

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

BEFORE THE  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

DOCKET NO. 150009-EI

NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY CLAUSE.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

PROCEEDINGS: SPECIAL AGENDA

COMMISSIONERS  
PARTICIPATING: CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM  
COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR  
COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ  
COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN  
COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS

DATE: Monday, October 19, 2015

TIME: Commencing at 12:05 p.m.  
Concluding at 12:32 p.m.

PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center  
Room 148  
4075 Esplanade Way  
Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR  
Official FPSC Reporter  
850) 413-6734

## P R O C E E D I N G S

1  
2           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** I would say good morning  
3 everyone, but it's actually five minutes after noon, so  
4 good afternoon everyone.

5           I am glad to see you all here today safely.  
6 For those of you that don't know, we had one of our  
7 colleagues pass away last week. His name is Dexter  
8 Monroe. I met him and spent some time talking to him.  
9 I thought he was a dynamic guy. I thought he had -- he  
10 had his whole life ahead of him. I mean, you know, it's  
11 funny, he got accepted into, I believe it's West Point  
12 and one of the other military academies, and he also got  
13 accepted into Harvard, and he decided to go play  
14 football at Harvard, which I thought was just  
15 unbelievable.

16           And he had -- there was a tragic accident that  
17 happened last week. All the full details we don't have  
18 yet, but he had passed away. And I think it's a shame.  
19 I mean, it's -- everything is God's will. This is one  
20 of the ones that are very difficult to understand, for  
21 me to put my head around. But if you would like to join  
22 us up here for a prayer, if I could get you to stand and  
23 bow your head, please.

24           (Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance  
25 observed.)

1                   **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** I know that we had a grief  
2 counselor here last week. If there's still somebody out  
3 there that still wants to speak to somebody, we can make  
4 sure that that happens. We can make arrangements for  
5 that.

6                   Okay. Let the record show this is our Special  
7 Agenda. It is Monday, October the 19th, and we'll call  
8 this meeting to order. Staff.

9                   **MR. BREMAN:** Good afternoon, Chairman,  
10 Commissioners. I'm Jim Breman with Commission staff.  
11 Today's item is staff's recommendation in the nuclear  
12 cost recovery proceeding Docket No. 150009-EI. This  
13 docket addresses petitions by Florida Power & Light  
14 Company and Duke Energy Florida for cost recovery  
15 related to nuclear construction projects pursuant to  
16 Section 366.93, *Florida Statutes*, and Rule  
17 25-6.0423(3), *Florida Administrative Code*.

18                   FPL requested recovery of licensing and  
19 permitting expenses for Units 6 and 7 at the Turkey  
20 Point site. DEF requested recovery of project  
21 termination and wind down costs for the Levy project and  
22 the Crystal River 3 unit uprate project.

23                   As you recall, as a preliminary matter during  
24 hearing, you all approved a stipulation that resolved  
25 all of DEF's related issues, and a copy of DEF's motion

1 and the stipulated positions are included as Attachment  
2 1 to our recommendation. The remaining unresolved  
3 issues address staff's recommendation pertaining to  
4 FPL's Turkey Point 6 and 7 project. At your pleasure,  
5 staff is ready to proceed issue by issue or otherwise  
6 answer any questions you may have.

7 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Thank you, staff.

8 Commissioner Edgar.

9 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you very much,  
10 Mr. Chairman. Before we get into our discussion, I  
11 would like the opportunity to say on the record that --  
12 I'm sure y'all know -- that I was not present at the  
13 hearing. With your support and that of the other  
14 members, I was attending another set of meetings at the  
15 request of a federal agency. Since that time, I have  
16 reviewed the documentation and I've spent many, many,  
17 many hours enjoying watching the hearing on the  
18 computer.

19 So with that, I am fully prepared to  
20 participate in the discussion this afternoon, and would  
21 also, for the record, like to state that I recognize  
22 that a stipulation was approved at the beginning of that  
23 hearing; that, in my opinion, it is in the public  
24 interest, recognizing that there will be no cost to the  
25 customers for the 2016 and 2017 billing cycles; and that

1 all arguments and rights under that -- are preserved for  
2 all parties under that stipulation; and recognizing that  
3 some of the factors that need to be accounted for will  
4 be clearer at that point in the future when those issues  
5 will come before us again. So with that, Mr. Chairman,  
6 thank you very much.

7 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Thank you, Commissioner  
8 Edgar.

