

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**In re: Environmental cost recovery clause.**

**DOCKET NO. 130007-EI  
Filed: September 13, 2013**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF  
JEFFRY POLLOCK**

**ON BEHALF OF  
THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP**



**Jon C. Moyle, Jr.  
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.  
The Perkins House  
118 N. Gadsden St.  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
Telephone: 850.681.3828  
Facsimile: 850.681.8788**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JEFFRY POLLOCK</b> .....	<b>4</b>
FPL’s Proposal.....	<b>5</b>
Analysis and Recommendation.....	<b>7</b>
Allocation (Issue 11).....	<b>13</b>
<b>APPENDIX A</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>ENDNOTES</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>AFFIDAVIT OF JEFFRY POLLOCK</b> .....	<b>29</b>

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

<b>Term</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>BTU</b>	British Thermal Unit
<b>CCGT</b>	Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
<b>CILC</b>	Commercial-Industrial Load Control
<b>CT</b>	Combustion Turbines
<b>ECRC</b>	Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
<b>FIPUG</b>	Florida Industrial Power Users Group
<b>FPL</b>	Florida Power & Light Company
<b>GSLD</b>	General Service Large Demand
<b>GT</b>	Gas Turbine
<b>Gulf</b>	Gulf Power Company
<b>kWh</b>	Kilowatt Hour
<b>MW</b>	Megawatt
<b>NO<sub>2</sub></b>	Nitrogen Dioxide
<b>PFL</b>	Plant Fort Lauderdale
<b>PFM</b>	Plant Fort Meyers
<b>PPB</b>	Parts Per Billion
<b>PPE</b>	Plant Port Everglades
<b>Project</b>	Peaker Compliance Project
<b>ROE</b>	Return on Common Equity

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JEFFRY POLLOCK

1 **Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A Jeffry Pollock; 12647 Olive Blvd., Suite 585, St. Louis, MO 63141.

3 **Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION AND BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?**

4 A I am an energy advisor and President of J. Pollock, Incorporated.

5 **Q PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.**

6 A I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering and a Masters in  
7 Business Administration from Washington University. Since graduation in 1975, I  
8 have been engaged in a variety of consulting assignments, including energy  
9 procurement and regulatory matters in both the United States and several  
10 Canadian provinces. My qualifications are documented in **Appendix A**. A partial  
11 list of my appearances is provided in **Appendix B** to this testimony.

12 **Q ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

13 A I am testifying on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG).  
14 The participating FIPUG members are customers of Florida Power & Light  
15 Company (FPL) who take electricity service on the General Service Large  
16 Demand (GSLD), Commercial-Industrial Load Control (CILC) and Standby rate  
17 classes.

18 **Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

19 A I am addressing FPL's proposal to recover costs associated with its Peaker  
20 Compliance Project (Project). The vast majority of the Project costs involve  
21 "changing-out" the combustion technology at three of FPL's existing power plant  
22 sites. FPL asserts that these costs are being incurred to comply with a new

1 1-hour nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) standard at the property boundary of each plant  
2 site. As discussed later, FPL's proposal should be rejected because:

- 3 • The Project does not qualify for cost-recovery under the  
4 Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC);
- 5 • The Commission has previously rejected a similar cost recovery  
6 proposal for a project that involved modifications to an existing  
7 power block of another FPL-owned generating unit; and
- 8 • The Project costs are essentially the same in character as the  
9 costs that are traditionally recovered in base rates.

10 If any of the Project costs are allowed to be recovered in the ECRC, they should  
11 be allocated to customer classes on an equal percentage on current base  
12 revenues.

### 13 **FPL's Proposal**

14 **Q HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE SCOPE OF FPL'S PROPOSED PEAKER  
15 COMPLIANCE PROJECT?**

16 **A** Yes. The Project would involve changing out the combustion technology at  
17 FPL's Plant Fort Lauderdale (PFL), Plant Port Everglades (PPE) and Plant Fort  
18 Meyers (PFM) sites. Changing-out the technology means:

- 19 • Retiring 48 gas turbine units (GTs): 24 at PFL, 12 at PPE and 12  
20 at PFM; and
- 21 • Installing eight new combustion turbines (CTs): five at PFL and  
22 three at PFM.<sup>1</sup>

23 The 48 GTs provide 1,908 MW of capacity. They were originally installed in the  
24 early 1970s with heat rates ranging from 17,000 to over 19,000 Btu/kWh.<sup>2</sup> The  
25 eight new CTs will provide 1,608 MW of capacity, and their estimated heat rate is  
26 10,057 Btu/kWh.<sup>3</sup> Not only are the new CTs larger, they are more fuel efficient  
27 than the GT capacity they will replace. Thus, the Project would essentially  
28 "modernize" FPL's peaking capacity fleet.

1 **Q WHAT IS THE ESTIMATED COST OF THE PROJECT?**

2 A FPL estimates a total installed cost of \$822 million. Of this amount, \$771 million  
3 would be spent on the new power block and \$51 million would be spent on  
4 interconnecting the new CTs to the transmission system. FPL is currently  
5 seeking to include \$0.4 million of costs in 2013 and \$6.8 million in 2014 in its  
6 ECRC.<sup>4</sup>

7 **Q WHY IS FPL PROPOSING TO REPLACE 48 GAS TURBINE UNITS WITH**  
8 **EIGHT NEW COMBUSTION TURBINES?**

9 A FPL states that the existing 48 GTs cannot meet the new 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard at  
10 the operating boundaries of the three power plant sites. According to FPL, the  
11 new standard requires that total NO<sub>2</sub> emissions cannot exceed 100 parts per  
12 billion (PPB) in any hour.<sup>5</sup>

13 **Q HAS FPL EXAMINED ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT?**

14 A That is unclear. While FPL examined two other alternatives to the Project, which  
15 it asserts would be more costly for customers, FPL did not suggest that these two  
16 alternatives represented all of the viable options to the Project.

17 **Q ARE THERE ANY OTHER POSSIBLE OPTIONS THAT SHOULD BE**  
18 **CONSIDERED?**

19 A It is unclear from FPL's Petition whether it considered other options, such as  
20 demand response or any non-utility generation located in or near the Miami-Dade  
21 and Broward county load centers. According to SNL Financial, there is over 200  
22 MW of non-utility generation capacity operating in these counties. Obviously,  
23 existing non-utility generation alone cannot meet FPL's asserted need, but that

1 doesn't mean that some of this generation or potential new capacity, along with  
2 additional demand response, cannot also be part of the solution.

3 The point is that the Commission must ensure that FPL has exhausted all  
4 cost-effective alternatives to the Project. This is consistent with the regulatory  
5 compact that obligates FPL to provide reliable service at the lowest reasonable  
6 cost.

7 **Q WHAT IS FPL'S JUSTIFICATION FOR SEEKING COST RECOVERY OF THE**  
8 **PROJECT THROUGH THE ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE?**

9 A FPL asserts that, because the Project addresses an environmental compliance  
10 issue, it believes that the Project satisfies the criteria for cost recovery under  
11 Section 366.8255(1)(d) Florida Statutes.<sup>6</sup>

## 12 **Analysis and Recommendation**

13 **Q SHOULD FPL'S PETITION BE GRANTED?**

14 A No. FPL's Petition should be denied because:

- 15 • The Project does not qualify for cost recovery under the ECRC;
- 16 • The Commission has previously rejected cost recovery for  
17 projects that involve modifications to an existing power block; and
- 18 • The costs in question are of the same category of costs that are  
19 traditionally recovered in base rates.

