1		DEEODE MIE
2	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 150009-EI
5		
6	NUCLEAR COST RECOV	/ERY CLAUSE. /
7		······································
8	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
9	COMMITTERS	
10	COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM PREHEARING OFFICER
11		
12	DATE:	Tuesday, July 21, 2015
13	TIME:	Commenced at 2:06 p.m. Concluded at 2:31 p.m.
14	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
15		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
16	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

APPEARANCES

JESSICA CANO and KEVIN I.C. DONALDSON,
ESQUIRES, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe
Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408, appearing on
behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

DIANNE M. TRIPLETT and MATTHEW R. BERNIER,
ESQUIRES, Duke Energy Florida, Inc., Post Office Box
14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733; and JAMES MICHAEL
WALLS and BLAISE N. GAMBA, ESQUIRES, Carlton, Fields,
Jorden & Burt, P.A, Post Office Box 3239, Tampa,
Florida 33601-3239, appearing on behalf of Duke Energy
Florida, Inc.

JON MOYLE, JR., VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, and KAREN A. PUTNAL, Esquires, Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LAVIA, III, ESQUIRES, Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A., 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, appearing on behalf of the Florida Retail Federation.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

GEORGE CAVROS, ESQUIRE, 120 East Oakland Park Boulevard, Suite 105, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33334, appearing on behalf of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy.

JAMES W. BREW, OWEN J. KOPON, and LAURA A. WYNN, ESQUIRES, Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C., 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W., Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007, appearing on behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc., d/b/a PCS Phosphate White Springs.

VICTORIA MÉNDEZ and MATTHEW HABER, ESQUIRES, City of Miami, 444 S.W. 2nd Avenue, Suite 945, Miami, Florida 33130-1910, appearing on behalf of the City of Miami.

CHARLES J. REHWINKEL, PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, and ERIK L. SAYLER, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARTHA BARRERA and KYESHA MAPP, ESQUIRES,
Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak
Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on
behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission.

APPEARANCES (Continued):

Commission.

MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, Deputy General

Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850;

appearing as Advisor to the Florida Public Service

1		
_	L	

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. I apologize for being late.

We will call this prehearing meeting to order. This is Docket 150009-EI. Staff, if I can get you to read the notice, please.

MS. BARRERA: Yes, Commissioner. This

Prehearing Conference was convened and noticed for

Tuesday, July 21st, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. in the Betty

Easley Conference Center, Room 148.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's take appearances.

MS. CANO: Good afternoon. Jessica Cano on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company. And I'd also like to enter an appearance for Kevin Donaldson.

MS. TRIPLETT: Good afternoon. Dianne
Triplett on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, and I
would also like to enter appearances for Matt
Bernier, Mike Walls, and Blaise Gamba.

MR. MOYLE: Jon Moyle with the Moyle Law Firm on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, FIPUG. I'd also like to enter an appearance for Karen Putnal and Vicki Gordon Kaufman with our firm.

1	MR. WRIGHT: Robert Scheffel Wright with
2	the Gardner Law Firm appearing on behalf of the
3	Florida Retail Federation, and I would like to also
4	enter an appearance for my law partner John T.
5	Lavia, III. Thank you.
6	MR. CAVROS: Good afternoon, Chairman.
7	George Cavros on behalf of Southern Alliance for
8	Clean Energy.
9	MR. REHWINKEL: Charles Rehwinkel and
LO	Patty Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel
L1	on behalf of Florida citizens.
L2	MR. BREW: Good afternoon, Commissioner.
L3	I'm James Brew with the firm of Stone, Mattheis,
L 4	Xenopoulos & Brew for White Springs Agricultural
L5	Chemicals. I'd like to make an appearance also for
L 6	Owen Kopon and Laura Wynn.
L7	MS. MÉNDEZ: Good afternoon. Victoria
L8	Méndez, City Attorney for the City of Miami, along
L9	with Matthew Haber, Assistant City Attorney for the
20	City of Miami, representing the City of Miami.
21	MR. SAYLER: Erik Sayler also with the
22	Office of Public Counsel.
23	MS. BARRERA: Martha Barrera with
24	Commission staff, and with me also as co-counsel is

Kyesha Mapp.