9 Okay. Commissioners, I guess the only --  
10 easiest way to get through this thing is start from the  
11 top and work our way down. So let's start with Issue 1,  
12 1A, and 1B, specifically Issue 1.

13 **MS. MATTHEWS:** Good afternoon, Commissioners.  
14 My name is Traci Matthews with staff. Issue 1 asks if  
15 the Commission should approve FPL's 2015 analysis of the  
16 long-term feasibility of completing the Turkey Point  
17 Unit 6 and 7 project. Project cost uncertainties were  
18 assessed using high and low ranges. Ranges in long-term  
19 price forecasts for fuels and environmental costs  
20 including CO2 were also used.

21 Staff reviewed the economic regulatory  
22 technical funding and joint ownership factors in FPL's  
23 analysis and identified no error or flaw that would  
24 render the analysis unreasonable. Staff is persuaded  
25 that the analysis demonstrates the completion of the

1 Turkey Point project remains feasible. Staff recommends  
2 the approval of FPL's analysis, and we're available for  
3 any questions.

4 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners, any questions  
5 of staff on Issue 1? Commissioner Brisé.

6 **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Thank you. No questions,  
7 Mr. Chairman. I would like to move the adoption of  
8 Issue 1 -- or the recommendation of Issue 1, which is  
9 yes.

10 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Second.

11 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
12 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue No. 1. Is there  
13 any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say  
14 aye.

15 (Vote taken.)

16 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
17 the staff recommendation on Issue No. 1.

18 Let's go to Issue 1A.

19 **MS. MATTHEWS:** Issue 1A is an informational  
20 item that basically just asked what is the total --  
21 current total estimated all-inclusive cost of the  
22 proposed Turkey Point project, and staff's answer is  
23 what FPL had put forward in the analysis, a range of  
24 \$13.7 billion to \$20 billion.

25 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Okay. Commissioner Brown.

1           **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Mr. Chairman, I'm  
2 prepared to make a motion on Issues 1A and 1B, and I  
3 would move the staff recommendation on Issues 1A and 1B.

4           **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Second.

5           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
6 seconded, staff recommendations on Issues 1A and 1B. Is  
7 there any further discussion? Seeing none, all in  
8 favor, say aye.

9           (Vote taken.)

10           Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
11 staff recommendation on Issue 1A and 1B.

12           Issue 2.

13           **MR. BREMAN:** Issue 2 asks the Commission if it  
14 should approve or make a finding regarding FPL's 2014  
15 project management, contracting, and cost oversight  
16 controls, and whether those actions were reasonable and  
17 prudent. Staff is recommending that they were based on  
18 a review of everything that was done in this docket. It  
19 was audited and reviewed by independent witnesses,  
20 including staff. No findings are reported.

21           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners. Commissioner  
22 Edgar.

23           **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.  
24 As our staff has just pointed out, but also to repeat  
25 for the record, I recognize that from the testimony at

1 the hearing and as is described in the recommendation  
2 that these numbers and costs have been reviewed by an  
3 independent audit in addition to our staff independent  
4 of the company, and also that the costs were found to be  
5 cost-effective under a variety of different scenarios.  
6 And so at the appropriate time, I will be pleased to  
7 move approval of recommendation -- staff recommendation  
8 on Issue 2.

9 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** I think now might be the  
10 appropriate time.

11 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I move approval of staff  
12 recommendation on Item 2.

13 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
14 seconded, staff recommendation on Item -- on Issue 2.  
15 Any further discussion?

16 Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

17 (Vote taken.)

18 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
19 the staff recommendation on Issue 2.

20 Okay. Issue 3A -- let's take 3A, 3B, and 3C  
21 up.

22 **MS. BARRERA:** Good afternoon, Commissioners.  
23 Martha Barrera on behalf of staff. I'm here to discuss  
24 Issue 3A. Jim Breman will be discussing Issues 3B and  
25 C.



1           The Issue 3A boils down to whether, under the  
2 2013 amendments to Section 366.93, FP&L can recover  
3 costs for tasks not related to obtaining or maintaining  
4 the combined operating license from the Nuclear  
5 Regulatory Commission during the preconstruction period  
6 and prior to obtaining approval from the Commission to  
7 proceed with preconstruction.

8           Staff believes that the Legislature, in  
9 creating the new Section 366.93(3)(b), did not intend to  
10 prohibit the utility from recovering regulatory costs  
11 necessary to meet statutory requirements of the NCRC. A  
12 narrower interpretation of these amendments advanced by  
13 Intervenors render the other sections of the statute  
14 meaningless, is contrary to legislative intent, and  
15 defeats the purpose of the NCRC, in my opinion.

