FILED OCT 23, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 05995-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis Bureau of Auditing Miami District Office

Auditor's Report

Sanlando Utilities Corporation File & Suspend Rate Case (PAA)

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2013

Docket No. 140060-WS Audit Control No. 14-199-4-1 October 18, 2014

Gabriela M. Leon Audit Manager

Bety Maitre

De

Audit Staff

Jeffery A. Small Audit Staff

dra

Iliana H. Piedra Reviewer

Purpos	3e	2
Object	ives and Procedures	3
Audit]	Findings	
1:	Commission Ordered Adjustments	
2:	Depreciation and Amortization	
3:	Woodlands Des Pinar Wastewater Plant	
4:	Water Plant in Service - General	
5:	Water Plant in Service Retirement - Meters	
6:	Water Plant in Service Transfers - Meters	
7:	Wastewater Plant in Service - General	
8:	Wastewater Plant in Service Retirement – Pumping Equipment	
9:	Wastewater Plant in Service Retirement – T&D Equipment	
10:	Wastewater Plant in Service Transfers – T&D Equipment	
11:	Wastewater Plant in Service - Reimbursement	
12:	Wastewater Plant in Service - Capital Project Addition	
13:	Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction CIAC	
14:	Working Capital	
15:	Operations and Maintenance Expense – Sludge Hauling	
16:	Operations and Maintenance Expense – Purchased Power	
17:	Operations and Maintenance Expense – Engineering Fees	49
18:	Taxes Other than Income - Regulatory Assessment Fees	50
Exhibi	ts	
1:	Rate Base-Water	
2:	Rate Base-Wastewater	
3:	Capital Structure	
4:	Net Operating Income-Water	
5:	Net Operating Income-Wastewater	

Table of Contents

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated July 11, 2014. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Sanlando Utilities Corporation in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 140060-WS.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

The test year is the historical twelve months ended December 31, 2013 unless otherwise specified.

Sanlando Utilities Corporation (Utility) is a Class A utility providing water and wastewater services in Seminole County, Florida. The Utility is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. (Parent). Rate base as of December 31, 2010 was established in the Utility's last rate proceeding by Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS, issued February 14, 2013, in Docket No. 110257-WS.

The Utility's general ledger consist of three sub-ledgers, the AA (direct ledger), the UA (allocation ledger), and the UR (commission adjustment ledger). This audit pertains only to direct costs which are posted to the Utility's AA and UR ledgers. All allocated costs which are posted to the Utility's UA ledger were audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions in Docket No.140060-WS, Audit Control Number (ACN) 14-197-1-1.

Rate Base

Utility Plant in Service (UPIS)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether plant in service: 1) Consists of property that exists and is owned by the Utility, 2) Additions are authentic, recorded at original cost, and properly classified as a capital item in compliance with Commission rules and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts (USoA), 3) Retirements are made when a replacement item is put into service, and 4) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the UPIS accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We determined the beginning balance for each account that was established by Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We verified that Commission ordered adjustments were posted to the general ledger. We scheduled utility additions and retirements since the last rate proceeding to determine the PIS balance as of December 31, 2013. We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for the filing. We traced a sample of additions and retirements from the AA and UR ledgers to source documentation and we verified that additions were recorded at original cost and that retirements were properly posted. The PIS activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Findings 1 and 3-12 discuss our recommended adjustments to water and wastewater plant in service.

Land & Land Rights

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether utility land is recorded at original cost, is used for utility operation, and is owned or secured under a long-term lease.

Procedures: We reconciled the land accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We determined the beginning balance for each account that was established in Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We determined the land balance as of December 31, 2013. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for the filing. We searched the property records of the County Clerk's Office in Seminole County, Florida for utility related activity. No activity was found. We noted that there were no changes to land accounts in the AA ledger since the last rate proceeding. The land activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Finding 3 discusses our recommended adjustment to wastewater land.

Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (CIAC)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether utility CIAC balances are properly stated, are reflective of service availability charges authorized in the Utility's Commission approved tariffs, and the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the CIAC accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We determined the beginning balance for each account that was established by Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We verified whether the Utility included the Commission adjustments from the order. We scheduled utility additions and retirements since the last rate proceeding to determine the CIAC balance as of December 31, 2013. We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for the filing. We reconciled additions to the Utility's CIAC Tap Fee schedule and traced service availability charges to the Utility's approved tariffs. We reviewed CIAC agreements, and inquired about new special agreements, developer agreements, and donated property. Findings 12 and 13 discuss our recommended adjustments to water and wastewater CIAC.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Accruals to accumulated depreciation are properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA, 2) Depreciation accruals are calculated using the Commission's authorized rates and that retirements are properly recorded, and 3) Adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the accumulated depreciation accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We determined the beginning balance for each account that was established by Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We verified whether the Utility included the Commission adjustments from the order. We scheduled utility accruals and retirements since the last rate proceeding to determine the accumulated depreciation balance as of December 31, 2013. We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for the filing. We calculated accumulated depreciation accruals from the AA and UR ledgers using the rates authorized in Rule 25-30.140 – Depreciation, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) and compared our balance to the balances in the AA ledger and the filing. The accumulated depreciation activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Finding 1 and 3-12 discuss our recommended adjustments to water and wastewater accumulated depreciation.

Accumulated Amortization of CIAC

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether accumulated amortization of CIAC balances were properly stated, that annual accruals were reflective of the depreciation rates and were in compliance with Commission rules and orders, and that the adjustments required in the Utility's last rate case proceeding were recorded in its books and records.

Procedures: We reconciled the accumulated amortization of CIAC accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We determined the beginning balance for each account that was established by Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We verified whether the Utility included the Commission adjustments from the order. We scheduled utility accruals and retirements since the last rate proceeding to determine the accumulated amortization of CIAC balance as of December 31, 2013. We requested support for the Utility's adjustments and traced them to the filing. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for the filing. We calculated accumulated amortization of CIAC accruals using the rates authorized in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. and compared our balance to the balances in the AA ledger and the filing. Findings 12 and 13 discuss our recommended adjustments to water and wastewater accumulated amortization of CIAC.

Working Capital

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether the Utility's working capital balance is properly calculated in compliance with Commission rules.

Procedures: We reconciled the working capital accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We recalculated the 13-month average working capital allowance balance for the filing. The working capital account activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Finding 14 discusses our adjustment to water and wastewater working capital.

Capital Structure

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the components of the Utility's capital structure and the respective cost rates used to arrive at the overall weighted cost of capital were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We recalculated the cost rates and reconciled the components of the Utility's capital structure presented in the filing to the general ledger. We recalculated the 13-month average component balances of the capital structure for the filing. We verified customer deposits by tracing additions and refunds to the general ledger and supporting schedules provided by the Utility. We recalculated a sample of interest expense paid on customer deposits. We verified that interest rates were in accordance with Rule 25-30.311 – Customer Deposits. We verified Deferred Income Tax Expense by tracing activity to the general ledger and supporting schedules provided by the Utility. The equity and debt components of the capital structure presented in the filing were audited in the Affiliate Transaction audit. No exceptions were noted.

Net Operating Income

Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether: 1) Utility charges were those approved by the Commission in the Utility's current authorized tariff for both water and wastewater and 2) Revenue earned from utility property during the test year was recorded and properly classified in compliance with Commission rules and the NARUC USoA.

Procedures: We reconciled the water and wastewater revenue accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We reviewed a sample of customer accounts from the billing register for proper customer classification, use of approved tariffs, and miscellaneous service changes. We tested the reasonableness of the utility revenues for the months of April and September 2013 by multiplying the average consumption by the tariff rate for each customer class in the billing register. We reconciled the gallons sold and customer bill counts presented in the filing to the billing register. No exceptions were noted.

Operation and Maintenance Expense (O&M)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether O&M expenses were properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules, and were reasonable and prudent for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We reconciled the O&M expense accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We reviewed a sample of O&M expense invoices from the AA ledger for proper amount, period, classification, recurring nature, and whether the expense was utility related. We verified the proper allocation of expenses between water and wastewater operations. The O&M expense activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Finding 15 discusses our recommended adjustment to wastewater O&M expense.

Depreciation and Amortization

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether depreciation was properly recorded in compliance with Commission rules and that it accurately represented the depreciation of plant in service assets and the amortization of utility CIAC assets for ongoing utility operations.

Procedures: We reconciled the depreciation and amortization expense accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We calculated depreciation and amortization expense for the test year using the rates prescribed in Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. and compared our amounts to the amounts reflected in the AA ledger and the filing. The depreciation expense activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions. Findings 2-13 discuss our recommended adjustments to depreciation and amortization expense.

Taxes Other than Income

Objectives: The objective was to determine the appropriate amounts for taxes other than income tax for the test year ended December 31, 2013.

Procedures: We reconciled the components of the taxes other than income tax expense accounts presented in the filing to the general ledger. We recalculated regulatory assessment

ς.

fees based on audited revenues. We traced real estate and tangible property taxes to source documents, and ensured that these taxes included the maximum discount and are only for utility property. The taxes other than income tax expense activity in the UA ledger was audited in the Affiliate Transaction audit. Finding 16 discusses our recommended adjustment to water and wastewater taxes other than income tax expense.

Other

Analytical Review

63

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Revenues and O&M expenses contained information that could be deemed unusual and to assist in assessing risk.

Procedures: We performed a trend analysis on Utility revenues for the years 2010 to 2013. We performed a trend analysis on Utility O&M expense for the years 2010 to 2013. We compared the results of our review with the Utility's benchmark analysis included in the filing. No exceptions were noted.

7

1

Audit Findings

Finding 1: Commission Ordered Adjustments

Audit Analysis: Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS, issued February 14, 2013, in Docket No. 110257-WS, established rate base and required the Utility to make several adjustments to specific rate base account balances as of December 31, 2010. The Utility was required to provide proof that the Commission Ordered Adjustments (COA) had been made within 90 days of the Consummating Order which was issued on March 11, 2013.

On June 10, 2013, the Utility filed a copy of the booking entries that were made to its general ledger as of May 31, 2013, to comply with the Commission Order.

On June 21, 2013, the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) filed a letter that identified four areas of concern that they had with the Utility's filing above to adjust its books and records per the Commission order. Specifically, OPC stated that there were significant differences in what was included in the Commission Order and what was submitted in the Utility's booking entries and that it appears that the Utility included additional adjustments to its books and records that were not included in the Commission Order.

On July 22, 2013, Sanlando filed a letter in response to OPC's letter that addressed the specific items in OPC's letter and explained the Utility's methodology and calculations for the adjusting entries. The response included additional schedules to illustrate how the Utility arrived at the adjusting entries submitted.

In the instant proceeding, audit staff is charged with ensuring that the Utility's general ledger include the rate base adjustments required in Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS. We determined the specific adjustments in the Order by the Utility's AA and UR ledger accounts. We then compared our schedule to the Utility's adjustments as filed and determined that there were significant differences as iterated by OPC.

We reviewed and attempted to reconcile the schedules provided by the Utility in its second response to the COA. The Utility did not respond in a timely manner to our subsequent requests for information and explanation of the adjustment schedules.

Without sufficient information and supporting details we were not able to substantiate or confirm whether the Utility's adjustment complied with the Commission Order. Therefore, we calculated the effect of the COA on the test year for the instant proceeding based on the following criteria.

- 1. We determined the December 31, 2010, adjusted rate base balances for the Utility's AA ledger accounts, including the adjustments in Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS.
- 2. We determined the 2011, 2012 and 2013 rate base activity for the Utility's AA ledger accounts, excluding all journal entries posted in May 2013, identified as COA.
- 3. We prepared a schedule using the information obtained above that calculates the rate base balances for the Utility's AA ledger accounts from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013.

- 4. We prepared a schedule that compares our calculated rate base balances to the Utility's filing and AA ledger account balances as of December 31, 2013. The differences indicate additional adjustments are needed to bring the Utility's books and records into compliance with the Commission order referenced above.
- 5. The calculations in the schedules we prepared used the half-year convention method to determine annual depreciation and amortization accruals and the average year end account balances. Therefore, the year end and 13-month average recommended adjustments reflected below are the same.

Table 1-1 and Table 1-2, that follow, reflect additional adjustments needed to the Utility's water and wastewater rate base accounts for the filing. The adjustments in this Finding do not include the effect of adjustments in our other Findings that we recommend later in this report. If accepted, the adjustments in other findings of this report should be applied to the "Per Audit" account balances reflected in the two tables that follow.

Our adjustments pertain only to the direct costs COA which are posted to the Utility's AA ledger before the water and wastewater allocation of common accounts. All Parent level allocated COA which are posted to the Utility's UA ledger were audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions in Docket No.140060-WS, Audit Control Number (ACN) 14-197-1-1.

Effect on the General Ledger: To be determined by the Utility.

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average water rate base and wastewater rate base should be reduced by \$272,605 and \$167,617, respectively, pending the reallocation of common AA ledger rate base accounts between water and wastewater operations. The effect on test year depreciation expense is discussed in Finding 2.

Table 1-1

.

	BJ NARUC		Decemination	AA Ledger as of December 31. 2013			
OBJ	NAKU	L	Description	Per Utility	Difference	Per Audit	
1020	101 3	01	Organization	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1025	101 3	02	Franchises	\$146,392	\$0	\$146,392	
1030	101 3	03	Land & Land Rights Pump	\$19,340	\$0	\$19,340	
1035	101 3	03	Land & Land Rights Wtr Trt	\$70,027	\$0	\$70,027	
1045	101 3	03	Land & Land Rights Gen Plt	\$393	\$0	\$393	
1050	101 3	04	Struct & Imprv Src Supply	\$228,485	\$0	\$228,485	
1055	101 3	04	Struct & Imprv Wtr Trt Plt	\$2,670,837	(\$122,238)	\$2,548,599	
1065	101 3	04	Struct & Imprv Gen Plt	\$0	(\$14,591)	(\$14,591)	
1080	101 3	07	Wells & Springs	\$830,576	\$9,255	\$839,830	
1085	101 3	808	Infiltration Gallery	\$138,232	\$0	\$138,232	
1090	101 3	09	Supply Mains	\$9,342	\$0	\$9,342	
1095	101 3	10	Power Generation Equip	\$3,015	\$0	\$3,015	
1100	101 3	511	Electric Pump Equip Src Pump	\$99,103	\$0	\$99,103	
1105	101 3	11	Electric Pump Equip Wtp	\$3,196,497	\$9,522	\$3,206,019	
1110	101 3	11	Electric Pump Equip Trans Dist	\$66,196	\$0	\$66,196	
1115	101 3	20	Water Treatment Eqpt	\$664,885	\$0	\$664,885	
1120	101 3	30	Dist Resv & Standpipes	\$1,058,019	(\$0)	\$1,058,019	
1125	101 3	31	Trans & Distr Mains	\$8,029,855	\$0	\$8,029,855	
1130	101 3	33	Service Lines	\$1,777,505	\$0	\$1,777,505	
1135	101 3	34	Meters	\$1,577,917	\$4,237	\$1,582,153	
1140	101 3	334	Meter Installations	\$364,480	\$0	\$364,480	
1145	101 3	335	Hydrants	\$766,533	\$0	\$766,533	
1150	101 3	36	Backflow Prevention Devices	\$675	\$206	\$881	
1165	101 3	39	Oth Plt&Misc Equip Wtp	\$2,745	\$0	\$2,745	
1170	101 3	39	Oth Plt&Misc Equip Trans Dist	\$4,880	(\$206)	\$4,673	
1175	101 3	304	Office Struct & Imprv	\$751	\$0	\$751	
1180	101 3	340	Office Furn & Eqpt	\$69,109	\$0	\$69,109	
1190	101 3	343	Tool Shop & Misc Eqpt	\$285,365	\$1,284	\$286,649	
1195	101 3	344	Laboratory Equipment	\$27,810	\$0	\$27,810	
1200			Power Operated Equip	\$1,033	\$0	\$1,033	
1205	101 3	346	Communication Eqpt	\$62,307	\$225	\$62,532	
1210	101 3	347	Misc Equipment	\$22,265	(\$400)	\$21,865	
1220		848		\$616	\$0	\$616	
1555			Transportation Eqpt	\$266	\$0	\$266	
1640			Other Plant	\$22,363	\$0	\$22,363	
1835			Acc Depr-Organization	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1840			Acc Depr-Franchises	(\$39,618)	(\$0)	(\$39,618)	
1845			Acc Depr-Struct & Imprv Src Sply	(\$43,467)	(\$171,033)	(\$214,501)	
1850			Acc Depr-Struct & Imprv W tp	(\$796,882)	\$11,221	(\$785,660)	
1860		_	Acc Depr-Struct & Imprv Gen Plt	\$0	\$135,410	\$135,410	
1875			Acc Depr-Wells & Springs	(\$643,869)	\$1,980	(\$641,890)	
1880			Acc Depr-Infiltration Gallery	(\$21,028)	\$2,021	(\$19,007)	
1885		309	Acc Depr-Supply Mains	(\$660)	\$108	(\$552)	
1890		310	Acc Depr-Power Generation Equp	(\$729)	\$7	(\$721)	
1895			Acc Depr-Elect Pump Equip Src Pump	(\$15,012)	(\$9,881)	(\$24,893)	
1900			Acc Depr-Elect Pump Equip Wtp	(\$2,045,322)	\$20,989	(\$2,024,333)	
1905		311	Acc Depr-Elect Pump Equip Tran	(\$15,218)	\$10,317	(\$4,901)	
1910			• •	(\$134,617)	\$149	(\$134,468)	
1915			Acc Depr-Dist Resv & Standpipe	(\$813,272)	(\$126)	(\$813,398)	
1920	108 3	331	Acc Depr-Trans & Distr Mains	(\$4,755,034)	\$1,720	(\$4,753,314)	

.

