

COMMISSIONERS:  
RONALD A. BRISÉ, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
ART GRAHAM  
EDUARDO E. BALBIS  
JULIE I. BROWN

STATE OF FLORIDA



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL  
S. CURTIS KISER  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
(850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-FPSC

13 OCT 18 PM 4:02

COMMISSION  
CLERK

# Public Service Commission

October 18, 2013

Bryan S. Anderson, Esquire  
Florida Power & Light Company  
700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

## STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST

**Re: Docket No. 130245-EI - Petition for base rate increase for extended power uprate systems placed in commercial service by Florida Power & Light Company.**

Dear Mr. Anderson:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or utility) provide responses to the following data requests.

1. In Exhibits 13, 48-50, filed in Docket No. 130009-EI, FPL provided schedules supporting \$13,825,845 in 2013 revenue requirements for incremental 2012 Extended Power Uprate plant placed into service. In this docket, FPL requested a \$19,142,379 true-up of the 2012 base rate adjustment (See paragraph 8 and Attachment D).
  - a. Please explain and reconcile the differences, if any, in FPL's filings in Docket Nos. 130009-EI and 130245-EI regarding 2012 plant in service that has not yet been included in FPL's base rates revenue requirements.
  - b. Will the approval of FPL's petition for an additional \$19,142,379 in revenue requirements during 2014 associated with incremental 2012 rate base result in double recovery during 2014?
    - 1.If no, please explain why not.
    - 2.If yes, please describe FPL's mitigation action, if any.

- c. Will the approval of FPL's petition for an additional \$19,142,379 in revenue requirements during 2014 associated with incremental 2012 rate base result in over recovery during 2014?
  - 1.If no, please explain why not.
  - 2.If yes, please describe FPL's mitigation action, if any.
2. In Exhibits 25, 49-50, filed in Docket No. 130009-EI, FPL provided schedules supporting \$62,039,072 in 2013 revenue requirements for incremental 2013 Extended Power Uprate plant placed into service. In this docket, FPL has requested a \$94,064,105 revenue requirement for the plant placed into service during 2013. (See paragraph 8 and Attachment B).
  - a. Please explain and reconcile the differences, if any, in FPL's filings in Docket Nos. 130009-EI and 130245-EI regarding 2013 plant in service that has not yet been included in FPL's base rates revenue requirements.
  - b. Will the approval of FPL's petition for an additional \$94,064,105 in revenue requirements during 2014 associated with incremental 2013 rate base result in double recovery during 2014?
    - 1.If no, please explain why not.
    - 2.If yes, please describe FPL's mitigation action, if any?
  - c. Will the approval of FPL's petition for an additional \$94,064,105 in revenue requirements during 2014 associated with incremental 2013 rate base result in over recovery during 2014?
    - 1.If no, please explain why not.
    - 2.If yes, please describe FPL's mitigation action, if any?
3. With respect to any equipment (assets) retired in 2013 as a result of the 2013 Extended Power Uprate plant placed into service, please provide a description and the dollar amounts related to these 2013 retirements, if any.
4. Provide an explanation describing how the retirement of these assets identified above is directly related to the 2013 Extended Power Uprate plant placed into service.
5. Please identify all differences, if any, in FPL's depreciation amounts and property taxes compared to the depreciation amounts and property taxes FPL supported in its Need Filing in Docket No. 070602-EI. For each identified change in depreciation amount and/or taxes, explain the reason for the difference.

Bryan Anderson, Esquire

Page 3

October 18, 2013

6. Please provide the calculation of the 1.63188 net operating income multiplier used on page 1, line 41 of Attachment B to the Petition.
7. Please identify the origin and derivation of the numbers found in Attachment E, page 1, column (3), labeled Total Nuclear Cost Allocation.
8. How does the Company envision the true-up of the revenue requirement associated with the 2013 Extended Power Uprate plant placed into service in this docket will be addressed?

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Wednesday, October 23, 2013, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6076 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Michael T. Lawson  
Senior Attorney

MTL/ace

cc: Office of Commission Clerk