16           Staff further recommends that the Commission  
17 interpret Section 366.93(3)(b) to provide that costs  
18 related to preconstruction activities associated with  
19 the construction of the nuclear plant such as  
20 engineering and design, site preparation, building on  
21 site construction facilities may only be incurred after  
22 the utility received the combined operating license and  
23 after it receives approval from the Commission to  
24 proceed with preconstruction work.

25           Staff is available for questions.

1           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners, any questions  
2 of staff on Issue 3A? Commissioner Brown.

3           **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.  
4           Ms. Barrera, can you walk us in some detail of  
5 the -- of staff's analysis and how you addressed  
6 implementation of -- or other staff -- of how you  
7 addressed implementation of the amended 366.93 in  
8 interpreting this law, particularly the feasibility  
9 analysis? It's a component for a utility and it's  
10 required to allow for cost recovery under the NCRC.

11           **MS. BARRERA:** Yes. Staff's opinion centers  
12 around not just the one section of the statute that's  
13 been amended, but rather it's taking the statute as a  
14 complete whole, the entire Section 366.93, which  
15 provides for recovery of costs that are required, you  
16 know, that are incurred to build a nuclear facility.

17           The initial assessment cost -- well, going  
18 back, through the NCRC, the utility is entitled to  
19 recover cost that it incurs. It must show that --

20           **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Prudently.

21           **MS. BARRERA:** Okay. It must show that it's  
22 feasible and had -- there are other regulatory  
23 requirements. And what we're saying is that when you  
24 look at the regulatory requirements of the statute, the  
25 feasibility assessment studies are part of those

1 regulatory requirements and don't fall under that  
2 section of the statute.

3 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. So these Initial  
4 Assessment Studies, are they -- they are, per se,  
5 preconstruction work.

6 **MS. BARRERA:** Yes, because that's -- anything  
7 that takes place during the preconstruction period is  
8 preconstruction work.

9 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. And pursuant to  
10 the statute though, they're required, the feasibility  
11 studies are required in order to allow for cost recovery  
12 and for them to proceed with preconstruction work.

13 **MS. BARRERA:** Exactly.

14 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. So they are  
15 required. And taking Office of Public Counsel's  
16 arguments and the other Intervenors' arguments into  
17 consideration and their briefs, it appears to me, and  
18 please correct me if I'm wrong, that they're saying that  
19 they're never entitled to cost recovery for those  
20 Initial Assessment Studies; is that correct?

21 **MS. BARRERA:** Yes. They're -- the argument is  
22 that the section of the statute allows only -- the  
23 utility to only incur costs related to obtaining the  
24 COL. And at that point -- until that happens and until  
25 the utility obtains approval to proceed with

1 preconstruction costs, no other costs can be incurred.

2 What we're saying is that at least the  
3 regulatory costs are -- can be incurred and can be  
4 deferred for later recovery.

5 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. And you're  
6 focusing just on those regulatory costs in the analysis?

7 **MS. BARRERA:** Exactly. Exactly.

8 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** I agree with you. I  
9 think you take -- have to take a holistic approach and  
10 look at 403 when you're also considering 366.93 and  
11 balance it.

12 **MS. BARRERA:** Right. We looked at both, and  
13 both of the intent of the Legislature, we believe, is to  
14 allow for the cost recovery.

15 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** All right. I just wanted  
16 to make that clear for the record. Thank you.

17 **MS. BARRERA:** Okay.

18 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Any other Commissioners? I  
19 can tell you during my briefing this was by far the  
20 issue that we spent the most time with, 3A, 3B, and 3C.  
21 My nonlegal mind took a little while to walk through  
22 this. And I think at the end of the day this is the  
23 best way of -- best way of handling it.

24 I guess I'll entertain a motion. Commissioner  
25 Brown.

1           **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Mr. Chairman, I would  
2 move staff's recommendation on Issue 3A.

3           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
4 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue 3A. Any further  
5 discussion? Commissioner Edgar.

6           **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.  
7 I was prepared to make a motion or second, so I am  
8 supportive, and would just add that clearly this is an  
9 incredibly technical and lengthy process with many, many  
10 components, and the interdependence and interaction of  
11 all of those components are also complex, and the  
12 requirements under this statute, by my reading and by  
13 the implementation that this Commission has carried out  
14 in past years, it is not always crystal clear the steps  
15 that the statute requires and how they should work  
16 together. However, they are all intended, as is every  
17 step of our process, to ensure the public interest and  
18 to ensure, to the best of all of our ability, continued  
19 review for feasibility and cost-effectiveness under the  
20 totality of the circumstances. So with that, I'm  
21 prepared to support the motion.

