

Docket No. 130009-EI: Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

Florida Power & Light Company

Nuclear Power Uprate

RECEIVED-FPSC  
13 JUN 21 PM 2:17  
COMMISSION  
CLERK

Witness: **Direct Testimony of BETY MAITRE**, Appearing on behalf of the  
staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: June 21, 2013

COM 5  
AFD \_\_\_\_\_  
APA \_\_\_\_\_  
ECO \_\_\_\_\_  
ENG \_\_\_\_\_  
GCL \_\_\_\_\_  
IDM \_\_\_\_\_  
TEL \_\_\_\_\_  
CLK \_\_\_\_\_  
CTR 1

1                   **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2                                   **COMMISSION STAFF**

3                                   **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BETY MAITRE**

4                                   **DOCKET NO. 130009-EI**

5                                   **JUNE 21, 2013**

6   **Q.     Please state your name and business address.**

7   **A.     My name is Bety Maitre and my business address is 3625 N.W. 82nd Ave., Suite**  
8   400, Miami, Florida, 33166.

9   **Q.     By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?**

10 **A.     I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission as a Public Utility**  
11 **Analyst III in the Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis.**

12 **Q.     How long have you been employed by the Commission?**

13 **A.     I have been employed by the Florida Public Service Commission since August,**  
14 **2008.**

15 **Q.     Briefly review your educational and professional background.**

16 **A.     I have a Bachelor of Science degree with a major in Accounting from Florida**  
17 **Agricultural and Mechanical University and a Master of Accounting with a major in**  
18 **Accounting Information Systems from Florida State University. I was hired as a**  
19 **Regulatory Analyst II by the Florida Public Service Commission in August of 2008.**

20 **Q.     Please describe your current responsibilities.**

21 **A.     Currently, I am a Public Utility Analyst III. I conduct utility audits of manual and**  
22 **automated accounting systems for historical and forecasted data.**

23 **Q.     Have you presented testimony before this Commission or any other**  
24 **regulatory agency?**

25 **A.     I filed testimony in Florida Power & Light Company's Nuclear Docket No.**

1 120009-EI.

2 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?**

3 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff audit report of Florida Power  
4 & Light Company (FPL or Utility) which addresses the Utility's filing in Docket No.  
5 130009-EI, Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) for costs associated with its nuclear  
6 uprate projects. We issued an audit report in this docket for the nuclear uprate projects on  
7 June 7, 2013. This audit report is filed with my testimony and is identified as Exhibit  
8 BM-1.

9 **Q. Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?**

10 **A.** Yes, it was prepared under my direction.

11 **Q. Please describe the work you performed in these audits.**

12 **A.** I have broken the audit work into the following categories.

13 Rate Base

14 We reconciled the amounts for Plant in Service from the orders to FPL's books and the  
15 Utility's filing of March 1, 2013. We recalculated the Accumulated Depreciation and  
16 Depreciation Expense estimates on a test basis using Commission approved rates from  
17 Docket No. 080677-EI. Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation  
18 Expense were compared to Commission Order No. PSC-12-0647-PAA-EI, in Docket No.  
19 120244-EI, issued December 11, 2012, and Order No. PSC-11-0575-PAA-EI, in Docket  
20 No. 110270-EI, issued December 14, 2011.

21 Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

22 We traced CWIP additions in Schedule T-6 to the general ledger and selected a sample  
23 for testing. We verified that additions had appropriate supporting documentation, were  
24 related to the Extended Power Uprate (EPU) project, and were charged to the correct  
25 accounts.

1 Recovery

2 We verified the NCRC amount approved in Order PSC-11-0547-FOF-EI, in Docket No.  
3 110009-EI, issued November 23, 2011, to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause. In that  
4 audit, we reconciled revenues to the ledger and the Utility's "Revenue and Rate" reports.  
5 We also selected a random sample of bills to verify use of the approved rate.

6 Operation and Maintenance Expense

7 We traced expenses in the filing to the general ledger. We selected a sample of 2012  
8 O&M Expenses for testing. The source documentation for selected items was reviewed to  
9 ensure the expense was related to the EPU project and that the expense was charged to the  
10 correct accounts.

