FILED JUL 03, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 03475-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
2	FLORIDA	FUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:		
4	COMMISSION REVIEW CONSERVATION GOAL	OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 13019	9-EI
5	POWER & LIGHT COM	·	
6		OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 13020)O-EI
7	CONSERVATION GOALS (DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.).		
8	COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 130201-EI CONSERVATION GOALS (TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY).)1-EI
9			
10		OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 13020)2-EI
11	COMPANY).		
12		OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 13020)3-EM
13	CONSERVATION GOALS (JEA).		
14	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE	
15	COMMISSIONERS	COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ	
16			
17		Thursday, June 26, 2014	
18		Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 10:20 a.m.	
19		Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
20		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
21		LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR	
22		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734	
23		(000) 110 0/01	
24			
25			

APPEARANCES:

JOHN T. BUTLER, JESSICA CANO, and KEVIN I. C. DONALDSON, ESQUIRES, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT and MATTHEW R. BERNIER, ESQUIRES, Duke Energy Florida, 299 First Avenue N., FL-151, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701, appearing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, and ASHLEY M. DANIELS, ESQUIRES, Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, JEFFREY A. STONE, and RUSSELL A. BADDERS, ESQUIRES, Beggs & Lane, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JOHN FINNIGAN, ESQUIRE, 128 Winding Brook
Lane, Cincinnati, Ohio 45174, appearing on behalf of
Environmental Defense Fund.

GARY V. PERKO and BROOKE E. LEWIS, ESQUIRES, 119 S. Monroe Street, Suite 300, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of JEA.

2

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

JILL M. TAUBER, DAVID GUEST, ALISA COE, and GEORGE CAVROS, ESQUIRES, 111 S. Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; 120 E. Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334; and 1625 Massachusetts Avenue N.E., Suite 702, Washington D.C. 20036, appearing on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LAVIA III, ESQUIRES, 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of Walmart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.

JAMES W. BREW and F. ALVIN TAYLOR, ESQUIRES, c/o Brickfield Law Firm, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007-5201, appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc., d/b/a PCS Phosphate -White Springs.

ERIK L. SAYLER, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

DIANA A. CSANK, ESQUIRE, 50 F Street NW, 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20001, appearing on behalf of Sierra Club.

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

JON C. MOYLE, JR., and KAREN PUTNAL, ESQUIRES, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32312, appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

ALTON E. DREW, ESQUIRE, 667 Peeples Street, SW #4, Atlanta, Georgia 30310, appearing on behalf of the Florida State Conference of the NAACP.

STEVEN L. HALL, ESQUIRE, 407 South Calhoun

Street, Suite 520, Tallahassee, Florida 32399, appearing
on behalf of the Florida Department of Agriculture and

Consumer Services.

CHARLES MURPHY, LEE ENG TAN, and KELLEY F.

CORBARI, ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540

Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service

Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel and Curt Kiser, General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Good morning.

If we could settle in, and we'll try to get started at the latter half of 9:30, the latter 30 seconds of the 9:30, so that we can still be on time.

Thank you for joining us today on this nice day somewhat. And I know all of you want to get out of here so you can go watch that U.S. versus Germany game today. I have my colors on. I tried to avoid wearing the soccer tie and stuff just to be professional today.

So with that, we're going to go ahead and call this Prehearing to order, Docket Numbers 130199-EI, 130202-EI, and 130203-EM [sic], our review of the Numeric Conservation Goals.

So, Lee Eng, would you read the notice, please.

MS. TAN: Pursuant to notice issued by the Commission Clerk, this time and place has been set for a Prehearing Conference in Docket Numbers 130199-EI through 130202-EI, and Docket Number 130203-EM.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

We are going to take appearances at this time, recognizing that there are not 14 seats out there, so I think people are lining up on that end as well. And if people need to move around so that individuals have

access to the microphone, let's be courteous about that 1 2 as well. 3 Okay. So we'll start taking appearances. MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Commissioner. John 4 Butler, Florida Power & Light Company, appearing in 5 Docket 13019 [sic]. Also entering appearances in that 6 7 docket for Jessica Cano and Kevin Donaldson. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 MS. TRIPLETT: Good morning. Dianne Triplett on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, making an appearance 10 in Docket 130200. And I'd also like to enter an 11 appearance for Matthew Bernier. 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 13 14 MR. BEASLEY: Commissioner, I'm Jim Beasley 15 appearing with Jeff Wahlen and Ashley Daniels on behalf of Tampa Electric Company in the 130201 docket. 16 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. 17 18 MR. GRIFFIN: Good morning, Commissioner. 19 Steven Griffin with Beggs & Lane on behalf of Gulf Power in Docket 130202, entering my appearance, also Jeffrey 20 21 A. Stone and Russell A. Badders. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. 23 MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, good morning. 24 name is John Finnigan. I'm with Environmental Defense 25 Fund, and I'm entering an appearance in the 130199, 200,

201, and 202 dockets.

mike.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

MR. PERKO: Excuse me, Commissioner. Just to get all the utilities in, Gary Perko on behalf of JEA.

