FILED JUL 31, 2015 **DOCUMENT NO. 04818-15** FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. 150102-SU APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN WASTEWATER RATES IN CHARLOTTE COUNTY BY UTILITIES, INC. OF SANDALHAVEN. PROCEEDINGS: COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 23 COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM PARTICIPATING: COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

DATE:

PLACE:

REPORTED BY:

000001

Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Room 148

COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS

Betty Easley Conference Center

Tuesday, July 21, 2015

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR

	000
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's move on to Item
3	No. 23.
4	MS. BRUCE: Good morning, Commissioners. I am
5	Sonica Bruce on behalf of Commission staff.
6	Item No. 23 is Utilities Inc. of Sandalhaven's
7	application for increase in water and wastewater
8	rates I'm sorry for wastewater rates in Charlotte
9	County.
10	Sandalhaven is a wastewater-only utility
11	serving 835 customers. The utility is requesting
12	interim rates, and staff recommends that an interim rate
13	increase of 17.92 percent be approved. The utility's
14	last rate case before the Commission was in 2007, and
15	the current rates were set by Charlotte County in 2012.

Staff has an oral modification due to a change in used and useful. The fallout changes have been previously provided. OPC and the utility are present. Staff is prepared to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners, any questions of staff?

Okay. Let's go to the utility.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners. Marty Friedman of Friedman & Friedman on

behalf of Utilities, Inc. of Sandalhaven. Also with me is Mr. Jared Deason, who is the financial -- new financial analyst in Florida for the Utilities, Inc. Florida companies.

2.5

Utilities, Inc. of Sandalhaven disagrees with staff's recommendation. We support, in fact, the initial recommendation that the staff came up with. We think that the used and useful adjustment that was made at the last minute is not consistent with prior Commission orders, or the methodology is not consistent with prior Commission orders.

This -- the real driver in this rate case is the fact that the Department of Environmental Protection is requiring the utility to abandon its existing wastewater plant, which will be offline by the end of August, which is a month away. All of that flow is now having to be diverted to the Englewood Water District, which will be more expensive than the portion that was treated by Utilities, Inc. of Sandalhaven itself.

And I think that that is where the -- the staff has failed to include in its analysis the fact that when these interim rates are in effect for most of the time, almost all the time the interim rates are in effect, all of that flow will go to Englewood Water District. And I would suggest to you that -- I mean,

the company is losing money. I mean, even with -- even with the interim rate increase, the company is going to be in a negative situation.

They're interim rates. It's -- if we guess wrong on interim rates and we guess too high, nobody is harmed. The customers get a refund with interest. If you're too conservative in your analysis of interim rates, the utility never can get that lost revenue. It's gone.

So I would suggest to you, as I think the intent of the interim rate statute, is that when you err -- if you have to err, you should err on the side of -- of having -- going with the higher interim revenue number.

I know the Public Counsel has got some issues they want to address, and I -- depending upon what they -- they say, because I don't know exactly what they're going to say, I would like to respond to them as well.

But I would suggest to you that -- that the last-minute change in the staff rec is -- you know, doesn't allow us to the opportunity to analyze the methodology. And as a result, we think that the -- it's more prudent for the Commission to go with the staff's original recommendation.

2.5

We've got the -- the -- our corporate undertaking and corporate guarantees in place. We're ready to implement those rates with all the appropriate guarantees that the customers will get a refund of every penny if it's ultimately determined that the rates are -- interim rates were too high with interest. So the customers are not going to be harmed by following the Commission's -- I mean, the staff's original recommendation, and I would respectfully request that you adopt the original recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: OPC.

MR. SAYLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

Commissioners. Erik Sayler on behalf of the Sandalhaven

customers here today. Thank you for the opportunity to

speak on interim rates. Normally the parties aren't

usually speaking to it, but with this large change, we

do appreciate that.

First off, I'd like to thank staff for the oral modification today because it did resolve a number of issues that Public Counsel had with the proposed, initially proposed interim rate recommendation.

With that, for the record, the Public Counsel's office does not entirely concur with the staff's interpretation of the interim rate statute. However, we do not object to the results being

attained -- obtained because we believe that it yields fair results, interim rates not only for the customers but also for the utility.

2.5

One thing that -- Mr. Friedman did mention why he believes the prior interim rate should be used as it relates to the retirement of the Sandalhaven Wastewater Treatment Plant. OPC would like to note that that is an adjustment that takes place outside of the test year, and interim rates should be based upon things within the test year. As it states within the interim rate statute, the rate of return for the most recent 12-month period. So for those reasons, we -- we agree with the -- we're thankful for the revised interim rate recommendation and support it.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Staff, my -- I guess I'm trying to understand. My understanding of the way the interim rates are, it's very prescriptive, so it doesn't give us a whole lot of flexibility; correct?

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And explain to me the difference with your first staff recommendation and the changes that were made. Let's go -- let's start with that position first.

MS. BROWNLESS: My understanding is the difference between the first two has to do with the

calculation of used and useful. And we went back to our original 2007 order, and that is the order we used to meet the requirements of the interim statute. We did not go back to the 2010 Charlotte County order. We used the 2007 order because use of the 2000 order was consistent with previous Commission decisions, and that order had audited results.

In our original rec, we looked at the sewer plant as being 100 percent used and useful, and that resulted in a significant rate base. And also we did not make adjustments to the interconnection with EWG -- EWD. When the engineering staff went back and looked at our original order, we realized that there were other adjustments in the original order that were made to the interconnection agreement with EWD: the master lift station, the force main, and the sewer plant. And when those appropriate adjustments were made, which would bring our adjustments in line with what was done in the 2007 case, the result were the modifications that we discussed and the lowering of the interim rates.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So staff is comfortable with the latest --

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. The modifications are what we think are appropriate. Because in an interim you're not supposed to make pro forma

adjustments, and at the time -- at this time it's my
understanding that current customers are not actually
hooked up and receiving service. The wastewater
treatment plant is still online, and that was certainly
true during the interim test year which ended
December 31st of 2014.

