

Maria J. Moncada Principal Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 561-304-5795 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile) E-mail: Maria.Moncada@fpl.com

July 22, 2015

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Carlotta S. Stauffer
Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 150001-EI

REDACTED

NECENED FASO 15 JUL 22 PM 12: 56 COMMISSION CLERK

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

I enclose for filing in the above docket an original and seven (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's ("FPL's") Request for Confidential Classification of Information Provided in Response to the Office of Public Counsel's Eighth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 75, 77, 78 and 88) and Fifth Request for Production of Documents (No. 28). The original includes Exhibits A, B (two copies), C and D. The seven copies do not include copies of the Exhibits.

Exhibit A consists of the confidential documents, and all the information that FPL asserts is entitled to confidential treatment has been highlighted. Exhibit B is an edited version of Exhibit A, in which the information FPL asserts is confidential has been redacted. Exhibit C is a justification table in support of FPL's Request for Confidential Classification. Exhibit D contains the affidavit in support of FPL's Request for Confidential Classification. Also included in this filing is a compact disc containing FPL's Request for Confidential Classification and Exhibit C, in Microsoft Word format.

Please contact me if you or your Staff has any questions regarding this filing.

COM	Sincerely,
AFD 2+ Redocted + CD	
APA	Maria V. Moncada
ECO Enclosure	
ENG cc: Counsel for Parties of Record (w/ co	py of FPL's Request for Confidential Classification)
GCL 2	
IDM	
TEL	
CLK	

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchase power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor

Docket No: 150001-EI Date: July 22, 2015

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S
REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC
COUNSEL'S EIGHTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 75, 77, 78 and 88)
AND FIFTH REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (No. 28)

Pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") requests confidential classification of certain information provided in response to the Office of Public Counsel's ("OPC") Eighth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 75, 77, 78 and 88) and Fifth Request for Production of Documents (No. 28) ("Confidential Discovery Response"). In support of its Request, FPL states as follows:

- 1. On June 22, 2015, OPC served its Eighth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 66-89) and Fifth Request for Production of Documents (Nos. 28-30) on FPL. FPL's Response to OPC's Eighth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 75, 77, 78 and 88) and Fifth Request for Production of Documents (No. 28) contain information of a confidential nature, which is proprietary confidential business information within the meaning of Section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes.
- 2. FPL files this request contemporaneously with the service of its response to OPC's Eighth Set of Interrogatories Eighth Set of Interrogatories and Fifth Request for Production of Documents Fifth Request for Production of Documents, in order to request confidential classification of the Confidential Discovery Response consistent with Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code.

- 3. The following exhibits are included with and made a part of this request:
- a. Exhibit A consists of a copy of the Confidential Discovery Response on which the information that FPL asserts is entitled to confidential treatment.
- b. Exhibit B consists of an edited version of the Confidential Discovery Response on which all information that FPL asserts is entitled to confidential treatment is redacted. For the documents that are confidential in their entirety, FPL has included only identifying cover page in Exhibit B.
- c. Exhibit C is a table that identifies the Confidential Discovery Response, together with a brief description of the documents designated confidential. Exhibit C also sets forth references to the specific statutory bases for the claim of confidentiality and to the affiant who supports the requested classification.
 - d. Exhibit D is the affidavit of Gerard J. Yupp.
- 4. FPL submits that the highlighted information in Exhibit A is proprietary confidential business information within the meaning of Section 366.093(3), Florida Statutes. This information is intended to be and is treated by FPL as private, and its confidentiality has been maintained. Pursuant to Section 366.093, such information is entitled to confidential treatment and is exempt from the disclosure provisions of the public records law. Thus, once the Commission determined that the information in question is proprietary confidential business information, the Commission is not required to engage in any further analysis or review such as weighing the hard of disclosure against the public interest in access to the information.
- 5. Some of the Confidential Discovery Response provided by FPL contains information related to contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair FPL's ability to

contract for goods or services on favorable terms. This information is protected by Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.

- 6. In addition, the Confidential Discovery Response also consists of competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of FPL or its suppliers. This information is protected by Section 366.093(3)(e), Fla. Stat.
- 7. Upon a finding by the Commission that the Confidential Information remains proprietary and confidential business information, the information should not be declassified for at least an additional eighteen (18) month period and should be returned to FPL as soon as it is no longer necessary for the Commission to conduct its business. See § 366.093(4), Fla. Stat.