25

1	MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton. I'm
2	here as your advisor.
3	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Staff, any
4	preliminary matters?
5	MS. BARRERA: Staff is not aware of any
6	preliminary matters that we need to address.
7	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is there any
8	preliminary matters that anybody else knows of?
9	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good afternoon,
10	Chairman. Office of Public Counsel has an issue
11	with one of our witnesses, and we can either take
12	that up as a preliminary matter, or we can take
13	that up when we are discussing the order of
14	witnesses in the Prehearing Order at the Chairman's
15	discretion.
16	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, let's take it up
17	under order order of witnesses, Section VI.
18	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's proceed
20	through the draft hearing order. I guess we'll
21	start with Section I. Let me back up.
22	Okay. Is there anything that needs to be
23	changed in the case background that anybody knows of?
24	Section II, conduct of proceedings.
25	Section III.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Section IV.

MS. BARRERA: Staff would just like to 2 3 point out that this hearing may involve a large number of confidential exhibits. And the parties 4 are advised that pursuant to this section of the 5 Prehearing Order, it's the parties' responsibility 6 7 to have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court reporter in red envelopes 8 9 clearly marked with the nature of the contents and with the confidential information highlighted. 10 11 Staff recommends that each party bring at least 15 12 copies to the hearing.

Staff will provide the copies of the confidential portions of the prefiled testimony and exhibits to the Commissioners and necessary staff.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense, everybody?

Okay. Let's move on to Section V.

MS. BARRERA: Staff suggests at this point that the witness summary testimony be no longer than three minutes.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Section VI.

MS. BARRERA: The order of witnesses -OPC has requested that its witness, Dr. Jacobs, be
taken out of order. He cannot attend on the 19th,

so we recommend that he be allowed to testify on

August 18th. And the parties have worked out an

agreement that FP&L witnesses will start. If it

looks like it's getting late, it will -- Mr. Jacobs

will -- Dr. Jacobs will be fitted in, and if

Ms. Christensen can explain.

2.0

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. My -- my understanding, Chairman, what we would do is proceed to take Dr. Jacobs after FPL's direct case in the normal course. However, if time appears to be running out, because he has to be in another engagement in Atlanta, Georgia, related to the Vogtle Plant, we would just slot him in as the last witness on the 18th, if necessary.

And we are aware that, you know, if Duke's case gets stipulated, they may go first and that may facilitate the need to slot him in. But, otherwise, I anticipate that we should probably just be able to take him up in the normal course on the 18th.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: This hearing, if I'm not mistaken, starts in the afternoon, like 12:30, 1:00?

MS. BARRERA: Yes. 1:30.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 1:30? So why don't we just say sometime around the 7:00 hour, if he's not

1	up yet, we'll just go ahead and take him after
2	whoever is going at that hour.
3	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We'll kind of slide him
5	in there so we make sure we get him done before the
6	18th ends.
7	MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's fine. And we'll
8	have him here and available to go earlier if, for
9	some reason, the witnesses proceed more quickly.
10	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I mean, if we get if
11	we get through all the FPL, then that's fine, he
12	goes as normal.
13	MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: But if for some reason,
15	you know, we're only into the second or third
	you know, we be only theo the second of third
16	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll
16 17	
	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll
17	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in.
17 18	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you.
17 18 19	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense?
17 18 19 20	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense? Okay.
17 18 19 20 21	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense? Okay. MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, you said 7:00
17 18 19 20 21 22	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense? Okay. MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, you said 7:00 p.m.?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Florida Power & Light person, then we'll, we'll slide him in. MS. CHRISTENSEN: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Does that make sense? Okay. MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, you said 7:00 p.m.? CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yeah. I mean, rough.

2.0

We're not aware of any other witnesses to be taken out of order.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is there anything else, any other witnesses that need to be taken out of order? This is almost a first. I like this.

Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Chairman -- I'm sorry -- can we go back to Section V briefly?