20 **Q WHAT ARE THE CRITERIA FOR COST RECOVERY THROUGH THE**  
21 **ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE?**

22 A The Commission has identified three criteria for cost recovery:

- 23 • such costs were prudently incurred after April 13, 1993;
- 24 • the activity is legally required to comply with a governmentally  
25 imposed environmental regulation enacted, became effective, or  
26 whose effect was triggered after the company's last test year upon  
27 which rates are based; and

- 1                   • such costs are not recovered through some other cost recovery  
2                   mechanism or through base rates.<sup>7</sup>

3                   The Project does not qualify under criteria 2 and 3.

4   **Q       HOW DOES THE PROJECT NOT QUALIFY FOR COST RECOVERY UNDER**  
5           **CRITERION NO. 2?**

6   A       There is no direct nexus between the Project and the new environmental  
7           requirement. First, complying with the new standard will not affect FPL's ability  
8           to provide black start capacity. This is because ambient air standards do not  
9           apply.<sup>8</sup> Second, FPL is not required to invest in the Project in order to comply  
10          with the new NO<sub>2</sub> standard. All that is required of FPL to comply with the  
11          standard is to cease operating the GTs, except to provide black start capacity.

12   **Q       WHY THEN HAS FPL DECIDED TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECT?**

13   A       FPL asserts that it needs peaking capacity at PFL and PFM to maintain both  
14          system and local reliability requirements in Miami-Dade and Broward counties.

15          As FPL states:

16                   Due to their quick-start capability, the GTs constitute extremely  
17                   important reliability resources for FPL for serving load in the South  
18                   Florida area.<sup>9</sup>

19          Further, FPL suggests that this capacity is not just for quick-start. FPL argues  
20          that the existing GTs play an important role in providing area transmission  
21          voltage support for transmission contingencies after the loss of other local  
22          generation.<sup>10</sup> Thus, according to FPL, the loss of the existing GTs would leave  
23          FPL short of needed peaking capacity in these two major load centers.

1    **Q     WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR YOUR ASSERTION THAT THE PROJECT COSTS**  
2    **DO NOT QUALIFY FOR RECOVERY UNDER CRITERION NO. 3?**

3    A     The plant-related costs associated with the 48 GTs and the associated  
4    transmission infrastructure are currently being collected in FPL's base rates. In  
5    other words, the costs of this capacity (which FPL is proposing to essentially  
6    replace) are already included in base rates. Thus, any higher costs that may be  
7    associated with the proposed replacement capacity and transmission  
8    interconnections would also be properly recovered in base rates, but only after a  
9    full rate case or limited proceeding, as discussed later.

10   **Q     HAS THE COMMISSION GRANTED SIMILAR REQUESTS TO INCLUDE THE**  
11   **COST OF REPLACEMENT CAPACITY IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL COST**  
12   **RECOVERY CLAUSE?**

13   A     No. The Commission previously rejected a similar proposal in Docket No.  
14   100404-EI. Specifically, FPL had proposed ECRC recovery for a turbine  
15   upgrade at Plant Scherer Unit No. 4. This is similar to the Project in this  
16   proceeding because the new turbine would have replaced an existing one. In  
17   rejecting FPL's proposal, the Commission stated:

18           FPL relies heavily on our decision in the Cooling Tower Order to  
19           support its request for recovery of the turbine upgrade costs in this  
20           case. According to FPL, PEF's modular cooling tower project  
21           avoided reductions in generating plant output from discharge  
22           temperature requirements, and FPL argues that its turbine uprate  
23           project will offset reductions in generating unit output due to the  
24           installation and operation of pollution controls at the Scherer plant.  
25           FPL does not take into account, however, the critical  
26           distinguishing fact between the two cases. The modular cooling  
27           tower project was designed to allow PEF to run its Crystal River  
28           plants in compliance with a governmentally imposed  
29           environmental requirement, DEP's wastewater discharge permit.  
30           If PEF did not comply with the temperature requirements, it could  
31           not run its plants. ***FPL's turbine upgrade is not designed to***  
32           ***allow FPL to run Scherer Unit 4 in compliance with a***

1 **governmentally imposed environmental requirement.** Without  
2 the turbine upgrade, it can still run its plants. When the baghouse,  
3 scrubber and selective catalytic reduction system, whose costs we  
4 have approved for recovery through the ECRC, are installed in  
5 2012, FPL will be in compliance with applicable environmental  
6 regulations, with or without the turbine upgrade. In its response to  
7 our staff's 4<sup>th</sup> Set of Interrogatories No. 44 in Docket No. 100007-  
8 EI, FPL agreed that "not proceeding with the upgrade of the steam  
9 turbine would not violate any federal, state or local environmental  
10 rule or regulation." **Allowing recovery of FPL's turbine upgrade  
11 to offset parasitic load from environmental equipment  
12 through the ECRC would open up a whole new, perhaps  
13 extensive, subset of recoverable costs.** Virtually every  
14 pollution control system creates a parasitic load for a generating  
15 unit. We find that this new subset of costs is not contemplated by  
16 Section 366-8255, FS, or our orders implementing the statute.<sup>11</sup>

17 **Q HAVE ANY SIMILAR REQUESTS BEEN MADE BY OTHER UTILITIES?**

18 **A** Yes. Gulf Power Company (Gulf) recently proposed to include the costs of two  
19 sets of transmission upgrades in the ECRC. The Staff has recommended that  
20 these requests be denied. The Staff's recommendation states:

21 Staff recommends that after the MATS compliance date, Gulf will  
22 be able to utilize coal-fired operation of the Plant Crist units and  
23 remain in compliance with MATS requirements, while the scrubber  
24 is operational. **Staff recommends that the scrubber, not the  
25 proposed transmission upgrades, represents the "direct  
26 nexus" to the relevant environmental requirement. According  
27 to Gulf, the transmission upgrades will serve to satisfy  
28 reliability concerns when, for whatever reason, a sufficient  
29 amount of generating capacity from the Crist facility is not  
30 available.** Therefore, staff recommends that the proposed Plant  
31 Crist transmission upgrades do not satisfy all three criteria for cost  
32 recovery through the ECRC. **As such, staff recommends that  
33 the proposed Plant Crist transmission upgrades are not  
34 eligible for cost recovery through the ECRC, and the Petition  
35 for this project should be denied.**<sup>12</sup>

36 Staff has similarly recommended that the Plant Smith transmission upgrades also  
37 be excluded for cost recovery through the ECRC and that Gulf's Petition for this  
38 project should be denied.<sup>13</sup>

39 As previously stated, FPL contends that the Project is needed to meet  
40 certain reliability concerns. Thus, as with the Gulf proposals, FPL has failed to

1 establish a direct nexus between the proposed Project and the required  
2 environmental mandate. Therefore, FPL's Petition should similarly be denied.

