

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: January 29, 2014
TO: All Parties of Record & Interested Persons
FROM: Michael T. Lawson, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel
RE: Docket No. 140009-EI - Nuclear cost recovery clause.

Please note that an informal meeting between Commission staff and interested persons to the above-captioned docket has been scheduled for the following time and place:

Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at 1:00 pm
Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room 154
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

The administrative purpose of the meeting is to discuss: 1) the types of schedules that Duke Energy Florida, Inc. may consider filing in the 2014 proceeding, and 2) deferred 2013 issues that may need to be addressed during the 2014 proceeding. Attendance is not required; however, all interested persons are encouraged to attend.

Interested persons may participate telephonically in this meeting by dialing **1-888-670-3525**, Passcode **5317547583 then #**. If you have any questions about the meeting, please call Michael Lawson at (850) 413-6076.

If settlement of the case or a named storm or other disaster requires cancellation of the meeting, Commission staff will attempt to give timely direct notice to the parties. Notice of cancellation will also be provided on the Commission's website (<http://www.psc.state.fl.us/>) under the Hot Topics link found on the home page. Cancellation can also be confirmed by calling the Office of the General Counsel at 850-413-6199.

MTL/ace
Attachments

cc: Office of Commission Clerk

Agenda

February 5, 2014 - Informal Meeting
Docket No. 140009-EI

1. Resolve questions concerning the format and data presented within the NFR schedules that DEF may consider filing in 2014.
 - The 2013 Motion to Defer, 2013 Settlement Agreement, and DEF's 2013 announcement regarding both the CR3 Uprate Project and the Levy Project will impact the NFR schedules that DEF may consider filing in the 2014 NCRC docket. The focus of the discussion is to identify what, if any, schedules could be modified or eliminated.
 - Based on the current NFR schedules, will the requirements for the disposition of CR3 Uprate costs, as contained in the 2013 Settlement Agreement, allow for the adequate tracking, review, and identification of jurisdictional amortization balances (both actual and projected)?
 - Based on the current NFR schedules, will the requirements for the disposition of Levy Project costs, as outlined in the 2013 Settlement Agreement, allow for adequate tracking, review, and identification of jurisdictional regulatory liability balances (both current and projected)?

2. Discuss DEF's deferred 2013 issues that may need to be addressed during the 2014 proceeding.
 - For discussion purposes staff has attached a "discussion document" which provides a preliminary analysis of possible considerations of the deferred 2013 issues.

Levy Project Questions

Prudence Review

Should the Commission find that during the years 2012 and 2013, DEF's project management, contracting, accounting and cost oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 18 and 21)*

What jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as DEF's final 2012 and 2013 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 20, 21, 22 and 23)*

Reasonableness Review

What jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as reasonably estimated 2014 costs and estimated true-up amounts for the Levy Units 1 & 2 project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 24)*

What are the estimated year ending 2014 and 2015 balances, including carrying costs, of the Levy Units 1 & 2 project regulatory liability pursuant to PSC-13-0598-FOF-EI? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issue 25)*

May no longer require further Commission action or review

Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 19, 19A and 19B

CR 3 Uprate Project Questions

Prudence Review

Should the Commission find that during the years 2012 and 2013, DEF's project management, contracting, accounting and cost oversight controls were reasonable and prudent for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 27 and 27A)*

What jurisdictional amounts should the Commission approve as DEF's 2012 and 2013 prudently incurred costs and final true-up amounts for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 28 and 29)*

Reasonableness Review

What jurisdictional amortization amounts for 2014 and 2015 should the Commission approve as reasonable for the Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate project? *(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issue 30)*

May no longer require further Commission action or review

(Encompasses questions pertaining to deferred issues 31)