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I know staff
recommended a three-minute summary for the
witnesses. Usually we do five, and I would just
ask that we stick with the five. It's not that
much longer, but given the length of the testimony,
it does make it a little bit easier for our
witnesses to get through all of the major points in
their testimony.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I --

MS. MÉNDEZ: The City of Miami concurs with that request.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I know the reason why staff wanted to go with three, just because we have so many witnesses that are coming up there. I guess my suggestion -- I don't have a problem going to five, but it's not necessary they do five.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: And we will certainly 1 keep that in mind. But if we can have a little bit 2 3 of a leeway between three and five, that would work for us. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I -- I had this 5 conversation with staff. I know normal has been 6 7 five and -- but, like I said, if there's -- if it's not needed, you don't need to take it. 8 9 MS. CHRISTENSEN: If we can make our point in three, we will. If we need five, we 10 11 will --CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you. Thank you 12 13 very much. 14 MS. CHRISTENSEN: We appreciate the extra 15 few minutes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. So, staff, 16 17 if you would make that change. 18 MS. BARRERA: Yes, we will. 19 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Section VI, 2.0 anything else? 21 MS. BARRERA: Yes. There are at this 22 time no witnesses that can be excused, including 23 staff witnesses. We're trying to work with the 24 parties to see if any of staff witnesses can be

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

excused. At this point, you know, we don't have

25

word on that yet.

Should that -- any witness be requested to

be excused, staff will confer with each Commissioner whether any of the witnesses can be excused and if they do not have questions of these witnesses, and we'll notify the parties in that regard.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's move on to VII. Any changes in the base -- the basic positions?

Okay. VIII, issues and positions.

MR. REHWINKEL: Mr. Chairman -- Mr. Chairman, are you intending to go through -- I can give you kind of a wholesale answer to -- to all of the witnesses on 8 through 16, if you'd like, unless you want to go through issue by issue.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I like wholesale.

MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. Well, I informed the Duke parties and staff that we have some changes that I will send electronically either by the end of the day or first thing in the morning.

8, 12, 13, 14, and 15 will -- will revert to no position. And I have substantive new language on 9, 10, 11, and 16 that I will provide to staff.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay.

MR. REHWINKEL: I can read that language

to you, if you'd like, but I -- but I will give it 1 2 electronically. And I think it may trigger some dominoes with some of the other parties. 3 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Would you -- would you 4 like to read it then, if that's going to help? 5 MR. REHWINKEL: No, I'm fine. I've 6 7 shared it with the parties. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Okay. Mr. Brew. 8 9 MR. BREW: Commissioner, I would be one of those dominoes, and OP -- PCS will be changing 10 its positions on Issues 9, 10, 11, and 16 to agree 11 12 with OPC. 13 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. 14 MR. WRIGHT: As will the FRF, 15 Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 16 MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG will have some 17 change in positions. 18 One, one point of clarification, if -- if 19 20 21 22

23

24

25

I could. So to the extent that -- that OPC takes a position, you know, was the traffic light -- what color was the traffic light and they say it was red, and FIPUG agrees and said, we agree with OPC, it was red, and then OPC says, you know what, we're not taking a position on this issue, we're out of this issue, I just need to make sure I'm clear. I think

in the past we've said, well, you know, you still
have to come check with FIPUG even if we adopt the
position of OPC. So if that's the case, then we'll

have some adopt position of OPC.

The other way to do it is just to set forth in a narrative fashion to say that, you know, the traffic light was red, so we're not kind of getting caught up by a party changing their position as a domino. I hope that that was clear. But we will have some change in positions that we'll get to staff, you know, by --

MS. BARRERA: Noon.

MR. MOYLE: -- tomorrow, if we can.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I guess that you can always say we agree with OPC and their position as of this date.

MR. MOYLE: Yeah. I just -- where I don't want to find myself is if I agree with OPC and then all of the sudden they're, you know, they've gone away, then I go away magically as well. I don't want to --

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: That's why if you tie it back to a date, the way they -- the way they felt back on a specific date.

MR. MOYLE: Okay. Thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff, were you looking to chime in?

MS. BARRERA: Well, yes. I suggest that FIPUG could just, you know, cut and paste from OPC's position, and that would make it clear, since when the orders are, you know, the draft orders are circulated back and forth, then it's hard to know which one we're referring to at that point in time. That would be my suggestion.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mary Anne.

MS. HELTON: Or maybe Mr. Rehwinkel could just share with Mr. Moyle what his changes are and then Mr. Moyle would know whether he agrees with them or not, and then we could state that in the Prehearing Order.

MR. REHWINKEL: I have shared it with him, so. I think he's worried if I change it again, so.

MS. HELTON: Maybe Mr. Rehwinkel could promise to let Mr. Moyle know if he's going to change it again.