11 Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Adjustment

12 We traced the projected True-Up adjustments and the beginning balances to prior NCRC  
13 Commission Orders. We traced the estimated tax deduction for research and development  
14 to supporting schedules and the 2011 Federal Income Tax return. We traced the AFUDC  
15 rate applied by the Utility to the rate approved in Commission Order No. PSC-13-0163-  
16 PAA-EI, in Docket No. 130051-EI, issued April 22, 2013. We recalculated Schedule T-  
17 3A and verified the Construction Carrying Cost on DTA and the Under (Over) Recovery  
18 balance.

19 Separate and Apart Process

20 We read FPL's testimony and procedures related to the separate and apart process. We  
21 reviewed the Recoverable Cost Justification Forms prepared by FPL and reconciled them  
22 to the sample items when applicable.

23 True-up

24 We traced the revenue requirements for Carrying Costs on Construction and Deferred Tax  
25 Adjustment, O&M, and Base Rate to supporting calculation schedules. We recalculated

1 the True-Up amounts as of December 31, 2012 using the Commission approved  
2 beginning balance as of December 31, 2011, Debt and Equity Components, the Financial  
3 Commercial Paper rates, and the 2012 EPU costs. We traced all adjustments to source  
4 documents.

5 Analytical Review

6 We compared 2012 to 2011 costs and used the information to select a sample.

7 **Q. Please review the audit findings in this audit report, Exhibit BM-1.**

8 **A.** There were two findings in this audit.

9 Finding 1: Adjustments to Construction Carrying Cost

10 Total costs on Schedule T-6 and other associated schedules of the Utility's NCRC filing  
11 included work order T00000002434 - GSU - St. Lucie Spare GSU Transformer Coolers &  
12 Pumps. The costs included in this work order were calculated using an incorrect  
13 jurisdictional factor. The jurisdictional factor used was the Transmission - Other factor of  
14 0.90431145. The correct jurisdictional factor for Transmission - GSU is 0.98051733. This  
15 adjustment will result in an increase of \$3,740 in construction carrying cost revenue  
16 requirements.

17 Work order T00000002434 - GSU - St. Lucie Spare GSU Transformer Coolers & Pumps  
18 was placed into service in November of 2012. Therefore, there is also an effect on the  
19 costs being transferred to plant in service. This adjustment will result in an increase of  
20 \$2,735 in base rate revenue requirements in the March 1, 2013 filing.

21 Finding 2: Adjustment to Recoverable O&M

22 The Utility paid \$15,609.16 for one-year extended warranties on 521 hand held radios  
23 during 2012 which were included in the costs on Schedule T-4 of the utility's NCRC  
24 filing. Each radio comes with a 3-year warranty. The extended warranty claim period is  
25 outside the remaining duration of the project, which is scheduled to be completed in 2013.

1 On May 29, 2013, the Utility reclassified the extended warranty purchases from  
2 recoverable O&M to non-recoverable O&M. This adjustment will result in a decrease of  
3 \$15,329 in Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements.

4 **Q. Does that conclude your testimony?**

5 **A. Yes.**

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State of Florida



**Public Service Commission**

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis  
Bureau of Auditing  
Miami District Office

**Auditor's Report**

Florida Power & Light Company  
Nuclear Extended Power Uprate

**Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2012**

Docket No. 130009-EI  
Audit Control No. 13-010-4-1  
**June 7, 2013**

---

Bety Maitre  
Audit Manager

---

Gabriela M. Leon  
Audit Staff

---

Yen N. Ngo  
Audit Staff

---

Kathy Welch  
Reviewer

## Table of Contents

Purpose.....	1
Objectives and Procedures.....	2
Audit Findings	
1: Adjustment to Construction Carrying Cost.....	5
2: Adjustment to Recoverable O&M .....	7
Exhibits	
1: True-up.....	9

## Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Office of Industry Development and Market Analysis in its audit service request dated January 10, 2013. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power & Light Company, and to several of its related schedules in support of its 2012 Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause for its construction cost expenditures for the Uprate activity in Docket No. 130009-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

## Objectives and Procedures

### **General**

#### Definitions

Construction Costs are costs that are expended to construct the nuclear power plant, but not limited to, the costs of constructing power plant buildings and all associated permanent structures, equipment and systems.

