FILED SEP 12, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 05104-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1	EI OD.	BEFORE THE IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	r don.	IDA FORNICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter o	of:
4		DOCKET NO. 130223-EI
5	PETITION FOR A	
6	OPTIONAL NON-ST	
7	LIGHT COMPANY.	/
8		
9		
10		
11		PREHEARING CONFERENCE
12	COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR PREHEARING OFFICER
13	TITME .	
14	TIME:	Commenced at 1:36 p.m. Concluded at 2:01 p.m.
15	DATE:	Friday, September 5, 2014
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	
19	KEFOKIED BI.	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
20		(030) 413-0734
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	ĺ	

APPEARANCES:

KENNETH M. RUBIN and MARIA J. MONCADA,
ESQUIRES, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe
Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, appearing on
behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

NATHAN A. SKOP, ESQUIRE, 420 NW 50th Boulevard, Gainesville, Florida 32607, appearing on behalf of Daniel and Alexandria Larson.

ENNIS LEON JACOBS, JR., ESQUIRE, Post Office Box 1101, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of Marilynne Martin, et al.

J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL, and CHARLES REHWINKEL, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32393-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

SUZANNE BROWNLESS and MARTHA BARRERA,
ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service
Commission Staff.

MARY ANNE HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL,
Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak
Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to
the Florida Public Service Commission.

PROCEEDINGS

2	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good afternoon. It is
3	Friday, approximately 1:35, September 5th, and I call
4	this prehearing to order. Let me start by asking our
5	staff to read the notice.

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. This is Docket

Number 130223, petition for approval of optional

non-standard meter rider by Florida Power & Light

Company. The purpose of this prehearing conference is

to identify the issues, identify the positions of the

parties on the issues, consider the possibility of

obtaining admissions of fact and documents which will

avoid unnecessary proof, identify exhibits, establish an

order of witnesses, and consider such other matters as

may aid in the disposition of this action.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. We'll go ahead and take appearances starting on my left.

MR. RUBIN: Thank you, Commissioner. Ken Rubin and Maria Moncada for Florida Power & Light Company.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MR. SKOP: Thank you, Commissioner. Nathan Skop appearing on behalf of Daniel and Alexandria Larson.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MR. JACOBS: Thank you, Commissioner. Ennis 1 Leon Jacobs, appearing on behalf of Marilynne Martin and 2 3 other Intervenors. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 4 MR. REHWINKEL: Good afternoon, Commissioner. 5 Charles Rehwinkel and J. R. Kelly with the Office of 6 7 Public Counsel. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 8 9 And our staff. MS. BROWNLESS: Suzanne Brownless and Martha 10 Barrera appearing on behalf of the Commission staff. 11 12 MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton, advisor to 13 the Commission. 14 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Okay. I understand that we have a few preliminary matters to 15 address before we take up and work our way through the 16 17 draft Prehearing Order. I believe the first item that I'd like to deal 18 19 with is the acknowledgment of the notice of withdrawal 2.0 which had been filed by Mr. Jones October 27th, 2014. 21 And then I believe we have a discovery item. We also 22 need to address the situation with the Martin 23 Intervenors and potentially individual petitioners. 24 Ms. Brownless.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. Going to the

25

participation by the Ahn petitioners, Mr. Jones, who represented the Ahn petitioners and filed the, a request for hearing on their behalf, did file a notice of withdrawal on August 27th. That notice of withdrawal does not comply with the applicable rule, which is Rule 28-106.105, Florida Administrative Code, of the uniform rules.

However, in order to give the individual petitioners an opportunity to participate, I was able to contact Jason Boehk, who is one of the named petitioners, and he did in fact confirm that Mr. Jones was no longer representing him and the group. I also got, subsequently got an email from Rachel Garibay-Wynberry, who also indicated that she was a member of the group and that they still individually wished to participate.

I advised them, via email, that they needed to file a statement, a prehearing statement, and that they needed to come to today's meeting and to file the appropriate motions with the Court to ask for permission to file their prehearing statement late, and to participate in the prehearing by telephone, if that's what they wished to do, and I have — to date nothing has been filed.

In order to make sure that they have a full

opportunity to participate, since they are petitioners, I have a suggestion that I would like to make and would ask that the parties give their input as to that.