3 **Q ARE THERE ANY SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE PROPOSED PROJECT**  
4 **AND OTHER LOCAL GENERATION PROJECTS THAT HAVE EITHER BEEN**  
5 **COMPLETED OR ARE UNDER CONSTRUCTION?**

6 A As previously stated, the proposed Project would effectively modernize FPL's  
7 peaking capacity fleet. This is virtually identical in concept to FPL's other  
8 "modernization" projects, which include new combined cycle gas turbine (CCGT)  
9 capacity at plants Canaveral, Riviera and Port Everglades.

10 **Q WHY IS FPL UNDERTAKING THESE OTHER MODERNIZATION PROJECTS?**

11 A The purpose of the CCGT modernization projects was stated in the Orders  
12 approving the determinations of need. For example, in the determination of need  
13 for the Port Everglades modernization project, the Commission stated:

14 PEEC involves the construction of a Combined Cycle power plant  
15 with a summer capacity rating of about 1,277 Megawatts (MW)  
16 and a commercial operation date of June 2016. **PEEC will**  
17 **replace four dual-fuel fired steam generating units that**  
18 **entered service in the 1960s at FPL's Port Everglades site in**  
19 **Broward County, Florida.** The modernized plant's primary fuel  
20 will be natural gas, and it will have the capability to burn a light  
21 fuel oil as a back-up fuel.<sup>14</sup>

22 Further, like the proposed Project, the CCGT modernizations also reflected a  
23 need for capacity and to maintain voltage support within major load centers.

24 **FPL has also expressed a concern regarding its growing**  
25 **reliance on transmission for importing power into Miami-**  
26 **Dade and Broward Counties (the most populated counties in**  
27 **FPL's territory with the highest concentration of customer**  
28 **load). The two counties together represented more than 40**  
29 **percent of FPL's total load in 2011, approximately 9,500 MW.**  
30 **The installed capacity in the area, in 2011, was approximately**  
31 **5,000 MW.** Therefore, FPL is largely reliant on power imported  
32 into the area which must overcome line losses and is more

1 susceptible to interruptions from natural elements, such as  
2 lightning and storms. ***As such, placing generation near FPL's***  
3 ***load center in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties is desirable.***  
4 If the addition of new generation into Miami-Dade and Broward  
5 Counties were delayed beyond 2020, FPL would be forced to  
6 incur over \$600 million in transmission upgrades to continue  
7 reliable service into southeastern Florida.<sup>15</sup>

8 **Q HOW WILL THE COSTS OF THE COMBINED CYCLE POWER PLANT**  
9 **MODERNIZATION PROJECTS BE RECOVERED?**

10 A The costs of the CCGT modernization projects will be recovered through base  
11 rates. This recovery is pursuant to the Revised Stipulation and Settlement in  
12 FPL's last rate case. I will discuss the cost recovery provisions applicable to the  
13 CCGT modernization projects later.

14 **Q ARE THE COSTS IN QUESTION OF THE SAME TYPE THAT HAVE**  
15 **TRADITIONALLY BEEN RECOVERED IN BASE RATES?**

16 A Yes. The costs of the existing GTs are currently being recovered in base rates.  
17 Similarly, the costs of each of the CCGT modernization projects will also be  
18 recovered in base rates. The costs of the Project that FPL is now seeking to  
19 collect through the ECRC are of the same type as these base rate costs.

20 **Q CAN FPL INCREASE BASE RATES TO REFLECT THE HIGHER COSTS OF**  
21 **THE PROJECT?**

22 A No, not without filing a base rate case or a limited proceeding. As previously  
23 stated, the costs FPL are attempting to recover, capital expenditures for what  
24 amounts to new generating units, are costs that historically and typically are ripe  
25 for possible recovery in base rates, not in a cost recovery clause.

1 Q DOES FPL HAVE ANY REMEDY TO RECOVER THE COSTS OF THE  
2 PROPOSED PROJECT IF ITS PETITION IN THIS CASE IS DENIED?

3 A Yes. FPL can seek to recover these costs in a base rate case proceeding or in a  
4 limited proceeding, just not in this proceeding.

5 **Allocation (Issue 11)**

6 Q IF THE COMMISSION ALLOWS THE PROJECT COSTS TO BE RECOVERED  
7 IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE, HOW SHOULD THE  
8 COSTS BE ALLOCATED TO FPL'S CUSTOMER CLASSES?

9 A As previously discussed, the Project is similar in scope to the CCGT (e.g.,  
10 Canaveral, Riviera and Port Everglades) modernization projects. Cost recovery  
11 for these modernization projects was addressed in the Revised Stipulation and  
12 Settlement in FPL's last rate case. Specifically:

13 ***FPL shall be allowed three generation base rate increases***  
14 ***(GBRA): June 2013 – Canaveral, June 2014 – Riviera, June***  
15 ***2016 – Port Everglades.*** FPL will file for each GBRA through the  
16 Capacity Clause. Each GBRA will be calculated using a 10.50  
17 percent ROE, instead of 10.70 as originally proposed, and using  
18 the capital structure reflected in FPL's MFRs for the Canaveral  
19 Step Increase. The settlement provides for a true-up to actual  
20 capital expenditures if capital costs are lower than projected. FPL  
21 will provide any refund through the Capacity Clause and base  
22 rates will be adjusted going forward. It will be FPL's obligation to  
23 initial a limited proceeding if it chooses to pursue a revenue  
24 increase for higher capital costs. For the Canaveral  
25 Modernization Project, the revenue requirement will be based on  
26 FPL's current rate petition and MFRs. The Riviera and Port  
27 Everglades revenue requirements will be based on the cumulative  
28 present value of revenue requirement reflected in the respective  
29 need determinations. ***Each GBRA will be reflected in FPL's***  
30 ***customer bills by increasing base charges and base credits***  
31 ***by an equal percentage contemporaneously.*** FPL shall  
32 calculate and submit for our staff's administrative approval the  
33 amount of the GBRA for each modernization project using the  
34 Capacity Clause projection filing for the year that each  
35 modernization plant is to go into service. These filing shall include  
36 revised tariff sheets for the year that each modernization plant is  
37 to go into commercial service.<sup>16</sup>

1 **Q SHOULD THE SAME ALLOCATION PROCEDURE ALSO BE APPLIED TO**  
2 **THE PROJECT IF COST RECOVERY IS ALLOWED IN THE**  
3 **ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE?**

4 A Yes. The Project costs are essentially the same type of cost as the CCGT  
5 modernization projects. Consistent with the terms of the Revised Stipulation and  
6 Settlement in FPL's last rate case, the Project costs should be allocated as an  
7 equal percentage base rate increase applied to all base charges and base  
8 credits contemporaneously.

9 **Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.**

10 A The proposed Project does not qualify for cost recovery under the ECRC  
11 because the replacement capacity is needed for system and local reliability and  
12 not to meet a governmentally mandated environmental requirement. The  
13 Commission has already rejected a similar FPL proposal to recover the costs of a  
14 turbine upgrade that FPL also asserted was necessary to comply with a  
15 governmentally mandated environmental requirement. Further, FPL is currently  
16 recovering the costs of the existing GTs in base rates, and it will recover the  
17 costs of similar modernization projects in base rates. Thus, the Project costs are  
18 the type of cost that is typically collected in base rates, and this practice should  
19 not be changed at this point in time. For all of the above reasons, FPL's Petition  
20 should be denied. Should the Commission allow cost recovery for the Project, it  
21 should be on an equal percent basis to all base rate charges and credits, the  
22 same as FPL's other plant modernization projects.