Utility refers to Florida Power & Light Company.  
CCRC refers to Capacity Cost Recovery Clause.  
NCRC refers to Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause.

### **Rate Base**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to reconcile actual transfers of construction work in progress (CWIP) to plant, and to determine whether accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense on the plant transferred were based on the Commission base rate change Order No.'s, PSC-12-0647-PAA-EI, PSC-11-0575-PAA-EI, PSC-11-0078-PAA-EI, and PSC-10-0207-PAA-EI.

**Procedures:** We reconciled the amounts for Plant in Service from the orders to FPL's books and the Utility's filing, Appendix A and B. Depreciation is not recorded on the asset level and does not reconcile to the general ledger. Therefore, we recalculated accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense using Commission approved rates from Docket No. 080677-EI and actual Plant in Service. Plant in service, accumulated depreciation, and depreciation expense were compared to the Commission Base rate change Order No.'s PSC-12-0647-PAA-EI and PSC-11-0575-PAA-EI. Base rate change Orders PSC-11-0078-PAA-EI and PSC-10-0207-PAA-EI were not relevant to the 2012 test year.

### **Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to verify that Construction Costs listed on the Utility's Schedule T-6 filing were supported by adequate documentation and that the capital additions were appropriately recoverable through the NCRC and in compliance with Section 366.93, F.S. and Rule 25-6.043, F.A.C.

**Procedures:** We traced CWIP additions in Schedule T-6 to the general ledger and judgmentally selected a sample for testing. We verified that additions had appropriate supporting documentation, were related to the Extended Power Uprate (EPU) project, and were charged to the correct accounts. Finding 1 discusses the adjustment to Construction Carrying cost revenue requirement.

### **Recovery**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether the Utility used the Commission approved CCRC factors to bill customers for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31,

2012, and whether Schedule T-3, T-3A, T-4, and Appendix C reflects the ordered amount in Commission Order No. PSC-11-0547-FOF-EI.

**Procedures:** We agreed the amount collected on Schedule T-3, T-3A, T-4, and Appendix C to the 2012 NCRC jurisdictional amount approved in Commission Order No. PSC-11-0547-FOF-EI and to the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause in Docket 130001-EI. In that audit, we reconciled revenues to the ledger and "Revenue and Rate" reports. We also selected a random sample of bills and recalculated each bill to verify use of the approved rate. No exceptions were noted.

## **Operation and Maintenance Expense**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether operation and maintenance (O&M) expenses on Schedule T-4 are supported by adequate source documentation and appropriately recoverable through the NCRC clause.

**Procedures:** We traced expenses in the filing to the general ledger. We judgmentally selected a sample of 2012 O&M expenses for testing. The source documentation for selected items was reviewed to ensure the expense was related to the EPU project and that the expense was charged to the correct accounts. Finding 2 discusses the adjustment to Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirement.

## **Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Adjustment**

**Objectives:** The objectives were to determine whether Schedule T-3A - Carrying Cost on Deferred Tax Assets (DTA) included the correct balances from the supporting schedules and the deferred tax requirement amounts are accurately calculated.

**Procedures:** We traced the projected True-Up adjustments and the beginning balances included in the schedule to prior NCRC Commission Orders. We traced the estimated tax deduction for research and development to supporting schedules and the 2011 Federal Income Tax return. We traced the AFUDC rate applied by the Utility to the rate approved in Commission Order No. PSC-13-0163-PAA-EI. We recalculated Schedule T- 3A and verified the Construction Carrying Cost on DTA and the Under (Over) Recovery balance. No exceptions were noted.

## **Other Issues**

### Separate and Apart Procedures

**Objectives:** The objectives were to review and document FPL's separate and apart process for identifying and applying the adjustments necessary to ensure costs recovered thru the NCRC are limited to the EPU.

