l			i
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
2			
3	In the Matter of:  DOCKET NO. 110013-TP		
4	DECKERS FOR GUE		013-17
5	REQUEST FOR SUE PROPOSALS FOR R	RELAY SERVICE,	
6	BEGINNING IN JUNE 2012, FOR THE DEAF, HARD OF HEARING, DEAF/BLIND, OR SPEECH IMPAIRED, AND OTHER IMPLEMENTATION MATTERS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FLORIDA TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS SYSTEM ACT OF 1991.  PM 4: 32		
7			
8	TELECOMMUNICATI	ONS ACCESS SYSTEM	COMMISSI
9	ACT OF 1991.	/	SION 4:3
10			N
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3	
12		TIEM NO. 3	
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ	
14		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM	
15		COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN	
16	DATE:	Tuesday, May 14, 2013	
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
18		4075 Esplanade Way	
19	REPORTED BY:	Tallahassee, Florida  JANE FAUROT, RPR	
20	REPORTED BI.	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732	1
21		(830) 413-6732	
22			
23			
24			ENTRE TOTAL
25			-
			DOCUMENT NUF

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03235 JUNII =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## PROCEEDINGS

\_

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Moving to Item Number 3.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Commissioners. Curtis Williams on behalf of staff.

Item 3 addresses Florida

Telecommunications Relay, Incorporated's 2013/2014

proposed budget. During staff's review of the

budget, we discovered that the relay provider

expense, which are services provided by AT&T and

FTRI, was based on conversation minutes instead of

session minutes. Staff made an adjustment to

increase relay provider expense by \$780,682,

resulting in a total operating expense of

10.1 million.

Staff is recommending that FTRI's 2013/2014 projected revenues and expenses, as modified by Staff, be approved and the relay surcharge be maintained at the 11 cents per month.

James Forstall, who is Executive Director of FTRI, and Doc Horton, their legal counsel, are here to answer questions. And staff, of course, is also prepared to answer questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much.

Commissioners, we have heard the item.

2 Commissioner Brown.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As many of you know from years past, I have some questions and concerns regarding the FTRI budget, the annual budget. It has continuously come before us. We have substantial deficits, requiring us to tap into the surplus year after year. I don't think it is a sustainable business model with the knowledge that the minutes of use continue to decline. For that reason, I keep scrutinizing these costs and expenses.

I just don't think it is sustainable at all at this juncture, so I'm going to go through a couple of the items that I think I'd like FTRI to look a little bit more closely at. Starting on Pages 8 and 9. It's on Attachment A, Commissioners. I'm just going to focus on a couple of them.

There were a lot of different areas that I thought may have been a little inflated looking at last year's approved budget and comparing it to this year's request, so I'm limiting it to the larger items that I have a concern with.

The big one starting out is the outreach expenses. That's on Line Item 25, Category 4.

FTRI, this is addressed to you. You are requesting to keep the budget the same as last year, even though you have not spent it all yet this year. I'd like to know what the justification is for keeping it at last year's approved budget. And there are several questions in this area, but first what is your justification for that?

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. Good morning. First of all, to address the reason why we are maintaining the outreach budget as approved from last year is because we want to continue to do the same amount of outreach that we have done in the past. The reason for some of the expenses that may be left this year, the actual, is because we always try to get the best price we can for services, product. We may propose a certain amount, but during the negotiation or the actual purchase of the service, we do get the best price available for those products. That doesn't necessarily mean it's going to be equal to what we propose.

Also, we want to continue the level of outreach we have done in the past. We are finding that newspapers seem to be a very, very effective approach to promote the program throughout the State of Florida. Of course, newspaper expense continues to rise. Unfortunately, we are only able to do part

of the year. We do two particular sessions of the
year in outreach advertising in newspapers. We do a
fall and a spring. We would, of course, love to be
able to do it all year round, but newspaper being
what it is, it is an expensive media to promote the

program.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

And you say that you try to get the lowest cost available. Is there any other cost-effective alternatives that you have explored to cut down on that? It's a big part of your budget, over half a million. I'm curious what other cost-effective alternatives you have looked at.

MR. FORSTALL: We do look at the social media networking and trying to work with promoting the program through the Internet. Direct mail. We do contract with regional distribution centers throughout the state that promote outreach in their area, reaching out to the people in their community.

That's a major portion of the budget, as well. And it is all depending on what they do apply to do the outreach. For instance, they'll apply for certain activities, and we'll review it, approve it, and reimburse them for that.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Are clients continuing to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

decrease or increase from last year?