Also entering an appearance for Brooke Lewis.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MS. TAUBER: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: If you could turn on your

MS. TAUBER: Good morning. Jill Tauber with EarthJustice entering an appearance on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, along with my colleagues David Guest, Alisa Coe, and George Cavros. And we are entering our appearances for dockets ending 199, 200, 201, and 202.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. Robert Scheffel Wright and John T. Lavia III on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores East and Sam's East in all dockets, 130199 through 130205 [sic].

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. BREW: Good morning, Commissioner. James
Brew and Al Taylor appearing for White Springs
Agricultural Chemicals/PCS Phosphate. We've intervened
in all dockets, but our concern is the 130200 Duke

docket.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SAYLER: Erik Sayler on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel, an appearance in Docket Number 130199 through 130202.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MS. CSANK: Diana Csank on behalf of Sierra Club appearing in all five dockets, 130199 through 130203.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle with the Moyle Law Firm appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. We are in, in the FPL, Duke, TECO, and Gulf dockets. And I'd also like to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal with our firm.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DREW: Good morning, Chairman. My name is Alton Drew. I'm entering an appearance for the Florida State Conference of the NAACP in Docket Numbers 130199 through 130205 [sic].

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HALL: Commissioner, Steven Hall from the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services entering an appearance for 130199 through 130203.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

Anybody else on this end that needs to make an appearance? Okay.

MS. TAN: Charlie Murphy appearing for Docket Numbers 130199 and 130200; myself, Lee Eng Tan, for Dockets Number 130199 through 130203; and Kelley Corbari for Docket Number 130203, all appearing on behalf of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you.

MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton, advisor to the Commission for all the dockets. And also appearing today is our General Counsel, Curt Kiser.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

All right. That's a lot of appearances there, so I suppose it's going to be a very active set of cases that we've got here.

Ultimately, and I'll say this now and I'll probably say this again as we go through the process, ultimately we want to establish some goals that are reasonable, and we want to hear from everyone and give everyone an opportunity to be heard and allow the evidence to take us where it leads us. So we certainly hope that everyone that is here will work with that spirit and work with that goal in mind as we hopefully set out to establish some, some good goals for, for us within this process.

All right. Preliminary matters. 1 MS. TAN: Commissioner, the NAACP has filed a 2 3 petition for intervention and a motion to late file its prehearing statement. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Should I rule on 5 that now, or can I do that a little bit later? 6 7 MS. TAN: Whatever you wish. You may want to ask if anyone has any objections to their intervention. 8 9 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Sure. That's a 10 good idea. 11 MS. TAN: And if not, you can decide. 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Is there 13 anyone that has any objections to the NAACP intervening? 14 Yes, ma'am. 15 MS. TAUBER: Your Honor, this is Jill Tauber on behalf of SACE. SACE does not have objections but 16 17 will note that we have, in light of just receiving the 18 two pleadings and new information contained therein, we 19 just wanted to apprise the Commission that we are 20 reviewing the filings and may seek to file a response as 21 we complete our review. I just wanted to let you know 22 that. 23 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Understood. 24 Okay. Yes.

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. CSANK: Your Honor, Diana Csank on behalf

of Sierra Club with the same -- we're in the same

position as SACE on, as far as still considering the,

the filings.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, Wal-Mart supports the NAACP's intervention and would support their motion for leave to file a late-filed prehearing statement.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Mary Anne.

MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman, the Uniform Rule of Procedure allows seven days to respond to a motion or a petition if times allows. So if you want to give an opportunity to SACE or the Sierra Club to file a response, you may want to set a date sooner than that so that you can make a ruling so that NAACP and all others involved in the proceeding will know how to proceed.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. But ultimately we can decide that -- I can decide that the NAACP can intervene, and anyone who has issues with the issues that they bring up can be addressed as, as we move forward.

MS. HELTON: You know, we are -- we're here at the Prehearing Conference. So if you decide that time does not allow the seven days response, I think you can, you can rule today, and that may be helpful to the

process.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right.

MS. HELTON: And then if those Intervenors disagree, then they can seek reconsideration from the full Commission.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Sure. So I'm going to go ahead and make my ruling at this time. By its petition to intervene, the NAACP has met the standard of associational standing, so I grant the petition to the NAACP. And part of my rationale is they represent actual consumers and -- just like everyone else here. So, so, therefore, we will grant their petition to intervene.

MR. DREW: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. TAN: Commissioner, I think you might want to rule that the NAACP will take the case as they find it pursuant to --

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Absolutely. The case is as it stands, and, you know, you take it as it is right now.

MS. TAN: And, in addition, since you've granted the petition to intervene, staff would like to add the NAACP's prehearing statement to the Prehearing Order -- to the Prehearing Order.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Okay.

All right. Anything else that we need to deal with in preliminary matters? This is the time. Okay.

MS. TAN: While we're adding the prehearing statement, we would also think it's probably appropriate to grant the motion to late file the prehearing statement.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So I thought that that was all included in that. But -- so we will grant them permission to take leave to provide the prehearing statements.

MS. TAN: Just to be on the safe side.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. So now we're going to start moving through the Prehearing Order, so let's go through the Draft Prehearing Order. We'll identify sections, and I want the parties to go — to let me know if there are any corrections or changes that need to be made. We may go quickly through this, so please speak up if you have a change or correction that needs to be made.