And my suggestion is that we issue a show cause order today, provide it to Mr. Boehk and Ms. Garibay-Wynberry, who are the only individual petitioners for whom I have contact information, and to Mr. Jones, of course, saying that they need to participate — they need to file a prehearing statement by September 15th, and if they do not do so, that they can be dismissed. And then if they file by September 15th, that's great. They also would need, of course, to take positions on all the issues that we've identified at that time. And if they did not file their petition, that we would issue a second order that would dismiss them as parties from the case. So I'd be anxious to hear what the other parties have to say about that course of action.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: This suggestion from our legal staff has been -- we did discuss it prior to this meeting. To me it seems like an appropriate, fair, and reasonable approach, recognizing that Mr. Boehk and Ms. Wynberry had been represented by counsel earlier in this proceeding and that they would be -- our understanding, have expressed an interest in

representing themselves and continuing as pro se. But, 1 of course, if any of the parties have an objection or a 2 concern with that approach, this is the time to hear it. 3 MR. RUBIN: Thank you, Commissioner Edgar. 4 FPL has no objection to or concern about that procedure. 5 Thank you. 6 7 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. MR. SKOP: Thank you, Commissioner Edgar. 8 9 Larsons have no concerns or objection to the proposal. 10 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. MR. JACOBS: Commissioner, the Intervenors 11 Martin have no objection as well. 12 13 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. 14 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, the Public 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, the Public

Counsel agrees. We would note that our agreement is

somewhat predicated on the notion that these are

individual ratepayers who are in a certain predicament

because of counsel. And I think that this proposed

approach may not be applicable in other circumstances

with other types of parties, but we think it's a very

fair way to afford representation rights to these

individuals.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. I concur. So, staff, I direct you to do so.

MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you.

1 2 3 discovery item. MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. With regard to 4 5 the discovery item, the Martin Intervenors, whom Mr. Jacobs represent, filed interrogatories 52 to 60 and 6 7 PODs numbers 1 through 13 to Florida Power & Light on September 3rd, requesting a return within 14 days or by 8 9 September 17th. And that would be 13 days after the deadline for discovery was set by the order on procedure 10 11 12 13

they okay with doing that?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And then as -- I'm sorry. I mentioned we do have an outstanding

applicable in this case. So I guess what we'd like to ask at this time is whether the parties have reached agreement, Florida Power & Light and Mr. Jacobs, as to discovery and are

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Let me start, Mr. Jacobs.

MR. JACOBS: Thank you, Commissioner Edgar. Yes. We've spoken, I've spoken with Mr. Rubin and Ms. Moncada, and I believe we have an agreement, subject to your consent and approval, to extend the time for discovery until the 17th.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Mr. Rubin.

MR. RUBIN: What Mr. Jacobs has described is accurate. We have conferred on this. We will reserve

in this agreement the right to file objections, other 1 legal objections aside from the lateness. But we have 2 3 agreed to language which we can sign and submit to the Commission today. 4 5 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. MR. JACOBS: We'll submit a written 6 7 stipulation on that. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you to both 8 9 of you for getting together. 10 MR. JACOBS: I think everybody has a copy --11 I'm sorry. 12 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I was just saying thank 13 you to both of you for working that out. Yes, 14 Mr. Jacobs, anything further? MR. JACOBS: I just want to make sure, I think 15 I gave everybody a copy of that so that, to make sure 16 17 everybody is on notice. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Great. Wonderful. 18 19 Okay. Any other preliminary matters that we should take up at this time? Staff? 2.0 21 MS. BROWNLESS: No, ma'am. 22 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** To the parties? 23 MR. RUBIN: No. 24 MR. SKOP: No. 25 MR. JACOBS: No, ma'am.

O

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Great. Then we will take up the draft Prehearing Order that I know has been distributed. And as is our general practice, we will just work our way through the sections. I'll call them out slowly, make comments, if I have them. And if anybody has a comment or a change or a question, this is your time to be recognized as we move through.

So Section I, case background.

Okay. Section II, conduct of proceedings.

Section III, jurisdiction.

Section IV, procedure for confidential information. And on this one I would note that I believe there is a slight change to the language as to what has been in Prehearing Orders during past times. Our staff has made a slight change to the practice that we will be using trying to address some issues that have come up in past proceedings. So I just draw your attention to that. Any questions? Okay. Great.

Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, and witnesses.

