

Angelena McCorvey

From: Rich Blaser <RBlaser@INFINITEENERGY.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 2:48 PM
To: Ryan West
Subject: Solar

Just saw the article about Dukes plan to add 500MW of solar capacity in Florida. I don't agree with utilities using solar to supply power to their customers for two main reasons below:

1. I don't believe solar can be marked as "primary power" because the utility can guarantee it will be available during a peak need (i.e. a cloudy/rainy day). If it can't be relied on won't the PSC require a traditional power plant to back it up? If this true then the rate payers will be paying for generation twice and that doesn't seem right.
2. Solar electricity produced by utility that is then sold to consumers will then also include the transmission and overhead costs (not to mention line loss) which wouldn't be if the customer (residential, commercial, or industrial) customer installed solar on their property and used net metering. This additional cost is expensive.

Richard Blaser | *Chief Executive Officer*



7001 SW 24th Ave, Gainesville, FL 32607

Phone: 352.240.4120

Email: Rich@InfiniteEnergy.com

Website: www.InfiniteEnergy.com