I have a little bit of swimmer's ear, so please speak up, speak up loud. It's summertime and your kids want to go swimming all the time, and, you know, you take one swimming and then the other one gets back from school and you got to get back in the pool,

2

so.

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23 24

25

So Section I, case background. All right. Any issues there? Okay. Seeing none, so that seems to be okay.

Section II, conduct of proceedings.

Okay. Jurisdiction, Section III.

Section IV, procedures for handling confidential information.

All right. Section V, prefiled testimony and exhibits, witnesses. Are parties willing to shorten or dispense with witness summaries of testimonies, if any?

MR. BUTLER: I don't think we would want to dispense with it. I probably could be persuaded to shorten it, if need be.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. We typically provide five minutes for that. Okay? Everybody understand? Everybody in agreement with that, five minutes? So make sure your witnesses are prepared for five minutes, and five minutes really means five minutes.

MR. BUTLER: You'll have the lights here; right?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. We do have the, the light apparatus that will help guide that process for, for those who this may be your first time coming through

this process with witnesses. So we'll have a fixture, 1 trap door -- (Laughter) -- in which you -- green means 2 3 go; yellow means you have two minutes left; red means you have about a minute left; and when it starts 4 blinking, you should have stopped. Okay? 5 Okay. Section VI, order of witnesses. Are 6 7 any parties willing to stipulate to any witnesses at this particular point? 8 9 MR. BUTLER: FPL -- excuse me. FPL would be 10 11 12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

willing to stipulate to the admission into the record of the Intervenor witnesses' testimony and exhibits, waive cross-examination, and have those witnesses excused, if it turns out that's what actually happens, if the Commissioners don't have questions for them. So it would facilitate the saving of time and travel expense of them coming to the hearing. If it turns out that there's going to be questions for them, then obviously we would want the opportunity to participate in that process.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right.

MS. TRIPLETT: And the same thing for Duke Energy Florida, sir.

> COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. BEASLEY: And for Tampa Electric.

MR. GRIFFIN: And for Gulf Power.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 1 MR. PERKO: As well as JEA. 2 3 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. MR. FINNIGAN: Same for Environmental Defense 4 Fund. 5 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Any 7 other witnesses that people are interested in having stipulated to? Okay. 8 9 All right. Does that cover it for, for 10 witnesses? Okay. 11 MS. TAUBER: Your Honor, just one, one logistical matter. 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 13 14 MS. TAUBER: One of SACE's witnesses, Mr. Karl Rábago, is coming in from out of town and has a conflict 15 with the first two days of the hearing. I believe it 16 17 may well be likely that it wouldn't require a 18 reshuffling of the order, but we were hoping to request 19 today a date certain for him to testify of July 23rd, and so I wanted to raise that now. 20 21 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. 22 MS. TAN: Commissioner, we have suggested to 23 the parties that if they have somebody that they would 24 like to change the order with -- because there are so 25 many witnesses -- that they ask the other parties to

come to agreement. And then when they have agreement, to bring that to the Prehearing Officer.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yeah. I think that that would probably work best because there are so many potential witnesses. And what I've, what I have found, that sometimes when we set a time certain or day certain for a particular witness, we may end up getting backed up, you know, with cross-examination and so forth and so on, so we may end up being behind. So if parties can come up with an agreement, that would probably work best. And we can work that through our staff attorneys, and then it'll come to me and then we'll make a decision.

MS. TAUBER: And we will certainly confer with the parties. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you very much.

Okay. Anything else in terms of order of witnesses?

MR. MOYLE: In preparing for it, I just want to be clear that the offer on the stipulation for the other witnesses was an offer at this point.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right.

MR. MOYLE: And it's not a done deal unless the Intervenors come back and say, "We'll take your

-		

offer." Correct?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes.

MR. MOYLE: Okay. All right. And FIPUG does not have any problem with taking witnesses out of order and don't feel a need to be contacted as a matter of proceeding. We're good with whatever the parties work out.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. Okay. Moving on to Section VII, basic positions.

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, John Finnigan on behalf of Environmental Defense Fund.

I noted that on the order of witnesses our issues were not listed, and I think the proper issues for us ought to be 3, 4, 5, and 11.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. I'm going to let staff handle that. Okay. So I think that's noted, but Lee Eng?

MS. TAN: Yes. We'll make those changes.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Anyone have issues with those changes? Okay.