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: This year we are seeing a decrease overall for new clients. However, it changes. Other services continue to pretty much stabilize, but new clients is what we would like to see increase, but it has decreased over last year.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** So what quantifiable results have you seen from the outreach expense from last year if clients are decreasing?

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. Of course, we always try to target to increase the clients from over the previous year, prior year. Just to maintain what we have done, hopefully.

Let me back up. This year we did take a different approach with the newspaper as opposed to what we have done in the past. We are trying to get more exposure for the same amount of money spreading out to newspapers in other areas, the rural areas. And we find that we didn't think it was as successful as the prior year in putting it in major metropolitan newspapers, and that's what we want to do this coming fiscal year, is go back to that model. And hopefully we should see an increase in the number of clients that we do reach in that market.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. I read one of your data requests. It was the general outreach operating budget. It was -- you were asking for increases in conference exhibit fees, RDC outreach contracts, and seminars. So I'm curious of what results you are seeking to achieve by increasing these costs when, again, clients are decreasing.

MR. FORSTALL: Exhibiting is when an RDC will go to a health fair throughout the state. A couple of years ago we withdrew that from the proposed method for outreach. However, in talking with the regional distribution centers throughout the state, they have expressed concern to us that they felt like part of the decrease was due to not being exposed in the exhibits throughout the state.

It is a difficult venue to track. Because when people do go in an exhibit, they are exposed to the program and, of course, we may hand them an application and we will identify it on the application, a code, for that particular event.

Sometimes the clients may not -- may hang on to the application or that information. It may go to a center later. It can be a little challenging tracking that information as to how exactly they heard about the program, because we do have a

tracking mechanism in place, and one of the challenges are through exhibits. But in talking with the centers, because we do solicit their feedback and input as to what works in their area, and the majority of them have said that the exhibit is — they want to get back out into exhibiting, exposing their program to the masses.

2.0

And in the past we have worked closely with Senior Expo, an organization that has exhibits, expos throughout the state. And we have worked directly with them to negotiate good fees so we can go to the different exhibits throughout the state, and then we will refer those to our local distribution centers to go and expose and display the X-drive program in those areas.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: With your indulgence, I just have a few, several few questions.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Go right ahead.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: In your opinion, why do you think that clients are decreasing when you are spending a lot of money on outreach? Is there other technology that's being utilized?

MR. FORSTALL: It's a combination of both.

It's really difficult to pinpoint exactly one or two things. But we do know that clients, in my opinion,

that are migrating from landline to wireless, and unfortunately we don't provide services or equipment to consumers with wireless because of the way the statutes are set up. However, we feel like there are people out there who have wireless that could benefit from our program, had we had the capability to distribute those equipment.

2.0

Another is the technology advances. And, again, throughout the year when we do outreach, you know, talking with different people, we do hear from people that have never heard of it before. So we do feel like we still need to continue to do outreach to the community.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to shift to another category.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: I don't know if Commissioner Balbis had a question on that same issue, but we will come back to you.

## **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I'm not sure where her line of questioning is going,

but I would like to follow-up on some of the questions

she had asked and shift a little bit.

I think there was good discussion on attempts from the company to increase revenues, but

what have you done to decrease your operating costs?

Because there is a gap between costs and revenues,

and you seem to be hitting the reserve account. So

what have you done to reduce your costs?

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. Unfortunately, we only have control over a couple of categories that directly impact administrative. We always budget enough equipment to make sure that we do not run out or be short of equipment, because we have never had to turn down a client or deny a client services because we don't have equipment. So we always -- we look at the actual, and then we will project what we anticipate in the next fiscal year. So we want to make sure that we have enough equipment on hand to make sure that when a client does request it we are able to provide that equipment.

The primary area where we do have better control of the expenses are outreach and administrative. A few years back we had cut the administrative as close as we can. We have trimmed back tremendously. And outreach is another area, and we do trim back as much as we can.

Again, as I explained earlier, some of the avenues or methods that we use to reach out to people are not -- they are expensive. They do cost money, and we try to always look for the best return

on our investment in getting the word out as much as possible.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Directing your attention to employee compensation, Line Item 42 for you, Commissioners.

My understanding from your data request is that you are asking for a 3.9 percent increase this year to staff compensation, although last year you received a 2 percent merit-based increase for staff employees. I believe your data request said that the board of directors ultimately had to approve it after we approved that 2 percent merit increase.