MR. MOYLE: I tell you what, I appreciate the answer. I'll try to do it the way staff suggested. I just wanted to, you know, make clear that you don't want to all of the sudden wake up

one day and somebody's changed their position and you're out of luck. So I think -- I think we're good on that. I'll just go ahead and copy and paste and get you the -- the suggested revised positions, given what OPC has done.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I think the simple thing is Mr. Moyle just wanted to have a car analogy or a traffic analogy out there and he didn't want -- he didn't want to miss the opportunity.

(Laughter.)

MS. BARRERA: Yes. I agree with OPC that the traffic light is red.

MR. MOYLE: Changing lanes maybe.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Exhibit list.

MS. BARRERA: Staff will prepare a draft Comprehensive Exhibit List. It will list all prefiled exhibits and those exhibits that staff wishes to include in the record, and we will circulate the draft and check with the parties prior to hearing to determine if there are any objections to the list or to any of staff's exhibits being entered into the record.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Proposed stipulations.

MS. BARRERA: There are no stipulations

at this time. My understanding is that the parties 1 may be working on some. Should there be 2 3 stipulations after the Prehearing Order is issued, a list will be provided to the Commissioners prior 4 5 to the hearing. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Notice how I keep on 6 7 looking over at the Duke end of the table? MS. TRIPLETT: We're working on it. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. MS. TRIPLETT: We're on it. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Pending motions. 12 MS. BARRERA: There are two motions for a 13 protective order on grounds of confidentiality. 14 They're reflected in the order. And we're not aware of any other motions. 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Anybody else, pending 16 17 motions? 18 Okay. Confidentiality motions. 19 MS. BARRERA: Yes, there are a few pending, and they will -- they're listed in the 2.0 21 draft Prehearing Order. 22 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: What page? 23 MS. BARRERA: Oh, 21 -- 41. I'm sorry. 24 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Post-hearing 25 procedures.

MS. BARRERA: Yes. The parties have 1 indicated they will be filing post-hearing briefs 2 on issues in this docket. 3 Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, Florida 4 Administrative Code, a party's proposed findings of 5 fact, conclusions of law, if any, statements of 6 7 issues and positions in brief shall together total no more than 40 pages. And staff suggests that the 8 9 post-hearing briefs, therefore, be no longer than 40 10 pages. 11 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Are parties all in 12 agreement? 13 MR. REHWINKEL: Is that per company? Is that the intent? 14 MS. BARRERA: Pardon? 15 MR. REHWINKEL: Well, the Public Counsel, 16 17 we participate in two sides. I don't know how much 18 brief -- we have -- there's two companies and --19 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: You need to pull -- you 20 need to pull the mike over so we get you on the 21 record. 22 MR. REHWINKEL: Is it intended to be 40 23 total for the Public Counsel with -- including both 24 FPL and Duke? 25 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I think it's 40 for FPL

1	and 40 for Duke. Is that correct?
2	MS. BARRERA: That's what I believe.
3	MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. I just wanted to
4	make sure.
5	MS. BARRERA: So 40 pages per company.
6	We also suggest that a summary of each
7	position of no more than 50 words set off with
8	asterisks should be included in the statement.
9	MS. CANO: Excuse me, Chairman Graham.
10	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes.
11	MS. CANO: In the past for this docket,
12	because the issues are relatively complex, we've
13	been provided 120 words, and that was in 2012 and
14	2013. Last year I don't believe there even was a
15	word limit for some reason. But I think something
16	more than 50 would be much more workable. 120
17	would be fine, 100 would probably be fine, but
18	something more than 50 would be appreciated.
19	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Just for the summary?
20	MS. CANO: For the position on each
21	issue, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff, is there a
23	reason why we went from 100 to 150 I'm sorry
24	120 down to 50?
25	MS. BARRERA: I'm new to this docket