23 **Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

24 A Yes.

## APPENDIX A

### Qualifications of Jeffry Pollock

1 Q **PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A Jeffry Pollock. My business mailing address is 12647 Olive Blvd., Suite 585, St.  
3 Louis, Missouri 63141.

4 Q **WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION AND BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED?**

5 A I am an energy advisor and President of J. Pollock, Incorporated.

6 Q **PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.**

7 A I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering and a Masters in  
8 Business Administration from Washington University. I have also completed a  
9 Utility Finance and Accounting course.

10 Upon graduation in June 1975, I joined Drazen-Brubaker & Associates,  
11 Inc. (DBA). DBA was incorporated in 1972 assuming the utility rate and  
12 economic consulting activities of Drazen Associates, Inc., active since 1937.  
13 From April 1995 to November 2004, I was a managing principal at Brubaker &  
14 Associates (BAI).

15 During my tenure at both DBA and BAI, I have been engaged in a wide  
16 range of consulting assignments including energy and regulatory matters in both  
17 the United States and several Canadian provinces. This includes preparing  
18 financial and economic studies of investor-owned, cooperative and municipal  
19 utilities on revenue requirements, cost of service and rate design, and conducting  
20 site evaluation. Recent engagements have included advising clients on electric  
21 restructuring issues, assisting clients to procure and manage electricity in both

1 competitive and regulated markets, developing and issuing requests for  
2 proposals (RFPs), evaluating RFP responses and contract negotiation. I was  
3 also responsible for developing and presenting seminars on electricity issues.

4 I have worked on various projects in over 20 states and several Canadian  
5 provinces, and have testified before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
6 and the state regulatory commissions of Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Delaware,  
7 Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota,  
8 Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania,  
9 Texas, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming. I have also appeared before the  
10 City of Austin Electric Utility Commission, the Board of Public Utilities of Kansas  
11 City, Kansas, the Bonneville Power Administration, Travis County (Texas) District  
12 Court, and the U.S. Federal District Court. A partial list of my appearances is  
13 provided in **Appendix B**.

14 **Q PLEASE DESCRIBE J. POLLOCK, INCORPORATED.**

15 A J.Pollock assists clients to procure and manage energy in both regulated and  
16 competitive markets. The J.Pollock team also advises clients on energy and  
17 regulatory issues. Our clients include commercial, industrial and institutional  
18 energy consumers. J.Pollock is a registered Class I aggregator in the State of  
19 Texas.

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
130501	MIDAMERICAN ENERGY COMPANY	Deere & Company	RPU-2013-0004	Direct	IA	Class Cost-of-Service Study, Class Revenue Allocation, Depreciation, Cost Recovery Clauses, Revenue Sharing, Revenue True-up	9/10/2013
130202	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Permian Ltd.	12-00350-UT	Rebuttal	NM	RPS Cost Rider	9/9/2013
130701	WESTAR ENERGY INC. and KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC CO.	Occidental Chemical Corporation	13-WSEE-629-RTS	Cross-Answering	KS	Cost Allocation Methodology	9/5/2013
130202	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Permian Ltd.	12-00350-UT	Direct	NM	Class Cost-of-Service Study	8/22/2013
130701	WESTAR ENERGY INC. and KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC CO.	Occidental Chemical Corporation	13-WSEE-629-RTS	Direct	KS	Class Revenue Allocation.	8/21/2013
130203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	41437	Direct	TX	Avoided Cost; Standby Rate Design	8/14/2013
130701	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-699	Direct	KS	Class Revenue Allocation	8/12/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-447	Supplemental	KS	Testimony in Support of Settlement	8/9/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-447	Supplemental	KS	Modification Agreement	7/24/2013
130201	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	130040	Direct	FL	GSD-IS Consolidation, GSD and IS Rate Design, Class Cost-of-Service Study, Planned Outage Expense, Storm Damage Expense	7/15/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-452	Supplemental	KS	Testimony in Support of Nonunanimous Settlement	6/28/2013
121203	JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	Gerdau Ameristeel Sayreville, Inc.	ER12111052	Direct	NJ	Cost of Service Study for GT-230 KV Customers; AREP Rider	6/14/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-447	Direct	KS	Wholesale Requirements Agreement; Process for Exemption From Regulation; Conditions Required for Public Interest Finding on CCN spin-down	5/14/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-452	Cross	KS	Formula Rate Plan for Distribution Utility	5/10/2013
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	13-MKEE-452	Direct	KS	Formula Rate Plan for Distribution Utility	5/3/2013
121001	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	41223	Direct	TX	Public Interest of Proposed Divestiture of ETI's Transmission Business to an ITC Holdings	4/30/2013
121101	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	12-961	Surrebuttal	MN	Depreciation; Used and Useful; Cost Allocation; Revenue Allocation	4/12/2013
121101	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	12-961	Rebuttal	MN	Class Revenue Allocation.	3/25/2013
121101	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	12-961	Direct	MN	Depreciation; Used and Useful; Property Tax; Cost Allocation; Revenue Allocation; Competitive Rate & Property Tax Riders	2/28/2013
91203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	38951	Second Supplemental Rebuttal	TX	Competitive Generation Service Tariff	2/1/2013

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
91203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	38951	Second Supplemental Direct	TX	Competitive Generation Service Tariff	1/11/2013
110202	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	40443	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation and Rate Design	1/10/2013
110202	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	40443	Direct	TX	Application of the Turk Plant Cost-Cap; Revenue Requirements; Class Cost-of-Service Study; Class Revenue Allocation; Industrial Rate Design	12/10/2012
120301	FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	120015	Corrected Supplemental Rebuttal	FL	Support for Non-Unanimous Settlement	11/13/2012
120301	FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	120015	Corrected Supplemental Direct	FL	Support for Non-Unanimous Settlement	11/13/2012
120602	NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.	Multiple Intervenors	12-E-0201/12-G-0202	Rebuttal	NY	Electric and Gas Class Cost-of-Service Studies.	9/25/2012
120602	NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.	Multiple Intervenors	12-E-0201/12-G-0202	Direct	NY	Electric and Gas Class Cost-of-Service Study; Revenue Allocation; Rate Design; Historic Demand	8/31/2012
100902	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	12-MKEE-650-TAR	Direct	KS	Transmission Formula Rate Plan	7/31/2012
120502	WESTAR ENERGY INC. and KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC CO.	Occidental Chemical Corporation	12-WSEE-651-TAR	Direct	KS	TDC Tariff	7/30/2012
120301	FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	120015	Direct	FL	Class Cost-of-Service Study, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design	7/2/2012
120101	LONE STAR TRANSMISSION, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	40020	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirement, Rider AVT	6/21/2012
111102	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39896	Cross	TX	Class Cost-of-Service Study, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design	4/13/2012
111102	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39896	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirements, Class Cost-of-Service Study, Revenue Allocation, and Rate Design	3/27/2012
91023	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39851	Supplemental Rebuttal	TX	Competitive Generation Service Issues	2/24/2012
91203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39851	Supplemental Direct	TX	Competitive Generation Service Issues	2/10/2012
101101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39722	Direct	TX	Carrying Charge Rate Applicable to the Additional True-Up Balance and Tax Balances	11/4/2011
110703	GULF POWER COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	110138-EI	Direct	FL	Cost Allocation and Storm Reserve	10/14/2011
90404	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39504	Direct	TX	Carrying Charge Rate Applicable to the Additional True-Up Balance and Taxes	9/12/2011
101101	AEP TEXAS NORTH COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39361	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	8/10/2011
101101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39360	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	8/10/2011
100503	ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39375	Direct	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	8/2/2011
90103	ALABAMA POWER COMPANY	Alabama Industrial Energy Consumers	31653	Direct	AL	Renewable Purchased Power Agreement	7/28/2011