MS. BROWNLESS: Your Honor, may I?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BROWNLESS: At this time I'd like to ask whether any of the parties are willing to shorten or dispense with the witness summaries.

MR. RUBIN: For FPL, I think we have five 1 minutes in the order. We -- three minutes would 2 3 certainly be sufficient. I think we would prefer to give the oral summary, but three minutes will be enough. 4 5 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And, coincidentally, three minutes would have been my 6 7 suggestion. Does -- is there any party that has a concern 8 9 with their witnesses not being able to give a summary in three minutes? Okay. Then we will make that change to 10 this draft language in Section V. We will expect 11 12 witness summaries, and the time period will be limited to three minutes. 13 14 That brings us to Section VI, order of 15 witnesses. And I recognize that we do have witnesses that are listed for both direct and rebuttal. Is there 16 17 a possibility of taking those up together? 18 MR. RUBIN: At this point in the planning 19 stages we were hoping to be able to do them separately, but we could certainly confer on that. 2.0 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Is there an opinion by 22 any of the other parties? 23 MR. REHWINKEL: We have no preference.

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. JACOBS: I don't think we have a

preference as well. I think we could -- we'll go

2.0

with --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Mr. Skop.

MR. SKOP: We have no preference.

MS. BROWNLESS: Your Honor --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BROWNLESS: -- so what are we going to do?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: So, Mr. Rubin, that's

back to you.

MR. RUBIN: At this point we -- our hope would be to have two separate summaries, one for direct and one for rebuttal, if that's appropriate.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's fine. We will keep it the way it is then. Thank you for the consideration.

Okay. That brings us to Section VII, basic positions. These are hopefully just as they were filed, but I'm sure you've reviewed them. If there is any mistake or error, there again this would be the time.

I recognize that with the decision that we have made regarding Mr. Boehk and Ms. Wynberry, that if they do continue as a part of this proceeding, then we would expect their positions, and our staff will take care of making sure that those are added in the appropriate place.

Okay. That brings us to Section VIII, issues

and positions. 1 2 MR. JACOBS: I'm sorry. Excuse me. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, sir. 3 MR. JACOBS: There's just a typo in our basic 4 position. 5 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. 6 7 MR. JACOBS: Where we have NSME, it should just simply be NSMR about midways of the statement. 8 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Here it is. Okay. In the basic position for the, what here is Martin, there 10 is a typo. And, I'm sorry, would you tell me again 11 where it is? 12 MR. JACOBS: I think it's the third full 13 sentence that begins, NS -- the NSME. 14 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Uh-huh. 15 MR. JACOBS: I believe that's NSMR. 16 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Then bring that to 18 the attention of our staff and they will address it as 19 appropriate. Any other errors noted? 20 Okay. So now Section VIII, issues and 21 positions. Similarly, if there is any change or 22 inadvertent mistake, this would be the time. Hearing 23 none, no changes or corrections.

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

my copy is on page 19. And that is the --

Okay. That brings us to Section IX, which on

MR. JACOBS: Madam Chair, I'm sorry. 1 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. That's okay. 3 MR. JACOBS: I, for some reason I was thinking we were going issue by issue, but on Issue 10 --4 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We can if we need to. 5 MR. JACOBS: No. No. 6 7 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. MR. JACOBS: Just on the one. Just on the 8 9 one. 10 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. MR. JACOBS: On issue 10 we will have a 11 12 revision to our position. I could give it to staff 13 either by the close of business or first thing Monday. 14 But it'll be, no, with some verbiage attached to it. But I'll make sure I have that language to staff 15 16 promptly. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. That's fine. No. 17 Thank you. This is, this is the time to let us know 18 that. And you will get it to staff and to the other 19 20 parties, and staff will put it in the appropriate place. 21 MR. JACOBS: All right. 22 MR. REHWINKEL: And on issue 12 --23 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Uh-huh. 2.4 MR. REHWINKEL: -- the Public Counsel's 25 position should be reflected as no position, period, so.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. So noted. 1 2 MR. JACOBS: And we'll make Martin's position 3 same. **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. 4 5 MR. JACOBS: No position at this time. 6 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Any other comments 7 regarding the issue, issues and issue position? Yes, Mr. Skop. 8 9 MR. SKOP: Yes, Commissioner Edgar. 10 you. Issue 12, the Larsons would also like to take no 11 position at this time. 12 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. Okay. 13 MS. BROWNLESS: Does FP&L wish to take a 14 position on that, issue number 12? 15 MR. RUBIN: No position. And if I can, Commissioner Edgar. 16 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. MR. RUBIN: We can take a further look at 18 19 combining the summaries and I can get back to staff on 20 that. We may be able to combine the direct and rebuttal 21 summaries into one summary for each of the two 22 witnesses. 23 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. And when do 24 you think you would be able to notify our staff as to 25 that?