**Procedures:** We reviewed FPL's testimony and procedures related to the separate and apart process. We reviewed the "Recoverable Cost Justification Forms" prepared by the Utility in 2012 and reconciled them to the sample items when applicable. We used the separate and apart procedures to determine whether CWIP and O&M sample items related to the EPU project. No exceptions were noted.

## **True-up**

**Objectives:** The objective was to determine if the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Schedule T-1 was properly calculated.

**Procedures:** We traced the revenue requirements for Carrying Costs on Construction and Deferred Tax Adjustment, O&M, and Base Rate to supporting calculation schedules. We recalculated the True-Up amounts as of December 31, 2012 using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2011, Debt and Equity Components, the Financial Commercial Paper rates, and the 2012 EPU costs. We traced all adjustments to source documents. Finding 1 and 2 discuss the adjustments to Construction Carrying Cost and Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements.

## **Analytical Review**

**Objectives:** The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's EPU Cost to determine if there were any material changes or inconsistencies from the prior year.

**Procedures:** We compared 2012 to 2011 costs and used the information to judgmentally select the sample. There was a large amount of CWIP placed in service with the completion of St. Lucie Units 1 and 2, and Turkey Point Unit 3 EPU projects in 2012. No exceptions were noted.

## Audit Findings

### **Finding 1: Adjustment to Construction Carrying Cost**

**Audit Analysis:** Total costs on Schedule T-6 and Appendix B included work order T00000002434 - GSU - St. Lucie Spare GSU Transformer Coolers & Pumps. The costs from this work order were calculated using an incorrect jurisdictional factor. The rate used was the Transmission - Other factor of 0.90431145. The correct rate for Transmission - GSU is 0.98051733. The first schedule on the following page computes the effect on carrying cost revenue requirement for Schedule T-3.

Work order T00000002434 - GSU - St. Lucie Spare GSU Transformer Coolers & Pumps was placed into service in November of 2012. Therefore, there is also an effect on Appendix B due to the costs being transferred to plant in service. The second schedule on the following page computes the effect on the base rate revenue requirement in Appendix C.

**Effect on the General Ledger:** There is no effect on the general ledger.

**Effect on the Filing:** Construction Carrying Cost and Base Rate Revenue Requirements should increase by \$3,740 and \$2,735, respectively.