basically and would normally do the 50, but we have 1 2 no objection to 120. 3 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: We'll split the baby. Let's make it 100. 4 MS. CANO: That's fine. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Anyone else? 6 7 So briefs are due September 1st; is that correct, staff? 8 9 MS. BARRERA: Yes, the briefs are due 10 September 1st, 2015. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. The next section 11 12 is rulings. 13 MS. BARRERA: We're suggesting that the 14 Prehearing Officer make a ruling that opening statements should not exceed five minutes per 15 party, unless any party chooses to waive its 16 17 opening statement. 18 MS. CANO: Hello again. In the past, I 19 believe Duke and FPL have been given ten minutes each, and then -- I apologize, I don't recall what 20 21 the Intervenors were provided. But without trying 22 to use a full ten minutes, I'd appreciate the 23 opportunity to have up to ten minutes. 24 MR. MOYLE: I would think similarly. 25 think -- I think there may have been some

additional latitude provided to our side, and I don't think we've abused it or gotten close to the -- to the magic number on these. But having a little more time rather than a little less time would be appreciated. And I guess it's also -- you know, it says per party, so we're doing these separately.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So you're saying for each one of you you want to go from five minutes to ten minutes?

MR. MOYLE: I don't -- I don't think I need it, but I'd rather -- you know, I could -- seven and a half. But, you know, if something comes up, there's an issue, all of the sudden you turn around -- you know, five minutes rolls by pretty, you know, pretty quickly, so.

You know, I personally don't like aggregating because, you know, there's Mr. Cavros, Mr. Wright, OPC, Miami. It kind of puts us into another, another situation of having to coordinate, and then sometimes you end up on the short end of the stick. So, you know, seven and a half minutes would work fine for -- for my purposes.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I think that starts adding up quite quickly with every one of you guys

going from five minutes to seven minutes -- I mean, from five minutes to ten minutes.

MR. REHWINKEL: I can say on the Duke side I don't need more than two or three minutes, and I'd be happy to cap myself at three minutes, if that would help. I mean, I don't even really need that probably.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Commissioner, maybe if I could ask for clarification. I know we made a distinction of 40 pages per company. Maybe if that were the distinction here as well, five minutes per company, because OPC, as you're aware, we have two attorneys handling the two different companies. I might use the five minutes, although I suspect I could probably be more succinct than that.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, it's going to be -- it'll be -- it'll be five minutes per company.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And -- and I think
I'm -- I think we're going to keep it at five
minutes. I think, you know, because it is just
opening statements, and I think you guys should
being able to get it in there.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yeah. If it's five

minutes per -- per company per each party, then I 1 2 think, yes, we can certainly make that happen. 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes, you have your --4 MS. CANO: If it's useful --5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Yes, you have your ten 6 7 minutes. MS. CANO: Okay. I was just going to say 8 9 I did find what happened last year, if it's useful for the conversation. But you're indicating ten 10 minutes for each utility and five minutes for 11 each -- each Intervenor? 12 13 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: That's correct. 14 MS. CANO: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Staff, did you get that? 16 17 MS. BARRERA: Yes. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Remember, 19 brevity is always good here. 20 Okay. Other matters. 21 MS. BARRERA: We're not aware of any 22 other matters, Commissioner. 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Is there anything else 24 that I missed? Once again, I apologize for being 25 late. That's a rarity for me.

MS. BARRERA: Commissioner, I would just 1 like to advise the parties if there's any -- I 2 3 think we understood that, but I just want to make sure -- if there's any change in positions, in 4 writing by noon tomorrow would be perfect. 5 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Moyle, you said 6 7 you've already gotten the changes that OPC plans on making as of -- as of right now? 8 9 MR. MOYLE: Yeah. There's been a number of conversations. I'll follow up with Charles. 10 I'll -- I'll get it back to you all, even though we 11 have that hearing in the morning, I'll go ahead and 12 13 get those to you this afternoon. 14 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I know this is a lot 15 coming at you guys all at one time. We squeeze it into a half hour. 16 17 Miami, I know this is new for you guys. 18 Is there any questions or concerns? 19 MS. MÉNDEZ: I was just confirming how 2.0 many prefiled, fully prefiled, I guess, witnesses 21 there will be with regard to, you know, 22 cross-examination and things of that nature. 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. 24 MS. MÉNDEZ: So that's what I was just

25

trying to confirm.

1	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. But everything
2	else, you're good?
3	MS. MÉNDEZ: Yeah.
4	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay.
5	MS. MÉNDEZ: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Well, if
7	there's nothing else, then we are adjourned. Thank
8	you very much for being here.
9	(Prehearing Conference adjourned at 2:31
10	p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	00002
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	DATED THIS 22nd day of July, 2015.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	