All right. Still on basic positions.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner, thank you. I just want to advise everyone -- and I did speak with Ms. Tan yesterday about this -- Wal-Mart will be modifying our

statement of basic position, and we will also be 1 modifying our positions on a number of the substantive 2 3 issues, which we will furnish as directed by you and staff. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 5 MR. WRIGHT: I won't bring it up again. 6 7 Thanks. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Okay. 8 9 Anything else from anyone else on basic 10 positions? Okay. Moving forward, Section VIII, issues 11 12 and positions. 13 All right. Since I'm hearing nothing, that, 14 that works. I'll give you a little more time to go 15 through, go through the positions. But I'm sure if you had one that was burning, you had your notes prepared 16 17 already, so. MR. MOYLE: Do you want, do you want to take 18 19 these not like Issue 1, Issue 2, just take them kind of 20 en masse because FIPUG has --21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yeah. I think if you 22 went through and said what -- which ones you identify 23 as, as, as issues. 24 MR. MOYLE: Okay. FIPUG has a slight addition

25

to Issue Number 6.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. MOYLE: And the issue is, you know, "What cost-effectiveness test or tests should the Commission use to set goals, pursuant to Section 366.82?" And FIPUG sets its position. We would just add, and I'll quote, The Commission should also consider whether a two-year or three-year, quote, payback, end quote, screen should be utilized in making a cost-effectiveness determination. So that's a one-sentence addition.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. If you could say that again for me.

MR. MOYLE: Sure. The Commission should also consider whether a two-year or three-year, quote, payback, end quote, screen should be utilized in making a cost-effectiveness determination.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Any objections or -- okay. Okay. That works for me. Let me check with our staff.

MS. TAN: Yes. If there's any questions, I'll have, I'll have you send an email to me with the additional information.

MR. MOYLE: Sure.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Anyone else?

Okay. If not, then the issues and the

positions, notwithstanding FIPUG's addition there, will 1 2 stand as they stand right now. Right? MR. BREW: Commissioner, it's my understanding 3 that positions that are labeled "No position at this 4 time" become "No position." 5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: That is correct. 6 7 MR. BREW: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Okay. 8 9 All right. So is everyone comfortable where we are, where we stand right now? Okay. So I'll take 10 silence as an affirmative. Okay. 11 Section IX, exhibit list. Staff, would you 12 13 address this, please. 14 MS. TAN: Staff notes that staff will prepare 15 a Comprehensive Exhibit List, which will consist of all 16 the prefiled exhibits for the purposes of numbering and 17 identifying the exhibits at hearing. Staff will provide 18 the exhibit list to the parties as soon as possible. We also intend to prepare a proposed stipulated exhibit, 19 20 which will be composed of discovery responses and 21 deposition transcripts which we will provide to the 22 parties in advance of the hearing. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Mr. Sayler. 23 24 MR. SAYLER: Just how far in advance of the 25 hearing will the staff's stipulated exhibit be provided?

MS. TAN: The discovery deadline is on the 7th 1 of July, and, therefore, following that closure, we will 2 be able to have a list that will go out to everyone. 3 MR. SAYLER: Thank you. 4 MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé. 5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. 6 7 MR. BUTLER: Just a question/comment here, a possible typo. On page 30 at the beginning of the 8 9 reference to the direct exhibits and then over on 10 page -- I'm sorry -- page 50 and then over on page 61, the beginning of the rebuttal exhibits, the first entry 11 says "Name, Utility/Intervenor, ABC-1." Is that 12 13 supposed to be there, or is that just like a dummy entry 14 that was left in the Prehearing Order? COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: It's probably a dummy 15 16 entry. 17 MS. TAN: All that signifies is how to do the 18 initials. The ABC-1 is the initial. So, for example, for the first witness, it's Steven R. Sims, that ABC is 19 20 SRS. But we will take it out. 21 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. 23 Mr. Brew. 24 MR. BREW: Commissioner, I do have two 25 corrections on Issues 8 and 9. The PCS position should

be revised to read the same as FIPUG's. 1 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Okay. 2 MR. BREW: And I'll provide that language to 3 staff. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 5 MR. SAYLER: Mr. Chairman, with regard to the 6 7 staff's stipulated exhibit or list -- or exhibit that they'd like to be stipulated, there's quite a bit of 8 9 discovery already that's been gone forth in this docket. 10 If there's a possibility to break that into two: One 11 that's provided sooner to the parties; and then after 12 the discovery deadline closes to, if there's any 13 additional discovery that they want to put in, to 14 provide a second one just so that -- because there's a 15 lot of documents to review by all the parties. And if they're seeking a stipulation, it's helpful to actually 16 17 put eyes on all of them ahead of time. 18 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Logistically --19 MS. TAN: We do have a draft Comprehensive 20 Exhibit List, so we can get that out earlier. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. I 22 just wanted to make sure from a logistical perspective 23 we were in position to do so.

MR. SAYLER:

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Anything else on

1 the exhibit list?

All right.

MS. TAUBER: I have one addition.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.

MS. TAUBER: Or a couple of questions on behalf of SACE.

The, in the Draft Prehearing Order it states that "Parties and Staff reserve the right to identify additional exhibits for the purposes of cross-examination." And I would -- we would like to add that parties are able to utilize any exhibit listed by another party for the purposes of cross-examination or redirect examination.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Let me see how we deal with that. When you say any exhibit by any other party dealing particularly with that particular witness, or any other party, any other witness. So if you could specify what you mean by that.