Carrying Charge Adjustment on Work Order T0000002434

Description	Rates	Beg. Bal.	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	12-Mo Total	End Bal.
Work Order T0000002434		\$ 214,337	\$ 100	\$ 21,449	\$ 65,100	\$ 4,839	\$ 2,111	\$ 241	\$ 614,073	\$ 6,338	\$ 1,682,088	\$ 24,847	\$ (295,762)	\$ -	\$ 2,125,424	\$ 2,339,761
Adjustments		\$ (1,111)	\$ 50	\$ 50	\$ 28,890	\$ (528,912)	\$ 50	\$ (58)	\$ 558,829	\$ (558,857)	\$ 1,444,748	\$ (5444)	\$ (528,160)	\$ 50	\$ 1,155,086	\$ 1,153,975
T0000002434 Net of Adj.		\$ 215,448	\$ 100	\$ 21,449	\$ 36,210	\$ 33,751	\$ 2,111	\$ 249	\$ 55,244	\$ 565,195	\$ 237,340	\$ 25,291	\$ (6,602)	\$ -	\$ 970,338	\$ 1,185,786
Trans. GSU Jurisdictional Amt.	0.98051733	\$ 211,250	\$ 98	\$ 21,031	\$ 35,504	\$ 33,094	\$ 2,070	\$ 244	\$ 54,168	\$ 554,183	\$ 232,716	\$ 24,798	\$ (6,473)	\$ -	\$ 951,433	\$ 1,162,683
Trans. Other Jurisdictional Amt.	0.90431145	\$ 194,832	\$ 90	\$ 19,397	\$ 32,745	\$ 30,521	\$ 1,909	\$ 225	\$ 49,958	\$ 511,112	\$ 214,629	\$ 22,871	\$ (5,970)	\$ -	\$ 877,488	\$ 1,072,319
Difference		\$ 16,418	\$ 8	\$ 1,635	\$ 2,759	\$ 2,572	\$ 161	\$ 19	\$ 4,210	\$ 43,071	\$ 18,087	\$ 1,927	\$ (503)	\$ -	\$ 73,945	\$ 90,364
Trans. GSU Jurisdictional Amt. Diff.		\$ 16,418	\$ 8	\$ 1,635	\$ 2,759	\$ 2,572	\$ 161	\$ 19	\$ 4,210	\$ 43,071	\$ 18,087	\$ 1,927	\$ (503)	\$ -	\$ 73,945	\$ 90,364
Transfer to Plant in Service													\$ 90,364		\$ 90,364	\$ 90,364
CWIP Base Eligible for Return		\$ 16,418	\$ 16,426	\$ 18,204	\$ 21,116	\$ 23,860	\$ 24,218	\$ 24,448	\$ 28,871	\$ 72,176	\$ 90,705	\$ 93,346	\$ 3,286	\$ 3,709	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,740
Average CWIP			\$ 16,422	\$ 17,315	\$ 19,660	\$ 22,488	\$ 24,039	\$ 24,333	\$ 26,659	\$ 50,523	\$ 81,440	\$ 92,026	\$ 48,316	\$ 3,498		
Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes	0.007439034		\$ 122	\$ 129	\$ 146	\$ 167	\$ 179	\$ 181	\$ 198	\$ 376	\$ 606	\$ 685	\$ 359	\$ 26	\$ -	\$ 3,174
Debt Component (Average CWIP	0.001325847		\$ 22	\$ 23	\$ 26	\$ 30	\$ 32	\$ 32	\$ 35	\$ 67	\$ 108	\$ 122	\$ 64	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ 566
Total Return Requirements			\$ 144	\$ 152	\$ 172	\$ 197	\$ 211	\$ 213	\$ 234	\$ 443	\$ 714	\$ 807	\$ 423	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ 3,740

Adjustment to Base Revenue Requirement

Description	Rates	Nov-12	Dec-12	Total
Work Order T0000002434		\$ 2,339,761	\$ 2,339,761	
Trans. GSU Jurisdictional Amt.	0.98051733	\$ 2,294,176	\$ 2,294,176	
Trans. Other Jurisdictional Amt.	0.90431145	\$ 2,115,873	\$ 2,115,873	
Difference		\$ 178,304	\$ 178,304	\$ 178,304
Depreciation	2.90%	\$ 215	\$ 431	\$ 646
Accumulated Depreciation		\$ 215	\$ 646	\$ 646
Net Plant In Service		\$ 178,088	\$ 177,657	\$ 177,657
Average Plant		\$ 89,044	\$ 177,873	\$ 177,873
Return	9.30%	\$ 690	\$ 1,379	\$ 2,069
Total Depreciation and Return		\$ 906	\$ 1,809	\$ 2,715
Base Eligible for Return		\$ 906	\$ 2,719	
Average CWIP		\$ 453	\$ 1,812	
Equity Comp. grossed up for taxes	0.007439034	\$ 3	\$ 13	\$ 17
Debt Component (Average CWIP	0.001325847	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 3
		\$ 4	\$ 16	\$ 20
Total Return Requirements		\$ 910	\$ 1,825	\$ 2,735

## **Finding 2: Adjustment to Recoverable O&M**

**Audit Analysis:** The Utility paid \$15,609.16 for one-year extended warranties on 521 hand held radios during the test year which were included in the costs on T-4. Each radio comes with a 3-year warranty. The extended warranty claim period is outside the remaining duration of the project, which is scheduled to be completed in 2013. On May 29, 2013, the Utility reclassified the one-year warranty purchases from recoverable O&M to non-recoverable O&M. On the next page, we calculate the effect on Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements.

