meeting with
11	staff. And what really convinced me to agree with staff
12	on this issue was the fact that these lots were not in
13	rate base, is that correct?
14	MR. BROWN: That's correct.
15	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. So with that, I
16	can agree with staff on Issues 8 and 9.
17	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I think that is a motion.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
19	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And there is a second.
20	So all in favor say aye.
21	(Vote taken.)
22	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.
23	And that brings us to 10 and 10A.
24	MR. MAUREY: Commissioners, Issue 10 deals
25	with the question have utility's advances to WMSI's

president and associated companies had an inverse impact on the utility or its ratepayers; and, if so, what action should be taken.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the course of the review of this issue, it was determined that money moved in both directions from the utility to the utility's president and associated companies and back, but at the end of the day, a net \$1.2 million left the utility. Over the period that this occurred, certain payments were missed, other payments weren't made. It's the view that the cost structure of the utility was increased as a result of these transactions.

Therefore, staff recommends the quarterly reporting requirement that I spoke of earlier, delineating all advances, loans, investments, notes receivable, and accounts receivable between WMSI and the president and associated companies be documented and reported to the Commission on a quarterly basis. And in Issue 10A, staff recommends that an adjustment to the president's salary equivalent to 15 percent be made, which will with the accompanying adjustments reduce O&M expense by \$19,046.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Balbis. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. I want to focus a little bit on Issue 10, and this is something

that was given a lot of attention during the hearing, and a lot of questions that I asked the company about the amendments to the DEP loan. And those -- and I think that is a tangible result of the transferring of dollars in and out, and that loan payments were missed and that the principal was added to the loan itself, and that may have resulted in additional costs to the customers.

So I had staff put together information as to how much additional costs were incurred because of that. And, Mr. Maurey, could you please summarize what that was?

## MR. MAUREY: Yes, sir.

Over the course of this loan, missed interest payments were capitalized to principal. So over the 20 years remaining on this life, the interest associated on missed interest payments, interest on interest comes to approximately \$352,000 over the life of the loan. This is not associated with the \$1.1 million of interest that comes from extending the maturity, but rather simply interest on interest payments that were capitalized to principal. And if you look at that \$352,000 divided by the 20 years remaining over the life of the loan, that's approximately \$17,626 a year additional impact for the cost of this loan.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. And it kind of leads into 10A. I do have concerns with staff's recommendation of a 15 percent reduction. I think it may appear arbitrary to do so, and I have a lot of concern with any discussion, although it was just in the staff analysis of a finding of managerial imprudence or managerial negligence which I do not want to do. But I do believe that if customers have additional costs that were incurred because of the actions of the company, that that should not be reflected in rates.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And I believe that making an adjustment that reflects the additional interest costs of the \$17,626 would be more appropriate than a somewhat arbitrary 15 percent reduction in the president's salary. So I would like to hear from my colleagues on that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Brown. COMMISSIONER BROWN: You took the words, again, right out of my mouth. But the salary reduction should be tied, I think, to the percentage of additional costs that the customers have had to bear because of Mr. Brown's actions. So making that 17,600 per year, what would that percentage be in terms of salary reduction?

24 MR. MAUREY: That equates to 18.3 percent of25 his current salary.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** And, Commissioners, that would be my recommendation so that there is a clear nexus between those costs that they unfortunately had to bear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** And to our staff, are you comfortable that that 17,626 annual is a hard number?

**MR. MAUREY:** Yes. This analysis was based on the evidence of the record, yes.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Balbis. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. Then with that, I move we modify staff's recommendations on Issues 10 and 10A to include an adjustment to the president's salary of a reduction of \$17,626 to reflect the additional interest costs to the ratepayers.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** If I may make a friendly suggestion?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Brown. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Instead of doing that 17,000, could you do the percentage as Mr. Maurey just -- would that be clearer?

21 And I don't know if that is a question for 22 Mr. Maurey or Commissioner Balbis. Which would be 23 cleaner?

**MR. MAUREY:** Well, the 17,626 is a fixed number. The 18.3 percent could change as his salary

changes over time. We'd also suggest -- the total 1 adjustment we were recommending of 19,000 was because of 2 other payroll taxes, benefits, and pension expense. 3 We would not get into that. We would just take 17,626 out 4 of O&M expenses and that would be the adjustment. 5 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: And I think that would 6 7 be cleaner, unless, Commissioner Brown, you see a reason to go the other way. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BROWN: No. Second. 10 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Good question. 11 Thank you. All right. We have a motion to approve the 12 staff recommendation on Items 10 and 10A with the 13 adjustment to the amount to be changed for salary to be 14 the 17,626 per year interest number. 15 All in favor say aye. 16 17 (Vote taken.) COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Opposed? Show it 18 19 adopted. Commissioner Balbis. 20 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. And before 21 22 we get to the next issue, I think it is appropriate at this point to bring up the issue of the water storage 23 tank that was discussed at the hearing, was discussed 24 25 during the PAA process that everyone agrees is a

critical project and needs to move forward. And I'm just wondering if staff has an update as to where the utility is with that project?