1	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
2	that.
3	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's okay. Go ahead.
4	MR. RUBIN: I was saying that we may be able
5	to combine the two summaries, the direct and rebuttal
6	summaries, into one summary for each witness. If we
7	could have until Wednesday to advise staff.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: And so
9	MR. RUBIN: If we need to do it earlier, we
10	can do it earlier.
11	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ms. Brownless, is there a
12	concern?
13	MS. BROWNLESS: You're changing I'm sorry.
14	I'm confused. Are you going to try to figure out if you
15	can do a common summary for all your witnesses that one
16	witness states?
17	MR. RUBIN: One summary for Mr. Onsgard for
18	his direct and rebuttal.
19	MS. BROWNLESS: I got it. I got it.
20	MR. RUBIN: And then a separate summary for
21	Mr. Deason, his direct and rebuttal.
22	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. That was, that was
23	my understanding.
24	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. I'm sorry. And are you
25	going to limit it to three minutes still, or do you need

1	more time?
2	MR. RUBIN: I'm sorry?
3	MS. BROWNLESS: Are you going to limit it to
4	three minutes still, or do you need more time?
5	MR. RUBIN: I think we can still limit it to
6	three minutes.
7	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: Cool.
9	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Any concerns?
10	MR. SKOP: No.
11	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. All right. Thank
12	you.
13	MS. BROWNLESS: And before we leave issue
14	number 12, Madam Chair.
15	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes.
16	MS. BROWNLESS: Mr. Skop has taken no position
17	at this time. Would that simply, sir, be no position?
18	MR. SKOP: I just saw the blank there, so I
19	just was making it consistent with what Mr. Jacobs' and
20	Public Counsel's position were.
21	MS. BROWNLESS: And I thought their position
22	was no position. That's why I
23	MR. SKOP: That's fine.
24	MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONED EDGAD. Okay This is the time

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

to make sure we are all clear, so thank you.

Okay. Before we move on, any other questions or comments regarding the issues and the issue positions?

Okay. Then that brings us, as I said, to Section IX, the exhibit list. Any changes or corrections?

MS. BROWNLESS: We do have a comment that the staff will prepare a comprehensive exhibit list. We've handed a draft of that comprehensive exhibit list out to the parties today, and we'd ask that you look those over and make sure they are correct.

And we also intend to prepare a proposed stipulated exhibit list composed of certain discovery responses, which we'll provide to the parties in advance of the hearing, and would ask that they be prepared to stipulate to those or to give their opinion on stipulating to those at the beginning of the hearing.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good. I'm seeing nods. Okay.

MR. JACOBS: That's fine.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Great. That brings us to Section X, proposed stipulations. I understand there are none at this time.

MR. JACOBS: I think the one we have was --

Τ	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: On, regarding the
2	discovery?
3	MR. JACOBS: Yes. I'll be sign we'll
4	submit it as signed.
5	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: So noted. Thank you.
6	Okay.
7	And pending motions, XI. Any pending motions?
8	None that I'm aware of. Okay.
9	That brings us to XII, pending confidentiality
10	matters. I believe that there is one that is now
11	pending; correct?
12	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. And on
13	August 28th FPL filed notice of intent to request
14	confidentiality of its responses to Martin's amended
15	first set of interrogatories 24 and 37. It filed its
16	request for confidentiality yesterday, and we will be
17	promptly issuing an order.
18	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Staff will review it and
19	I will take action on it within the next week.
20	Okay. That brings us to post-hearing
21	procedures.
22	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am.
23	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: XIV, rulings.
24	MS. BROWNLESS: On the post-hearing procedures
25	