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
101101	AEP TEXAS NORTH COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39361	Direct	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	7/26/2011
101101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36360	Direct	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	7/20/2011
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39366	Direct	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	7/19/2011
90404	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	39363	Direct	TX	Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor	7/15/2011
101201	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	E002/GR-10-971	Direct	MN	Surplus Depreciation Reserve, Incentive Compensation, Non-Asset Trading Margin Sharing, Cost Allocation, Class Revenue Allocation, Rate Design	4/5/2011
101202	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	20000-381-EA-10	Direct	WY	2010 Protocols	2/11/2011
100802	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	38480	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation, TCRF	11/8/2010
90402	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Traditional Manufacturers Group	31958	Direct	GA	Alternate Rate Plan, Return on Equity, Riders, Cost-of-Service Study, Revenue Allocation, Economic Development	10/22/2010
90404	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	38339	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation, Class Revenue Allocation	9/24/2010
90404	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	38339	Direct	TX	Pension Expense, Surplus Depreciation Reserve, Cost Allocation, Rate Design, Riders	9/10/2010
100303	NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.	Multiple Intervenors	10-E-0050	Rebuttal	NY	Multi-Year Rate Plan, Cost Allocation, Revenue Allocation, Reconciliation Mechanisms, Rate Design	8/6/2010
100303	NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.	Multiple Intervenors	10-E-0050	Direct	NY	Multi-Year Rate Plan, Cost Allocation, Revenue Allocation, Reconciliation Mechanisms, Rate Design	07/14/2010
91203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37744	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation, Revenue Allocation, CGS Rate Design, Interruptible Service	6/30/2010
91203	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37744	Direct	TX	Class Cost of Service Study, Revenue Allocation, Rate Design, Competitive Generation Services, Line Extension Policy	6/9/2010
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37482	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Allocation of Purchased Power Capacity Costs	2/3/2010
90402	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Traditional Manufacturers Group	28945	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	1/29/2010
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37482	Direct	TX	Purchased Power Capacity Cost Factor	1/22/2010
90403	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	MeadWestvaco Corporation	PUE-2009-00081	Direct	VA	Allocation of DSM Costs	1/13/2010
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37580	Direct	TX	Fuel refund	12/4/2009
90403	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	MeadWestvaco Corporation	PUE-2009-00019	Direct	VA	Standby rate design; dynamic pricing	11/9/2009
80601	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	37135	Direct	TX	Transmission cost recovery factor	10/22/2009

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
80703	MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC	Western Kansas Industrial Electric Consumers	09-MKEE-969-RTS	Direct	KS	Revenue requirements, TIER, rate design	10/19/2009
90601	VARIOUS UTILITIES	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	090002-EG	Direct	FL	Interruptible Credits	10/2/2009
80505	ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36958	Cross Rebuttal	TX	2010 Energy efficiency cost recovery factor	8/18/2009
81001	PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	90079	Direct	FL	Cost-of-service study, revenue allocation, rate design, depreciation expense, capital structure	8/10/2009
90404	CENTERPOINT	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36918	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Allocation of System Restoration Costs	7/17/2009
90301	FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	080677	Direct	FL	Depreciation; class revenue allocation; rate design; cost allocation; and capital structure	7/16/2009
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36956	Direct	TX	Approval to revise energy efficiency cost recovery factor	7/16/2009
90601	VARIOUS UTILITIES	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	VARIOUS DOCKETS	Direct	FL	Conservation goals	7/6/2009
90201	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36931	Direct	TX	System restoration costs under Senate Bill 769	6/30/2009
90502	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36966	Direct	TX	Authority to revise fixed fuel factors	6/18/2009
80805	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36025	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost allocation, revenue allocation and rate design	6/10/2009
80805	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	36025	Direct	TX	Cost allocation, revenue allocation, rate design	5/27/2009
81201	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	08-1065	Surrebuttal	MN	Cost allocation, revenue allocation, rate design	5/27/2009
90403	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	MeadWestvaco Corporation	PUE-2009-00018	Direct	VA	Transmission cost allocation and rate design	5/20/2009
90101	NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Beta Steel Corporation	43526	Direct	IN	Cost allocation and rate design	5/8/2009
81203	ENTERGY SERVICES, INC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	ER008-1056	Rebuttal	FERC	Rough Production Cost Equalization payments	5/7/2009
81201	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	08-1065	Rebuttal	MN	Class revenue allocation and the classification of renewable energy costs	5/5/2009
81201	NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY	Xcel Large Industrials	08-1065	Direct	MN	Cost-of-service study, class revenue allocation, and rate design	4/7/2009
81203	ENTERGY SERVICES, INC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	ER08-1056	Answer	FERC	Rough Production Cost Equalization payments	3/6/2009
80901	ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	20000-333-ER-08	Direct	WY	Cost of service study; revenue allocation; inverted rates; revenue requirements	1/30/2009
81203	ENTERGY SERVICES	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	ER08-1056	Direct	FERC	Entergy's proposal seeking Commission approval to allocate Rough Production Cost Equalization payments	1/9/2009
80505	ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY & TEXAS ENERGY FUTURE HOLDINGS LTD	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35717	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Retail transformation; cost allocation, demand ratchet waivers, transmission cost allocation factor	12/24/2008

