

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: September 12, 2013

TO: Office of Commission Clerk (Cole)

FROM: Division of Economics (Draper) *ESD PA J.W.D.*
Office of the General Counsel (Lawson) *gm/ly JSC*

RE: Docket No. 130223-EI – Petition for approval of optional non-standard meter rider, by Florida Power & Light Company.

AGENDA: 09/25/13 – Regular Agenda – Tariff Filing – Interested Persons May Participate

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

PREHEARING OFFICER: Administrative

CRITICAL DATES: 10/20/13 (60-Day Suspension Date)

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: None

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\ECO\WP\130223.RCM.DOC

RECEIVED-FPSC
 13 SEP 12 AM 9:16
 COMMISSION
 CLERK

Case Background

On August 21, 2013, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) filed a petition for approval of an optional Non-Standard Meter Rider tariff. The tariff would be available to customers who elect non-standard non-communicating meter service in lieu of the standard communicating meter service. This is staff's recommendation to suspend the proposed tariff. The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Chapter 366, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Discussion of Issues

Issue 1: Should the Commission suspend FPL's proposed Non-Standard Meter Rider tariff?

Recommendation: Yes. (Draper)

Staff Analysis: Staff is recommending that the tariff be suspended to allow staff and any other interested parties sufficient time to review the petition and gather all pertinent information in order to present the Commission with an informed recommendation on the tariff proposal.

Pursuant to Section 366.06(3), F.S., the Commission may withhold consent to the operation of all or any portion of a new rate schedule, delivering to the utility requesting such increase a reason or written statement of good cause for doing so within 60 days. Staff believes that the reason stated above is good cause consistent with the requirement of Section 366.06(3), F.S.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. (Lawson)

Staff Analysis: This docket should remain open pending the Commission vote on the proposed tariff.