		000001
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 140175-WU
5	APPLICATION FOR S	
6	RATE CASE IN PASCO CRESTRIDGE UTILIT	
7		/
8	In the Matter of:	
9		DOCKET NO. 140177-WU
10	APPLICATION FOR S'	
11	HOLIDAY GARDENS U'	
12		/
13		
14	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NOS. 5 and 6
15	COMMISSIONERS	
16	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR
17		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
18		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS
19	DATE:	Thursday, December 3, 2015
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
21		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
22	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
23		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

-	
	_

PROCEEDINGS

5 and 6	CHAIRMAN	GRAHAM:	Okay.	So	we	are	on	Items
5 and 6								

MR. MOURING: Yes. Good afternoon,
Commissioners. I'm Curt Mouring with Commission staff.

Item 5 is staff's recommendation regarding the application for a staff-assisted rate case by Crestridge Utilities, LLC, and Item 6 on today's agenda is staff's recommendation regarding the application for a staff-assisted rate case by Holiday Gardens, LLC.

Let me start by staying staff has oral modifications that have been provided to the Commissioners and your aides. I'd also like to indicate that Mr. Smallridge, the owner of the utilities, is here to answer any questions that the Commissioners may have, and also that the Office of Public Counsel is here and wishes to make some comments on these items, and that staff is prepared to respond to any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you, staff.

Mr. Smallridge or Mr. Friedman, you have the floor.

MR. FRIEDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners. Marty Friedman, attorney for both
Holiday Gardens and Crestridge. And obviously to my

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

left is Mike Smallridge, who's the president of those companies or the manager of those companies.

Yeah, we generally agree with the staff recommendation. There's only one really point is that the staff did agree to a meter replacement program that is desperately needed because these utilities that Mr. Smallridge just bought and were approved at the last agenda, you know, had not been cared for by the prior owner probably ever. The meters are in poor shape and he's expediting the replacement of them. And our only comment would be to request that instead of having a ten-year meter replacement, that we expedite that meter replacement program for both these utilities over five years.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. OPC.

MS. ROTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Danielle Roth for the Office of Public Counsel. With me is Ms. Denise Vandiver, and she's going to go ahead and address what Mr. Friedman just said a second ago.

MS. VANDIVER: Good morning -- or afternoon.

Yes, we had looked at -- when the staff had done their initial staff report on the expedited meter program, they had a short period of time. And I'm not necessarily adverse to a shorter time. My only concern is it's a significant increase in expenses that'll be

for a short period of time, and there's no concern that that would keep on going after the program was over and the customers would continue to pay for meter replacements when they're not being done anymore, and that would be my primary concern with the shorter period of time.

And Ms. Roth was going to address one other issue we had with the meter replacement program, and that was on the handout.

MS. ROTH: Yes. You should be receiving two handouts. They're pretty much identical, just the number would be different, the amount of money for the annual expenses. This is if you follow staff's recommendation of the ten-year expedited meter replacement program. This would be -- either way, but this was addressing the ten-year.

And the only comment that we wish to make at OPC was if the expedited program is approved for both programs, that would be a \$3,043 annual expense for Holiday Gardens. That would be a \$5,981 annual expense for Crestridge Utilities. If the expedited program is approved, OPC submits the Commission's order should specifically reflect that these new meters are not to be capitalized or included in rate base in future rate cases. And that's all we had to say for those two

issues. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Friedman.

MR. FRIEDMAN: We don't disagree with -- if we're expensing the meters, we would also capitalize them. I think we would concur with that.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Would you say that again, please? Thank you very much.

MR. FRIEDMAN: You don't hear me agree with the dark side a whole lot, do you?

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioners.

Commissioner Brown.

question on Issue 6 for both of the items, 5 and 6.

They're almost duplicate, verbatim, minus the changes in numbers, so could you walk us through? It was missing a few facts under the salaries and wages on page 12 of the first item, 5. It doesn't say how many employees they have. Staff is recommending an increase from \$27,000 that was reported during the test year. They're recommending now 70,000, and there's just not enough information in here that explains why you're recommending that huge increase.

MR. MOURING: Yes, Commissioner. In 601,
Account 601, that's salary, wages, employees, that
actually represents the allocation of four different

employees. These are allocated, some of them are, 1 between just Crestridge and Holiday. Some of them are 2 3 allocated to all of the different systems that Mr. Smallridge owns and operates. 4 COMMISSIONER BROWN: How many are there, how 5 many systems? Nine? 6 7 MR. MOURING: I believe it's nine. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. And so there's 8 9 four full-time employees. MR. MOURING: Yes, ma'am. 10 11 COMMISSIONER BROWN: All employees have 12 benefits. 13 MR. MOURING: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. And then under 15 contractual services other, you have -- I have no idea what this other is. It doesn't say anything in there 16 17 other than it's a \$31,000 requested amount that was recorded during the test year. You reduced it a little 18 19 bit to 29,000. What is this? MR. MOURING: I would also like to point out 20 21 that this contractual services other is touched on in 22 staff's oral modification. But these costs include the 23 meter replacement -- I'm sorry, not the meter 2.4 replacement program.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Can you go -- can you

25

1 read that oral modification?

