BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Nuclear Cost Recovery DOCKET NO. 160009-EI

Clause

Submitted for filing:

March 1, 2016

REDACTED

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS G. FOSTER IN SUPPORT OF ACTUAL COSTS

ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC

IN RE: NUCLEAR COST RECOVERY CLAUSE BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC

FPSC DOCKET NO. 160009-EI

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS G. FOSTER

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Thomas G. Foster. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St.
 Petersburg, FL 33701.

5

6

7

1

2

- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- **A.** I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC, as Director, Rates and Regulatory Planning.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. What are your responsibilities in that position?
- A. I am responsible for regulatory planning and cost recovery for Duke Energy

 Florida, LLC ("DEF"). These responsibilities include regulatory financial
 reports and analysis of state, federal, and local regulations and their impact on

 DEF. In this capacity, I am also responsible for the Levy Nuclear Project
 ("LNP") and the Crystal River Unit 3 ("CR3") Extended Power Uprate ("EPU")

 Project ("CR3 Uprate") Cost Recovery filings made as part of this docket in

accordance with Rule 25-6.0423, Florida Administrative Code ("F.A.C.").

Q. Please describe your educational background and professional experience.

I joined the Company on October 31, 2005 as a Senior Financial Analyst in the Regulatory group. In that capacity I supported the preparation of testimony and exhibits associated with various Dockets. In late 2008, I was promoted to Supervisor Regulatory Planning. In 2012, following the merger with Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy"), I was promoted to my current position. Prior to working at Duke Energy I was the Supervisor in the Fixed Asset group at Eckerd Drug. In this role I was responsible for ensuring proper accounting for all fixed assets as well as various other accounting responsibilities. I have 6 years of experience related to the operation and maintenance of power plants obtained while serving in the United States Navy as a Nuclear Operator. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Nuclear Engineering Technology from Thomas Edison State College. I received a Masters of Business Administration with a focus on finance from the University of South Florida and I am a Certified Public Accountant in the State of Florida.

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present for Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC" or the "Commission") review and approval of CR3 Uprate project activities for the period January 2015 through December 2015. Pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C., DEF is presenting testimony and exhibits for the Commission's determination of prudence for actual expenditures and associated carrying costs for the CR3 Uprate project. I will also present the LNP and CR3 Uprate project 2015

accounting and cost oversight policies and procedures pursuant to the nuclear cost
recovery statute and rule. Additionally, I present the actual costs associated with

DEF's LNP for the period January 2015 through December 2015. Pursuant to the
terms of the Stipulation approved by this Commission in Order No. PSC-15-0521FOF-EI, DEF is not seeking a prudence determination for its 2015 LNP costs in this
proceeding; rather the 2015 LNP costs are being provided for informational purposes
only.

- Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in support of your testimony on the 2015 LNP and CR3 Uprate project costs?
- **A.** Yes. I am sponsoring sections of the following exhibits, which were prepared under my supervision:

2015 Costs:

- Exhibit No. __ (TGF-1), reflects the actual costs associated with the LNP and consists of: 2015 True-Up Summary, 2015 Detail Schedule and Appendices A through E, which reflect DEF's retail revenue requirements for the LNP from January 2015 through December 2015; however, I will only be sponsoring the 2015 True-Up Summary, portions of the 2015 Detail Schedule, and Appendices A, B and C. Christopher Fallon will be co-sponsoring portions of the 2015 Detail Schedule and sponsoring Appendices D and E.
- Exhibit No. ____ (TGF-2), reflects the actual costs associated with the CR3 Uprate project and consists of: 2015 True-Up Summary, 2015 Detail Schedule and Appendices A through E, which reflect DEF's retail revenue requirements for the CR3 Uprate project from January 2015 through December 2015; however, I will

only be sponsoring the 2015 True-Up Summary, portions of the 2015 Detail Schedule, and Appendices A, B, and C. Mark Teague will be co-sponsoring the 2015 Detail Schedule and sponsoring Appendices D and E.

The 2015 Detail Schedules for the LNP and the CR3 Uprate project contain the same calculations provided in the Nuclear Filing Requirement ("NFR") Schedules prior to project cancellation in a more concise manner. The Company relies on the information included in the testimony in the conduct of its affairs.

These exhibits are true and accurate.