MS. TAUBER: To be able to utilize any exhibit that has been listed in the exhibit list by another party, that any party can use such exhibits.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. HELTON: Any use of any exhibit is going to be subject to the normal rules set out in Chapter 120 in the Rules of Civil Procedure. So it would have to be

1	relevant.
2	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right.
3	MS. HELTON: You know, within the scope of the
4	direct examination, you know, that typical thing.
5	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. I don't think that
6	that should be a problem.
7	MS. TAUBER: Okay. And the same with
8	discovery responses as well, just the same.
9	MS. HELTON: I'm sorry. My boss was talking
10	to me at the same time as the counsel
11	MS. TAUBER: I apologize.
12	MS. HELTON: so I didn't hear her comment.
13	MS. TAUBER: I apologize. I was just
14	confirming, and the same for discovery responses as
15	well.
16	MS. HELTON: Yes.
17	MS. TAUBER: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
19	MR. BUTLER: Commissioner Brisé.
20	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes.
21	MR. BUTLER: I'd like to comment on that
22	briefly, if I may.
23	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.
24	MR. BUTLER: You know, we've got this
25	consolidation of dockets for discovery and various

purposes. I want to be sure I understand what's being proposed. Because obviously our witnesses are going to prepare to be able to address their exhibits and any of the discovery responses that they would have sponsored, really any of the discovery responses that FPL will have provided. But it would be extremely burdensome and I don't think serve any real great purpose for our witnesses to be expected to become familiar with all of the exhibits and all of the discovery in the other dockets here for the other utilities.

And so I don't want there to be an expectation -- or if there is, I want to be sure I know about it -- that our witnesses are going to be having to become familiar with everything that's been generated by the other companies in, in their dockets. I think that would be overly burdensome and would object to it.

MR. BEASLEY: We would echo that, Commissioner Brisé, that our witness is not familiar with the various documents prepared and submitted by the other parties and could not really be reasonably expected to respond to questions about those dockets.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.

MS. TAUBER: And just to clarify, we -- the, the use of such exhibits would only be, we would only anticipate using it as necessary and relevant, which I

think would address the concerns raised by counsel.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUTLER: It wouldn't address my concern, because my concern is that, you know, what is before our witnesses are the exhibits they have proffered and the discovery responses that FPL has prepared and produced. And I just, I don't want to undertake the added burden of having to have our witnesses prepare to be familiar with everything else that's been produced in all five of the dockets. Certainly without -- I would say at a minimum if there's some small number of specific items that are identified well in advance, you know, we'd consider that. But I don't want to have our witnesses be shown an exhibit from, you know, a deposition taken or a discovery response in another docket that they haven't seen before and look bad because they're not able on the spot to understand what's in it and respond to cross-examination about it.

MR. MOYLE: Can I weigh in on this briefly?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. Go right ahead.

MR. MOYLE: I mean, we all -- a lot of us have practiced in front of the Commission for many, many years. It's my understanding there's never been any kind of requirement with respect to cross-examination that you have to pre-identify a cross-examination exhibit and identify it. It sort of defeats the purpose

of cross-examination. Part of it is, okay, put, put it in front of a witness and see how they respond. And if, you know, if, if the witness is not familiar with the, with the exhibit, he can just say, you know, "It was filed by TECO. I don't know, I'm not familiar with it."

So I just want to make sure we're not encroaching or eroding on the long-honored practice that on cross-examination, you know, you're free to use documents that, you know, have to be relevant and things like that, but we're not putting some kind of a constraint that you have to pre-identify cross-examination documents.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. GUEST: Your Honor, may I respond to that?

I'm sorry. It seems to me that the issue is -- I agree,

of course, that's right -- what we want to do is offer

them for the truth of the matter stated. Their

interrogatory answers, they're admissions like any other

admission.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: If you could --

MR. GUEST: I'm sorry. We want to offer them for the truth of the matter stated because these are admissions, they're admissible as admissions. For depositions, they're also admissible. So we want to clarify in total agreement that we're offering them for

the truth of the matter stated. 1

2

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

3

MR. BREW: Commissioner.

4

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Mr. Brew.

MR. BREW: We -- I, I've had discussions on

5

this issue with staff in the past, and my concern is 6

7 about offering information as sworn evidence that nobody

8

9 offered simply for the truth of the matter stated, I

10

don't have a concern. But to the extent that more is

has vetted. And so to the extent that a document is

11

asserted to it and there's no sponsor, then we'll have a

12

discussion on the record.

13

14

cross-examination, so that doesn't come into play.

MR. GUEST: Well, this is only for

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Anybody else on

MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman, we're doing a lot

15

this issue before I turn to staff? Okay.

17

16

of talking in the abstract here without knowing exactly

18 19

what documents are being talked about. We have in the

2.0

past, as Mr. Moyle pointed out, dealt with exhibits that

21 22 have been distributed and the witnesses have been cross-examined on that were not part of prefiled

23 testimony and prefiled exhibits and were not part of

24

25

the discovery that was conducted in, in the proceeding.

staff's Comprehensive Exhibit List and were not part of

15 16

17

18

19 2.0

22

21

24

23

25

And we've always managed to deal with it at the time in the proper context and looking at it with respect to Chapter 120 and, as my boss pointed out, Chapter 90 and whether it should be admitted or not. And I have full faith that we will be able to do that when the hearing comes. And just, you know, keep -- let everybody keep in mind that there's obviously a lot of parties here, there's quite a few witnesses. We have a limited amount of time. And so one way to keep the, the hearing going and processing well is to ensure before you even start that the document is relevant, that it is the appropriate witness to be examined about it and such. And I think that we can handle it when we get there.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yeah. I mean, I tend to agree that the way we have operated works, and so we will continue to do so.