Table 1-1, continued

OBJ	J NARUC		Description	AA Ledger as of December 31. 2013			
0.03	INAL			Per Utility	Difference	Per Audit	
1925	108	333	Acc Depr-Service Lines	(\$827,675)	\$56	(\$827,620)	
1930	108	334	Acc Depr-Meters	(\$1,437,280)	(\$138,067)	(\$1,575,347)	
1935	108	334	Acc Depr-Meter Installs	(\$92,472)	\$190	(\$92,282)	
1940	108	335	Acc Depr-Hydrants	(\$450,269)	\$143	(\$450,126)	
1945	108	336	Acc Depr-Backflow Prevent Devc	(\$201)	(\$34)	(\$236)	
1960	108	339	Acc Depr-Oth Plant&Misc Wtp	(\$826)	\$0	(\$826)	
1965	108	339	Acc Depr-Oth Plant&Misc Trans	(\$1,725)	\$17	(\$1,708)	
1970	108	304	Acc Depr-Office Structure	(\$197)	(\$6)	(\$203)	
1975	108	340	Acc Depr-Office Furn/Eqpt	(\$66,104)	\$1,871	(\$64,232)	
1985	108	343	Acc Depr-Tool Shop & Misc Eqpt	(\$190,353)	\$1,557	(\$188,796)	
1990	108	344	Acc Depr-Laboratory Equipment	(\$13,392)	(\$3,078)	(\$16,470)	
1995	108	345	Acc Depr-Power Operated Equip	(\$115)	(\$223)	(\$338)	
2000	108	346	Acc Depr-Communication Eqpt	(\$56,466)	\$2,761	(\$53,705)	
2005	108		Acc Depr-Misc Equipment	(\$18,581)	\$11,960	(\$6,621)	
2010	108		Acc Depr-Other Tang Plt Water	(\$313)	\$0	(\$313)	
TBD	108	348	Acc Depr-Other Plant	\$0	(\$6,709)	(\$6,709)	
3265	271	304	CIAC-Struct & Imprv Src Supply	(\$39,012)	\$0	(\$39,012)	
3270	271	304	CIAC-Struct & Imprv Wtp	(\$199,082)	\$0	(\$199,082)	
3295	271		CIAC-Wells & Springs	(\$520,059)	\$0	(\$520,059)	
3305	271		CIAC-Supply Mains	(\$7,152)	\$0	(\$7,152)	
3315	271	311	CIAC-Elec Pump Eqp Src Pump	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3330	271		CIAC-Water Treatment Eqpt	(\$250,371)	\$0	(\$250,371)	
3335	271		CIAC-Dist Resv & Standpipes	(\$812,298)	\$0	(\$812,298)	
3340	271	331	CIAC-Trans & Distr Mains	(\$5,992,806)	(\$10,000)	(\$6,002,806)	
3345	271		CIAC-Service Lines	(\$970,201)	so	(\$970,201)	
3350	271		CIAC-Meters	(\$10,783)	\$0	(\$10,783)	
3355	271	334	CIAC-Meter Installs	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3360	271		CIAC-Hydrants	(\$593,754)	\$0	(\$593,754)	
3430	271		CIAC-Other Tangible Plt Water	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	
3435	271		CIAC-Water-Tap	(\$1,928,025)	\$0	(\$1,928,025)	
3445	271	271	CIAC-Wtr Res Cap Fee	(\$521)	\$0	(\$521)	
3450	271	271	CIAC-Wtr Plt Mod Fee	(\$2,453)	\$0	(\$2,453)	
3455	271		CIAC-Wtr Plt Mtr Fee	(\$8,399)	(\$5,383)	(\$13,782)	
3810	272		Acc Amort Struct & Imprv Src	\$36,966	(\$10)	\$36,957	
3815	272		Acc Amort Struct & Imprv Wtp	\$191,558	(\$49)	\$191,509	
3840	272		Acc Am ort Wells & Springs	\$512,597	(\$0)	\$512,597	
3850	272		Amort-Supply Mains	\$460	(\$102)	\$358	
3860	272		Acc Amort Elec Pump Eqp Src	\$ 0	\$264	\$264	
3875	272	320	Acc Amort Water Treatment Eqpt	\$244,735	\$5,637	\$250,371	
3880	272		Acc Am ort Dist Resv & Standpip	\$744,308	\$0	\$744,308	
3885	272		Acc Am ort Trans & Distr Mains	\$4,941,908	(\$410)	\$4,941,498	
3890	272		Acc Amort Service Lines	\$812,696	(\$0)	\$812,695	
3895	272		Acc Amort Meters	\$21,047	(\$23,405)	(\$2,358)	
3900	272	334	Acc Amort Meter Installs	\$703	(\$100)	\$603	
3905	272	335	Acc Am ort Hydrants	\$464,275	(\$27)	\$464,247	
3975	272		Acc Amort Other Tang Plt Water	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3980	272		Acc Am ort Water-Ciac Tap	\$101,013	(\$32)	\$100,982	
3995	272		Acc Amort W tr Res Cap Fee-Nc	\$79	(\$0)	\$79	
	272	272	Acc Amort Wtr Plt Mod Fee-Nc	\$383	(\$0)	\$383	
4000				4000	(~~)		

Water Rate Base Adjustment

(\$272,605)

· ()

 \dot{i}

3

.

Table 1-2

OBJ NARUC			Description	AA Ledger as of December 31. 2013			
OB1	NAK	UC	Description	Per Utility	Difference	Per Audit	
1245	101	351	Organization	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1250	101		Franchises Intang Plt	\$3,182	\$0	\$3,182	
1275	101	353	Land & Land Rights Reclaim Wtp	\$203,894	\$0	\$203,894	
1285	101	353	Land & Land Rights Gen Plt	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1290	101	354	Struct/Imprv Coll Plt	\$2,201	\$0	\$2,201	
1295	101	354	Struct/Imprv Pump Plt Ls	\$3,242,110	(\$6,303)	\$3,235,808	
1300	101	354	Struct/Imprv Treat Plt	\$4,756,747	\$10,994	\$4,767,740	
1305	101	354	Struct/Imprv Reclaim Wtp	\$0	\$0	\$0	
1310	101	354	Struct/Imprv Reclaim Wtp/Dist Plt	\$121	\$0	\$121	
1315	101	354	Struct/Imprv Gen Plt	\$0	(\$10,994)	(\$10,994)	
1320	101	355	Power Gen Equip Coll Plt	\$1,275	\$0	\$1,275	
1330	101	355	Power Gen Equip Treat Plt	\$1,430	\$0	\$1,430	
1345	101	360	Sewer Force Main	\$317,845	\$12,333	\$330,178	
1350	101	361	Sewer Gravity Main	\$7,569,379	(\$27,086)	\$7,542,293	
1353	101	361	Manholes	\$252,151	\$570	\$252,721	
1355	101	362	Special Coll Structures	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	
1360	101	363	Services To Customers	\$217,726	\$14,776	\$232,502	
1365	101	364	Flow Measure Devices	\$3,446	\$0	\$3,446	
1380	101	371	Pumping Equipment Pump Plt	\$247,279	\$0	\$247,280	
1385	101	371	Pumping Equipment Reclaim Wtp	\$12,347	\$0	\$12,347	
1390	101	371	Pumping Equip Rcl Wtr Dist	\$4,543	\$0	\$4,543	
1400	101	380	Treat/Disp Equip Trt Plt	\$2,093,359	(\$8,716)	\$2,084,644	
1410	101	381	Plant Sewers Trtmt Plt	\$10,581	\$0	\$10,581	
1420	101	382	Outfall Lines	\$644,005	\$0	\$644,005	
1430	101	389	Other Plt Collection	\$2,396	\$0	\$2,396	
1435	101	389	Other Plt Pump	\$22,880	\$0	\$22,880	
1440	101	389	Other Plt Treatment	\$20,733	(\$12,000)	\$8,733	
1445	101	389	Other Plt Reclaim Wtr Trt	\$6,364	\$0	\$6,364	
1455	101	354	Office Struct & Imprv	\$734	\$0	\$734	
1460	101	390	Office Furn & Eqpt	\$57,236	\$0	\$57,236	
1470	101	393	Tool Shop & Misc Eqpt	\$96,979	(\$1,284)	\$95,695	
1475	101	394	Laboratory Eqpt	\$1,618	\$0	\$1,618	
1480	101	395	Power Operated Equip	\$1,253	(\$337)	\$916	
1485	101	396	Communication Eqpt	\$85,225	\$112	\$85,337	
1490	101	397	Misc Equip Sewer	\$87,458	\$400	\$87,858	
1525	101	366	Reuse Services	\$57,600	\$90	\$57,690	
1530	101		Reuse Mtr/Installations	\$23,200	(\$4,159)	\$19,041	
1535	101		Reuse Dist Reservoirs	\$15,630	\$4,069	\$19,699	
1540	101		Reuse Tranmission & Dist Sys	\$11,168,022	\$0	\$11,168,022	
2030	108	351	Acc Depr-Organization	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2040	108	352	Acc Depr Franchises Intang Plt	(\$1,355)	\$0	(\$1,355)	
2050	108	354	Acc Depr-Struct/Imprv Coll Plt	\$338	(\$25)	\$313	
2055	108	354	Acc Depr-Struct/Imprv Pump Plt Ls	(\$2,343,804)	\$1,699	(\$2,342,106)	
2060	108	354	Acc Depr-Struct/Imprv Treat Plt	(\$4,151,880)	(\$163)	(\$4,152,043)	
2070	108		Acc Depr-Struc/Improv Rclm Dst	(\$1)	(\$0)	(\$1)	
2075	108	354	Acc Depr-Struct/Imprv Gen Plt	(\$0)	\$1,620	\$1,620	
2080	108	355	Acc Depr-Pwr Gen Eqp Coll Plt	(\$320)	\$0	(\$320)	
2090	108	355	Acc Depr-Pwr Gen Eqp Trt Plt	(\$286)	(\$4)	(\$290)	
2105	108	360	Acc Depr-Sewer Force Main	(\$54,125)	(\$7,548)	(\$61,673)	
2110	108	361	Acc Depr-Sewer Gravity Main	(\$5,342,247)	\$780	(\$5,341,467)	

Table 1-2, continued

OBJ	NARUC	Description	AA Ledger as of December 31. 2013			
UBJ			Per Utility	Difference	Per Audit	
2113	108 361	Acc Depr-Manholes	(\$86,951)	\$45	(\$86,906)	
2120	108 363	Acc Depr-Services To Customers	(\$12,261)	(\$1,199)	(\$13,459)	
2125	108 364	Acc Depr-Flow Measure Devices	(\$2,724)	(\$48)	(\$2,772)	
2140	108 371	Acc Depr-Pump Eqp Pump Plt	\$5,596	(\$2,430)	\$3,166	
2145	108 371	Acc Depr-Pump Eqp Rclm Wtp	\$18,300	(\$349)	\$17,951	
2150	108 371	Acc Depr-Pumping Equip Rcl Dist	(\$505)	(\$126)	(\$631)	
2160	108 380	Acc Depr-Treat/Disp Eqp Trt Plt	(\$912,747)	(\$5,769)	(\$918,516)	
2170	108 381	•	\$12,440	\$211	\$12,652	
2180	108 382	Acc Depr-Out fall Lines	(\$658,317)	\$14,311	(\$644,005)	
2190	108 389	Acc Depr-Other Plt Collection	(\$765)	(\$0)	(\$765)	
2195	108 389	Acc Depr-Other Plt Pump	(\$5,710)	(\$1,385)	(\$7,096)	
2200	108 389	Acc Depr-Other Plt Treatment	(\$6,579)	\$4,016	(\$2,563)	
2205	108 389	Acc Depr-Other Plt Rclm Wtp	(\$1,973)	\$0	(\$1,973)	
2215	108 354	Acc Depr-Office Structure	(\$37)	(\$9)	(\$46)	
2220	108 390	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(\$55,869)	(\$62,236)	(\$118,105)	
2230		Acc Depr-Tool Shop & Misc Eqpt	(\$81,618)	(\$39,501)	(\$121,119)	
2235	108 394	Acc Depr-Laboratory Eqpt	\$357	(\$1,314)	(\$957)	
2240	108 395	Acc Depr-Power Operated Equip	(\$628)	\$1,475	\$847	
2245	108 396	Acc Depr-Communication Eqpt	(\$84,558)	(\$2,785)	(\$87,343)	
2250	108 397	Acc Depr-Misc Equip Sewer	(\$24,624)	(\$12,473)	(\$37,097)	
2270	108 366	Acc Depr-Reuse Services	(\$9,319)	\$9	(\$9,310)	
2275	108 367	Acc Depr-Reuse Mtr/Installs	(\$2,189)	\$479	(\$1,710)	
2280	108 374	Acc Depr-Reuse Dist Reservoirs	(\$3,006)	(\$165)	(\$3,172)	
2285	108 375	Acc Depr-Reuse Trans/Dist Sys	(\$1,828,116)	(\$2,973)	(\$1,831,089)	
2300	108 341	Acc Depr-Transportation	(\$660)	\$638	(\$22)	
3500	271 354	CIAC-Struct/Imprv Pump Plt Ls	(\$1,680,727)	\$0	(\$1,680,727)	
3505		CIAC-Struct/Imprv Treat Plt	(\$3,300,164)	\$0	(\$3,300,164)	
3520		CIAC-Struct/Imprv Gen Plt	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3550	271 360	CIAC-Sewer Force Main	(\$90,354)	\$0	(\$90,354)	
3555	271 361	CIAC-Sewer Gravity Main	(\$5,772,508)	\$0	(\$5,772,508)	
3557	271 361	CIAC-Manholes	(\$178,351)	\$0	(\$178,351)	
3565	271 363	CIAC-Services To Customers	(\$126,355)	\$0	(\$126,355)	
3605	271 380	CIAC-Treat/Disp Equip Trt Plt	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3625	271 382	CIAC-Out fall Lines	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3705	271 271	CIAC-Sewer-T ap	(\$117,662)	\$0	(\$117,662)	
3715	271 271	•	(\$24,504)	\$0	(\$24,504)	
3720		CIAC-Swr Plt Mod Fee	(\$10,669)	\$0	(\$10,669)	
3750	271 366	CIAC-Reuse Services	(\$290,491)	\$0	(\$290,491)	
3770		CIAC Reuse Tap	(\$150)	\$0	(\$150)	
4050		Acc Amortstruct/Imprv Pump Plt Ls	\$1,593,848	(\$44,119)	\$1,549,729	
4055		Acc Am ortstruct/Imprv Treat Plt	\$3,276,212	(\$90,187)	\$3,186,024	
4070		Acc Am ortstruct/Imprv Gen Plt	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4100		Acc Am ort Sewer Force Main	\$75,506	(\$9,022)	\$66,484	
4105	272 361	-	\$5,053,238	\$193,128	\$5,246,366	
4107	272 361		\$65,772	\$1,210	\$66,982	
4115		Acc Amort Services To Customers	\$83,300	\$17,522	\$100,822	
4155		Acc Am ort Treat/Disp Equip Trt Plt	\$75,483	(\$75,483)	(\$0)	
4175		Acc Am ort Out fall Lines	\$10,617	(\$15,148)	(\$4,531)	
4265		Acc Am ort Sewer-Tap	\$38,609	\$38	\$38,647	
4275	272 272	Acc Am ort Swr Res Cap Fee-Nc	\$2,535	(\$16)	\$2,519	