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
70101	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group and Georgia Traditional Manufacturers Association	27800	Direct	GA	Cash Return on CWIP associated with the Plant Vogtle Expansion	12/19/2008
80505	ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY & TEXAS ENERGY FUTURE HOLDINGS LTD	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35717	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirement, class cost of service study, class revenue allocation and rate design	11/26/2008
80802	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	The Florida Industrial Power Users Group and Mosaic Company	080317-EI	Direct	FL	Revenue Requirements, retail class cost of service study, class revenue allocation, firm and non firm rate design and the Transmission Base Rate Adjustment	11/26/2008
80601	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35763	Supplemental Direct	TX	Recovery of Energy Efficiency Costs	11/6/2008
80601	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35763	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation, Demand Ratchet, Renewable Energy Certificates (REC)	10/28/2008
80601	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35763	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirements, Fuel Reconciliation Revenue Allocation, Cost-of-Service and Rate Design Issues	10/13/2008
50106	ALABAMA POWER COMPANY	Alabama Industrial Energy Consumers	18148	Direct	AL	Energy Cost Recovery Rate (WITHDRAWN)	9/16/2008
50701	ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35269	Direct	TX	Allocation of rough production costs equalization payments	7/9/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Direct	TX	Non-Unanimous Stipulation	6/11/2008
50103	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33672	Supplemental Rebuttal	TX	Transmission Optimization and Ancillary Services Studies	6/3/2008
50103	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33672	Supplemental Direct	TX	Transmission Optimization and Ancillary Services Studies	5/23/2008
60104	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33891	Supplemental Direct	TX	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	5/8/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation and Rate Design and Competitive Generation Service	4/18/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Direct	TX	Eligible Fuel Expense	4/11/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Direct	TX	Competitive Generation Service Tariff	4/11/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirements	4/11/2008
70703	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34800	Direct	TX	Cost of Service study, revenue allocation, design of firm, interruptible and standby service tariffs; interconnection costs	4/11/2008
41229	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35038	Rebuttal	TX	Over \$5 Billion Compliance Filing	4/14/2008
60303	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Traditional Manufacturers Group	26794	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	4/15/2008
71202	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd.	07-00319-UT	Rebuttal	NM	Revenue requirements, cost of service study, rate design	3/28/2008
61101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	35105	Direct	TX	Over \$5 Billion Compliance Filing	3/20/2008
51101	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32902	Direct	TX	Over \$5 Billion Compliance Filing	3/20/2008

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
71202	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd.	07-00319-UT	Direct	NM	Revenue requirements, cost of service study (COS); rate design	3/7/2008
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34724	Direct	TX	IPCR Rider increase and interim surcharge	11/28/2007
70601	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Traditional Manufacturers Group	25060-U	Direct	GA	Return on equity; cost of service study; revenue allocation; ILR Rider; spinning reserve tariff; RTP	10/24/2007
70303	ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY & TEXAS ENERGY FUTURE HOLDINGS LTD	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	34077	Direct	TX	Acquisition; public interest	9/14/2007
60104	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33891	Direct	TX	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	8/30/2007
61201	ALTAMAHA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION	SP Newsprint Company	25226-U	Rebuttal	GA	Discriminatory Pricing; Service Territorial Transfer	7/17/2007
61201	ALTAMAHA ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION	SP Newsprint Company	25226-U	Direct	GA	Discriminatory Pricing; Service Territorial Transfer	7/6/2007
70502	PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	070052-EI	Direct	FL	Nuclear uprate cost recovery	6/19/2007
70603	ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION TEXAS LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33734	Direct	TX	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	6/8/2007
60601	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32795	Rebuttal Remand	TX	Interest rate on stranded cost reconciliation	6/15/2007
60601	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32795	Remand	TX	Interest rate on stranded cost reconciliation	6/8/2007
50103	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33672	Rebuttal	TX	CREZ Nominations	5/21/2007
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITES, TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33687	Direct	TX	Transition to Competition	4/27/2007
50103	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33672	Direct	TX	CREZ Nominations	4/24/2007
61101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33309	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation,Rate Design, Riders	4/3/2007
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32710	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Fuel and Rider IPCR Reconciliation	3/16/2007
61101	AEP TEXAS NORTH COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33310	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation,Rate Design, Riders	3/13/2007
61101	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33309	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation,Rate Design, Riders	3/13/2007
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32710	Direct	TX	Fuel and Rider IPCR Reconciliation	2/28/2007
41219	AEP TEXAS NORTH COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31461	Direct	TX	Rider CTC design	2/15/2007
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33586	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Hurricane Rita reconstruction costs	1/30/2007
60104	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32898	Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	1/29/2007
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	33586	Direct	TX	Hurricane Rita reconstruction costs	1/18/2007
60303	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	23540-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	1/11/2007
60503	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32766	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Cost allocation, Cost of service, Rate design	1/8/2007
60503	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32766	Direct	TX	Cost allocation, Cost of service, Rate design	12/22/2006
60503	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32766	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirements,	12/15/2006
60503	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32766	Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	12/15/2006

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32907	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Hurricane Rita reconstruction costs	10/12/06
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32907	Direct	TX	Hurricane Rita reconstruction costs	10/09/06
60601	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32795	Cross Rebuttal	TX	Stranded Cost Reallocation	09/07/06
60101	COLQUITT EMC	ERCO Worldwide	23549-U	Direct	GA	Service Territory Transfer	08/10/06
60601	TEXAS PUC STAFF	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32795	Direct	TX	Stranded Cost Reallocation	08/23/06
60104	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32672	Direct	TX	ME-SPP Transfer of Certificate to SWEPCO	8/23/2006
50503	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32758	Direct	TX	Rider CTC design and cost recovery	08/24/06
60503	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32685	Direct	TX	Fuel Surcharge	07/26/06
60301	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	171406	Direct	NJ	Gas Delivery Cost allocation and Rate design	06/21/06
60303	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	22403-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery Allowance	05/05/06
50503	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32475	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	ADFIT Benefit	04/27/06
50503	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	32475	Direct	TX	ADFIT Benefit	04/17/06
41229	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31994	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Stranded Costs and Other True-Up Balances	3/16/2006
41229	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31994	Direct	TX	Stranded Costs and Other True-Up Balances	3/10/2006
50303	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd. Occidental Power Marketing	ER05-168-001	Direct	NM	Fuel Reconciliation	3/6/2006
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31544	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Transition to Competition Costs	01/13/06
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31544	Direct	TX	Transition to Competition Costs	01/13/06
50601	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY AND EXELON CORPORATION	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers Retail Energy Supply Association	BPU EM05020106 OAL PUC-1874-05	Surrebuttal	NJ	Merger	12/22/2005
50705	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd. Occidental Power Marketing	EL05-19-002; ER05-168-001	Responsive	FERC	Fuel Cost adjustment clause (FCAC)	11/18/2005
50601	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY AND EXELON CORPORATION	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers Retail Energy Supply Association	BPU EM05020106 OAL PUC-1874-05	Direct	NJ	Merger	11/14/2005
50102	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31540	Direct	TX	Nodal Market Protocols	11/10/2005
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31315	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Recovery of Purchased Power Capacity Costs	10/4/2005
50701	ENTERGY GULF STATES UTILITIES TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31315	Direct	TX	Recovery of Purchased Power Capacity Costs	9/22/2005
50705	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd. Occidental Power Marketing	EL05-19-002; ER05-168-001	Responsive	FERC	Fuel Cost Adjustment Clause (FCAC)	9/19/2005
50503	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	31056	Direct	TX	Stranded Costs and Other True-Up Balances	9/2/2005
50705	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Occidental Periman Ltd. Occidental Power Marketing	EL05-19-002; ER05-168-00	Direct	FERC	Fuel Cost adjustment clause (FCAC)	8/19/2006
50203	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	19142-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	4/8/2005
41230	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	30706	Direct	TX	Competition Transition Charge	3/16/2005
41230	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	30485	Supplemental Direct	TX	Financing Order	1/14/2005