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, as more fully set forth in the supporting materials and affidavits included herewith, Florida Power & Light Company respectfully requests that its Request for Confidential Classification be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John T. Butler Assistant General Counsel - Regulatory Maria J. Moncada Principal Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408 Telephone: (561) 304-5795 Facsimile: (561) 691-7135

Email: maria.moncada@fpl.com

By:

Maria J Moncada Florida Bar No. 0773301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 150001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Request for Confidential Classification* has been furnished by electronic mail on this 22nd day of July, 2015 to the following:

Suzanne Brownless, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Beth Keating, Esq.
Gunster Law Firm
Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Corp.
215 South Monroe St., Suite 601
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804
bkeating@gunster.com

James D. Beasley, Esq.
J. Jeffrey Wahlen, Esq.
Ashley M. Daniels, Esq.
Ausley & McMullen
Attorneys for Tampa Electric Company
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
adaniels@ausley.com

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esq.
John T. LaVia, III, Esq.
Gardner, Bist, Wiener, et al
Attorneys for Florida Retail Federation
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Andrew Maurey
Michael Barrett
Division of Accounting and Finance
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
mbarrett@psc.state.fl.us
amaurey@psc.state.fl.us

Dianne M. Triplett, Esq. Attorneys for Duke Energy Florida 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq.
Russell A. Badders, Esq.
Steven R. Griffin, Esq.
Beggs & Lane
Attorneys for Gulf Power Company
P.O. Box 12950
Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950
jas@beggslane.com
rab@beggslane.com
srg@beggslane.com

James W. Brew, Esq.
Owen J. Kopon, Esq.
Laura A. Wynn, Esq.
Attorneys for PCS Phosphate - White Springs
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
ojk@smxblaw.com
laura.wynn@smxblaw.com

Robert L. McGee, Jr. Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520 rlmcgee@southernco.com

Matthew R. Bernier, Esq. Duke Energy Florida 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Erik L. Sayler, Esq.
John J. Truitt, Esq.
J. R. Kelly, Esq.
Patricia Christensen, Esq.
Charles Rehwinkel, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us
truitt.john@leg.state.fl.us

Mike Cassel, Director/Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Florida Public Utilities Company 911 South 8th Street Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com

Paula K. Brown, Manager Tampa Electric Company Regulatory Coordinator Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com

Jon C. Moyle, Esq.
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power
Users Group
118 N. Gadsden St.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com

By: _

Maria J. Moncada

Florida Bar No. 0773301

2674729

^{*} The exhibits to this Request are not included with the service copies, but copies of Exhibits B, C and D are available upon request.

EXHIBIT A

CONFIDENTIAL FILED UNDER SEPARATE COVER

EXHIBIT B REDACTED COPIES



Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 150001-EI OPC's 8th Set of Interrogatories Interrogatory No. 75 Page 1 of 1

Q.

To date, what is the average cost to drill and complete each well in the Woodford Project? Please explain why this cost differs from FPL's previous estimates presented in the Gas Reserves hearing of \$5 million per well.

4.		
	The initial analysis provided by FPL assumed that each well would cost approximately	1
	to drill and complete and begin production. Of the approximately approximately	
	was attributed to drilling costs, attributed to completion costs, and the remaining	
	attributed to production equipment and services needed to bring the well into	4
	production. As of July 1, 2015, 21 wells have been drilled with an average estimated cost for	
	drilling of approximately Additionally, as of July 1, 2015, 10 wells have been	1
	completed and are producing, with an average estimated cost of	6

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 150001-EI OPC's 8th Set of Interrogatories Interrogatory No. 77 Page 1 of 1

Q.

To date, when netting out the volume of gas produced against the cost to produce the gas, what is the net gain or loss associated with the Woodford Project? (i.e., net gain or loss as compared against the Henry Hub or spot price the Company uses)

From March 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015, the net calculated production cost of natural gas associated with the Woodford Project was The net cost was calculated by 1 comparing production costs to the Columbia Gulf Mainline Index spot price for natural gas.

Current results of the Woodford Project are skewed compared to the original long-term estimates due to the typical time lag encountered in the oil and gas industry between investment in gas reserves and production from gas reserves. Given the initial outlay of capital required to drill and complete a well occurs months before the production from that well fully ramps up, the effective unit cost may appear artificially inflated at the beginning of a project's life cycle. This will normalize to a steady and predictable level once all the production is on-line. The same concept holds true for fixed operating costs, which appear high on a per unit basis before the full output of gas from the project is being produced to effectively spread the costs.

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 150001-EI OPC's 8th Set of Interrogatories Interrogatory No. 78 Page 1 of 1

Q.

To date, when netting out the volume of gas produced against the cost to produce the gas plus the cost to acquire the Company's interest in the Woodford Project, what is the net gain or loss associated with the Woodford Project? (i.e., net gain or loss as compared against the Henry Hub or spot price the Company uses)

From March 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015, the net total calculated cost of natural gas associated with the Woodford Project was comparing the sum of production costs and capital costs to the Columbia Gulf Mainline Index spot price for natural gas.