22           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Okay. Commissioner Brown,  
23 did you have anything to add?

24           Okay. So the motion is to approve staff  
25 recommendation on Issue 3A, and it's been seconded.

1 Seeing no further discussion, all in favor, say aye.

2 (Vote taken.)

3 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
4 the staff rec on Issue 3A.

5 Issue 3B.

6 **MR. BREMAN:** Commissioners, 3B asks if the  
7 Initial Assessment Study costs are related or necessary  
8 for obtaining and maintaining the COL. Review of the  
9 record shows that FPL's Initial Assessment Studies are  
10 intended to further refine the project schedule in  
11 support of future long-term feasibility analysis being  
12 filed here with the Commission, not with the Nuclear  
13 Regulatory Commission.

14 The studies are not explicitly required by the  
15 Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Staff recommends FPL  
16 [sic] should find FPL's costs for the Initial Assessment  
17 Studies are not related to or necessary for maintaining  
18 a combined license.

19 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners, I'll  
20 entertain a motion. Commissioner Edgar.

21 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I would note that in this  
22 particular issue that it does recognize the potential  
23 for the request of deferred recovery and that,  
24 therefore, there will be review at a future date if a  
25 request for recovery is made. And then I would say

1 ditto to my previous comments and move approval of 3A.

2 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** 3B?

3 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** 3B.

4 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
5 seconded, staff recommendation on 3B. Any further  
6 discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

7 (Vote taken.)

8 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
9 the staff rec on Issue 3B.

10 3C.

11 **MR. BREMAN:** 3C is the wrap-up issue on  
12 initial assessments and whether or not you should  
13 approve FPL's request to incur and defer cost recovery.  
14 Staff recommends that it be approved.

15 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioner Edgar.

16 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Ditto, ditto, move  
17 approval of 3C.

18 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
19 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue 3C. Is there  
20 any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say  
21 aye.

22 (Vote taken.)

23 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
24 the staff recommendation on Issue 3C.

25 Okay. Let's move on to Issue 4.

1           **MR. BREMAN:** Issue 4 is asking whether FPL's  
2 prudently incurred cost amounts and true-up amounts are  
3 appropriate. And we've reviewed the record consistent  
4 with Issue 2. Staff believes FPL prudently incurred its  
5 costs and FPL appropriately calculated the final true-up  
6 for 2014, \$691,433.

7           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners? Commissioner  
8 Brisé.

9           **COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** Move staff  
10 recommendation.

11           **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Second.

12           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
13 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue 4. Any further  
14 discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

15           (Vote taken.)

16           Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
17 the staff recommendation on Issue No. 4.

18           Commissioners, let's move over to Issue No. 5.

19           **MR. BREMAN:** Issue 5 asks what's the  
20 reasonable projected expenses for 2015 and the estimated  
21 true-up amount? Based on the record and staff's  
22 verification of FPL's calculation, staff believes FPL  
23 estimated an under-recovery -- is properly calculated  
24 \$6,101,628.

25           **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners, thoughts or



1 comments on Issue No. 5? I'll entertain a motion.

2 **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Move staff.

3 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Second.

4 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
5 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue No. 5. Any  
6 further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

7 (Vote taken.)

8 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
9 the staff recommendation on Issue No. 5.

10 Staff, Issue No. 6.

11 **MR. BREMAN:** Issue 6 asks whether or not FPL  
12 reasonably projected its 2016 costs. FPL is continuing  
13 forward with its licensing and permitting activities and  
14 expects to secure its COL, its combined operating  
15 license, in early 2017. Staff has reviewed FPL's  
16 calculations in the testimony in the record evidence and  
17 believes \$28,839,419 should be approved.

18 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioners, I'll  
19 entertain a motion on Issue No. 6.

20 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Move staff  
21 recommendation, Mr. Chairman.

22 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
23 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue No. 6. Any  
24 further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

25 (Vote taken.)

1 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
2 the Staff recommendation on Issue No. 6.

3 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Okay. Staff, Issue No. 17.

4 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Seven.

5 **MR. BREMAN:** Issue No. 7 is the wrap-up issue.

6 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** I skipped right over it.

7 **MR. BREMAN:** Yes, sir.