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
41230	CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	30485	Direct	TX	Financing Order	1/7/2005
8201	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Colorado Energy Consumers	04S-164E	Cross Answer	CO	Cost of Service Study, Interruptible Rate Design	12/13/2004
8201	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Colorado Energy Consumers	04S-164E	Answer	CO	Cost of Service Study, Interruptible Rate Design	10/12/2004
8244	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	18300-U	Direct	GA	Revenue Requirements, Revenue Allocation, Cost of Service, Rate Design, Economic Development	10/8/2004
8195	CENTERPOINT, RELIANT AND TEXAS GENCO	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	29526	Direct	TX	True-Up	6/1/2004
8156	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY/SAVANNAH ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	17687-U/17688-U	Direct	GA	Demand Side Management	5/14/2004
8148	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	29206	Direct	TX	True-Up	3/29/2004
8095	CONNECTIV POWER DELIVERY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	ER03020110	Surrebuttal	NJ	Cost of Service	3/18/2004
8111	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	28840	Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation and Rate Design	2/4/2004
8095	CONNECTIV POWER DELIVERY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	ER03020110	Direct	NJ	Cost Allocation and Rate Design	1/4/2004
7850	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	26195	Supplemental Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	9/23/2003
8045	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE-2003-00285	Direct	VA	Stranded Cost	9/5/2003
8022	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	17066-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	7/22/2003
8002	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY	Flint Hills Resources, LP	25395	Direct	TX	Delivery Service Tariff Issues	5/9/2003
7857	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	ER02050303	Supplemental	NJ	Cost of Service	3/14/2003
7850	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	26195	Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	12/31/2002
7857	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	ER02050303	Surrebuttal	NJ	Revenue Allocation	12/16/2002
7836	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Colorado Energy Consumers	02S-315EG	Answer	CO	Incentive Cost Adjustment	11/22/2002
7857	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY	New Jersey Large Energy Consumers	ER02050303	Direct	NJ	Revenue Allocation	10/22/2002
7863	DOMINION VIRGINIA POWER	Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE-2001-00306	Direct	VA	Generation Market Prices	8/12/2002
7718	FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	000824-EI	Direct	FL	Rate Design	1/18/2002
7633	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	14000-U	Direct	GA	Cost of Service Study, Revenue Allocation, Rate Design	10/12/2001
7555	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	010001-EI	Direct	FL	Rate Design	10/12/2001
7658	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	24468	Direct	TX	Delay of Retail Competition	9/24/2001
7647	ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	24469	Direct	TX	Delay of Retail Competition	9/22/2001
7608	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	23950	Direct	TX	Price to Beat	7/3/2001
7593	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	13711-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Cost Recovery	5/11/2001
7520	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY SAVANNAH ELECTRIC & POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	12499-U, 13305-U, 13306-U	Direct	GA	Integrated Resource Planning	5/11/2001
7303	ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22356	Rebuttal	TX	Allocation/Collection of Municipal Franchise Fees	3/31/2001
7309	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22351	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Energy Efficiency Costs	2/22/2001
7305	CPL, SWEPCO, and WTU	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22352, 22353, 22354	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Allocation/Collection of Municipal Franchise Fees	2/20/2001
7423	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	13140-U	Direct	GA	Interruptible Rate Design	2/16/2001

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
7305	CPL, SWEPCO, and WTU	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22352, 22353, 22354	Supplemental Direct	TX	Transmission Cost Recovery Factor	2/13/2001
7310	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22349	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Rate Design	2/12/2001
7308	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22350	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Unbundled Cost of Service	2/12/2001
7303	ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22356	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Stranded Cost Allocation	2/6/2001
7308	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22350	Direct	TX	Rate Design	2/5/2001
7303	ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22356	Supplemental Direct	TX	Rate Design	1/25/2001
7307	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22355	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Stranded Cost Allocation	1/12/2001
7303	ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC.	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22356	Direct	TX	Stranded Cost Allocation	1/9/2001
7307	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22355	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation	12/13/2000
7375	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22352	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	CTC Rate Design	12/1/2000
7375	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22352	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation	11/1/2000
7308	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22350	Direct	TX	Cost Allocation	11/1/2000
7308	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22350	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Cost Allocation	11/1/2000
7305	CPL, SWEPCO, and WTU	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22352, 22353, 22354	Direct	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	11/1/2000
7315	VARIOUS UTILITIES	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22344	Direct	TX	Generic Customer Classes	10/14/2000
7308	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22350	Direct	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	10/10/2000
7315	VARIOUS UTILITIES	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22344	Rebuttal	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	10/1/2000
7310	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22349	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Generic Customer Classes	10/1/2000
7310	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22349	Direct	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	9/27/2000
7307	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22355	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	9/26/2000
7307	RELIANT ENERGY HL&P	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	22355	Direct	TX	Excess Cost Over Market	9/19/2000
7334	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	11708-U	Rebuttal	GA	RTP Petition	3/24/2000
7334	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group/Georgia Textile Manufacturers Group	11708-U	Direct	GA	RTP Petition	3/1/2000
7232	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Colorado Industrial Energy Consumers	99A-377EG	Answer	CO	Merger	12/1/1999
7258	TXU ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	21527	Direct	TX	Securitization	11/24/1999
7246	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	21528	Direct	TX	Securitization	11/24/1999
7089	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE980813	Direct	VA	Unbundled Rates	7/1/1999
7090	AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORPORATION	Old Dominion Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE980814	Direct	VA	Unbundled Rates	5/21/1999
7142	SHARYLAND UTILITIES, L.P.	Sharyland Utilities	20292	Rebuttal	TX	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	4/30/1999
7060	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Colorado Industrial Energy Consumers Group	98A-511E	Direct	CO	Allocation of Pollution Control Costs	3/1/1999
7039	SAVANNAH ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Various Industrial Customers	10205-U	Direct	GA	Fuel Costs	1/1/1999
6945	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	950379-EI	Direct	FL	Revenue Requirement	10/1/1998
6873	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	9355-U	Direct	GA	Revenue Requirement	10/1/1998
6729	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE960036,PUE96029 6	Direct	VA	Alternative Regulatory Plan	8/1/1998
6713	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	16995	Cross-Rebuttal	TX	IRR	1/1/1998
6582	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Lyondell Petrochemical Company	96-02867	Direct	COURT	Interruptible Power	1997

