

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: June 4, 2015
TO: Office of Commission Clerk
FROM: Lynn M. Deamer, Chief of Auditing, Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
RE: Docket No.: 150007-EI
Company Name: Tampa Electric Company
Company Code: EI806
Audit Purpose: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
Audit Control No: 15-014-2-2

Attached is the final audit report for the Utility stated above. I am sending the Utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the Utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of Commission Clerk. There is no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

LMD/cp

Attachment: Audit Report

cc: Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis File

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014

Docket No. 150007-EI
Audit Control No. 15-014-2-2
May 15, 2015

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Linda Hill".

Linda Hill
Audit Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "TH FOR INTESAR TERKAWI".

Intesar Terkawi
Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose..... 1

Objectives and Procedures..... 2

Audit Findings

 None..... 5

Exhibit

 1: True-Up..... 6

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the agreed-upon objectives set forth by the Division of Engineering in its audit service request dated January 14, 2015. We have applied these procedures to the attached summary exhibit and to several related schedules prepared by the Tampa Electric Company in support of its 2014 filing for the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause in Docket No. 150007-EI.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. Our report is based on agreed-upon procedures. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definitions

Utility refers to the Tampa Electric Company.

ECRC refers to the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

Capital Investments

Utility Plant in Service

Objectives: The objectives were to verify all ECRC project-related plant additions, retirements and adjustments for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.

Procedures: We traced Plant in Service and Accumulated Depreciation to the general ledger for each capital project listed on the 2014 Form 42-8A. No exceptions were noted.

Construction Work in Progress

Objective: The objective was to verify net investments associated with the following capital projects and to determine whether any project which involved the replacement or retirement of an existing plant asset is retire at the installed costs by the Utility in accordance with Florida Public Service Commission Rule 25-6.0142(4)(b), F.A.C.:

- 1) Big Bend Units 1 7 2 FGD
- 2) Big Bend Unit 1 SCR
- 3) Big Bend Unit 4 SCR
- 4) Mercury Air Toxics Standards (MAT)

Procedures: We selected additions to capital projects for the months of February, April, and May 2014. We determined whether additions had appropriate supporting documentation and were recorded to the correct project and account. We determined whether items that were replaced had a corresponding retirement. We compared the ledger to the depreciation base and the non-interest bearing amounts on Form 42-8A. No exceptions were noted.

Revenue

Operating Revenues

Objectives: The objectives were to determine the actual Kilowatt Hours (KWH) sold for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014, and whether the Utility applied the Commission approved cost recovery factor to actual KWH sales for the ECRC.

Procedures: We reconciled the 2014 filing to the Utility's monthly Environmental Revenue Reports. We computed the factors by rate code and compared them to the last Commission Order No. PSC-14-0643-FOF-EI. We selected a random sample of residential and commercial customers' bills for the month of April 2014. We recalculated each to verify the use of the correct tariff rate for the Capacity, Fuel, Conservation and Environmental Clauses. No exceptions were noted.

Expense

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Expenses listed on the Utility's Form 42-5A filing were supported by adequate documentation and that the expenses are appropriately recoverable through the ECRC.

Procedures: We traced expenses in the filing to the general ledger. We judgmentally selected a sample of payroll and non-payroll O&M Expenses for testing for the months of February, June, and August 2014. The source documentation for selected items was reviewed to ensure the expenses were related to the ECRC and that the expenses were charged to the correct accounts. No exceptions were noted.

Depreciation and Amortization

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the most recent Commission approved depreciation rates or amortization periods were used in calculating Depreciation Expense.

Procedures: We recalculated 2014 Depreciation Expense on a test basis using the plant balances and depreciation rates per Order No. PSC-10-0131-FOF-EI. We traced total year Depreciation Expense for each capital project listed on Form 42-8A to the general ledger detail. No exceptions were noted.

Other

SO₂ Allowances

Objectives: The objectives were to verify investments, inventory, expensed amounts, allowance auction proceeds and to verify amounts included in Working Capital, Form 42-8A.

Procedures: We traced 2014 consumption for SO₂ Allowances from the Utility's detailed Allowances Reports to the Total Emissions Schedule on Form 42-5E. We determined whether NOx. Sales were made. We verified the 2014 vintage allowance for the sale of Hooker's Point. We verified all auction proceeds. We traced the jurisdictional factors to Order No. PSC-14-0643-FOF-EI. No exceptions were noted.

True-up

Objective: The objective was to determine if the True-Up and Interest Provision as filed on Form 42-2A was properly calculated.

Procedures: We traced the December 31, 2013, True-Up Provision to the Commission Order. We recalculated the True-Up and Interest Provision amounts as of December 31, 2014, using the Commission approved beginning balance as of December 31, 2013, the Financial Commercial Paper rates, and the 2014 ECRC revenues and costs. No exceptions were noted.

Analytical Review

Objective: The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's ECRC Revenues and Expenses to determine if there were any material changes or inconsistencies from the prior year.

Procedures: We compared 2014 to 2013 and 2012 revenues and expenses. We requested explanations from the Utility for significant variances. Explanations provided were sufficient. Further follow-up was not required.

Audit Findings

None