,

Table 1-2, continued

	NARU C		Description	AA Ledger as of December 31. 2013			
O B J				Per Utility	Difference	Per Audit	
4280	272	272	Acc Amort Swr Plt Mod Fee-Nc	\$3,797	(\$2,783)	\$1,014	
4310	272	366	Acc Amort-Reuse Services	\$47,203	(\$0)	\$47,203	
TBD	272	272	Acc Amort-Reuse Tap	\$3	(\$2)	\$2	

Wastewater Rate Base Adjustment

(\$167,617)

Finding 2: Depreciation and Amortization

Audit Analysis: The Utility posted the COA discussed in Finding 1 to the general ledger on May 31, 2013. For accounting purposes the COA should be treated as prior-period adjustments because they were adjustments to the Utility's December 31, 2010 general ledger balance. Prior period adjustments should not affect the current year's operating expense.

The Utility's COA included adjustments that increased water and decreased wastewater net depreciation expense by \$329,367 and \$66,785, respectively, for the test year. These adjustments should have been posted to an equity account such as retained earnings because they do not relate to the current year operations.

Tables 2-1 and 2-2 that follow reflect the Utility's COA that were posted to test year depreciation and amortization expense accounts that should be reversed. The adjustments in this Finding do not include the effect of adjustments in other Findings that we recommend later in this report.

Our adjustments pertain only to the direct costs COA which are posted to the Utility's AA ledger before the water and wastewater allocation of common accounts. All Parent level allocated COA which are posted to the Utility's UA ledger were audited in the Audit of Affiliate Transactions in Docket No.140060-WS, Audit Control Number (ACN) 14-197-1-1.

Effect on the General Ledger: None

:..**.**

Effect on the Filing: Net water depreciation expense should be reduced by \$329,367 and Net wastewater depreciation expense should be increased by \$66,785 for the test year.

1 1

 ~ 5

Table 2-1

OBJ	NAI	RUC	DESCRIPTION	2013AA
6445	403	301	DEPREC-ORGANIZATION	(\$20)
6450	403	302	DEPREC-FRANCHISES	(\$4,623)
6455	403	304	DEPREC-STRUCT & IMPRV SRC SUPPLY	(\$170,863)
6460	403	304	DEPREC-STRUCT & IMPRV WTP	\$178,094
6470	403	304	DEPREC-STRUCT & IMPRV GEN PLT	(\$2,247)
6485	403	307	DEPREC-WELLS & SPRINGS	(\$2,414)
6490	403	308	DEPREC-INFILT RATION GALLERY	(\$1,787)
6495	403	309	DEPREC-SUPPLY MAINS	\$0
6500	403	310	DEPREC-POWER GEN EQP	(\$197)
6505	403	311	DEPREC-ELEC PUMP EQP SRC PUMP	\$10,302
6510	403	311	DEPREC-ELEC PUMP EQP WTP	\$21,680
6515	403	311	DEPREC-ELEC PUMP EQP TRANS DST	(\$9,621)
6520	403	320	DEPREC-WATER TREATMENT EQPT	(\$13)
6525	403	330	DEPREC-DIST RESV & STANDPIPES	(\$8,426)
6530	403	331	DEPREC-TRANS & DISTR MAINS	(\$11,770)
6535	403	333	DEPREC-SERVICE LINES	(\$92)
6540	403	334	DEPREC-METERS	\$36,125
6545	403	334	DEPREC-METER INSTALLS	\$18
6550	403	335	DEPREC-HYDRANTS	\$67
6555	403	336	DEPREC-BACKFLOW PREVENT DEVICE	\$6
6570	403	339	DEPREC-OTH PLT&MISC EQP WTP	\$33
6575	403	339	DEPREC-OTH PLT & MISC EQP DIST	\$397
6580	403	304	DEPREC-OFFICE STRUCTURE	\$7
6585	403	340	DEPREC-OFFICE FURN/EQPT	(\$504)
6595	403	343	DEPREC-TOOL SHOP & MISC EQPT	(\$2,213)
6600	403	344	DEPREC-LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	\$3,074
6605	403	345	DEPREC-POWER OPERATED EQUIP	\$107
6610	403	346	DEPREC-COMMUNICATION EQPT	(\$9,670)
6615	403	347	DEPREC-MISC EQUIPMENT	\$4,982
6620	403	348	DEPREC-OTHER TANG PLT WATER	\$82
6985	403	301	AMORT-ORGANIZATION	\$0
6995	407	304	AMORT-STRCT&IMPRV SRC SUPPLY	\$61
7000	407	304	AMORT-STRCT&IMPRV WTP	\$316
7025	407	307	AMORT-WELLS & SPRINGS	\$789
7025	407	311	AMORT-ELEC PUMP EOP SRC PUMP	\$90,598
7043	407	311	AMORT-ELEC PUMP EQP WTP	\$21,386
7055	407	311	AMORT-ELEC PUMP EQP TRANS DIST	\$18,432
7055	407	320	AMORT-BLEC FOMP EQF TRANSDIST AMORT-WATER TREATMENT EQPT	\$6,154
7060	407	320	AMORT-DIST RESV & STANDPIPES	\$4,826
7065	407 407	330	AMORT-DIST RESV & STANDFIFES AMORT-TRANS & DISTR MAINS	\$4,820
7075	407	333	AMORT-SERVICE LINES	\$4,947
7080	407	333	AMORT-METERS	\$100,740
7080	407	334	AMORT-METER INSTALLS	\$100,740
7085	407	335	AMORT-HYDRANTS	\$483
	407 407	333	AMORT-OTHER TANGIBLE PLT WATER	\$483
7160	407	407	AMORT-WATER-TAP	(\$2,184)
		407	AMORT-WATER-TAP AMORT-WTR RES CAP FEE	the second se
7175 7180	407 407	407	AMORT-WTR RESCAP FEE AMORT-WTR PLT MOD FEE	(\$8) (\$40)
				(\$40)
7185	407	407	AMORT-WTR PLT MTR FEE	(\$

Water Net Depreciation Expense Adjustment

\$329,367

Table 2-2

OBJ	NAI	RUC	DESCRIPTION	2013AA
6640	403	351	DEPREC-ORGANIZATION	(\$0)
6645	403	352	DEPREC-FRANCHISES INT ANG PLT	(\$4,237)
6655	403	354	DEPREC-STRUCT/IMPRV COLL PLT	\$10
6660	403	354	DEPREC-STRUCT/IMPRV PUMP	\$721
6665	403	354	DEPREC-STRUCT/IMPRV TREAT PLT	\$295,407
6680	403	354	DEPREC-STRUCT/IMPRV GEN PLT	(\$296,274)
6685	403	355	DEPREC-POWER GEN EQUIP COLL PLT	(\$42)
6695	403	355	DEPREC-POWER GEN EQUIP TREAT	\$53
6710	403	360	DEPREC-SEWER FORCE MAIN	(\$10,937)
6715	403	361	DEPREC-SEWER GRAVITY MAIN	(\$2,336)
6717	403	361	DEPREC-MANHOLES	\$10,070
6725	403	363	DEPREC-SERVICES TO CUSTOMERS	\$11,011
6730	403	364	DEPREC-FLOW MEASURE DEVICES	\$26
6745	403	371	DEPREC-PUMP EQP PUMP PLT	(\$13,658)
6750	403	371	DEPREC-PUMP EQP RCLM WTP	\$36
6765	403	380	DEPREC-TREAT/DISP EQ TRT PLT	(\$5,783)
6785	403	382	DEPREC-OUTFALL LINES	(\$47,944)
6795	403	389	DEPREC-OTHER PLT COLLECTION	\$21
6800	403	389	DEPREC-OTHER PLT PUMP	\$1,072
6805	403	389	DEPREC-OTHER PLT TREATMENT	\$1,021
6810	403	389	DEPREC-OTHER PLT RCLM WTR TRT	\$57
6825	403	390	DEPREC-OFFICE FURN/EQPT	(\$64,429)
6835	403	393	DEPREC-TOOL SHOP & MISC EQPT	(\$44,595)
6840	403	394	DEPREC-LABORATORY EQPT	\$4
6845	403	394	DEPREC-POWER OPERATED EQUIP	\$22
6850	403	395	DEPREC-COMMUNICATION EQPT	(\$8,893)
	403	390	DEPREC-MISC EQUIP SEWER	
6855		366	DEPREC-REUSE SERVICES	(\$18,334)
6875	403		DEPREC-REUSE SERVICES DEPREC-REUSE MTR/INSTALLATIONS	(\$0)
6880	403	367		\$6
6885	403	374	DEPREC-REUSE DIST RESERVOIRS	\$0
6890	403	375	DEPREC-REUSE TRANSM / DIST SYS	(\$15,505)
7205	407	351	AMORT-ORGANIZATION	\$0
7225	407	354	AMORT-STRUCT/IMPRV PUMP PLT LS	(\$1,588)
7230	407	354	AMORT-STRUCT/IMPRV TREAT PLT	(\$206,260)
7245	407	354	AMORT-STRUCT/IMPRV GEN PLT	\$201,427
7275	407	360	AMORT-SEWER FORCE MAIN	\$7,750
7280	407	361	AMORT-SEWER GRAVITY MAIN	\$12,144
7283	407	362	AMORT-MANHOLES	(\$11,483)
7290	407	363	AMORT-SERVICES TO CUSTOMERS	(\$6,010)
7330	407	380	AMORT-TREAT/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$126,814
7350	407	382	AMORT-OUTFALL LINES	\$5,985
7430	407	407	AMORT-SEWER-TAP	(\$909)
7440	407	407	AMORT-SWR RES CAP FEE	\$24
7445	407	407	AMORT-SWR PLT MOD FEE	\$18,753
7475	407	367	AMORT-REUSE MTR/INSTALLATIONS	(\$0)

Wastewater Net Depreciation Expense Adjustment

(\$66,785)

Finding 3: Woodlands Des Pinar Wastewater Plant

Audit Analysis: On September 11, 2012, the Utility decommissioned and diverted all wastewater flows from the Woodlands Des Pinar (WDP) wastewater treatment plant to the Wekiva wastewater treatment and reuse plant. The shutdown was prompted by a change in wastewater effluent requirements for the Wekiva River Basin promulgated by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) in Rule 62-600.550 – Wastewater Management Requirements for the Wekiva Study Area, F.A.C. The Utility's decision was based on an engineering study commissioned by the Utility that evaluated and defined the least cost option that minimized the capital investment required to comply with the FDEP rule. We obtained and reviewed the study. The demolition of the WDP wastewater plant was completed in May 2014.

There was no new capital investment required to divert the wastewater flows from WDP to Wekiva because an interconnection between the two systems was already in place. The Utility asserts that any increase in annual operating expense at the Wekiva wastewater plant is offset by a corresponding decrease in annual operating expense due to the closure of the WDP wastewater plant.

NARUC Accounting Instruction No. $27 - \underline{\text{Utility Plant} - \text{Additions and Retirements}} B(2)$, states that when depreciable plant in service is retired with or without replacement, the book cost is credited to the utility plant account with a corresponding debit to the associated accumulated depreciation account. The cost of removal and salvage shall be charged or credited, as appropriate, to the depreciation account.

NARUC Accounting Instruction No. $29 - \underline{\text{Utility Plant} - \text{Transfers of Property}}$ A, states that when property is transferred from one utility account, utility department or utility division to another, the transfer shall be recorded by transferring the original cost thereof from the account, department or division to the other. Any related amounts carried in the accounts for accumulated depreciation or amortization shall be transferred in accordance with the segregation of such accounts.

The audit staff has determined the following information from Commission orders and prior staff audits.

- The original cost for utility land dedicated to public service was determined in an original cost study proffered by the Utility's predecessor corporation in Docket No. 750700-WS. Order No. 7329, issued July 21, 1976, established a combined water and wastewater utility land balance of \$278,381 for 60.83 acres, or approximately \$4,573 per acre. The WDP water and wastewater plant site accounts for approximately 22.27 acres of the original acreage.
- 2. Order Nos. PSC-99-1917-PAA-WS, issued September 28, 1999 and PSC-02-0487-PAA-SU, issued April 8, 2002, address the Commission's policy regarding the reclassification of utility land balances due to the consequence of actions required by FDEP.

: X

- 3. The WDP wastewater plant was rebuilt and placed in service in December 1988. The original cost of the rebuilt wastewater plant was \$263,592 and it was posted to the Utility's general ledger and audited by Commission staff in Docket No. 900338-WS. Order No. 23809, issued November 27, 1990, established rate base and approved the rebuilt cost for the WDP wastewater plant.
- 4. Rule 25-30.140, F.A.C. establishes an 18-year service life for Account No. 380-Treatment & Disposal Equipment. Therefore, the WDP original cost would be fully recovered through annual depreciation expense accruals before it was removed from service.

The audit staff has determined the following information from Utility records and audit request for information.