Just for everyone to bear in mind, you know, if a witness is prepared for, for whatever they're prepared for, that's what they came to testify about. And so whatever is posed to them in cross-examination has to be relevant to their testimony. And I'm sure whoever is the Chairman at the time will rule on whatever objections are lodged at that time, and so we will deal with it that way.

Okay. Anything else on exhibits?

Okay. Section X, proposed stipulations. 1 Apparently FIPUG, SACE, and Duke have proposed 2 stipulations regarding standing, and Gulf is not opposed 3 to stipulation of witnesses or issues. Okay. 4 MR. MOYLE: If I could just speak, speak to 5 that briefly, Mr. Chairman. 6 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 7 MR. MOYLE: You know, FIPUG has put forward a 8 9 stipulation that has been agreed to by Duke. I believe 10 FPL has also agreed to that stipulation. And we're in 11 discussions with the other two parties. It's somewhat 12 of a --COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Work in progress. 13 14 MR. MOYLE: -- back channel conversation. 15 We're continuing to work on it, but we'll try to, try to have it nailed down before the, you know, the hearing 16 17 begins. But we appreciate the parties' cooperation on 18 the standing stipulation. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So it's a work in 19 2.0 progress, not a back channel conversation. 21 MR. MOYLE: I think, yeah, I think we're 22 stipulated with Duke and with FPL as we sit here today, 23 and I'm in discussions with, with Gulf and TECO. 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you. 25 Mr. Sayler? Okay. All right. Anyone else?

2.0

MR. FINNIGAN: Your Honor, John Finnigan on behalf of Environmental Defense Fund.

To the extent that these discussions are still in progress, we'll participate in those too and see that -- or use our best efforts to try to work out a stipulation on this issue. If this issue cannot be stipulated for our organization, then we would ask leave to be able to present witnesses to address this issue. We have not identified those at this time because it has not been clear to us whether this issue will be raised at the hearing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. SACE.

MR. GUEST: Okay. Let me -- I'm just trying to add some confusion here. The NAACP jumped in and properly the Court held that they're consumers and they've got standing. We've got exactly the same thing. The Commission said our establish -- our standing has been established. I don't quite understand what else we need than that. I think -- am I right? I mean, we've got, we've got a Commission order the same as NAACP.

MR. BUTLER: I will state for FPL's sake that we don't have any intention of challenging the standing of any of the parties that have had their standing granted by Commission orders. So if that's a potential concern, at least for FPL, I'd like to take it off the

table.

2.0

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Anyone else?

MR. GRIFFIN: The same is true for Gulf Power.

MR. BEASLEY: Tampa Electric as well.

MS. TRIPLETT: And for Duke Energy, we have a stipulation with SACE, and then we were not going to challenge the standing for anyone else.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you.

All right. So that's it with the proposed stipulations as they, as they stand.

As you may have noted, if you've practiced here before this Commission, this Commission appreciates when parties come together and they work out issues. So this, I think, can be a very long process if we, if we make it that way. But if there are issues that, that can be worked out in advance so that the issues that are of greater importance to each of the parties, that we could devote more time to those, that would be greatly appreciated by the Commission. Okay?

All right. Moving on to Section Number XI, pending motions.

MS. TAN: Commissioner, staff is not aware of any pending motions.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Section XII, pending confidentiality motions.

2.0

MS. TAN: Commissioner, there are six pending confidentiality requests which will be addressed by separate order.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Perfect. Section XIII, post-hearing procedures.

would like to say that, succinct as we try to be, we're concerned about the word limits here on the statements of basic position and would like to propose that the 50-word limit be increased to 75 with two issues that are of each party's choosing, that that could be expanded to 100. That's an approach we used in one of our rate cases that seemed to work pretty well where different parties have different ones that they want to spend more time on. But we'd like to see those 50-word limits increased just because it gets really tough to say anything substantive within just 50 words.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So I'm feeling generous today, so we're just going to do 100 across the board.

MR. BUTLER: Oh, all right. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Yes, sir.

MR. GUEST: I was just waiting for --

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Turn your mike on.

MR. GUEST: I was just waiting for 14. I was just jumping ahead. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. No problem.

Okay. So I hope that works for everyone.

Mr. Sayler.

MR. SAYLER: Yes. On the page, excuse me, on the word limits definitely, and I did have a comment when it came to the page limit at that time.

There are a number of Intervenors that have intervened in all the dockets, four or five, and it may be difficult for the Intervenors who have intervened in those five dockets to get everything they need to say for the individual utilities in just 40 pages. So with that, for the Intervenors if there's a possibility of increasing that page limit, I think that would be helpful.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Do you have a proposal?

MR. SAYLER: I would probably say 60, but I would have to look to the other Intervenors to see if that's enough for them to make all their arguments on the post-hearing brief.

MR. GUEST: 60 works.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Yes.