I'm trying to understand the justification for the jump to 3.9 percent. I know you mentioned overtime. If you could elaborate on those factors and who receives overtime and why.

MR. FORSTALL: Absolutely. Actually, the increase for the compensation is 3 percent. And historically FTRI has always budgeted an excess amount, 3 to 6 percent over compensation current, just in the event that the board does approve increases. The overtime is in the event that we have some special project that needs special attention to, and we may need

to have employees work extra just to get caught up, for instance, in the database. For instance, we like to update it and keep it up-to-date with current information. And sometimes we will have spent a little extra overtime to help assist with cleaning up the database.

2.0

A good example would be clients in our database range anywhere from 80 -- we have a variety of age range, but we do have a number of clients that move. As they age, they move into a different bracket. And then after a period of time they do decease, and they pass on, and we have no way of knowing that. So what we do is we will go into a program that allows us to see if the person is still active in our program. If they are not, then we will make them inactive. So we take a little extra time to clean up the database for that particular reason.

Unfortunately, when people do pass away, we are not always notified of that. The family members may not be aware that the product does actually belong to FTRI to return it back to us. We do get some back, but we do not get all of them.

And so those particular clients are the ones that we need to identify in our database.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Have you traditionally 1 paid overtime? I don't remember that in last year, the 2 previous year's category. 3 MR. FORSTALL: Not always. We like to budget 4 for it in the event we do need it. But last year, I 5 think you are correct, we had very little of it. This 6 7 year we may have -- I don't know the exact figure of the overtime amount, but it's probably the .9 percent that 8 9 you are talking about, and we only use it if necessary. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. And to speak to 10 the question that I had, increasing it from a 2 percent 11 merit increase to then let's just address it to a 12 13 3 percent increase. What is the justification? MR. FORSTALL: The 3 percent is, I think -- if 14 15 I can remember correctly, is that we picked that figure 16 as being the max. We always max, budget the maximum 17 amount. It used to be 6 percent and we cut it down to 3 percent, and that's just the explanation I have for 18 19 you. 20 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. May I move on if --21 22 CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure. 23 COMMISSIONER BROWN: If the other Commissioners don't have a question, I will move to 24

25

another category.

Insurance, Line Item 34. It's on Page 9.

I notice the insurance is almost as much as the employee compensation. How many employees do you employ?

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: We have 15 full-time employees.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. That insurance seems to be a pretty high number. I'm curious if any of the staff members contribute towards the insurance or if FTRI pays for all the insurance?

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. FTRI pays 100 percent for all full-time employees. Family members pay -- any addition will pay a portion of the insurance.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Have you done anything to mitigate the increasing insurance costs? Again, it's almost as much as the employee compensation here.

MR. FORSTALL: A few years ago FTRI did make a major change in the health insurance premium policy and it did reduce the cost at that time. I'm guessing six or seven years ago.

We are looking now in cost comparison with other insurance providers in the area so we can get a cost comparison. We are looking at other ways to reduce the cost to maybe assist -- with employees assisting more with the coverage payment, but we are looking into it.

1 COMMISSIONER BROWN: I'd like to move to 2 another category.

2.0

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: It's really kind of an overriding category of how -- what efforts you have made to trim down your budget. And really is there any other areas here that you see that FTRI has looked at to trim down this budget? Again, to make it more sustainable, to stop having, you know, a deficit; to stop having, you know, to tap into the surplus fund.

MR. FORSTALL: And another area where we are working to reduce costs, and I failed to address it earlier, is we do equipment bid process. And when we do that, we do try to reduce costs on the pieces of equipment that we do purchase. So we do have a process in place to reduce costs in Category 2, I believe. And of course, we always try to negotiate a better price for the regional distribution centers in their contracts.

MR. HORTON: Commissioner Brown and

Commissioners, you are absolutely correct about normally
you would not want to budget a deficit. The fact is for
the last several years we have intentionally tried to
reduce the amount of the surplus. That's with -- we let
it build up because of some possible FCC action and now
we are trying to reduce it. We're not going out on a

spending spree by any stretch of the imagination, but rather than increasing the surcharge to bring in the revenues, we have been dipping into the surplus account.

And I believe, you know, with staff's knowledge.

2.0

So the fact that we have got a deficit, if you will, is intentional to try to bring that surplus down for a number of reasons. So you're right, we would not normally do that. That is not a sustainable business plan for a normal business.

But there is a goal here to reduce the amount of the surplus, so that's part of it.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: I like to hear that.