- 1. The Utility has not recorded any entries to retire or transfer plant assets for the decommissioning of WDP to the general ledger.
- 2. The WDP facility was demolished and removed by a contractor for \$10,890 net of an estimated \$12,000 salvage and scrap metal right conveyed by the Utility to the contractor. Prior to demolition the Utility was to remove aluminum hand rails, pumps, separators and a crane.
- 3. The Utility paid a contractor \$1,150 to abandon and cap three ground water monitor wells located on the WDP water and wastewater land site.
- 4. The Utility paid a contractor \$2,540 to scrap and dispose of 200 yards of wastewater sediment from the sites percolation ponds per FDEP request.
- 5. The Utility paid a contractor \$600 to disconnect and make safe all power and control circuits prior to demolition of the Des Pinar wastewater plant.
- 6. The Utility removed and transferred two blowers and assemblies to Carolina Water Service from WDP in March 2014.
- 7. The Utility included \$475 for sludge hauling from WDP in the 2013 test year.
- 8. The Utility paid \$7,621 of real property taxes on the 22.27 acre WDP water and wastewater land site in 2013 to Seminole County. Approximately \$5,133, of the real property tax, was levied against the assessed land value of the WDP site. The remaining real property tax balance of \$2,488 was levied against improvements on the WDP site such as buildings and structures.
- 9. The Utility paid \$330,573 of tangible property tax on net taxable assets of \$21,539,971 in 2013 to Seminole County. The net taxable asset value used by Seminole County was taken from the Utility' 2012 FPSC Annual Report and adjusted for non-taxable items as determined by the county's tax assessor. The WDP wastewater plant net assets were

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

ł.

included in the assessed value and will be included in future assessments until the assets are retired and removed from the Utility's annual report balance.

- 10. The Utility paid a contractor \$25,800 for landscape and lawn services for all the water and wastewater plant sites in 2013.
- 11. Utility Account No. 364 Flow Measuring Device reflects balances of \$3,325 and \$3,446, as of December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively, for the WDP plant.
- 12. Utility Account No. 380 includes a 250Kw generator that was used to provide emergency power for the WDP wastewater plant. The Utility plans to relocate the generator to a different location on the WDP plant site to provide emergency power for a lift station and potable well.

In the instant proceeding the Utility filed a request for proforma treatment to recover \$11,490 of the cost to decommission the WDP facility that are identified in Item Nos. 2 and 5 above.

Recommended Land Adjustment

The following adjustment should be made to remove the cost associated with the transfer of land at the WDP site to a Land Held for Future Use per Commission rules and policies established in Order Nos. PSC-99-1917-PAA-WS and PSC-02-0487-PAA-SU as cited above. We believe that approximately 9.94 acres of the 22.27 acre WDP site contained the three percolation ponds and the wastewater plant. Based on an original cost of \$4,573 per acre, our adjustment reduces wastewater land by \$45,459, as of September 30, 2012. The December 31, 2013 year end adjustment and 13-month average adjustment for the instant proceeding are the same because they are prior period adjustments before the beginning of the test year.

 NARUC_	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
 104	TBD	To be determined by the Utility	\$45,459	
 353	1275	Land & Land Rights		\$45,459

Recommended Plant in Service and Accumulated Depreciation Retirement

The following adjustment should be made to remove utility assets due to the decommissioning of the WDP plant facility per the Commission rules and NARUC accounting instructions cited above. The December 31, 2013 year end adjustment and 13-month average adjustment for the instant proceeding are the same because they are prior period adjustments before the beginning of the test year.

	NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
	108	2160	A/D Treat/Disp Equip Trt Plt	\$279,247	
a	108	2125	A/D Flow Measuring Devices	\$2,724	
a	364	1365	Flow Measure Devices		\$3,446
	380	1400	Treat/Disp Equip Trt Plt		\$263,592
		TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$14,933

a Retire entire account balance due to flow meter being scrapped.

and the second

Accumulated Depreciation adjustment calculation:	Amou nt
Retire wastewater p lant at original cost.	\$263,592
Sludge hauling cost to clean p lant prior to demolition.	\$475
Cont ract cost to disconnect power service from plant.	\$600
Cont ract cost to demolish and haul off p lant.	\$10,890
Cont ract cost to clean p ercolation p onds.	\$2,540
Cost to cap and a bandon s ite monitor w ells.	\$1,150
Total	\$279,247

Per NAR UC - The cost of removal and salvage shall be charged or credited, as appropriate, to the depreciation account.

Recommended Plant in Service and Accumulated Depreciation Transfers

The following adjustment should be made to record the transfer of plant assets due to the demolition of the WDP plant facility per the Commission rules and NARUC accounting instructions cited above. Additional adjustments will need to be recorded for those assets where an original cost amount was not provided or could not be determined. The December 31, 2013 year end adjustment and 13-month average adjustment for the instant proceeding are the same because they are prior period adjustments before the beginning of the test year.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	1890	A/D Power Generation Equipment		\$19,550
108	2090	A/D Power Generation Treatment Plant		\$19,550
108	2160	A/D Treat/Disp Treatment Plant (Generator)	\$39,100	
310	1095	Power Generation Equipment	\$19,550	
355	1330	Power Generation Treatment Plant	\$19,550	
380	1400	Treat/Disp Treatment Plant (Generator)		\$39,100

Recommended Accumulated Depreciation Adjustment

The WDP facility was removed from service in September 2012. All of the above adjustments should be recorded as prior period adjustments based on that date. The cumulative effect of recording the PIS adjustments as of September 2012 will necessitate a recapture of accumulated depreciation accruals on the PIS adjustments through the end of the test year. Our adjustments and calculations are illustrated below. No additional correction is needed to Account. No. 364 because our adjustment zeros out the PIS and accumulated depreciation accounts as of December 31, 2013.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	1890	A/D Power Generation Equipment		\$1,222
108	2090	A/D Power Generation Treatment Plant		\$1,222
108	2160	A/D Treat/Disp Treatment Plant (Generator)	\$2,715	
108	2160	A/D Treat/Disp Treatment Plant (WDP plant)	\$18,305	
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$18,576

The test year adjustments are displayed above. The 13-month average adjustments for the instant proceeding increase (Credit) water accumulated by \$733 and reduce (Debit) wastewater accumulated depreciation by \$11,879, respectively.

		_		Recap	oture			
	PIS	Dep.	Dep. 2012		2013			
Description	Dec-12	Rate	<u>Accrual</u>	Balance	Accrual	Balance	Average	
Transfer Generator	\$19,550	5.00%	<u>(\$244)</u>	<u>(\$244)</u>	<u>(\$978)</u>	(\$1,222)	<u>(\$733)</u>	
Water Acc. Dep. Adj	justment		(\$244)	(\$244)	(\$978)	(\$1,222)	(\$733)	
Retire W DP plant	(\$263,592)	5.56%	\$3,661\$	3,661	\$14,644	\$18,305	\$10,983	
Transfer Generator	(\$39,100)	5.56%	\$543	\$543	\$2,172\$	2,715\$	1,629	
Transfer Generator	\$19,550	5.00%	<u>(\$244)</u>	<u>(\$244)</u>	<u>(\$978)</u>	(\$1,222)	<u>(\$733)</u>	
Wastewater Acc. De	p. Adjustme	nt	\$3,960	\$3,960	\$15,839	\$19,798	\$11,879	

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Recommended Depreciation Expense Adjustment

All of the audit adjustments above will affect test year 2013 depreciation expense because the general ledger includes depreciation accruals on the assets that were transferred or removed from PIS before the test year. Our adjustments are illustrated below.

	NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
	403	6500	Dep. Expense - Power Operated Equip.	\$978	
	403	6605	Dep. Expense - Power Operated Equip.	\$978	
	403	6730	Dep. Expense - Flow Measure Devise		\$355
а	403	6765	Dep. Expense - T&D Equip.		\$16,816
		TBD	To be determined by the Utility.	\$15,215	

a Sum of WDP retirement and generator transfer (\$14,644 + \$2,172= \$16,816)

(The generator was recorded in Acct. No. 380 / OBJ 1400 - T&D Treatment Plant)

	PIS	Dep.	Recapture
Description	Dec-12	Rate	Dec-13
Transfer Generator	\$19,550	5.00%	<u>\$978</u>
Water Dep. Expense Adjustment			\$978
Retire WDP plant	(\$263,592)	5.56%	(\$14,644)
Transfer Generator	(\$39,100)	5.56%	(\$2,172)
Transfer Generator	\$19,550	5.00%	\$978
Retire Effluent Flow Meter (a)	(\$3,446)		<u>(\$355)</u>
Wastewater Dep. Expense Adjustment			(\$16,194)

a Recapture entire depreciation expense amount due to flow meter being scrapped.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Additional Information

The adjustments above do not encompass a complete assessment of the effect of closing and demolishing the WDP plant from operations. The Utility indicated that it is still compiling a list of utility assets that were inventoried, removed or transferred to other affiliated systems. Some of the assets include the wastewater plant blowers, chlorine storage tank and various pumps. The Utility was not able to provide and we were unable to determine a value for these assets for this proceeding.

The Utility states that the effect of closing and demolishing the WDP plant on test year operating expense is offset by increase in operating cost at the Wekiva system. We were not able to determine the validity of this assertion because of limited available information. Our audit workpapers include information on the annual property tax, tangible tax and landscape

maintenance costs for WDP that were included in test year operating expense for the analyst to consider.

Effect on the General Ledger: The NARUC and Utility OBJ account adjustments are indicated in the respective schedules above.

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average water rate base and test year depreciation expense should be increased by \$733 and \$978, respectively. 13-month average wastewater rate base and test year depreciation expense should be reduced by \$18,644 and \$16,194, respectively.

Audit Adjustment - Water	Increase(Decrease)
Plant in Service (Transfer)	\$19,550
A ccumulated Depreciation (Transfer)	(\$19,550)
Accumulated Depreciation (Recapture)	<u>\$733</u>
Net Rate Base	\$733
Audit Adjustment - Wastewater	Increase(Decrease)
Land Reclassification to PHFU	(\$45,456)
Plant in Service (Transfer)	\$19,550
Plant in Service (Retirement)	(\$267,038)
Accumulated Depreciation (Transfer)	(\$19,550)
Accumulated Depreciation (Retirement)	\$281,971
Accumulated Depreciation (Recapture)	<u>\$11,879</u>
Net Rate Base	(\$18,644)
Audit Adjustment - Water & Wastewater	Increase(Decrease)
Water Dep. Expense	\$978
Wastewater Dep. Expense	(\$16,194)
Calculations:	
Calculations: See prior p age for c alculation.	
	\$26 3.592
See prior p age for c alculation.	\$26 3,592 \$3,446
See p rior p age for c alculation. PIS WDP Retirement	and part of the second s
See p rior p age for c alculation. PIS WDP Retirement	<u>\$3,446</u>
See p rior p age for c alculation. PIS WDP Retirement PIS Flow M eter Retirement	<u>\$3,446</u> \$26 7,038

Finding 4: Water Plant in Service - General

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to water plant in service, we determined that there were no retirements recorded by the Utility for the following transactions.

	OBJ	Date	Vendor	Amount
-	1055	May-13	Louver Repair	\$5,913
	1115	Aug-13	Odyssey Manufacture	\$8,900
	1190	Nov-13	USA Bluebook	\$785
	1205	Aug-13	Thompson Electric	\$7,198

The original cost of the assets replaced was not available. A retirement entry should have been booked when these assets were installed. The amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new asset additions, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these assets should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

Don Hitility Dobit(Credit)

(\$509)

D ... A ... J!A

				Per Utility	Debit(Credit)	Per Audit		
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Additions	Adjustment(a)	Additions		
304	1055	PIS-Structures	2013	\$5,913	(\$4,435)	\$1,478		
320	1115	PIS-Water Treatment	2013	\$8,900	(\$6,675)	\$2,225		
343	1190	PIS-Tools & Shop	2013	\$785 (\$589)		\$196		
346	1205	PIS-Comm. Equip.	Equip. 2013 \$7,198 (\$5,399)		Equip. 2013 \$7,198 (\$5,399)		\$7,198 (\$5,399)	
Plant in S	Service	Retirement			(\$17,097)			
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement		
108	1850	AD-Structures	2013	\$0	\$4,435	\$4,435		
108	1910	AD-Water Treatment	2013	\$0	\$6,675	\$6,675		
108	1985	AD-Tools & Shop	2013	\$0	\$589	\$589		
108	2000	AD-Comm. Equip.	2013	\$0	\$5,399	\$5,399		
Accumula	ated D	epreciation Retirement			\$17,097	·		
Accumula	ated De	epreciation recapture			\$509			

		PIS	Dep.	Annual Depreciation Accrual Recapture (
NARUC	OBJ	Retirement	Rate	2013	Total
304	1055	(\$4,435)	3.13%	\$69	\$69
320	1115	(\$6,675)	4.55%	\$152	\$152
343	1190	(\$589)	6.25%	\$18	\$18
346	1205	(\$5,399)	10.00%	\$270	\$270
Accumul	ated Depr	eciation Adjustment		\$509	\$509

Depreciation Expense Adjustment

a Calculated as 75% of the cost of the new addition.

b Accumulated Depreciation retirement equals PIS retirement.

c Retirement amount times applicable depreciation rate using half-year convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

NARUC	OB J	Description	Debit	Credit
108	1850A	D-Structures	\$4,504	
108	1910A	D-Water Treatment	\$6,827	
108	1985A	D-Tools & Shop	\$607	
108	2000A	D-Comm. Equip.	\$5,668	
304	1055P	IS-Structure's		\$4,435
320	1115P	IS-Water Treatment		\$6,675
343	1190P	IS-Tools & Shop		\$589
346	1205P	IS-Comm. Equip.		\$5,399
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility.	· · ·	\$509

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average water PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$8,549 and \$9,058, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$509, for the test year.

Increase(Reduce)	PIS A		Dep. Expense
Structures & Improvements	(\$2,218)	(\$2,287)	(\$69)
Water Treatment Equip.	(\$3,338)	(\$3,490)	(\$152)
Tools & Shop Equip.	(\$295)	(\$313)	(\$18)
Comm. Equip.	<u>(\$2,700)</u>	<u>(\$2,970)</u>	<u>(\$270)</u>
Net Average Adjustment	(\$8,549)	(\$9,058)	(\$509)

,

Finding 5: Water Plant in Service Retirement - Meters

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to Account No. 334-Meters, we determined that there were no retirements recorded by the Utility. The original cost of the meters replaced was not available. The Utility stated that they have an ongoing meter replacement program and have replaced approximately 3,700 meters since 2009. They also confirmed that a retirement entry should have been booked when these assets were installed. The amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new meter additions, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these retired meters should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

334 11 334 11 Plant in Ser NARUC 0	135 135 135 rvice DBJ 930	PIS-Meters PIS-Meters PIS-Meters Retirement Description	2011 2012 2013	\$96,716 \$76,216 \$70,371	Adjustment(a) (\$72,537) (\$57,162) (\$52,778) (\$182,478)	\$24,179 \$19,054 \$17,593
334 11 Plant in Ser NARUC O	135 rvice DBJ	PIS-Meters Retirement	2013	-	(\$52,778)	-
Plant in Ser	rvice DBJ	Retirement		\$70,371		\$17,593
NARUC O	OBJ				(\$182,478)	
		Description				
100 10	030		Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement
108 19	250	AD-Meters	2011	\$0	\$72,537	\$72,537
108 19	930	AD-Meters	2012	\$0	\$57,162	\$57,162
108 19	930	AD-Meters	2013	\$0	\$52,778	\$52,778
Accumulate	ed De	preciation Retirement			\$182,478	
Accumulate	ed De	preciation recapture		\$14,674		
Accumulate	ed De	preciation Adjustment			\$197,152	
		PIS		Annual Depre	ciation Accrual 1	Recapture (c)
NARUC O	OBJ		2011	2012	2013	Total
334 11	135	(72,537)	\$1,813	\$3,627	\$3,627	\$9,067
334 11	135	(57,162)		\$1,429	\$2,858	\$4,287
334 11	135	(52,778)			\$1,319	\$1,319
Accumulate	ed De	preciation Adjustment	\$1,813	\$5,056	\$7,804	\$14,674
Depreciation	on Ex	pense Adjustment			\$7,804	

a Calculated as 75% of t he cost of t he new addition.

b Accumulated Depreciation retirement equals PIS retirement.

c Retirement amount times depreciation rate of 5.00% us ing half-y ear convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	1930	A D-M eters	\$197,152	
334	1135	PIS-M eters		\$182,478
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$14,674

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average water PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$152,645 and \$166,861, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$7,804, for the test year.

Finding 6: Water Plant in Service Transfers - Meters

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to Account No. 333-Service Lines, we determined that there were substantial additions of meters and meter supplies from one vendor recorded in this account. These items relate to the Utility's ongoing meter replacement program and should have been recorded to Account No. 334-Meters and included a retirement amount. The transfer from Account No. 333 to Account No. 334 and the amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new meter additions, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to the transfer and the retired meters should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

OBJ	Description	Year	Per Utility Additions	Debit(Credit) Adjustment	Per Audit Additions
1350	PIS-Service Lines	2011	\$32,011	(\$32,011)	\$0
1350	PIS-Service Lines	2012	\$25,497	(\$25,497)	\$0
1350	PIS-Service Lines	2013	\$25,378	(\$25,378)	\$0
ervice]	Retirement			(\$82,886)	
	PIS		Annual Depr	eciation Accrual F	Recapture (b)
OBJ	Transfer	2011	2012	2013	Total
1350	(32,011)	\$400	\$800	\$800	\$2,001
1350	(25,497)		\$319	\$637	\$956
1350	(25,378)			\$317	\$317
ted De	preciation Adjustment	\$400	\$1,119	\$1,755	\$3,274
icu De	proclation rajustiment	• • • • •	<i><i><i>x</i>-<i>yx</i></i></i>	,	
	1350 1350 1350 ervice 0BJ 1350 1350 1350	1350 PIS-Service Lines 1350 PIS-Service Lines 1350 PIS-Service Lines ervice Retirement PIS OBJ Transfer 1350 (32,011) 1350 (25,497)	1350 PIS-Service Lines 2011 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2012 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2013 ervice Retirement PIS OBJ Transfer 2011 1350 (32,011) \$400 1350 (25,497) 1350 (25,378)	OBJ Description Year Additions 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2011 \$32,011 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2012 \$25,497 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2013 \$25,378 ervice Retirement PIS Annual Depr OBJ Transfer 2011 2012 1350 (32,011) \$400 \$800 1350 (25,497) \$319 1350 (25,378) \$319	OBJ Description Year Additions Adjustment 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2011 \$32,011 (\$32,011) 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2012 \$25,497 (\$25,497) 1350 PIS-Service Lines 2013 \$25,378 (\$25,378) ervice Retirement (\$82,886) PIS Annual Depreciation Accrual F OBJ Transfer 2011 2012 2013 1350 (32,011) \$400 \$800 \$800 1350 (25,497) \$319 \$637 1350 (25,378) \$317

Remove meter and meter supplies from Account No. 333

a Transfer entire cost of invoices to Account No. 334.

b Transfer amount times depreciation rate of 2.50% using half-year convention method.

16

2

NARUC	OB.I	Description	Year	Per Utility Additions	Debit(Credit) Adjustment(a)	Per Audit Additions
334	1135	PIS-Meters	2011	\$0	\$8,003	\$8,003
334	1135	PIS-Meters	2012	\$0	\$6,374	\$6,374
334	1135	PIS-Meters	2013	\$0	\$6,345	\$6,345
Plant in S		Retirement			\$20,722	
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement
108	1930	AD-Meters	2011	\$0	\$24,008	\$24,008
108	1930	AD-Meters	2012	\$0	\$19,123	\$19,123
108	1930	AD-Meters	2013	\$0	\$19,034	\$19,034
Accumul	ated D	epreciation Retirement			\$62,165	
Annual D	epreci	ation Accrual		•	(\$1,637)	
Accumula	ated D	epreciation Adjustment			\$60,527	
		PIS		An	nual Depreciatio	n Accrual (d)
NARUC	OBJ	Addition	2011	2012	2013	Total
108	1930	\$8,003	(\$200)	(\$400)	(\$400)	(\$1,000)
108	1930	\$6,374		(\$159)	(\$319)	(\$478)
108	1930	\$6,345			(\$159)	(\$159)
Accumula	ated D	epreciation Adjustment	(\$200)	(\$559)	(\$877)	(\$1,637)
Deprecia	tion Ex	spense Adjustment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$877	
а	The co	ost of the new addition net of 7	5% re tirement.			
b	Accum	ulated D ep reciation re tiremen	t equals PIS ret	irement.		
С	Retire	ment amount times depreciation	on rate of 5.00%	us ing half-y ear o	onvention method.	
	a .	· · · · · · · ·				•

Record and retire meter and meter supplies to Account No. 334

d Cost of new addition times depreciation rate of 5.00% us ing half-y ear convention method.

(Small differences are due to roundi ng)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	1925	AD-Service Lines	\$3,274	
108	1930	AD-Meters	\$60,527	
333	1130	PIS-Service Lines	:	\$82,886
334	1135	PIS-Meters	\$20,722	
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$1,637

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average water PIS should be reduced by \$51,446 and Accumulated Depreciation should be increased by \$54,465, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$878, for the test year.

Increase(Reduce)	PIS	AD	Dep. Expense
Service Lines	(\$68,595)	\$3,116	(\$1,755)
Meters & Supplies	<u>\$17,149</u>	<u>\$51,449</u>	<u>\$877</u>
Net Average Adjustment	(\$51,446)	\$54,565	(\$878)

1

Finding 7: Wastewater Plant in Service - General

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to wastewater plant in service, we determined that there were no retirements recorded by the Utility for the following transactions.

0	BJ	Date	Vendor	Amount
1	353	Oct-11	Sunshine Building	\$943
1:	530	May-11	Mopluv Service	\$1,274
1:	385	Oct-13	Thompson Electric	\$6,395
1:	385	Nov-13	Thompson Electric	\$13,074

The original cost of the assets replaced was not available. A retirement entry should have been booked when these assets were installed. The amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new asset additions, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these assets should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Per Utility Additions	Debit(Credit) Adjustment(a)	Per Audit Additions
361	1353	PIS-Manholes	2011	\$943	(\$707)	\$236
367	1530	PIS-Reuse Mtr Inst.	2011	\$1,274	(\$956)	\$319
371	1385	PIS-Pmp Equip Rcl	2013	\$6,395	(\$4,796)	\$1,599
371	1385	PIS-Pmp Equip Rcl	2013	\$13,074	(\$9,806)	\$3,269
Plant in S	ervice]	Retirement			(\$16,265)	
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement
NARUC 108	OBJ 2113	Description AD-Manholes	Year 2011	Retirement \$0	Adjustment(b) \$707	Retirement \$707
108	2113 2275	AD-Manholes	2011	\$0	\$707	\$707
108 108	2113 2275	AD-Manholes AD-Reuse Mtr Inst.	2011 2011	\$0 \$0	\$707 \$956	\$707 \$956
108 108 108 108	2113 2275 2145 2145	AD-Manholes AD-Reuse Mtr Inst. AD-Pmp Equip Rcl	2011 2011 2013	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$707 \$956 \$4,796	\$707 \$956 \$4,796

Accumulated Depreciation Adjustment

		PIS	Dep.		Annual Depreciation Accrual Recapture (c)		
NARUC	OBJ	Retirement	Rate	2011	2012	2013	Total
361	1353	(\$707)	5.00%	\$8	\$16	\$16	\$39
367	1530	(\$956)	5.00%	\$24	\$48	\$48	\$119
371	1385	(\$4,796)	5.56%			\$133	\$133
371	1385	(\$9,806)	5.56%			\$272	\$272
Accumula	ated Dep	reciation Adjustr	nent	\$32	\$63	\$469	\$564
	-	-	,				

\$16,829

(\$469)

Depreciation Expense Adjustment

a Calculated as 75% of the cost of the new addition.

b Accumulated Depreciation retirement equals PIS retirement.

c Retirement amount times applicable depreciation rate using half-year convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

As a result of our sample of additions to wastewater plant in service, we determined that there was no support provided to substantiate the following transactions.

OBJ	Date	Vendor	Amount
1380	May-11	F.J. Nugent & Associates	\$6,518
1475	Aug-11	USA Bluebook	\$305

The unsupported transactions should be removed. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these assets should also be removed.

				Per Utility	Debit(Credit)	Per Audit
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Additions	Adjustment(a)	Additions
371	1380	Pumping Equip. Pmp Plt	2011	\$6,518	(\$6,518)	\$0
394	1475	Laboratory Equip.	2011	\$305	(\$305)	\$0
Plant in S	ervice	Removal			(\$6,823)	

		PIS Dep	PIS Dep.	Annual Depreciation Accrual Recapture (b)			
NARUC	OBJ	Removal	Rate	2011	2012	2013	Total
108	2140	(\$6,518)	5.56%	\$181	\$362	\$362	\$905
108	2235	(\$305)	6.67%	\$10	\$20	\$20	\$51
Accumula	ted Depr	eciation Adjustr	nent	\$191	\$382	\$382	\$956

(\$382)

÷

Depreciation Expense Adjustment

a Calculated as 75% of the cost of the new addition.

b PIS removal amount times applicable depreciation rate using half-year convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

	NARUC	OBJ	Descript	ion			Debit	Credit
a	108	2113	AD-Man	holes			\$746	
	108	2140	AD-Pum	ping Equip.	Pm	p Plt	\$905	
b	108	2145	AD-Pum	p Equip. Rcl	m.		\$15,007	
	108	2235	AD-Labo	oratory			\$51	
c	108	2275	AD-Reus	e Mtr Insta	lls		\$1,075	
	361	1353	PIS-Man	holes				\$707
	367	1530	PIS-Reus	e Mtr Instal	ls			\$956
	371	1380	PIS-Pum	oing Equip.	Pm	p Plt		\$6,518
d	371	1385	PIS-Pum	p Equip. Rcl	m. [.]	-		\$14,602
	394	1475	PIS-Labo					\$305
	· · ···	TBD	To be de	termined by	the	e Utility	\$5,304	
	AD M anhol es 1			\$707	с	AD Reuse M tr I	ns t retirement	\$956
4	AD M anhol es 1	recaptuer\$39)			AD Reuse M tr I	nst recapture	<u>\$119</u>
				\$746				\$1,075
ь.	AD Pmp Equip	Rolm retire	ment	\$4,796	d	PIS Pmp Equip	Rclm retirement	\$4,796
	AD Pmp Equip			\$9,806		PIS Pmp Equip		\$9,806
	AD Pmp Equip	Rc Im recap	ture	\$133				\$14,602
	AD Pmp Equip	Rc Im recap	ture	<u>\$272</u>				
				\$15,007				

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average wastewater PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$11,101 and \$10,058, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$851, for the test year.

Increase(Reduce)	PIS	AD	Dep. Expense
Manholes	(\$707)	(\$738)	(\$16)
Reuse Meter Installs	(\$956)	(\$1,052)	(\$48)
Pumping Equip. Rclm.	(\$2,615)	(\$7,503)	(\$405)
Pumping Equip. Pmp Plt	(\$6,518)	(\$724)	(\$362)
Laboratory	<u>(\$305)</u>	<u>(\$41)</u>	<u>(\$20)</u>
Net Average Adjustment	(\$11,101)	(\$10,058)	(\$851)

 $\left\{ \right\}$

31

Finding 8: Wastewater Plant in Service Retirement – Pumping Equipment

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to Account. No. 371-Pumping Equipment, we determined that there were no retirements recorded by the Utility. A retirement entry should have been booked when these assets were installed. The amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new pumping equipment additions, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to these retired pumps should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

				Per Utility	Debit(Credit)	Per Audit
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Additions	Adjustment(a)	Additions
371	1380	PIS-Pumping Equip.	2011	\$24,507	(\$18,380)	\$6,127
371	1380	PIS-Pumping Equip.	2012	\$34,556	(\$25,917)	\$8,639
371	1380	PIS-Pumping Equip.	2013	\$20,022	(\$15,017)	\$5,006
Plant in S	ervice	Retirement			(\$59,314)	
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement
108	2140	AD-Pumping Equip.	2011	\$0	\$18,380	\$18,380
108	2140	AD-Pumping Equip.	2012	\$0	\$25,917	\$25,917
108	2140	AD-Pumping Equip.	2013	\$0	\$15,017	\$15,017
Accumula	ted De	preciation Retirement			\$59,314	
Accumulat	ed Dep	reciation recapture			\$5,130	
Accumula	ted De	preciation Adjustment			\$64,443	
		PIS		Annual Dep	reciation Accrual	Recapture (c)
NARUC	OBJ	Retirement	2011	2012	2013	Total
371	1380	(18,380)	\$511	\$1,021	\$1,021	\$2,553
371	1380	(25,917)		\$720	\$1,440	\$2,160
371	1380	(15,017)			\$417	\$417
Accumula	ted De	preciation Adjustment	\$511	\$1,741	\$2,878	\$5,130
Depreciati	ion Exj	pense Adjustment			(\$2,878)	
a		tted as 75% of the cost of the new	w addition.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-1-4-d Demostation antinement a				

b Accumulated Depreciation retirement equals PIS retirement.

c Retirement amount times depreciation rate of 5.66% using half-year convention method. (Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	2140	AD-Pumping Equip.	\$64,443	
371	1380	PIS-Pumping Equip.		\$59,314
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility	· · · · · ·	\$5,129

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average wastewater PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$49,415 and \$55,496, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$2,878, for the test year.

Finding 9: Wastewater Plant in Service Retirement – T&D Equipment

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to Account. No. 380 Treatment and Disposal Equipment we found that a metal storage tank was replaced in 2012 at the Wekiva wastewater plant with a 5,000 gallon polyurethane tank because of corrosion problems. There was no corresponding retirement recorded by the Utility. A retirement entry should have been booked when the tank was replaced. The original cost of the old tank was not available. The amount of the retirement adjustment was calculated as 75 percent of the costs of the new polyurethane tank addition, based on prior Commission policy. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to this retirement should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

				Per Utility	Debit(Credit)	Per Audit	
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Additions	Adjustment(a)	Additions	
380	1400	PIS-T&D Equipment	2012	\$14,860	(\$11,145)	\$3,715	
Plant in Se	ervice]	Retirement	(\$11,145)				
NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Retirement	Adjustment(b)	Retirement	
380	2160	AD-T&D Equipment	2012	0	\$11,145	11,145	
Accumula	ted De	preciation Retirement			\$11,145		
Accumulat	ed Dep	reciation Recapture			<u>\$929</u>		
Accumula	Accumulated Depreciation Adjustment				\$12,074		
PIS				Annual Depreciation Accrual Recapture (c			
NARUC	OBJ	Retirement		2012	2013	Total	
380	1400	(11,145)		\$310	\$619	\$929	
Accumula	ted De	preciation Adjustment	\$310	\$619	\$929		
Depreciati	ipon Ex	kpense Adjustment			(\$619)		
a	Calcula	ited as 75% of the cost of the ne	w addition.				

b Accumulated Depreciation retirement equals PIS retirement.

c Retirement amount times depreciation rate of 5.66% using half-year convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit (Credit
108	2160	AD-T&D Equipment	\$12,074	
380	1400	PIS-T&D Equipment	\$	11,145
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$929

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average wastewater PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$11,145 and \$11,765, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$619, for the test year.

Finding 10: Wastewater Plant in Service Transfers – T&D Equipment

Audit Analysis: In 2009, Bio-Tech, Inc., a subsidiary of Utilities, Inc. purchased and installed five sludge sluice boxes for \$189,607 or \$37,921 each. Order No. PSC-13-0085-PAA-WS, determined that the Utility shared one of the sluice boxes with Longwood Utilities, Inc., an affiliated operation. The Utility was required to record \$18,960 to Account No. 380-Treatment and Disposal Equipment for the shared cost of one sluice box.

In 2013, the Utility replaced the sluice box with a sludge belt spreader-dryer and transferred the sluice box to an affiliated operation in Florida. We did not find a transfer entry recorded to the Utility's general ledger. The plant in service, accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense adjustment related to this transfer is detailed in the following schedule.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Per Utility Additions	Debit(Credit) Adjustment(a)	Per Audit Additions		
380	1400	PIS-T&D Equipment	2013	\$0	(\$18,960)	(\$18,960)		
Plant in S	ervice '	Transfer			(\$18,960)			
		PIS		Annual Dep	reciation Accrual R	Recapture (b)		
NARUC	OBJ	Transfer	2009	2010 to 2012	2013	Total		
380	1400	(18,960)	\$527	\$3,160	\$527	\$4,214		
Accumula	ted De	preciation Adjustment	\$527	\$3,160	\$527	\$4,214		
Depreciat	ion Exj	pense Adjustment			(\$527)			
a	Transfer entire cost of sluice box out of Account No. 380.							
b	Transfer amount times depreciation rate of 5.56% using half-year convention method.							

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

NARUC	OB J	Description	Debit	Credit
108	2160	AD-T&D Equipment	\$4,213	
145	2710	Acct. Receivable (Associated Company)	\$15,274	
380	1400	PIS-T&D Equipment		\$18,960
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility		\$527

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average wastewater PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$9,480 and \$3,950, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$527, for the test year.

٦.

Finding 11: Wastewater Plant in Service - Reimbursement

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of additions to Account No. 361-Wastewater Gravity Mains, we found an invoice for \$2,773 to repair a sewer main that was damaged by an outside contractor working in the Utility's service territory. The Utility stated that it was reimbursed for the repairs by the contractor at a later date. A reversing entry should have been booked when the reimbursement was received. The accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense related to the reimbursement should also be removed. The following schedule details our calculated adjustments.

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Year	Per Utility Additions	Debit(Credit) Adjustment(a)	Per Audit Additions
361	1350	PIS-Gravity Mains	2012	\$2,773	(\$2,773)	\$0
Plant in Serv	vice Adjus	stment	(\$2,773)			
		PIS	Annua	al Depreciation	Accrual Recaptur	·e (b)
NARUC	OBJ	Adjustment	2011	2012	2013	Total
361	1350	(2,773)	\$0	\$31	\$62	\$92
Accumulate	d Deprecia	ation Adjustment	\$31	\$62	\$92	
Depreciation	Expense	Adjustment			(\$62)	

a Remove entire cost of invoice.

b Adjustment amount times depreciation rate of 2.22% using half-year convention method.

(Small differences are due to rounding)

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

 NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
 108	2110	AD-Gravity Mains	\$92	
361	1350	PIS-Gravity Mains		\$2,773
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility	\$2,681	

Effect on the Filing: 13-month average wastewater PIS and Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$2,773 and \$62, respectively, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$62, for the test year.

Finding 12: Wastewater Plant in Service – Capital Project Addition

Audit Analysis: On May 15, 2012 the Utility entered into a cost share agreement with the St. Johns River Water Management District (SJRWMD) to construct a reuse transmission main interconnect (Project) with the City of Apopka (City) for the transfer of all treated reuse wastewater effluent from the Wekiva wastewater plant. The SJRWMD agreed to contribute 40 percent or up to \$1,468,000 towards the Utility's cost for the Project.

The Utility commenced construction of the project in November 2012. The final cost for the project was \$4,296,354, which was transferred to Account. No. 375–Reuse Transmission and Distribution System from Account. No. 105–Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) on October 2013. The SJRWMD contribution was capped at \$1,468,000 per the terms of the agreement. The Utility recorded the \$1,468,000 contribution from SJRWMD as CIAC to Account No. 271–Water Tap on the following dates.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Contribution</u>
05/31/13	\$649,721
09/30/13	\$521,469
11/30/13	<u>\$296,810</u>
	\$1,468,000

Rule 25-30.116, F.A.C. permits Utilities to accrue Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) for construction projects that involve gross additions to plant in service that exceed \$5,000 and are expected to be completed in excess of sixty days. However, Section 1(c) excludes projects that are reimbursable by another party. We interpret this to mean that the exclusion is limited to the amount of the reimbursement for a given project.

The Utility's approved AFUDC rate is 9.03 percent (0.751966% discounted monthly) as determined in Order No. PSC-04-0262-PAA-WS, issued March 8, 2004.

The Utility did not properly accrue the AFUDC for the project during the construction period from November 2012 through October 2013. The amount of CWIP closed out to Account. No. 375 should be reduced by \$37,293 to \$4,259,061. The corresponding accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense should be reduced by \$402 and \$67, respectively, for the test year, based on the following analysis and calculations.

- The Utility's computation of monthly AFUDC accruals used 1/12th of the 9.03 percent AFUDC rate rather than the 0.751966 percent discounted monthly rate per the Commission order cited above.
- The Utility computed monthly AFUDC accruals on the total month to date construction balance rather than the month to date balance net of SJRWMD contributions which is required per the Commission rule cited above.

;

Date/Month	Rate for	CWI	P	AFUD	C	CWIP &
Date/Month	AFUDC	Additions	Balance	Accruals	Balance	AFUDC
11/30/12	0.7519660%	\$60	\$60	\$0	\$0	\$60
12/31/12	0.7519660%	\$299	\$358	\$3	\$3	\$362
01/31/13	0.7519660%	\$512,916	\$513,274	\$3,860	\$3,863	\$517,137
02/28/13	0.7519660%	\$380,974	\$894,248	\$6,724	\$10,587	\$904,836
03/31/13	0.7519660%	\$769,994	\$1,664,242	\$12,515	\$23,102	\$1,687,344
04/30/13	0.7519660%	\$350,617	\$2,014,859	\$15,151	\$38,253	\$2,053,112
05/31/13	0.7519660%	(\$95,482)	\$1,919,377	\$14,433	\$52,686	\$1,972,063
06/30/13	0.7519660%	\$413,236	\$2,332,613	\$17,540	\$70,226	\$2,402,839
07/31/13	0.7519660%	\$359,901	\$2,692,514	\$20,247	\$90,473	\$2,782,987
08/31/13	0.7519660%	\$97,978	\$2,790,491	\$20,984	\$111,457	\$2,901,948
09/30/13	0.7519660%	\$91,534	\$2,882,025	\$21,672	\$133,129	\$3,015,153
10/31/13	0.7519660%	\$50,665	\$2,932,690	\$22,053	\$155,181	\$3,087,871
11/30/13		(\$296,810)	\$2,635,880	\$0	\$155,181	\$2,791,061
Date/Month	Additions	Reimbursement	Net Addition	Total CW	Total CW IP Transfer	
05/31/13	\$554,239	(\$649,721)	(\$95,482)	Rei	mbursement	<u>\$1,468,000</u>
09/30/13	\$613,003	(\$521,469)	\$91,534	Addition	Additions per Audit	
11/30/13	\$0	<u>(\$296,810)</u>	(\$296,810)	Addition	s per Utility	<u>\$4,296,354</u>

D-4- (Man 4h	Amort.	PIS Acct. 375 (0)BJ 1540)	AD Acct. 108 of PIS	(OBJ 2285)	
Date/Month	Rate	Additions	Balance	Accruals	Balance	
09/30/13		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
10/31/13	2.326%	\$4,296,354	\$4,296,354	(\$8,411)	(\$8,411)	
11/30/13	2.326%	\$0	\$4,296,354	(\$8,411)	(\$16,822)	
12/31/13	2.326%	\$0	\$4,296,354	(\$8,342)	(\$25,164)	
Per	Year End		\$4,296,354		(\$25,164)	
Utility	13-Month A	Average	\$991,466		(\$3,877)	
Data /Marsth	Amort.	PIS Acct. 375 (0	DBJ 1540)	AD Acct. 108 of PIS (OBJ 2285		
Date/Month	Rate	Additions	Balance	Accruals	Balance	
09/30/13		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
10/31/13	2.326%	\$4,259,061	\$4,259,061	(\$8,254)	(\$8,254)	
11/30/13	2.326%	\$0	\$4,259,061	(\$8,254)	(\$16,508)	
12/31/13	2.326%	\$0	\$4,259,061	(\$8,254)	(\$24,762)	
Per	Year-End		\$4,259,061		(\$24,762)	
Audit	13-Month A	Average	\$982,860		(\$3,810)	
A dim a true c = t	Year-End		(\$37,293)		\$402	
Adjustment	13-Month A	Average	(\$8,606)		\$67	

Audit Adjustment (\$37,293)

(\$1,468,000)

Depreciation Expense adjustment for Year End and Average is \$8,354 - \$8,254 = \$88

The Utility did not record the reimbursement from SJRWMD to the proper CIAC account, accumulated amortization of CIAC account or CIAC amortization expense account. The \$1,468,000 contribution should be transferred from water CIAC to wastewater CIAC and amortized at the same rate as the corresponding asset in Account No. 375 over 43 years. The

corresponding amortization accrual and expense amounts should be reduced by \$7,960 and \$229, respectively, for the test year, based on the following audit staff determinations and calculations.

- The CIAC balance is correct. However, the Utility posted it to Account. No. 271 (OBJ 3435), which is water CIAC account that is used to record contributions for water tap fees. The contributions from SJRWMD for the reuse project should have been recorded to a wastewater CIAC account such as Reuse Transmission and Distribution Systems, similar to Account. No. 375 where the corresponding asset balance is recorded.
- The accounts used to record the accumulated amortization of CIAC and amortization expense are incorrect as well for the same reasons as stated above.
- The calculation of the annual CIAC amortization accruals is incorrect because the rate used by the Utility for CIAC water tap is 2.50 percent, which is where the contributions are recorded. The correct rate is 2.326 percent, which is the depreciation rate for Account. No. 375 the corresponding asset account.

Date/Month	Amort.	CIAC Acet. 271 (OBJ 3435)	AA of CIAC Acct. 272	(OBJ 3980)
Date/Month	Rate	Additions	Balance	Accruals	Balance
04/30/13		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
05/31/13	2.500%	(\$649,721)	(\$649,721)	\$1,354	\$1,354
06/30/13	2.500%	\$0	(\$649,721)	\$1,356	\$2,709
07/31/13	2.500%	\$0	(\$649,721)	\$1,357	\$4,067
08/31/13	2.500%	\$0	(\$649,721)	\$1,357	\$5,424
09/30/13	2.500%	(\$521,469)	(\$1,171,190)	\$2,449	\$7,873
10/31/13	2.500%	\$0	(\$1,171,190)	\$2,453	\$10,326
11/30/13	2.500%	(\$296,810)	(\$1,468,000)	\$3,074	\$13,400
12/31/13	2.500%	\$0	(\$1,468,000)	\$3,074	\$16,475
Per	Year-End		(\$1,468,000)		\$16,475
Utility	13-Month A	verage	(\$605,943)		\$4,741
Dete	Amort.	CIAC Acct. 271 (OBJ TBD)	AA of CIAC Acct. 272	(OBJ TBD)
Date/Month	Rate	Additions	Balance	Accruais	Balance
09/30/13		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10/31/13	2.326%	(\$1,171,190)	(\$1,171,190)	\$2,270	\$2,270
11/30/13	2.326%	(\$296,810)	(\$1,468,000)	\$2,845	\$5,115
12/31/13	2.326%	\$0	(\$1,468,000)	\$2,845	\$7,960
Per	Year-End		(\$1,468,000)		\$7,960
Audit	13-Month A	verage	(\$315,938)		\$1,180

Amortization Expense adjustment for Year End and Average is \$3,074 - \$2,845 = \$229

NARUC	OBJ	Description	Debit	Credit
108	2285	AD-Reuse T&D System	\$402	
271	3435	CIAC-Water Tap Fees	\$1,468,000	
271	TBD	CIAC Reuse T&D System		\$1,468,000
272	3980	AA of CIAC-Water Tap Fees		\$16,475
272	TBD	AA of CIAC-Reuse T&D System	\$7,960	
375	1540	PIS-Reuse T& D System		\$37,293
	TBD	To be determined by the Utility	\$45,406	

Effect on the General Ledger: The following entry should be made to correct the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The following adjustments should be made to correct the filing.

- 13-month average wastewater plant in service and accumulated depreciation should be reduced by \$8,606 and \$67, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and depreciation expense should be reduced by \$67 for the test year.
- 13-month average water CIAC and accumulated amortization of CIAC should be reduced by \$605,943 and \$4,741, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and amortization expense should be reduced by \$3,074 for the test year.
- 13-month average wastewater CIAC and accumulated amortization of CIAC should be increased by \$315,938 and \$1,180, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and amortization expense should be increased by \$2,845 for the test year.

39

 \cdot \cdot \cdot

·.

 $\cdot \mathbf{N}$

Finding 13: Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction CIAC

Audit Analysis: The Utility posted the following amounts to CIAC, Accumulated Amortization of CIAC and CIAC Amortization Expense to the general ledger in 2013.

			Bal an ce		Utility		Bal an ce
Acct.	O BJ	Elec. Pump. Equip.	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
271W	3315	CIAC	(\$939 ,269)	\$0	\$0	\$939,269	\$0
272W	3860	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$1,014 ,212	\$15,654	(\$90, 598)	(\$939,269)	(\$0)
408W	7045	Amort. Expense	\$0	(\$15,654)	\$90, 598	\$0	\$74,9 43
Acct.	O BJ	Meters	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
271W	3350	CIAC	(\$882 ,030)	\$0	\$0	\$871,248	(\$10,7 83)
272W	3895	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$977, 975	\$15,060	(\$100, 740)	(\$871,248)	\$21,0 47
408W	7080	A mort. Expense	\$0	(\$15,060)	\$100, 740	\$0	\$85,6 80
Acct.	O BJ	Meter Install.	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
271W	3355	CIAC	(\$31,230)	\$0	\$0	\$31,230	\$0
272W	3900	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$35,026	\$520	(\$3,614)	(\$31,230)	\$703
408W	7085	A mort. Expense	\$0	(\$520)	\$3,614	\$0	\$3,0 94
Acct.	O BJ	T&D Equip.	Dec-12	Acti vity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
2715	3605	CIAC	(\$513 ,950)	\$0	\$0	\$513,950	\$0
272S	4155	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$706,729	\$9,518	(\$126, 814)	(\$513,950)	\$75,4 83
408S	7330	A mort. Expense	\$0	(\$9,518)	\$126, 814	\$0	\$117,2 96
Acct.	O BJ	Outfall Lines	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
271S	3625	CIAC	(\$507 ,092)	\$0	\$0	\$507,092	\$0
272S	4175	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$518,060	\$5,634	(\$5, 984)	(\$507,092)	\$10,6 17
408S	7350	A mort. Expense	\$0	(\$5,634)	\$5,984	\$0	\$3 50
Acct.	O BJ	WW Plt Mod Fee	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
2715	3720	CIAC	\$10,669	\$0	\$0	(\$21,337)	(\$10,6 69)
272S	4280	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$769	\$445	\$0	\$2,584	\$3,7 97
408S	7445	A mort. Expense	\$0	(\$445)	\$0	\$18,753	\$18,3 09
Acct.	OBJ	Account	Dec-12	Activity	Adjust.	Retirement	Dec-13
271W		CIAC - W ater	(\$1,852,529)	\$0	\$0	\$1,841,746	(\$10,7 83)
272W		A/Amort Water	\$2,027 ,213	\$31,235	(\$194, 951)	(\$1,841,746)	\$21,7 50
271S		CIAC - W /Water	(\$1,010 ,374)	\$0	\$0	\$999,705	(\$10,6 69)
2728		A/Amort W/Water	\$1,225,557	\$15,597	(\$132, 798)	(\$999,705)	\$89,8 97
408W		Amort. Exp Water					\$163,7 17
408S		Amort. Exp W/Wate	r				\$135,9 55

The Utility's reason for the adjustments were to correct Accumulated Amortization of CIAC account balances that exceeded the corresponding balance in the respective CIAC account and to retire both accounts from the Utility's general ledger.