MS. TRIPLETT: Commissioner, I'm sorry. I thought I heard that that was proposed as a one-sided page limit increase. And while I really don't want to take an extra 20 pages, but if I need them, I think it's

fair for all parties to have the opportunity if there is 1 going to be a page limit increase. 2 3 MR. SAYLER: What's good for the goose is good for the gander. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yeah. I think if we 5 were, if we were to grant 60 pages, that we would do 6 7 that across the board. Now I'm going to look at my staff and see if they want to kill me if I want to do 8 9 60. MS. HELTON: Succinct is always better. 10 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So we'll do 50. 11 12 Okay? We'll do 50 and -- recognizing that this, this 13 docket is going to be voluminous. Okay. These dockets 14 rather. 15 MR. SAYLER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Mr. Brew. 16 17 MR. BREW: You are, of course, giving license 18 to lawyers to talk more and write more. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I don't -- yeah. I'm 20 giving license to write more, not necessarily talk more. 21 (Laughter.) 22 Okay. Anything else on post-hearing 23 procedures? Okay. 24 Section XIV. Staff. 25 MS. TAN: Commissioner, staff believes that

opening statements should not exceed ten minutes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: I agree that it should not exceed ten minutes.

MR. GUEST: May I be heard on that, is that this is a very complex case with a jillion parties in it. I really do think it would serve the interest of time to just make those positions very clear in a succinct way so that there's a road map of where we're going. So I would propose to try to shorten the proceedings by giving another five minutes. This is going to last a long time, and it could shorten it that way, I think.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Well, you're going along with my thinking. So this, this is difficult for me because when I'm thinking about it, I think our first day begins at 1:30. And just logistically, right, we have 14 parties at ten minutes a pop. That's an hour -that's 140 minutes. So that's, that's a lot of time to get through opening statements. And I recognize that there is a lot of value in, in getting what you need to get out in your opening statements. Because I figure that if you don't get what you need out in your opening statements, you're going to make it difficult during cross-examination and try to finish your cross, finish your opening statement during cross-examination, at

least some of you will.

So that is particularly difficult for me, and I -- you know, I worked on a number with staff on yesterday, and I'm going to go ahead and propose that.

And we're going to say about seven and a half minutes for opening statements. And if you, if you have an issue with that, please let me know now so that maybe we can talk about it.

Mr. Brew.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace.$ BREW: I can assure you PCS won't take more than seven.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right.

MR. GUEST: Well, Your Honor, let me make one suggestion is that if folks -- a lot of these folks, it's repetitive. And I think that if you could, if you could give at least us some leeway to go up to 15, I really do honestly think it would be shorter. I think most of those folks weren't going to take very much time.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Didn't you just tell me five?

MR. GUEST: Pardon me?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Didn't you just suggest five prior to --

MR. GUEST: No. I suggested 15.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No. No. Before that you 1 2 suggested five. MR. GUEST: I'm sorry. I was --3 MR. WRIGHT: You really did say five. 4 MR. GUEST: Okay. Well, I'm, I'm -- I 5 apologize. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. MR. GUEST: I'm not paying attention to what 8 9 I'm saying myself. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okav. 10 11 MR. GUEST: So what I was proposing to do was 12 to, was because it's so complicated I actually do think it would be shorter, a shorter hearing if at least some 13 14 of us could set out what our story is in 15 minutes, and that shortens cross and I think it clarifies the issues. 15 I don't think everybody needs to do that; I don't think 16 17 everybody was planning on doing that. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: No. What we -- we will 18 provide the same amount of time for everyone in this 19 docket. So we'll do it at seven -- seven and a half 2.0 21 minutes, and that's what it will be. 22 MS. CSANK: Commissioner, this is Diana Csank 23 on behalf of Sierra Club. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 24 Yes. 25 MS. CSANK: Just as a follow on to that

conversation, perhaps the parties can discuss sharing 1 time to still meet the cap that you and staff have 2 envisioned, but also to get at this issue of some of us 3 speaking to multiple dockets and not just one. 4 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Understood. Understood. 5 Seven and a half it is. Okay? And so, you know, try to 6 7 fit your story into seven and a half. Okay? MS. CSANK: Commissioner, point of 8 9 clarification. So will sharing be allowed or each party 10 is limited to seven and a half? COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Seven and a half per 11 12 party. 13

MS. CSANK: Understood. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Now obviously the Chairman on the day of the hearing can, can make adjustments, but my suggestion is seven and a half minutes per party. Okay?

Other matters. Are there any other matters to address in this Prehearing Conference?

MS. TAN: Commissioner, staff requests that with the exception of the issues that the parties have decided to take no position, to the extent that parties' positions change due to discussion here at the Prehearing Conference, that they provide any such changes to staff by the close of business on June 30th.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MS. TAN: And, in addition, staff requests that if the parties -- perhaps that you can suggest that if the parties wish to use demonstrative items at the hearing conference, to make the request through staff, and then it can be granted on a case-by-case basis.

commissioner brise: Yes, and that's important. As we get into the actual hearing itself, if you have things that you want to either demonstrate and have something up or something to pass out to the Commissioners, please make it available to staff so that they will make it available to us. It just makes it a little bit more efficient than having multiple people walking around the Commission chambers and taking more time than, than is necessary. Okay?