MR. MAUREY: We have become notified that a permit has been requested of DEP to begin that project, but that's the beginning steps. It's not an issue in this proceeding that you are voting on today, but it is -- you're not voting on this matter. It's strictly informational, but they are moving forward on the project.

**COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** I just thought it was appropriate to get an update as to where they are with the storage tank, so thank you.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. That brings us to Issue 11, revenue requirement. To our staff.

MR. BROWN: Commissioner, I believe Issues 11 through 13, and then 16 through 18 may all be fallout. Mr. Maurey and Mr. Fletcher, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe those are all basically fallout issues.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. Noting that 15 was withdrawn. So 11, 12, 13, 16, and 17, is that what you said?

23 MR. BROWN: 16, 17, and I thought 18 might be 24 included, as well.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. I would recognize

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

that, as our staff has suggested, that those are fallout 1 2 issues addressing the revenue requirement, the rates, and some miscellaneous related matters. I would expect 3 that staff, for the decision that we made on 10A, will 4 there need to be an adjustment? 5 MR. BROWN: Staff's understanding. 6 7 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. Commissioners, any questions or discussion on 8 9 Issues 11 through 18? 10 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Madam Chair, I'm prepared to make a motion. 11 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Please do. 12 COMMISSIONER BROWN: I move staff 13 recommendation on Items 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, and 18 14 15 with the caveat that staff may have administrative authority to make any adjustments as appropriate, based 16 17 on our previous actions. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 18 Commissioner Balbis. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. I second that motion. But for purposes of 21 22 discussion, especially considering Issue Number 17, which is the PAA refund, noting the modifications that 23 we have made, what is the ballpark revenue requirement 24 from what was recommended in PAA and what the result of 25

this protest is?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

25

MR. BROWN: I've got numbers. They're not going to reflect the adjustments made this morning to the salary. But prior to this the difference was about a 7 percent increase over the PAA. Is that what you're looking for, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. It's about \$92,000 overall. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. That's all I had. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Was there -- there was a second there?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. So we have had a motion and a second to approve on Items 11 through 18, also granting our staff administrative authority to make whatever adjustments per our earlier decisions.

All in favor say aye.

(Vote taken.)

19COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All opposed?20Show it adopted. Thank you.21That brings us to Issue 19, close the docket.22Staff, any additional comment on that?23MR. BROWN: I don't have any, no,

Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That was included in the

	00003
1	motion. Okay. Commissioners, any other comments?
2	Commissioner Brown.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I would
4	really like to see, in addition to verifying the tariff
5	sheets, that staff obtain and verify the loan documents
6	and make any necessary true-up adjustments included in
7	this issue.
8	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Does the staff have a
9	question about that request?
10	MS. BARRERA: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ms. Barrera.
12	MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, may you clarify,
13	just so I can write it?
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: As Mr. Maurey suggested
15	earlier under, I believe, it was Issue 3 with regard to
16	the requirement of the life insurance policy as part of
17	collateral for the loan, since the loan has not been
18	executed, Mr. Maurey suggested that there is a true-up
19	mechanism to validate or verify that.
20	MR. MAUREY: That's correct.
21	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So what he suggested.
22	MS. BARRERA: Thank you.
23	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Commissioners
24	that was a motion?
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Yes, it was. Thank you.
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000031
1	<b>COMMISSIONER EDGAR:</b> Okay. Is there a second?
2	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Second.
3	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: A motion and a second
4	with the staff recommendation, as any additional
5	information in the motion by Commissioner Brown.
6	All in favor say aye.
7	(Vote taken.)
8	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Show it adopted.
9	Commissioners, that is the last item before
10	us. Any other comments before we close?
11	No. All right. Thank you to our staff, and,
12	of course, to the parties for their participation to get
13	us to this point.
14	Our agenda conference is adjourned.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000032
1	
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	COUNTY OF LEON )
5	
6	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard
7	at the time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that
9	the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a
10	true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
12	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
13	financially interested in the action.
14	DATED THIS 3rd day of May, 2013.
15	
16	VIA a Derricol
17	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
18	(850) 413-6732
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION