

COMMISSIONERS:  
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
RONALD A. BRISÉ  
JULIE I. BROWN  
JIMMY PATRONIS

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS  
JAMES W. DEAN  
DIRECTOR  
(850) 413-6410

# Public Service Commission

April 23, 2015

Beth Keating  
215 South Monroe St., Suite 601  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
Email: [bkeating@gunster.com](mailto:bkeating@gunster.com)

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST  
*via e-mail*

**Re: Docket No. 150117-GU – Joint Petition of Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Indiantown Division, Florida Public Utilities Company-Ft. Meade, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation for Approval of Modified Cost Allocation Methodology and Revised Purchased Gas Adjustment Calculation.**

Dear Ms. Keating:

By this letter, Commission staff requests the following information from the joint petitioners (Companies).

1. Have the Companies communicated with shippers regarding the details and possible impacts of the modified cost allocation methodology and revised Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) calculation? If yes, please explain how.
2. Please provide for 2014 the total actual unreleased intrastate capacity and local distribution company (LDC) interconnection related costs recovered by CFG and Indiantown through the Operational Balancing Account (OBA), and the same costs recovered by FPUC and FPUC Ft. Meade through the PGA mechanism.

Company	Costs recovered through the PGA	Costs recovered through the OBA
FPUC		N/A
FPUC – Ft. Meade		N/A
FPUC – Indiantown	N/A	
CFG – TTS Pools	N/A	
Totals		

3. Assuming hypothetically that the Commission approved the proposal to aggregate the unreleased capacity and LDC interconnection-related costs across the entire Chesapeake Florida system, please provide the same table showing the costs allocated to each division.

4. Please provide the number of PGA, OBA and CI (commercial/industrial entities that contract directly with shippers) customers for each of the Companies' divisions as shown below.

Company	PGA Customers	OBA Customers	CI Customers
FPUC		N/A	
FPUC – Ft. Meade		N/A	
FPUC – Indiantown	N/A		
CFG – TTS Pool 1	N/A		
CFG – TTS Pool 2	N/A		
Totals			

5. Paragraph 16 (page 7) of the petition refers to a two-phased process. How long do the Companies anticipate that Phase 1, if approved, would be in effect before submitting Phase 2 to the Commission for approval? Please confirm that the submission of Phase 2 would be in a separate docket outside the scope of the current docket 150117-GU.
6. Paragraph 21 (page 9) of the petition states that the impact to aggregate the unreleased capacity and LDC interconnection-related costs (declassified) across the entire Chesapeake Florida system would be \$0.108 per them, or an approximate increase of \$0.025 per them to the PGA. Please illustrate and explain how these amounts were derived.
7. Do the Companies anticipate that consolidating the cost allocation methodology across the entire Chesapeake Florida system will result in any administrative cost savings in comparison with the current practice of performing separate cost allocations for each of the four divisions? If the answer is affirmative and it is possible to estimate potential cost savings, please provide an estimate of the annual cost savings that would be realized by each division.

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Friday, May 8, 2015, with Carlotta Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please call me at (850) 413-6495 if you have any questions.

Thanks and regards,

*/s/ Don Rome*

Don Rome  
Public Utility Analyst  
[drome@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:drome@psc.state.fl.us)

CDR

cc: Office of Commission Clerk