Our analysis of the Utility's journal adjustments indicate that the entries did not have the intended effect of zeroing out and retiring the respective account groups because of calculation and posting errors. Additionally, two of the account groups, Meters and Wastewater Plant

Modification Fee have residual balances that are incorrectly stated because of the Utility's adjustments. Our adjustments to correct the account balances are displayed below.

Acct.	O BJ	Elec. Pump. Equip.	Bal an ce Dec-13	Audit Adjust.	Bal an ce Dec-13	Filing Adj. Dec-13
271W	3315	CIA C	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$361,257
272W	3860	A/Amort. Of CIA C	(\$0)	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	(\$39 3,092)
408W	7045	Amort. Expense	\$74,9 43	(\$74,94 3)	\$0 \$0	(\$74,943)
Acct.	O BJ	Meters	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271W	3350	CIAC	(\$10,78 3)	\$0	(\$10,782.6 5)	\$33 5,095
272W	3895	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$21,0 47	(\$19,97 5)	\$1,072.2 6	(\$39 1,021)
408W	7080	A mort. Expense	\$85,6 80	(\$86,21 9)	(\$539.1 3)	(\$86,219)
Acct.	O BJ	Meter Install.	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271W	3355	CIAC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,011
272W	3900	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$703	(\$703)	\$0	(\$14,004)
408W	7085	Amort. Expense	\$3,09 4	(\$3,09 4)	\$0	(\$3,094)
Acct.	O BJ	T&D Equip.	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271S	3605	CIAC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$197,673
272S	4155	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$75,4 83	(\$75,48 3)	\$0	(\$32 0,100)
408S	7330	A mort. Expense	\$117,2 96	(\$117,2 96)	\$0	(\$11 7,296)
Acct.	O BJ	Outfall Lines	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271S	3625	CIAC	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$195,036
272S	4175	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$10,6 17	(\$10,61 7)	\$0	(\$206,871)
408S	7350	A mort. Expense	\$350	(\$35 0)	\$0	(\$350)
Acct.	O BJ	WW Plt Mod Fee	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271S	3720	CIAC	(\$10,66 9)	\$0	(\$10,668.5 0)	(\$8,207
272S	4280	A/Amort. Of CIA C	\$3,797	(\$2,58 4)	\$1,213.3 6	(\$1,738
408S	7445	A mort. Expense	\$18,3 09	(\$18,75 3)	(\$444.5 6)	(\$18,753
Acct.	OBJ	Account Description	Dec-13	Adjust.	Dec-13	Dec-13
271W		CIA C - W ater	(\$10,78 3)	\$0	(\$10,78 3)	\$70 8,364
272W		A/Amort Water	\$21,7 50	(\$20,67 8)	\$1,072	(\$79 8,117)
271S		CIA C - W /Water	(\$10,66 9)	\$0	(\$10,66 9)	\$384,502
272S		A/Amort W/Water	\$89,8 97	(\$88,68 4)	\$1,213	(\$52 8,709)
408W		Amort Water	\$163,7 17	(\$164,2 56)	(\$53 9)	(\$16 4,256
408S		Amort W/Water	\$135,9 55	(\$136,4 00)	(\$44 5)	(\$13 6,400

The Utility's reason for the adjustment was to retire specific CIAC and Accumulated Amortization accounts. There was no corresponding plant in service accounts retired which would be expected. The analyst should determine whether this action is needed. Regardless, the adjustments to remove excess amortization amounts from the Accumulated Amortization of CIAC account balances should be posted.

Effect on the General Ledger: If the Utility's actions to retire and adjust CIAC are accepted by Commission staff then the following summary entries need to be posted to correct the general ledger.

• Reduce (Credit) water and wastewater Accumulated Amortization of CIAC by \$20,678 and \$88,684, respectively as of December 31, 2013.

ъ.

• Increase (Credit) water and wastewater CIAC Amortization expense by \$164,256 and \$136,400, respectively, for the test year.

If the Utility's actions to retire CIAC are rejected by Commission staff the following summary entries need to be posted to correct the general ledger.

- Increase (Credit) water and wastewater CIAC by \$1,841,746 and \$1,021,679, respectively as of December 31, 2013.
- Increase (Debit) water and wastewater Accumulated Amortization of CIAC by \$1,821,069 and \$932,995, respectively as of December 31, 2013.
- Increase (Credit) water and wastewater CIAC Amortization expense by \$164,256 and \$136,400, respectively, for the test year.

Effect on the Filing: If the Utility's actions to retire and adjust CIAC are accepted by Commission staff then the following summary entries need to be posted to correct the filing. Our calculations follow in Table 13-1.

- Reduce (Debit) 13-month average water and wastewater CIAC by \$708,364 and \$384,502, respectively as of December 31, 2013.
- Reduce (Credit) 13-month average water and wastewater Accumulated Amortization of CIAC by \$798,118 and 528,709, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.
- Increase (Credit) test year water and wastewater CIAC Amortization expense by \$164,256 and \$136,400, respectively, for the test year.

If the Utility's actions to retire CIAC are rejected by Commission staff the following summary entries need to be posted to correct the filing. . Our calculations follow in Table 13-2.

- Increase (Credit) 13-month average water and wastewater CIAC by \$1,127,992 and \$637,177, respectively as of December 31, 2013.
- Increase (Debit) 13-month average water and wastewater Accumulated Amortization of CIAC by \$1,043,630 and \$493,132, respectively as of December 31, 2013.
- Increase (Credit) water and wastewater CIAC Amortization expense by \$164,256 and \$136,400, respectively, for the test year.

Our calculations follow.

OBJ	DESCRIPTION -	PER UTILITY	PER UTILITY DEC 2013		MENT	PER AUDIT DEC 2013	
OBJ		G/L	Filing	G/L	Filing	G/L	Filing
3315	CIA C-ELEC PUMP EQP SRC PUMP	\$0	(\$361,257)	\$0	\$361,257	\$0	\$0
3350	CIA C-METERS	(\$10,783)	(\$345,878)	\$0	\$335,095	(\$10,783)	(\$10,783)
3355	CIA C-METER INSTA LLS	\$ <u>0</u>	(<u>\$12,011</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>12,011</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
	WA TER-CIAC	(\$10,783)	(\$719,147)	\$0	\$708,364	(\$10,783)	(\$10,783)
3605	CIA C-TREA T/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$0	(\$197,673)	\$0	\$197,673	\$0	\$0
3625	CIA C-OUTFALL LINES	\$0	(\$195,036)	\$0	\$195,036	\$0	\$0
3720	CIAC-SWR PLT MOD FEE	(<u>\$10,669</u>)	(<u>\$2,462</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	(<u>\$8,207</u>)	(<u>\$10,669</u>)	(<u>\$10,669</u>)
	WA STEWA TER-CIAC	(\$10,669)	(\$395,171)	\$0	\$384,502	(\$10,669)	(\$10,669)
3860	ACC AMORT ELEC PUMP EQP SRC	\$0	\$393,092	\$0	(\$393,092)	\$0	\$0
3895	ACC AMORT METERS	\$21,047	\$391,826	(\$19,975)	(\$391,022)	\$1,072	\$804
3900	ACC AMORT METER INSTALLS	\$ <u>703</u>	\$ <u>14,004</u>	(<u>\$703</u>)	(<u>\$14,004</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
	WA TER-ACC/CIAC	\$21,750	\$798,923	(\$20,678)	(\$798,118)	\$1,072	\$804
4155	ACC AMORT TREAT/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$75,483	\$320,100	(\$75,483)	(\$320,100)	\$0	\$0
4175	ACC AMORT OUTFALL LINES	\$10,617	\$206,871	(\$10,617)	(\$206,871)	\$0	\$0
4280	ACC AMORT SWR PLT MOD FEE-NC	\$ <u>3,797</u>	\$ <u>2,567</u>	(<u>\$2,584</u>)	(<u>\$1,738</u>)	\$ <u>1,213</u>	\$ <u>829</u>
	WA STEWA TER-ACC/CIAC	\$89,897	\$529,538	(\$88,684)	(\$528,709)	\$1,213	\$829
7045	A M ORT-ELEC PUMP EQP SRC PUMP	\$74,943	\$74,943(\$74,943)	(\$74,943)	\$0	\$0
7080	AMORT-METERS	\$85,680	\$85,680(\$86,219)	(\$86,219)	(\$539)	(\$539)
7085	A MORT-METER INSTA LLS	\$ <u>3,094</u>	\$ <u>3,094</u>	(<u>\$3,094</u>)	(<u>\$3,094</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
	WA TER AMORT. EXPENSE	\$163,716	\$163,716	(\$164,256)	(\$164,256)	(\$539)	(\$539)
7330	AMORT-TREA T/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$117,296	\$117,296	(\$117,296)	(\$117,296)	\$0	\$0
7350	AMORT-OUTFALL LINES	\$350	\$350	(\$350)	(\$350)	\$0	\$0
7445	AMORT-SWR PLT MOD FEE	\$ <u>18,309</u>	\$ <u>18,309(</u>	<u>\$18,753</u>)	(<u>\$18,753</u>)	(<u>\$445</u>)	(<u>\$445</u>)
	WA STEWA TER AMORT. EXPENSE	\$135,955	\$135,955	(\$136,400)	(\$136,400)	(\$445)	(\$445)

.

Table 13-1 Calculations if Utility Retirements and Adjustments are Accepted

.

овј	DESCRIPTION	PER UTILITY	DEC 2013	ADJUS1	IMENT	PER AUDIT	DEC 2013
OBJ	DESCRIPTION	G/L	Filing	G/L	Filing	G/L	Filing
3315	CIA C-ELEC PUMP EQP SRC PUMP	\$0	(\$361,257)	(\$939,269)	(\$578,012)	(\$939,269)	(\$939,269)
3350	CIA C-METERS	(\$10,783)	(\$345,878)	(\$871,247)	(\$530,762)	(\$882,030)	(\$876,640)
3355	CIA C-METER INSTA LLS	\$ <u>0</u>	(<u>\$12,011</u>)	(<u>\$31,230</u>)	(<u>\$19,219</u>)	(<u>\$31,230</u>)	(<u>\$31,230</u>)
	WA TER-CIAC	(\$10,783)	(\$719,147)	(\$1,841,746)	(\$1,127,992)	(\$1,852,529)	(\$1,847,139)
3605	CIA C-TREA T/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$0	(\$197,673)	(\$513,950)	(\$316,277)	(\$513,950)	(\$513,950)
3625	CIAC-OUTFALL LINES	\$0	(\$195,036)	(\$507,729)	(\$312,693)	(\$507,729)	(\$507,729)
3720	CIAC-SWR PLT MOD FEE	(<u>\$10,669</u>)	(<u>\$2,462</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	(<u>\$8,207</u>)	(<u>\$10,669</u>)	(<u>\$10,669</u>)
	WA STEWA TER-CIAC	(\$10,669)	(\$395,171)	(\$1,021,679)	(\$637,177)	(\$1,032,348)	(\$1,032,348)
3860	ACC AMORT ELEC PUMP EQP SRC	\$0	\$393,092	\$939,269	\$546,177	\$939,269	\$939,269
3895	ACC AMORT METERS	\$21,047	\$391,826	\$851,273	\$480,227	\$872,320	\$872,054
3900	ACC AMORT METER INSTALLS	\$ <u>703</u>	\$ <u>14,004</u>	\$ <u>30,527</u>	\$ <u>17,226</u>	\$ <u>31,230</u>	\$ <u>31,230</u>
	WA TER-ACC/CIAC	\$21,750	\$798,923	\$1,821,069	\$1,043,630	\$1,842,819	\$1,842,553
4155	ACC AMORT TREAT/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$75,483	\$320,100	\$438,467	\$193,850	\$513,950	\$513,950
4175	ACC AMORT OUTFALL LINES	\$10,617	\$206,871	\$497,112	\$300,858	\$507,729	\$507,729
4280	ACC AMORT SWR PLT MOD FEE-NC	\$ <u>3,797</u>	\$ <u>2,567</u>	(<u>\$2,584</u>)	(<u>\$1,576</u>)	\$ <u>1,213</u>	\$ <u>991</u>
	WASTEWATER-ACC/CIAC	\$89,897	\$529,538	\$932,995	\$493,132	\$1,022,892	\$1,022,670
7045	A M ORT-ELEC PUMP EQP SRC PUMP	\$74,943	\$74,943(\$74,943)	(\$74,943)	\$0	\$0
7080	A M ORT-METERS	\$85,680	\$85,680(\$86,219)	(\$86,219)	(\$539)	(\$539)
7085	AMORT-METER INSTALLS	\$ <u>3,094</u>	\$ <u>3,094</u>	(<u>\$3,094</u>)	(<u>\$3,094</u>)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
	WA TER AMORT. EXPENSE	\$163,716	\$163,716	(\$164,256)	(\$164,256)	(\$539)	(\$539)
7330	AMORT-TREAT/DISP EQUIP TRT PLT	\$117,296	\$117,296	(\$117,296)	(\$117,296)	\$0	\$0
7350	A M ORT-OUTF A LL LINES	\$350	\$350	(\$350)	(\$350)	\$0	\$0
7445	AMORT-SWR PLT MOD FEE	\$ <u>18,309</u>	\$ <u>18,309(</u>	<u>\$18,753</u>)	(<u>\$18,753</u>)	(<u>\$445</u>)	(<u>\$445</u>)
	WA STEWA TER AMORT. EXPENSE	\$135,955	\$135,955	(\$136,400)	(\$136,400)	(\$445)	(\$445)

Table 13-2 Calculations if Utility CIAC Retirements are Rejected

Per audit balance includes a corrected adjustment to remove the excess Accumulated Amortization of CIAC balance from select accounts.

Finding 14: Working Capital

Audit Analysis: The Utility's filing includes the following working capital balances for the test year 2013.

Average Test Year	Water	Wastewater	Total
Current and Accrued Assets			
Cash	\$0	\$0	\$0
Account Receivable	\$456,486	\$435,480	\$891,966
Materials and Supplies	\$27,515	\$32,584	\$60,099
Misc. Current and Accrued Assets	\$2,417	\$1,907	\$4,324
Deferred Rate Case Expense	\$95,203	\$75,122	\$170,325
Current and Accrued Liabilities			
Accounts Payable	(\$123,929)	(\$97,789)	(\$221,718)
Customer Deposits	(\$27,695)	(\$21,854)	(\$49,549)
Accrued Taxes	(\$260,296)	(\$205,392)	(\$465,688)
Accrued Interest	(\$3,812)	(\$3,008)	(\$6,820)
Misc. Current and Accrued Liabilities	(\$1,869)	(\$1,475)	(\$3,344)
Working Capital	\$164,019	\$215,575	\$379,594

Customer deposits are a component of the Utility's capital structure and should not be included in working capital for the filing. The Utility properly included customer deposits in its capital structure for the filing.

Effect on the General Ledger: None

Effect on the Filing: The 13-month average water and wastewater working capital balance should be increased by \$27,695 and 21,854, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

Finding 15: Operations and Maintenance Expense – Sludge Hauling

Audit Analysis: The Utility's filing includes \$148,359 for sludge hauling for the test year 2013.