MR. MOURING: Certainly.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Specifically that issue.

MR. MOURING: It relates to the removal of expenses that are actually associated with the prior owner. This utility in this rate case had a split test year. A portion of the test year was the old owner's operation, a portion of it was the new owner's operation, and there was one that was inadvertently included that belonged to the old owner, and that was a reduction.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: But what is that actual -- what's that contractual amount? What's that contracted purpose? What service is it providing?

MR. MOURING: That was removed or that's
included?

COMMISSIONER BROWN: That's included.

MR. MOURING: That's included is meter reading -- I'm sorry. I had that. Meter poles was part of that. I apologize. I don't have that -- the specifics of that right here in front of me. I believe Mr. Smallridge could probably answer that right off the top of his head.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Smallridge, how are you doing?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Okay. Good afternoon. The previous owner used a couple of different people to perform tasks on the utility. He -- they sometimes used a plumber to do connections and disconnections, sometimes the operator did it. There are some 811 locates that are in there. So I'm trying to bring all that in-house because I requested a new position for a -- I'm calling it a maintenance person for, just for Holiday Gardens and Crestridge to be able to expedite all the things that need to be done out there. So it was considerably cheaper to bring that in-house as opposed to have all these outside people doing it.

They would contract work out to people when people were available, so they use a lot of multiple people. A lot of times plumbers or even some handymen sometimes just to do various tasks, and so I'm trying to change that operation to be more effective with it and efficient.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Good. Good. And is that position above the four employees?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Well, one of the -- before I bought Holiday Gardens and Crestridge, I had one person in the office doing billing and customer service and a lot of other things. But when I bought Holiday Gardens and Crestridge there was already an employee doing that

1	same work there, so I inherited that employee. So I've
2	just kept it as it is. So we have one customer service
3	billing person, you know, doing just for Holiday Gardens
4	and Crestridge, and then the other person that I had
5	originally was doing the rest of the utilities.
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Are you going to keep
7	that person as a contract employee or a full-time
8	employee under
9	MR. SMALLRIDGE: The only contract employee
10	for Holiday Gardens and Crestridge is the operator.
11	Everything else I'm going to bring in-house.
12	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Those are all my
13	questions.
14	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Was that a motion?
15	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Unless other
16	Commissioners have questions, I would move approval of
17	all issues on the staff recommendation.
18	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: On Item 5?
19	COMMISSIONER BROWN: 5 and 6.
20	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been staff
21	recommendations on all issues on
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: With the oral
23	modifications.
24	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: with the oral
25	modifications on all issues on Item 5 and Item 6, it's

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

been moved and seconded. Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor, say aye. (Vote taken.) Mary Anne, are you okay? MS. HELTON: Yes, sir. I just -- I'm sorry. I had stepped out, so I didn't hear the beginning of the discussion. And I know someone from OPC passed this out, and so I didn't know whether we were going to reflect this in the order. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Any opposed? By your action, you've approved the Brown motion. (Agenda item concluded.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 10th day of December, 2015.
13	BATED THIS TOUT day of becember, 2013.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

Crestridge Utilities, LLC. Docket 140175-WU

Issue 6 of the staff recommendation (page 13) Miscellaneous Expense

- Expedited meter replacement program
 - o \$5,981 annual expense to replace 57 meters per year over a ten year period
- Meters traditionally are a capital cost and are reflected in rate base
- If the expedited program is approved, OPC submits the Commission's Order should specifically reflect that these new meters are <u>not</u> to be capitalized or included in rate base in future rate cases

We recommend that the order reflect that these costs should not be capitalized or included in rate base in future rate cases.

Parties/Staff Handout
Internal Affairs/Agenda
on 12/3/15
Item No. 5

Holiday Gardens Utilities, LLC. Docket 140177-WU

Issue 6 of the staff recommendation (page 13) Miscellaneous Expense

- Expedited meter replacement program
 - o \$3,043 annual expense to replace 29 meters per year over a ten year period
- Meters traditionally are a capital cost and are reflected in rate base
- If the expedited program is approved, OPC submits the Commission's Order should specifically reflect that these new meters are <u>not</u> to be capitalized or included in rate base in future rate cases

We recommend that the order reflect that these costs should not be capitalized or included in rate base in future rate cases.

Parties/Staff Handout
Internal Affairs/Agenda
on 12 / 3 / 15
Item No. 6