Thank you for pointing that out. And I do believe outreach is imperative to increase those minutes of use and to increase knowledge out there. But, you know, and this is why I'm scrutinizing the costs. Again, I'm not trying to be nit-picky here. I just think we need -- there needs to be a way to trim the costs so that you can avoid tapping into that surplus. And what do you believe that the likelihood is that the FCC will promulgate those regulations that the surplus was intended for?

MR. HORTON: That's anybody's guess, I think.

I would have no idea.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay.

2.0

MR. HORTON: I think we have been expecting something for years now and seen nothing. I'm looking over at Mr. Casey, because he has been following that real closely.

**COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Mr. Casey.

MR. CASEY: If I may. We have been watching this for a number of years. At the very beginning when we built up this surplus, we were told that more sooner than later it's going to come. It's in the Telecom Act that states will pay for all intrastate costs of TRS, and they have that in their backing. In every order that comes out for their new rates, they put a little subtitle down there saying we will eventually pass these costs on to the states.

They have been afraid to do it right now because of some statutes in states such as ours where it says we can only have one provider. So for video relay service where deaf and hard-of-hearing people are using a number of VRS providers as a competitive market, we would only be able to have one in the State of Florida. And that's one of the reasons they are holding back right now. But they keep saying, yes, it's going to be passed on to the states. There's a change of leadership up there now, and we don't know what's going to happen.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: That is somewhat concerning. And, I guess, just to wrap up my questions, Mr. Casey. You know I have some areas of concern about the budget. Again, I have expressed it year-after-year, and I continue to have those same concerns.

I'd like some assurances from staff to understand what you all are doing to really, again, scrutinize this. I looked at your data requests, and you're monitoring that, but is there any additional measures that you are taking to really scrutinize these costs that they are reasonable?

MR. CASEY: We have asked FTRI if they could give us the budget a month earlier so we have more time to look it over. We have also initiated a performance audit which will begin this week which will cover all the expenses, the budget. They will be in the FTRI offices sometime this week to start that.

## **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay.

MR. CASEY: One thing I would like to mention that maybe James overlooked is as far as cutting expenses, they used to have an annual workshop. All the regional distribution centers would come in and, of course, they would pay for all that. James has initiated a webinar now. He has bought software where all the regional distribution centers can be trained at

their sites on the computer just to cut the workshop expense out. And it was a huge hunk.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Right. Thank you for pointing that out. I'm done with my line of questions.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Any further questions?

Okay. Any comments?

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I do have a question for Mr. Casey.

You mentioned that the federal government has indicated that at some point the states will be required to pay all the interstate costs or intrastate costs, how much would that be per year?

MR. CASEY: We were able to estimate it up until about two years ago when they stopped giving us information. But at that time it was approximately \$30 million a year would have to be added to our fund, our state fund. It's a lot of money.

And this Commission has actually issued comments on it saying it should stay at the federal level. And one more thing, NASRA, the National Association for State Relay Administration, had a meeting with the FCC about a month ago and reiterated that point. It should stay at the federal level, not burden the states with it.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So one of the purposes 1 of that surplus account would be to try to build up 2 funds to pay for those additional costs, is that 3 correct? 4 MR. CASEY: Yes, sir. Because of the 5 legislative session and when they come into play, there 6 7 may be a period of time there where we would have to exceed that 25-cent maximum on the surcharge to cover 8 9 that. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So the intent was not to 10 cover a budget deficit of the annual operations, but to 11 cover the additional costs from the federal government? 12 13 MR. CASEY: Yes, that was the original intent. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you. 14 That's all I had. 15 CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 16 Any further comments, Commissioners? 17 Okay. I think we are at the proper 18 19 posture for action. Commissioner Graham. 2.0 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I move staff approval. 21 22 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. It has been moved and 24 seconded. 25 Any further discussion?

1	Okay. Seeing none, all in favor say aye.
2	(Vote taken.)
3	CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Any opposed?
4	All right.
5	Seeing none, thank you.
6	Moving on to Item Number 2.
7	I want to thank our interpreters this morning for
8	their work today.
9	* * * * * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF FLORIDA ) 1 2 : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 3 COUNTY OF LEON 4 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter 5 Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard 6 at the time and place herein stated. 7 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that 8 the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a 9 true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, 11 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 12 financially interested in the action. 13 DATED THIS 11th day of June, 2013. 14 15 16 JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter 17 (850) 413-673218 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION