

COMMISSIONERS:
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
RONALD A. BRISÉ
JULIE I. BROWN
JIMMY PATRONIS

STATE OF FLORIDA



GENERAL COUNSEL
CHARLIE BECK
(850) 413-6199

RECEIVED-FPSC
15 MAR 17 PM 1:32
COMMISSION
CLERK

Public Service Commission

March 17, 2015

STAFF DATA REQUEST NO. 1

John Butler, Esq.
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Re: Docket No. 150001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Dear Mr. Butler:

As we discussed at today's teleconference, please provide responses to the following questions:

1. In the Petition for Mid-Course Correction to its Fuel Adjustment Factor (Petition) dated March 9, 2015, at paragraph 4, FPL states that it used a forward curve as of February 2, 2015, to come up with the \$2.93 reduction for the 1,000 kWh residential customer for the period May-December, 2015.
 - a. Please explain why more than a month elapsed since the forward curve analysis was done (Feb. 2nd) and the midcourse filing was made (March 9th).
 - b. Did FPL consider using an early March forward curve and waiting one more month to file the Petition (and, therefore, have a correction to June-Dec bills)? Please discuss / explain the timing aspects of this filing.
2. Please refer to paragraph 4. Please explain how the \$208 million overrecovery was projected. Is this all due to the drop in natural gas prices from what was originally projected?
3. Please refer to the original E1 and E3 Schedules filed on 8/15/2014 and the mid-course E1 and E3 Schedules.
 - a. Why is the system net generation more for the mid-course E3 and the same as the original for the E1 schedules?
 - b. Please refer to the midcourse E1. What schedule is the basis for the 75,996, 479 MWH?
 - c. Please refer to the mid-course E3. Projected coal costs are down from what was originally projected. Are lower diesel fuel prices a reason that coal costs are down? Please explain the reasons coal costs are down in the mid-course E3.


4. Please explain the decrease in fuel revenue as referenced in paragraph 11 of the Petition.
5. Assuming a favorable vote on this Petition, please discuss what customer notification methods FPL will use.
6. How will lower forecasted natural gas prices affect FPL's hedging limits identified in the Company's 2015 Risk Management Plan?
7. Please provide the following information with regard to the Woodford Project for the period of May through December, 2015:
 - a. The amount of capital investment recovered;
 - b. The amount of operations and maintenance costs recovered;
 - c. The amount of return on investment recovered;
 - d. The amount of natural gas produced owned by FPL;
 - e. The amount of natural gas that will be purchased from third parties.
8. Is the Woodford Project producing natural gas at this time? If not, when is the expected date that it will do so?

The original and four copies of the response to the information requested in this letter should be filed with the Commission on or before **March 27, 2015**. When filing the response, please be sure to refer to the docket number and to direct the response to:

Office of the Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Should you have any questions concerning the information in this letter, please contact Suzanne Brownless by e-mail at sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us or by phone at (850) 413-6218 for legal questions or Pete Lester by email at plester@psc.state.fl.us or by phone at (850) 413-6467.

Very truly yours,


Suzanne Brownless
Senior Attorney

Cc: Division of Accounting and Finance (Browning)
Division of Commission Clerk (Docket No. 150001-EI)