**Effect on the General Ledger:** There is no effect on the General Ledger

**Effect on the Filing:** Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements should be reduced by \$15,329.

Effect on Schedule T-4

	Jan-12	Feb-12	Mar-12	Apr-12	May-12	Jun-12	Jul-12	Aug-12	Sep-12	Oct-12	Nov-12	Dec-12	12 Mo Total
<b>Jurisdictional Generation O&amp;M Cost</b>													
Nuclear Generation O&M Cost	\$ (2,996)	\$ (150)		\$ (6,292)		\$ (3,176)					\$ (2,996)		\$ (15,609)
Jurisdiction Factors	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247	0.98202247
Jurisdictional Recoverable Gen. O&M Cost	\$ (2,942)	\$ (147)	\$ -	\$ (6,178)	\$ -	\$ (3,119)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,942)	\$ -	\$ (15,329)
<b>Interest Provision</b>													
Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost	\$ (2,942)	\$ (147)	\$ -	\$ (6,178)	\$ -	\$ (3,119)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,942)	\$ -	\$ (15,329)
Prior Month (over/under Recovery)	\$ -	\$ (2,942)	\$ (147)	\$ (0)	\$ (6,179)	\$ (1)	\$ (3,120)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (2,944)
Balance Eligible for Interest	\$ -	\$ (2,942)	\$ (3,089)	\$ (3,090)	\$ (9,268)	\$ (9,269)	\$ (12,389)	\$ (12,390)	\$ (12,391)	\$ (12,392)	\$ (12,393)	\$ (12,393)	\$ (15,337)
Average Unamortized Balance	\$ -	\$ (1,471)	\$ (3,016)	\$ (3,090)	\$ (6,179)	\$ (9,269)	\$ (10,829)	\$ (12,389)	\$ (12,390)	\$ (12,392)	\$ (12,393)	\$ (12,393)	\$ (13,865)
Interest Rate	0.00625%	0.00958%	0.00833%	0.00875%	0.01042%	0.00958%	0.01042%	0.01208%	0.00875%	0.00875%	0.01250%	0.00875%	0.00875%
Interest Provision	\$ -	\$ (0)	\$ (0)	\$ (0)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (2)	\$ (10)
Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost	\$ (2,942)	\$ (147)	\$ -	\$ (6,178)	\$ -	\$ (3,119)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,942)	\$ -	\$ (15,329)
Total Interest Provision	\$ -	\$ (0)	\$ (0)	\$ (0)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (2)	\$ (1)	\$ (10)
<b>Total Jurisdictional Cost W/ Interest</b>	\$ (2,942)	\$ (147)	\$ (0)	\$ (6,179)	\$ (1)	\$ (3,120)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (2,944)	\$ (1)	\$ (15,338)

## Exhibits

### Exhibit 1: True-up

Schedule T-1 (True-up)		St. Lucie and Turkey Point Upgrade Project Construction Costs and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance True-up Filing: Retail Revenue Requirements Summary						[Section (5)(c)1.b.]
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the actual true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the prior year and the previously filed expenditures						For the Year Ended 12/31/2012
COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY								Witness: Winnie Powers
DOCKET NO.: 130009-EI								
Line No.		(A) Actual January	(B) Actual February	(C) Actual March	(D) Actual April	(E) Actual May	(F) Actual June	(G) 6 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars								
1.	Pre-Construction Revenue Requirements	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	Construction Carrying Cost Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-3, line 9)	\$9,444,265	\$10,623,846	\$11,924,675	\$11,739,684	\$10,068,382	\$10,843,399	\$54,644,251
3.	Recoverable O&M Revenue Requirements (Schedule T-4, line 38)	\$655,547	\$347,355	\$258,852	\$777,111	\$627,147	\$598,753	\$3,262,565
4.	DTA(DTL) Carrying Cost (Schedule T-3A, line 8)	(\$211,250)	(\$201,990)	(\$190,080)	(\$154,823)	(\$121,075)	(\$111,408)	(\$890,606)
5.	Other Adjustments (a) (Appendix C, Line 8)	\$47	\$77	\$10,410	\$1,587,244	\$4,718,768	\$4,745,452	\$11,061,996
6.	Total Period Revenue Requirements (Lines 1 through 5)	<u>\$9,888,610</u>	<u>\$10,769,289</u>	<u>\$12,001,677</u>	<u>\$13,949,216</u>	<u>\$15,293,220</u>	<u>\$16,076,195</u>	<u>\$77,978,207</u>
7.	Projected Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 11-0547-FOF-EI)	\$8,628,039	\$9,217,049	\$10,221,314	\$11,033,343	\$11,520,667	\$17,017,054	\$67,637,467
8.	Difference (Line 6 - Line 7)	<u>\$1,260,571</u>	<u>\$1,552,240</u>	<u>\$1,780,363</u>	<u>\$2,915,873</u>	<u>\$3,772,553</u>	<u>(\$940,860)</u>	<u>\$10,340,740</u>
9.	Actual / Estimated Revenue Requirements for the period (Order No. PSC 12-0650-FOF-EI)	\$9,489,324	\$10,723,121	\$11,880,002	\$13,439,890	\$15,427,515	\$19,009,623	\$79,969,475
10.	Final True-up of Upgrade Construction Carrying Costs (Line 6 - Line 9)	<u>\$399,286</u>	<u>\$46,168</u>	<u>\$121,675</u>	<u>\$509,326</u>	<u>(\$134,295)</u>	<u>(\$2,933,428)</u>	<u>(\$1,991,268)</u>