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
6758	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	17460	Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	12/1/1997
6729	VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY	Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates	PUE960036,PUE96029 6	Direct	VA	Alternative Regulatory Plan	12/1/1997
6713	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	16995	Direct	TX	Rate Design	12/1/1997
6646	ENTERGY TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	16705	Rebuttal	TX	Competitive Issues	10/1/1997
6646	ENTERGY TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	16705	Rebuttal	TX	Competition	10/1/1997
6646	ENTERGY TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	473-96-2285/16705	Direct	TX	Rate Design	9/1/1997
6646	ENTERGY TEXAS	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	16705	Direct	TX	Wholesale Sales	8/1/1997
6744	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	970171-EU	Direct	FL	Interruptible Rate Design	5/1/1997
6632	MISSISSIPPI POWER COMPANY	Colonial Pipeline Company	96-UN-390	Direct	MS	Interruptible Rates	2/1/1997
6558	TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	15560	Direct	TX	Competition	11/11/1996
6508	TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	15195	Direct	TX	Treatment of margins	9/1/1996
6475	TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	15015	DIRECT	TX	Real Time Pricing Rates	8/8/1996
6449	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	14965	Direct	TX	Quantification	7/1/1996
6449	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	14965	Direct	TX	Interruptible Rates	5/1/1996
6449	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	14965	Rebuttal	TX	Interruptible Rates	5/1/1996
6523	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Multiple Intervenors	95A-531EG	Answer	CO	Merger	4/1/1996
6235	TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	13575	Direct	TX	Competitive Issues	4/1/1996
6435	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	14499	Direct	TX	Acquisition	11/1/1995
6391	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Grace, W.R. & Company	13988	Rebuttal	TX	Rate Design	8/1/1995
6353	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	14174	Direct	TX	Costing of Off-System Sales	8/1/1995
6157	WEST TEXAS UTILITIES COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	13369	Rebuttal	TX	Cancellation Term	8/1/1995
6391	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Grace, W.R. & Company	13988	Direct	TX	Rate Design	7/1/1995
6157	WEST TEXAS UTILITIES COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	13369	Direct	TX	Cancellation Term	7/1/1995
6296	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	5601-U	Rebuttal	GA	EPACT Rate-Making Standards	5/1/1995
6296	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	5601-U	Direct	GA	EPACT Rate-Making Standards	5/1/1995
6278	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	VCFUR/ODCFUR	PUE940067	Rebuttal	VA	Integrated Resource Planning	5/1/1995
6295	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	5600-U	Supplemental	GA	Cost of Service	4/1/1995
6063	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Multiple Intervenors	94I-430EG	Rebuttal	CO	Cost of Service	4/1/1995
6063	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Multiple Intervenors	94I-430EG	Reply	CO	DSM Rider	4/1/1995
6295	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	5600-U	Direct	GA	Interruptible Rate Design	3/1/1995
6278	COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	VCFUR/ODCFUR	PUE940067	Direct	VA	EPACT Rate-Making Standards	3/1/1995
6125	SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	13456	Direct	TX	DSM Rider	3/1/1995
6235	TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	13575 13749	Direct	TX	Cost of Service	2/1/1995
6063	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO	Multiple Intervenors	94I-430EG	Answering	CO	Competition	2/1/1995
6061	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12065	Direct	TX	Rate Design	1/1/1995
6181	GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12852	Direct	TX	Competitive Alignment Proposal	11/1/1994
6061	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12065	Direct	TX	Rate Design	11/1/1994
5929	CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12820	Direct	TX	Rate Design	10/1/1994

**APPENDIX B**  
**Testimony Filed in Regulatory Proceedings**  
**by Jeffry Pollock**

PROJECT	UTILITY	ON BEHALF OF	DOCKET	TYPE	REGULATORY JURISDICTION	SUBJECT	DATE
6107	SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12855	Direct	TX	Fuel Reconciliation	8/1/1994
6112	HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY	Texas Industrial Energy Consumers	12957	Direct	TX	Standby Rates	7/1/1994
5698	GULF POWER COMPANY	Misc. Group	931044-EI	Direct	FL	Standby Rates	7/1/1994
5698	GULF POWER COMPANY	Misc. Group	931044-EI	Rebuttal	FL	Competition	7/1/1994
6043	EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY	Phelps Dodge Corporation	12700	Direct	TX	Revenue Requirement	6/1/1994
6082	GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	Georgia Industrial Group	4822-U	Direct	GA	Avoided Costs	5/1/1994
6075	GEORGIA POWER COMPANY	Georgia Industrial Group	4895-U	Direct	GA	FPC Certification Filing	4/1/1994
6025	MISSISSIPPI POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	MIEG	93-UA-0301	Comments	MS	Environmental Cost Recovery Clause	1/1/1994
5971	FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	Florida Industrial Power Users Group	940042-EI	Direct	FL	Section 712 Standards of 1992 EPACT	1/1/1994

## ENDNOTES

- <sup>1</sup> FPL, *Petition for Approval of Environmental Cost Recovery* at 8-9.
- <sup>2</sup> FPL's Response to OPC's First Set of Production of Documents, Question 3 at Bates ECRC-037.
- <sup>3</sup> Testimony and Exhibits of Juan E. Enjamio at 9.
- <sup>4</sup> FPL, *Petition for Approval of Environmental Cost Recovery* at 9.
- <sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 1.
- <sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 5-6.
- <sup>7</sup> *In re: Petition by Florida Power & Light Company to recover Scherer Unit 4 Turbine Upgrade costs through environmental cost recovery clause or fuel cost recovery clause*, Docket No. 100404-EI, Order No. PSC-11-0080-PAA-EI at 2-3. (Jan. 31, 2011) (emphasis added)
- <sup>8</sup> FPL's Response to OPC's First Set of Production of Documents, Question 3 at Bates ECRC-042.
- <sup>9</sup> Testimony and Exhibits of Randall R. LaBauve at 5.
- <sup>10</sup> Testimony and Exhibits of Juan E. Enjamio at 7-8.
- <sup>11</sup> *In re: Petition by Florida Power & Light Company to recover Scherer Unit 4 Turbine Upgrade costs through environmental cost recovery clause or fuel cost recovery clause*, Docket No. 100404-EI, Order No. PSC-11-0080-PAA-EI at 5. (Jan. 31, 2011) (emphasis added)
- <sup>12</sup> *Petition of Gulf Power Company to include the Plant Daniel Bromine and ACI Project, the Plant Crist Transmission Upgrade Project, and the Plant Smith Transmission Upgrade Project in the Company's program, and approve the costs associated with these compliance projects for recovery through the ECRC*, Docket No. 130092-EI, Memorandum dated July 18, 2013 at 5-6.
- <sup>13</sup> *Id.* at 8-9.
- <sup>14</sup> *In re: Petition to determine need for modernization of Port Everglades Plant, by Florida Power & Light Company*, Docket No. 110309-EI, Order No. PSC-12-0187-FOE-EI at 1. (April 9, 2012) (emphasis added)
- <sup>15</sup> *Id.* at 5. (emphasis added)
- <sup>16</sup> *Id.* at 5-6. (emphasis added)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Environmental cost recovery clause.	DOCKET NO. 130007-EI Filed: September 13, 2013
--	---

AFFIDAVIT OF JEFFRY POLLOCK

State of Missouri        )  
  ) SS  
County of St. Louis    )

Jeffry Pollock, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

1. My name is Jeffry Pollock. I am President of J. Pollock, Incorporated, 12647 Olive Blvd., Suite 585, St. Louis, Missouri 63141. We have been retained by Florida Industrial Power Users Group to testify in this proceeding on its behalf;

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony which has been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130007-EI; and,

3. I hereby swear and affirm that the answers contained in my testimony and the information in my exhibits are true and correct.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeffry Pollock

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2013.

**KITTY TURNER**  
Notary Public - Notary Seal  
State of Missouri  
Commissioned for Lincoln County  
My Commission Expires: April 25, 2015  
Commission Number: 11390610

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kitty Turner, Notary Public  
Commission #: 11390610

My Commission expires on April 25, 2015.