So since interim rates do not allow for pro
forma adjustments, even if the customers are transferred

2.5

So since interim rates do not allow for pro forma adjustments, even if the customers are transferred over in August of this year, that happened outside the test period used for establishment of interim rates.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So what happens if we set the interim rates too low and the utility is losing during those interim rates? Is there a mechanism for them to be made whole after we move to the regular rates?

MS. BROWNLESS: No, sir. The interim rates are established in such a way that they're subject to refund so the customers are made whole if the rates subsequently are lowered, lower than the interim rates, but the utility moves forward.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: So if the rates -- if the interim rates are set too high, there's a mechanism for the customers to be made whole?

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: But it doesn't cut the other

1 way. Why is that?

2.5

MS. BROWNLESS: That's the way the interim statute is set up. The idea of the interim statute is not to -- it's to keep the company, give the benefit to the company of the last rate decision, in a sense, to

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: So I guess then the essential question, Ms. Brownless, is with the oral modification and the adjustments made by staff, would the utility then be earning outside -- below the range of reasonableness of its ROE?

maintain the status quo. And that's -- I mean, it's a

very prescriptive statute. That's how it works.

MS. BROWNLESS: No, ma'am. The utility -- the modifications allow the utility to earn the range, the low range of the last ROE set in 2007. So the ROE they would be earning, I believe, would be 11 percent. Is that correct? I think it's 11 percent.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioners, any other questions, concerns, outward thinking?

Well, then I will entertain a motion.

Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm prepared to move staff on all issues.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and seconded, staff recommendations on all issues on Item No. 23. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

(Vote taken.)

Any opposed? By your action, you've approved the staff recommendation on Item No. 23.

Before we leave, where's J.R.? Come on up here, Mr. Kelly. I guess you can use that mike right over there by our General Counsel.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I just wanted to take a couple of minutes. I will not go on for a long time. But today is a little bit of a bittersweet day.

Back in 1986, some 29 years ago, a young lawyer joined our office, and today is his very last agenda, and that's Steve Reilly. Steve is going to be leaving us in mid-August. He's finishing his drop period. And I wanted to take a few minutes just to recognize Steve. And he's going to kill me later, but that's okay.

But all of you that know Steve know that he has been an extremely strong voice for the water and wastewater customers. And I have to tell you real quick

one of the funny things about Steve is -- Charles and I were talking -- and he may correct me on this, I think this is right, in all the 29 years Steve has been with us, he's worked on all water and wastewater cases except one electric case. And it was a case that had nothing to do with ratemaking and so forth, but it was a deal type of case. And I believe Jack brought -- Jack Shreve brought Steve in to do what? What he does better than anybody, come up with a deal. And he created an extremely innovative deal making in that case. And I think that that's been the signature of his practice over the last 29 years is, is he's worked with staff, he's worked with the utilities, and he's worked with customers to make it a win, win, win in many, many situations.

The consumers are going to be losing a very strong advocate. And we'll never be able to replace him, but we hope to have somebody coming on in a couple of weeks that can train under him for at least a couple of weeks.

But, Mr. Chair, I really appreciate the opportunity for you to allow me to recognize Steve today for his very last agenda. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, J.R.

Well, Steve, I have to say in my short five

years it's been nothing less than entertaining, especially when -- I think when we first got here, we had some very, as we would call them, interesting water and wastewater cases. And, you know, it's funny, as I travel in my -- in my NARUC life, those cases come up quite often. But I do -- I appreciate all you've done. And I think J. R. is right, that you are a huge advocate for the consumer, and I think there's going to be a big loss there. But I do applaud your 29 years, and we're going to miss you.

Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to add to the chorus here and say that, Steve, we certainly appreciate your service to the State of Florida. A lot of times consumers will never even realize that you were the one that was fighting for their interests, and -- but your work has definitely left an impression, and hopefully you will be able to share that and pass that on to the next individual that will be coming into the office. So thank you once again for your service.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. And, Steve, I would echo those sentiments. And just to add to that, your institutional knowledge has been so helpful to us

During my time with the study committee for the investor-owned utilities for the water and wastewater industry, your participation was integral to many of the

and has helped shape legislation that's come into place.

subsequently legislation passed. So for that, you should be very proud of your work. Thank you.

changes that were ultimately approved, and then

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: And Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just say, Steve, I don't know if you remember this, but I remember it vividly, a number of years ago at one of my very first meetings here in this room as a Commissioner we had a very contentious item which was dealing with a company at that point called Aloha, and it remained contentious. But that was part of my -- my christening, so to speak.

And over the next few years of OPC working with our staff and with others with your leadership did very, very good work, I believe, for the customers in that area that had had significant issues for quite a period of time. And I will always remember your good work on that, and your coordination to come up with a go-forward solution. So thank you for all of your work, but I do remember that one in particular.

MR. KELLY: Mr. Chairman, if I could add one

thing, you know, the one amazing thing is that, even though it was a little darker at the time, Steve's doing all of this and he still has a full head of hair. That's -- that's a heck of an accomplishment. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: That's just not right. Steve, thank you very much for your service. (Applause.) Okay. We are going to have IA here in this room in ten minutes, which would be 10 till noon -- or 11:45. And if nothing else, we are adjourned. Thank you. (Agenda conference adjourned at 11:34 a.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
8	transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes
9	of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
11	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	DATED THIS 31st day of July, 2015.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	