Current results of the Woodford Project are skewed compared to the original long-term estimates due to the typical time lag encountered in the oil and gas industry between investment in gas reserves and production from gas reserves. Given the initial outlay of capital required to drill and complete a well occurs months before the production from that well fully ramps up, the effective unit cost may appear artificially inflated at the beginning of a project's life cycle. This will normalize to a steady and predictable level once all the production is on-line. The same concept holds true for fixed operating costs, which appear high on a per unit basis before the full output of gas from the project is being produced to effectively spread the costs.

Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 150001-EI OPC's 8th Set of Interrogatories Interrogatory No. 88 Page 1 of 1

- Q.

 Please explain or describe whether PetroQuest remains the Company's partner in the Woodford Project, and what percentage of ownership does PetroQuest still retain?
- A.

 PetroQuest remains FPL's partner in the Woodford Project and still retains the working interest described in the DDA.

Documents responsive to OPC's Fifth Request for Production No. 28 (Bates Nos. FCR-15-05193 through FCR-15-05322) are confidential in their entirety.

EXHIBIT C JUSTIFICATION TABLE

EXHIBIT C

COMPANY:

Florida Power & Light Company

TITLE:

List of Confidential Documents

DOCKET NO .:

150001-EI

DOCKET TITLE:

Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause

SUBJECT:

FPL's Responses to OPC's Eighth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 66-89) and Fifth Request for Production (Nos. 28-30)

DATE:

July 22, 2015

FPL's response to OPC's 8 th Set of Interrogatories	Bates No./ Page No.	Description	Line No./ Col. No.	Florida Statute 366.093(3) Subsection	Affiant
No. 75	Page 1 of 1	Projected and average costs to drill and complete each well in Woodford Project	Lns. 1A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3E, 4F, 5G, 6H	(e)	G. Yupp
No. 77	Page 1 of 1	Net calculated production cost of natural gas associated with Woodford Project	Line 1A	(e)	G. Yupp
No. 78	Page 1 of 1	Net total cost of natural gas associated with Woodford Project	Line 1A	(e)	G. Yupp
No. 88	Page 1 of 1	PetroQuest's working interest percentage	Line 1A	(d), (e)	G. Yupp

FPL's response to OPC's 5 th Request for Production of Documents	Bates No./ Page No.	Description	Line No./ Col. No.	Florida Statute 366.093(3) Subsection	Affiant
POD No. 28	Bates Nos. FCR-15-05193 through FCR-15-05322	Executed Drilling Development Agreement (DDA)	ALL	(d), (e)	G. Yupp

EXHIBIT D

AFFIDAVIT

EXHIBIT D

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fuel and Purchase Power Co Clause with Generating Incentive Factor	ost Recovery Performance	Docket No. 150001-EI
STATE OF FLORIDA)	
PALM BEACH COUNTY) Al	FFIDAVIT OF GERARD J. YUPP
BEFORE ME, the under first duly sworn, deposes and say	rsigned authori	ty, personally appeared Gerard J. Yupp who, being

- 1. My name is Gerard J. Yupp. I am currently employed by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") as Senior Director of Wholesale Operations in the Energy Marketing and Trading Division. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this affidavit.
- I have reviewed the documents and information included in Exhibit A to FPL's Request for Confidential Classification. The documents and materials in Exhibit A which are asserted by FPL to be proprietary confidential business information concern the competitive interests of FPL and third parties, and they contain data pertinent to FPL's hedging program. Specifically, some of the documents contain information regarding FPL's production cost of natural gas associated with the Woodford Project. Other information relates to the results of a specific hedging strategy utilized by FPL. The disclosure of this information would provide other participants in the fuel and financial markets insight into FPL's hedging practices that would allow them to anticipate FPL's trading decisions and impair FPL's ability to negotiate for these commodities, to the detriment of FPL and its customers. Disclosure of this information would also place FPL at a competitive disadvantage when coupled with other information that is publicly Additionally, the documents contain proprietary confidential business information, including information concerning bids or other contractual data. Disclosure of this information would violate nondisclosure provisions of FPL's contracts with certain vendors and impair the efforts of FPL or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. To the best of my knowledge, FPL has maintained the confidentiality of these documents and materials.
- 3. Consistent with the provisions of the Florida Administrative Code, such materials should remain confidential for a period of eighteen (18) months. In addition, they should be returned to FPL as soon as the information is no longer necessary for the Commission to conduct its business so that FPL can continue to maintain the confidentiality of these documents

4.	Affiant says nothing further.	Send	
		Gerard Yupp	
SWC	ORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED	before me this 25 day of July 2015, by Gerard J. Y	Yupp
who is perso	and who did take an oath.	s produced (type of identificatio	n) a
	WHITE HOOFFINE C PLICETY		

Notary Public, State of Florida

Y COMMISSION # FF 103317 EXPIRES: July 18, 2018

My Commission Expires.