1	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes.
2	MS. BROWNLESS: we do need to set the
3	number of words in the prehearing positions and the
4	number of pages in the post-hearing briefs. We usually
5	use 50 words in statements of positions for the
6	post-hearing briefs.
7	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Is that satisfactory?
8	Again I'm seeing nods. Okay.
9	MR. JACOBS: I'm sorry. Was it 50?
10	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, sir.
11	MR. JACOBS: Okay.
12	MS. BROWNLESS: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay.
14	MS. BROWNLESS: And the let's see.
15	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 40 pages?
16	MS. BROWNLESS: And the pages is 40 pages.
17	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And again I'm seeing
18	nods. So it will be so reflected in the Prehearing
19	Order.
20	Okay. We have moved through the draft. We
21	will make note and the changes as we have agreed to and
22	as we have discussed.
23	Are there any other matters to address while
24	we are here?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. BROWNLESS: The opening statements, have

25

we set a time for those, ma'am? 1 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We have not. 2 3 Opening statements. MR. REHWINKEL: I only need two minutes max 4 5 myself. The others may need more, but I will limit myself to that. 6 7 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Let me start down here. 8 9 Mr. Rubin, five minutes? MR. RUBIN: Five minutes would be fine. 10 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Mr. Skop? 11 12 MR. SKOP: Five minutes, please. 13 MR. JACOBS: Five will be fine. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. We will limit it 14 15 to five minutes, five minutes per party, recognizing that we have different interests and different issues 16 17 represented here. And I'm sure that the Chairman will 18 appreciate brevity, but you all have five minutes. 19 MR. JACOBS: Thank you. MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, could I ask if 20 21 we could go back to Section IV, subsection 2? I just, I 22 don't think there is any testimony with any confidential 23 testimony or exhibits at this hearing. Am I correct, 24 Mr. Rubin? 25 MR. RUBIN: That's correct.

MR. REHWINKEL: So my -- the -- to the extent this language might live on, and I think this is a good approach, except I was curious about whether the intent here is, in the second sentence, that a witness who has confidential testimony on the stand, would he not be responsible for having a complete set? Is this intended, if you're crossing a witness with some other witness's testimony or exhibits, that you would have to provide that, which would be logical? I just didn't know what the intent was.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I appreciate the question.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR:

MS. BROWNLESS: I can respond to that.

Okay.

MS. BROWNLESS: The idea of this language was that every -- that we -- the staff would provide to each Commissioner a full, complete set of both confidential and nonconfidential testimony, prefiled, whatever is prefiled, prefiled testimony, prefiled exhibits, so that the Commission would have access to them. And, of course, each witness would have their own testimony, access to their own testimony and be responsible for bringing their own testimony. To the extent that that witness had confidential exhibits, they would be responsible for bringing that themselves.

If a party to the docket wished to impeach 1 somebody or cross-examine somebody using documents that 2 3 were confidential, then the party seeking to do that would be responsible for providing copies of that 4 5 exhibit to all the other parties and to the person whom they were cross-examining. 6 7 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. That answers my question. I think that's -- thank you very much. 8 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: You're welcome. 9 Any other questions? Yes, sir. 10 MR. SKOP: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner 11 12 Edgar. On Section IV, second paragraph, second 13 14 sentence, there also appears to be a typo, a space 15 between section and the statutory number. So I just 16 wanted to --17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Did you say section --18 IV, paragraph 2? MR. SKOP: Paragraph 2, second sentence, the 19 2.0 Commission also recognizes --21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ah, I see it now. Okay. 22 I was looking at (2). I see. Yeah. We do need a space 23 there. We'll make that change. 24 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, ma'am. 25 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Any other matters?

Okay.

MS. BROWNLESS: One more thing.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ms. Brownless.

MS. BROWNLESS: And this is just a technical detail. If you are going to change your positions due to a discussion that you had today -- and I think, Mr. Jacobs, you indicated you had some changes.

MR. JACOBS: Correct.

MS. BROWNLESS: If you could get that to us by the close of business Monday, September 8th. We will not be issuing the Prehearing Order in this case until after September 15th because we need to give the Ahn petitioners time to file their paperwork.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Correct.

MS. BROWNLESS: And I think that's the only other housekeeping matter we have.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And I was just going to say that, so thank you, Ms. Brownless, that we will wait until after we have resolution.

MR. JACOBS: We can comply with that. No problem.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Great. So we'll note, as you know, that this hearing is scheduled and will begin at 9:30 on September 30th here in this room. Thank you all for your cooperation, and we will see you

	000020
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
10	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
11	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 12th day of September, 2014.
13	
14	
15	Ginda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	