8 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Issue No. 7.

9 **MR. BREMAN:** Which is okay. Issue 7 is a  
10 wrap-up issue for the dollar amounts for the net  
11 recovery amount for 20 -- to be included in the 2016  
12 capacity cost recovery clause. Based on the resolution  
13 of prior issues, it's \$34,249,614.

14 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** So if we didn't approve  
15 this, they wouldn't get the \$34,249,614?

16 **MR. BREMAN:** Correct.

17 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Oh, okay. I wonder if they  
18 want that.

19 Commissioners? Commissioner Brown.

20 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** And what does that  
21 translate to a customer using 1,000 kilowatts per hour  
22 [sic] bill?

23 **MR. BREMAN:** The estimated amount is about 34  
24 cents per 1,000 kWh residential.

25 **COMMISSIONER BROWN:** Okay. And showing that a

1 lot of time, energy, and -- of our staff, of the parties  
2 and the intervening parties, Mr. Chairman, I would move  
3 Issue 7, staff recommendation for the total amount.

4 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved.

5 Commissioner Edgar.

6 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

7 And I would just note that for Issues 4, 5, 6, and  
8 7 that after all of the audits were done -- the  
9 independent audit; our staff audit; in addition, a  
10 separate independent audit -- the feasibility analysis,  
11 the scenarios that -- for 4, 5, 6, and 7, much of that  
12 is formulaic, and I would second the motion.

13 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Okay. Staff recommendation  
14 has been moved and seconded for Issue No. 7. Any  
15 further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

16 (Vote taken.)

17 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
18 the staff recommendation on Issue No. 7.

19 Now we'll go to Issue 17.

20 **MS. BARRERA:** Yes, Commissioner. This is the  
21 always ever popular "Should this docket be closed?" And  
22 the NCRC is an ongoing docket and it should remain open.

23 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** Commissioner Edgar.

24 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 As we're about to take up the last issue for this docket

1 today, and I recognize that the recommendation, with  
2 which I concur, is to leave the docket open, recognizing  
3 that this is a multi-year process of which we are  
4 somewhere in the middle, before we wrap it up, I would  
5 like to recognize that -- the requirements of the  
6 statute. I believe it was passed in 2006. At that  
7 point in time, the Commission took steps to adopt -- to  
8 hold rule workshops, adopt rules to implement what was  
9 basically a first-of-its-kind for the State of Florida  
10 statute.

11 In 2013, the Legislature amended the statute  
12 to add additional points of entry for our consideration  
13 and additional steps for review, part of which is what  
14 we are concluding for this year here today. But yet I  
15 think it's important to also recognize that language  
16 that's in the statute, 366.93(2), that was not amended  
17 when the Legislature reviewed this in 2013, and that is  
18 that alternative cost recovery mechanisms for the  
19 recovery of costs incurred in the siting, design,  
20 licensing, and construction of a nuclear power plant,  
21 including new, expanded, or relocated electrical  
22 transmission lines and facilities that are necessary  
23 thereto, must be designed to promote utility investment  
24 in nuclear, and I think that that's what is occurring  
25 here today. So, Mr. Chairman, with that, I would move

1 approval of Issue 17, staff rec.

2 **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Second.

3 **CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:** It's been moved and  
4 seconded, staff recommendation on Issue No. 17. Any  
5 further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye.

6 (Vote taken.)

7 Any opposed? By your action, you've approved  
8 the staff recommendation on Issue No. 17.

9 Staff, I want to thank you very much for the  
10 time you put into this, the Intervenors as well, and for  
11 the utility as well. I know this is never an easy  
12 process, and I do appreciate all the effort that goes  
13 into it.

14 That all being said -- everyone is looking at  
15 me -- I believe we're adjourned. Okay. We will have IA  
16 here in this room in ten minutes, so that would be at  
17 12:40 -- 12:42 by my clock in the back of the room.

18 Thank you.

19 (Special Agenda concluded 12:32 p.m.)  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 STATE OF FLORIDA )  
2 COUNTY OF LEON ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

3  
4 I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission  
5 Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing  
6 proceeding was heard at the time and place herein  
7 stated.

8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I  
9 stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the  
10 same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;  
11 and that this transcript constitutes a true  
12 transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,  
14 employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor  
15 am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'  
16 attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I  
17 financially interested in the action.

18 DATED THIS 26th day of October, 2015.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  


---

LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR  
FPSC Official Hearings Reporter  
(850) 413-6734