MR. MOYLE: Is there any -- is there any -- I know we've used, demonstrative exhibits have been used previously. A lot of times they are exhibits that are already in the case so people have seen them. I assume to the extent somebody was going to use a demonstrative exhibit that was new, that the parties would see it in advance.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: It would be just as if someone was putting in a new exhibit, so we would treat it the same way.

MR. MOYLE: Okay. And if it's -- so if it was 1 a party with the prefiling testimony and all that stuff, 2 3 we'd handle it that way? COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 4 MR. MOYLE: Okay. That's great. Thanks. 5 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. 6 7 MR. SAYLER: Question, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 8 9 MR. SAYLER: Erik for OPC, Erik Sayler for 10 OPC. With cross-examination exhibits, things of that nature, how many copies do we need to have? 11 12 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Well, you need to have 13 enough copies for all of the parties and enough copies 14 for the Commissioners and staff. So I would say -- and 15 the court reporter. So what, what is the number now? About 18 or 20? 16 17 MS. TAN: We would say on the, to err on the 18 side of caution probably you would want 25 copies. 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: 25 copies. 2.0 MR. SAYLER: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. 22 Anything else in other matters that we need to discuss 23 at this? 24 Yes, Mr. Brew. 25 MR. BREW: Commissioner, back to the exhibits

for cross-examination. I, I assume you prefer to have the, the cover sheet that we've typically used in the past to, to facilitate in our identification.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes.

MS. TAN: Correct. If you -- sorry.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Go ahead.

MS. TAN: We have copies, examples of them right now, if you'd like them. But also when we send out the draft Comprehensive Exhibit List for review, we will be sending you the exhibit cover sheet so that all you have to do is customize it for your witness, and then, and then you'll be able to write in the number that is assigned at the time of hearing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right.
Anything else? Yes.

MS. CSANK: For the benefit of those traveling from out of town, can we just have the close, the expected adjournment each day of the hearing? Will that be 5:00 p.m., or is there another time contemplated?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Generally we attempt to adjourn by 5:00 p.m., but truly that is the decision of, of the presiding officer. And so I can tell you that my expectation is that we, that we adjourn by 5:00 p.m., but the presiding officer may have a different expectation. But generally the presiding officer will

make, will lay that out on day one, okay, as to the potential schedule for, for the whole hearing.

MS. CSANK: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: I, I have a question about, about what the record is going to look like in this proceeding because I know there's separate proceedings, but it's been consolidated. So when exhibits go in, are they going to be going into only one docket or are they going to be going into all the dockets?

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: That's a good question.

MS. HELTON: It's my understanding that the hearing record is consolidated. So when the exhibit is admitted, an exhibit is admitted into the record for Florida Power & Light, it will also be there for Duke. However, if you plan to use the exhibit for Duke and it was admitted for purposes of Florida Power & Light, then, you know, you're doing so at a little bit of a risk because you need to make sure that it's relevant to your argument and it's pertinent to your argument with respect to, to do, if that's what you're trying to get at, Mr. Moyle.

MR. MOYLE: Yes. And Mr., Mr. Guest raised that point earlier about, you know, using other exhibits. It sounds like the record will be

consolidated. So in his brief if he wanted to point out an exhibit in TECO and argue it related to FPL, I guess he could based on your answer.

MS. HELTON: He can, but you have to still -
I mean, it has to be relevant and useful to what you're

arguing for the other company. So, I mean, if your -
and, again, we're speaking in, in the abstract. I think

we're all smart enough to figure out how to use the

exhibits in a consolidated record.

MR. MOYLE: Okay. That helps. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes, sir.

MR. PERKO: Commissioner, I think that, that's a bit of an oversimplification because there are some Intervenors who only intervened in certain dockets. For example, SACE has not intervened in JEA's docket, so I wouldn't expect their testimony to be in the record of Docket 203, but --

MS. HELTON: The way this hearing is set up I don't think there's any way to do it other than to put all of the testimony and all of the exhibits into the same record. Now if -- when Mr. Perko writes his brief, he's going to use the information that he filed for JEA. And I don't know if there are any Intervenors in the JEA case, but if there are, then they will know to use the exhibits that were admitted for JEA. And if for

whatever reason there is another exhibit that's relevant 1 2 to JEA that was part of -- that was brought out in an 3 exhibit -- cross-examination of an FPL witness, then you can do that for argumentative purposes or, or whatever. 4 But I think that there's really no other way to do it 5 unless you were to have a separate proceeding for each 6 7 company, and that would take forever. MR. PERKO: And I think we can work this issue 8 9 out. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure. 10 11 MR. PERKO: I just wanted to make that point. 12 I mean, I wouldn't expect that, for example, SACE would 13 be filing a brief in, in Docket 203. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. 15 Okay. Any other matters before we adjourn? 16 All right. Seeing none, it was nice having 17 you all here today, and so go enjoy that soccer game. 18 (Prehearing Conference adjourned at 10:20 19 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

	000047
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	T TUDBUTE CERTIFICATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
11	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	interested in the detion.
13	DATED THIS 3rd day of July, 2014.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
	FPSC Official Commission Reporters
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	