State of Florida



Hublic Serbice Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

April 24, 2014

TO:

Office of Commission Clerk (Stauffer)

FROM:

Division of Economics (Rome) CHE EID J.W. V Office of the General Counsel (Klancke) COMPANDED

RE:

Docket No. 140067-EI – Petition for approval of revised underground residential

distribution tariffs, by Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

AGENDA: 05/09/14 – Regular Agenda – Tariff Filing – Interested Persons May Participate

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

PREHEARING OFFICER:

Administrative

CRITICAL DATES:

05/31/14 (60-Day Suspension Date)

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

None

Case Background

On April 1, 2014, Duke Energy Florida, Inc. (DEF) filed a petition for Commission approval of revisions to its Underground Residential Distribution (URD) Tariffs and their associated charges. The URD tariffs apply to new residential developments and represent the additional costs DEF incurs to provide underground distribution service in place of overhead service. The current tariffs were approved in Order No. PSC-12-0348-TRF-EI. The following is staff's recommendation to suspend the proposed tariff revisions. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Section 366.06, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

¹ See Order No. PSC-12-0348-TRF-EI, issued July 5, 2012, in Docket No. 110293-EI, In re: Petition for approval of revised underground residential distribution tariffs, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc. As of April 29, 2013, Progress Energy Florida, Inc.'s name was changed to Duke Energy Florida, Inc.

Docket No. 140067-EI Date: April 24, 2014

Discussion of Issues

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should DEF's proposed URD tariff revisions be suspended?

Recommendation: Yes. (Rome)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: Staff recommends that the tariffs be suspended to allow staff sufficient time to review the petition and gather all pertinent information in order to present the Commission with an informed recommendation on the tariff proposals.

Pursuant to Section 366.06(3), F.S., the Commission may withhold consent to the operation of all or any portion of a new rate schedule, delivering to the utility requesting such increase a reason or written statement of good cause for doing so within 60 days. Staff believes that the reason stated above is good cause consistent with the requirement of Section 366.06(3), F.S.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. This docket should remain open pending the Commission's decision on the proposed tariff revisions. (Klancke)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: This docket should remain open pending the Commission's decision on the proposed tariff revisions.