\* Totals may not add due to rounding

11. (a) Other Adjustments Line 5 represents Base Rate Revenue Requirements for 2012 and carrying costs on over/under recoveries. Refer to Appendix C Line 8.

**St. Lucie and Turkey Point Uprate Project  
 Construction Costs and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance  
 True-up Filing: Retail Revenue Requirements Summary**

[Section (5)(c)1. b.]

**Schedule T-1 (True-up)**

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
 COMPANY: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY  
 DOCKET NO.: 130009-EI

EXPLANATION: Provide the calculation of the actual true-up of total retail revenue requirements based on actual expenditures for the prior year and the previously filed expenditures.

For the Year Ended 12/31/2012  
 Witness: Winnie Powers

Line No.	(H) Actual July	(I) Actual August	(J) Actual September	(K) Actual October	(L) Actual November	(M) Actual December	(N) 12 Month Total
Jurisdictional Dollars							
1.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2.	\$11,510,980	\$12,353,415	\$7,079,278	\$6,047,571	\$5,651,708	\$4,503,305	\$112,000,508
3.	\$455,922	\$831,020	\$81,023	\$15,873	\$316,430	\$2,251,320	\$7,214,153
4.	(\$100,339)	(\$86,723)	(\$74,052)	(\$65,984)	(\$45,921)	(\$25,304)	(\$1,388,939)
5.	\$4,989,900	\$5,308,931	\$13,011,647	\$15,379,798	\$16,314,088	\$18,525,903	\$84,530,266
6.	<u>\$16,856,464</u>	<u>\$18,404,643</u>	<u>\$20,037,887</u>	<u>\$21,377,257</u>	<u>\$22,448,306</u>	<u>\$25,255,224</u>	<u>\$202,415,988</u>
7.	\$12,872,687	\$13,371,098	\$13,999,801	\$14,583,896	\$14,936,543	\$15,415,131	\$152,916,422
8.	<u>\$3,983,777</u>	<u>\$5,033,545</u>	<u>\$6,038,086</u>	<u>\$6,793,361</u>	<u>\$7,511,763</u>	<u>\$9,840,093</u>	<u>\$49,499,566</u>
9.	\$19,293,241	\$17,167,070	\$17,939,335	\$20,334,658	\$22,284,958	\$21,542,955	\$198,531,694
10.	<u>(\$2,436,776)</u>	<u>\$1,237,573</u>	<u>\$2,158,552</u>	<u>\$1,042,597</u>	<u>\$161,346</u>	<u>\$3,712,269</u>	<u>\$3,884,294</u>

\* Totals may not add due to rounding

11. (a) Other Adjustments Line 5 represents Base Rate Revenue Requirements for 2012 and carrying costs on over/under recoveries. Refer to Appendix C Line 6.