Included in this amount was an invoice from January 2013 for \$475. Finding 2 transferred and included this amount as demolition cost to clean out the residual sludge at the Woodlands Des Pinar wastewater plant prior to demolition.

The Utility's contract vendor increased its fee for hauling sludge from \$475 to \$625 per load effective September 2013.

Finding 7 provides information on a new sludge belt spreader-dryer that was placed in service in October 3013.

We performed an analysis of the Utility's sludge hauling expense for the period January 2013 through June 2014 to quantify and estimate the impact of the two events discussed above on the test year 2013. Our analysis, calculations and recommended adjustment follows.

- 1. The Utility hauled 293 loads costing approximately \$147,884 in 2013.
- 2. The annualized cost to haul the same 293 loads at the current contract rate of \$625 per load is \$183,125.
- 3. The Utility hauled 133 loads costing approximately \$63,175 for the eight month period November 2013 through June 2014, after the new sludge belt spreader-dryer was placed in service.
- 4. The new sludge belt spreader-dryer has reduced the loads of sludge hauled per month. We estimate that the Utility will require 200 sludge hauling trips annually costing approximately \$124,688.
- 5. Total sludge hauling expense should be reduced by \$23,197 for the filing to account for the change in the contract rate and the effect of the new sludge belt spreader-dryer that reduced the number of loads to be hauled.

ъO

					\$625/trip
OBJ	Description	Month	Actual	Loads	Annualized
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Jan-13	\$17,100	36.00	\$22,500
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Feb-13	\$10,450	22.00	\$13,750
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Mar-13	\$16,150	34.00	\$21,250
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Apr-13	\$15,834	33.00	\$20,625
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	May-13	\$16,150	34.00	\$21,250
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Jun-13	\$11,400	24.00	\$15,000
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Jul-13	\$15,200	32.00	\$20,000
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Aug-13	\$8,075	17.00	\$10,625
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Sep-13	\$10,500	18.00	\$11,250
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Oct-13	\$6,875	11.00	\$6,875
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Nov-13	\$7,500	12.00	\$7,500
6410	Shelley's Septic Tanks, Inc.	Dec-13	\$13,125	21.00	\$13,125
	Remove invoice for Woodland De	es Pinar	(\$475)	(1.00)	(\$625)
Α	To tal Year 2013	A = Sum of above	\$147,884	293.00	\$183,125
В	Average per Month	B = A divided by 12 months	\$12,324	24.42	\$15,260
С	To tal for Nov-13 to Jun-14	C = 133 times \$475 or \$625	\$63,175	133.00	\$83,125
D	Average per Month	D=C divided by 8 months	\$7,897	16.63	\$10,391
Ε	Average per Month Adjustment	$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B}$ less \mathbf{D}	\$4,427	7.79	\$4,870
F	To tal Adjustment for 2013	F= E times 12 months	\$53,122	93.50	\$58,438
G	To tal Adjusted 2013	G = A less F	\$94,763	199.50	\$124,688
Н	Annualized and reduced sludge hauling cost adjustment.	H = G (annualized) less A (act	ual)		(\$23,197)

Effect on the General Ledger: None

5.3

Effect on the Filing: Sludge hauling expense should be reduced by \$23,197 to \$124,688 for the test year.

Finding 16: Operations and Maintenance Expense – Purchased Power

Audit Analysis: The Utility's filing includes \$462,147 of purchased power expense for wastewater operations in the 2013. Included in this amount was \$7,220 of charges to a power meter that was associated with the Woodlands Des Pinar wastewater plant which was decommissioned and taken off line in 2012. The Utility states that the power meter still serves the Des Pinar field office and maintenance shops that support the remaining water plant and potable wells.

Our analysis of the electric power bills for this account indicates that the monthly billed amount has dropped significantly as would be expected when the wastewater plant was removed from service. However, the \$7,220 amount includes a power bill for \$2,658 for the month of December 2012, which is outside the test year for this proceeding. Therefore, we have removed this amount and added in the actual December 2013 power bill for \$457.

Description	Wastewater	Adjustment	Water
Per Utility	\$7,220		\$0
Remove Dec 2012 bill		(\$2,658)	
Add Dec 2013 bill		\$457	
Per Audit	\$0		\$5,020
Audit Adjustment	(\$7,220)	(\$2,200)	\$5,020
(Sma	all differences are due to rounding		

Effect on the General Ledger: None

Effect on the Filing: Increase water O&M expense by \$5,020 and reduce wastewater O&M expense by \$7,220 for the test year.

13

 \cdot

48

Finding 17: Operations and Maintenance Expense – Engineering Fees

Audit Analysis: As a result of our sample of invoiced additions to Utility Account. No. 731-Engineering Fees, we discovered two invoices totaling \$12,945 from CPH Inc. for design and engineering services that support Capital Project No. 2014049. This capital project is for the Wekiva Surge Tank expansion and included as a proforma adjustment to wastewater rate base in the filing.

The \$12,945 should be removed from Account. No. 731 and transferred to the Wekiva surge tank expansion project.

Effect on the General Ledger: None

Effect on the Filing: Reduce wastewater O&M expense and increase the proforma adjustment to wastewater rate base in the filing by \$12,945

Finding 18: Taxes Other than Income - Regulatory Assessment Fees

Audit Analysis: The Utility's filing reflects adjusted water and wastewater regulatory assessment fees (RAFs) of \$181,494 and \$175,850, respectively, for the test year. These amounts represent the actual payments made by the Utility based on June to December 2012 and January to June 2103 revenues and an adjustment to annualize 2013 RAFs for the period. The utility stated that the RAF adjustment was to adjust the difference between the amount accrued and the actual amount that was paid.

We compared the Utility's RAF amounts to our calculated RAF's based on actual 2013 revenues. The Utility's water RAF is understated by \$1,927 and the wastewater RAF is overstated by \$869. Our calculations are detailed below.

OBJ NARUC Descript		Description		Water	Wastewater
7535	408	Regulatory Assessment Fee (J	ul-Dec 2012)	\$91,684	\$88,698
7535	408	Regulatory Assessment Fee (J	an-Jun 2013)	\$90,380	\$87,601
7535	408	Regulatory Assessment Fee(J	E Adjustment)	<u>(\$570)</u>	<u>(\$449)</u>
Per Utility	y G/L and F	iling		\$181,494	\$175,850
Per Audi	t		· · · · ·	<u>\$183,421</u>	<u>\$174,981</u>
Audit Ad	justment			\$1,927	(\$869)
Per Audi	t Calculatio	n:	Revenues	Rate	RAF
Water Re	evenues Jar	-Dec 2013	\$4,076,016	4.50%	\$183,421
Wastewa	ter Revenu	es Jan-Dec 2013	\$3,888,457	4.50%	\$174,981

Effect on the General Ledger: None

Effect on the Filing: Increase water and decrease wastewater RAFs by \$1,927 and \$869, respectively, for the test year.

50

1.)

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Rate Base-Water

Schedule of Water Rate Base

Company: Sanlando Utilities Corp. Docket No.: 140060-WS Schedule Year Ended: 12/31/2013 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected []

· .

Rorida Public Service Commission

Schedule : A-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Darrien Pitts

Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held For Future Use.

	(1)	A ve	(2) rage Amount		(3) A-3			(4) Adjusted	(5)
Line			Per		Utility			Utility	Supporting
No.	Description		Boo ks		Adjust ments			Bala nce	Schedule (s)
1	Utility Plant inService	Ŝ	26,039,977	Ŝ	(1,497,684)	(A)	Ŝ	24,54 2,29 3	A-3, A-5
Z									
3	Utility Land & Land Rights		97,286		(18)	(A)		97,268	A-3, A-5
4									
5	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant							-	A-7
6									
7	Construction Work in Progress		174,744		(174,744)	(B)		•	A- 3
8									
9	Less: Accumulated De preciation		(15,022,215)		1,146,809	(C)		(13,875,406)	A-3, A-9
10									
11	Less: CIAC		(11,147,950)		(463)	(D)		(11,148,413)	A-3, A-1 Z
12									
13	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		8,755,443					8,755,443	A-3, A-14
14									
15	Acquisition Adjustments								•
16 17	terms the terms of terms and interports								
	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments								•
18 19	Advances For Construction								A-3, A-1 6
19 20	Autorica for Constitution								л· э, л·1 0
21	Working Capital Allowance				164,019	(F)		164,019	A-3, A-17
21 22	WORKING OF MELLE				1,04,015	(e)		104/019	M- J, M-17
23	Total Rate Base	\$	8,897,285		(362,081)		\$	8,535,204	

Exhibit 2: Rate Base-Wastewater

Schedule of Wastewater Rate Base

Company: Sanlando Utilities Corp. Doclet No.: 140060-WS Sched ule Year Ended: 12/31/2013 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule : A-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Darrien Pitts

Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held For Future Use.

	(1)		(2)	(3)			(4) 4 diamata d	(5)
• !		A 08	rage Amount Per	A-3 Utility			Adjusted	
Line	•			•			Utility	Supporting
No.	Description	•	Books	Adjustments			Balance	Schedule(s)
1	Utility Plant inService	Ś	27,282,234 S	4,818,824	(A)	ŝ	32,101,058	A- 3, A-6
Z								
3	Utility Land & Land Rights		203,894	(14) (A)		203,880	A-3, A-6
4								
5	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant		•	·			•	A-7
6				<i>•</i>				
7	Construction Work in Progress		1,792,058	(1,792,058) (B)		•	A-3
8			<u> </u>	<i>4</i>			·	
9	Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(15 ,335 ,54 2)	(948,640			(16,784,187)	A-3, A-10
10			(<i>•</i>			* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11	Less: CIAC		(11,976,178)	(42.) (D)		(11 ,97 6,598)	A-3, A-12
12								
13	Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		10,603,129				10,603,129	A-3, A-14
14								
15	Acquisition Adjustments							•
16								
17	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments							-
18								
19	Advances For Construction							A-3, A-16
20					4			
21	Working Capital Allowance		•	215,575	_ (E)	. <u> </u>	215,575	A-3, A-17
22	.	•						
23	Total Rate Base		12,569,595 \$	2,293,268	_	\$	14,862,863	

Exhibit 3: Capital Structure

Schedule of Requested Cost of Capital Forida Aublic Service Commission 13 Month Average Bolance

 Company: Santando Utilities Corp.
 Schedule D-1

 Docket No.: 140060-WS
 Page 1 of 1

 Test Year Ended: 12/51/2013
 Interim [] Kinat [k]

 Interim [] Kinat [k]
 Preparer: Derrien Pitts

Exploration: Provide a schedule which calculates the expected cost of capital on a 13-month average lasis. If a yearend lasis is used, su bruitan additional schedule reflecting yearend calculations.

	(1)	(2) Reconciled to	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Requested Rate Base			
Line No.	t stiq sD to assi D	AYE 12/31/13	Ratio	Cost Retz	Weighted Cost
1	Long Term De bt	\$11, 107, 147	47 AG %	6 64%	8.15
2	Short Term Delat	374,442	2 A6 %	2 82%	0.07
3	Preterred Stock	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
4	Common liquity	10,459,632	44.27%	10.33%	4.73)
,	Customer Deposits	49, 548	0.21%	6.00%	0.01
6	The Credits - Zero Cost	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
7	The Credits - Weighted Cost	•	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
2	Accumulated Defended I noo me Tax	1, 169, 279	3.00%	0.00%	0.00
9	Otter (Expini)	•	0.00%	0.00%	0.00
10				-	
11	Total	\$23,398,067	100.00%		7 96

Note: The cost of equity is based on the leverage formula in effect pursuantto O mer No. PSC-11-0287-PAA-WS

Note: Long term debt s hort term debt, prefered stock, and common equity are actual to rSantando's parent company. Utilities, Inc.

Supporting Schedules : D-3 Rece p Schedules : A-1, A-2

Exhibit 4: Net Operating Income-Water

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Company: Sanlando Utilities Corp. Dockat No.: 1400604WS Test Year Ended: 12/31/2013 Interim [] Final [X] Historic [X] Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: 8-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Darrien Pitts

Explaration: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit ar additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

	¢)	(2) Balance	(3) Utility	(4) Utility	(5) Requested	(5) Requested	(7)
Line		Per	Test Year	Adjusted	Revenue	Annual	Supporting
No.	Description	Books	Adjustments	Test Year	Adjustment	Revenues	Schedule(s)
1 2	OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 4,076,016	<u>s 92,739</u> (A)	5 4,168,755	\$ 648,693 (/	a) <u>5 4,817,448</u>	B-4, B-3
3	Operation & Maintenance	2,049,029	12,890 (B)	2,061,919	(8) 2,061,919	B-5, B-3
5 6	Depreciation, net of CIAC Amort.	911,369	(3,903) (C)	907,466	(0	907,466	B-13, B-3
7 8	Amortization	-		-		-	
9 10	Taxes Other Than Income	478,042	(46,103) (D)	431,938	29,191 ([) 461,129	B-15, B-3
11 12	Provision for Income Taxes	380,867	(196,123) (E)	184,744	233,119_(6) 417,863	C-1, B-3
13 14	OPERATING EXPENSES	3,819,307	(233,240)	3,586,067	262,310	3,848,377	
15 16	NET OPERATING INCOME	5 256,709	5 325,978	5 582,687	5 386,383	<u> 5 </u>	
17 18 19	RATE BASE	5 8,897,285	5 (362,081)	5 8,535,204		\$ 8,535,204	
20							
21	RATEOFRETURN	2.89	%	683	*	<u> </u>	3

¹⁴ Rate of Return with shifting of \$486,320 in revenues from Sever.

54

.

Exhibit 5: Net Operating Income-Wastewater

Schedule of Wastewater Net Operating Income

Company: Santando Utilities Corp. Docket No.: 1400604VS Test Year Ended: 12/31/2013 Interim [] Final (X) Historic (X) Projected [] Florida Public Service Commission

Schedule: B-2 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Darrien Pitts

Esplanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If a montization (Line 4) is related to any amount other the nan acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

	¢)		(2) Batance		(3) Utility			(4) Utility	Per	(5) juested			(5) Requested	(7)
Line			Per		Test Year		Adjusted			Revenue		Annual		Supporting
No.	Description	Books Adjustments			Test Year Adjustment					Revenues	Schedule(s)			
1	OPERATING REVENUES	s	3,888,457	\$	47,163	(A)	\$	3,935,620 \$		537,442	(A)	5	4,473,063	B-4, B-3
2														-
3	Operation & Maintenance		2,009,026		9,667			2,018,692			(8)		2,018,692	B-6, B-3
4														
5	Depreciation, net of CIACAmort.		538,829		257,934			796,762			(C)		796,762	B-14, B-3
6														
7	Amertization							-					-	
8	Taxes Other Than income		384,902		105,601	<i>(</i> 70)		490,503		24,185	(0)		514,688	B-15, B-3
10	lates other than induste		304,502		105,001	(0)		-00,003		24,105	(0)		514,000	D-15, D-3
11	Provision for Income Taxes		39		56,327	Œ١		56,366		193,139	(E)		249,505	C-1, B-3
12											/			
13	OPERATING EXPENSES		2,932,795		429,528	_		3,362,324		217,324			3,579,648	
14						-					•			
15	NET OPERATING INCOME	5	955,662	\$	(382,365)	1	5	573,297 \$		320,118		5	893,415	
16						-								
17														
18	RATE BASE	\$	12,569,595	\$	2,293,268		5	14,862,863				5	14,862,863	
19														
20														
21	BATE OF RETURN		7.60	%				3.86 %					6.01% [#]	1

-

²¹ Rate of Return with shifting of \$486,320 in revenues to Water.

۰.