Q. What are the 2015 Detail Schedules and the Appendices?

- **A.** Schedule 2015 Summary reflects the actual 2015 year-end revenue requirements by Cost Category for the period, and final true-up amount for the period.
 - Schedule 2015 Detail reflects the actual calculations for the true-up of total retail revenue requirements for the period.
 - Appendix A (CR3 Uprate) reflects beginning balance explanations, support for adjustments previously addressed in my May 1, 2015 testimony, and various
 CR3 Uprate in-service project revenue requirements.
 - Appendix A (Levy) reflects beginning balance explanations and support for an adjustment previously addressed in my May 1, 2015 testimony.
 - Appendix B reflects Other Exit/Wind Down expenditure variance explanations for the period.
 - Appendix C provides support for the appropriate rate of return consistent with the provisions of Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- Appendix D describes Major Task Categories for expenditures and variance explanations for the period.
- Appendix E reflects contracts executed in excess of \$1.0 million (if any).

Q. What is the source of the data that you will present in your testimony and exhibits in this proceeding?

- A. The actual data is taken from the books and records of DEF. The books and records are kept in the regular course of our business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), and any accounting rules and orders established by this Commission.
- Q. What is the final true-up amount for the LNP for the period January 2015 through December 2015?
- A. The final true-up for the calendar period ending December 2015 is an over-recovery of (\$733,697). This amount can be seen on Line 3 of the 2015 Summary Schedule of Exhibit No. ____ (TGF-1). Line 1 of the 2015 Summary represents current period exit and wind down costs (including the sale of Long Lead Equipment ("LLE")), carrying costs on the unrecovered investment balance (including prior period (over)/under recovery balances), as well as the revenue requirements associated with an other-adjustment previously discussed in my May 1, 2015 testimony, and was calculated in accordance with Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

What is the final true-up amount for the CR3 Uprate project for which DEF is 1 requesting recovery for the period January 2015 through December 2015? 2 DEF is requesting approval of a total over-recovery amount of (\$2,535,876) for the 3 calendar period of January 2015 through December 2015. This amount can be seen 4 on Line 3 of the 2015 Summary of Exhibit No. ___ (TGF-2). Line 1 of the 2015 5 Summary represents the current period exit and wind down costs (including the sale 6 of EPU assets), carrying costs on the unrecovered balance including prior period 7 (over)/under recovery balances, as well as the revenue requirements associated with 8 9 the other-adjustments which were previously discussed in my May 1, 2015 testimony, and various in-service projects, and was calculated in accordance with 10 Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.. 11 12 What is the carrying cost rate used in the 2015 Detail Schedule? 13 Q. For both the CR3 Uprate and the LNP, DEF is using the rate specified in Rule 25-14 6.0423(7)(b), F.A.C. The carrying cost rate used for this time period in the 2015 15 Detail Schedule was 6.95 percent. On a pre-tax basis, the rate is 10.08 percent. This 16 17 annual rate was also adjusted to a monthly rate consistent with the Allowance For

19

20

21

22

23

18

Nos.___(TGF-1) and (TGF-2).

Q. Has DEF changed how it is applying the carrying cost rate under Rule 25 6.0423(7)(b) since 2014?

Funds Used During Construction ("AFUDC") rule, Rule 25-6.0141(3), F.A.C.

Support for the components of this rate is shown in Appendix C of Exhibit

A. Yes, as described in my May 1, 2015 testimony, DEF has updated the rate annually based on the prior year December surveillance report. Consequently, DEF has applied this methodology and included an adjustment on the LNP 2015 Revenue Requirement Detail Schedule and on the CR3 Uprate 2015 Revenue Requirement Detail Schedule to recognize the impact of this change on reported 2014 carrying costs. This change reduces the carrying costs in 2015.

III. COSTS INCURRED IN 2015 FOR THE LEVY NUCLEAR PROJECT.

- Q. What are the total retail costs DEF incurred for the LNP during the period January 2015 through December 2015?
- A. The total retail costs for the LNP are \$1.8 million for the calendar year ended December 2015, as reflected on 2015 Summary Schedule Line 1e in Exhibit No_(TGF-1). This amount includes (\$4.2) million in exit/wind-down costs, sales of assets credits, and adjustments as can be seen on the 2015 Detail schedule on Lines 5a, 5e and 19d, and \$6 million for the carrying costs on the unrecovered investment balance shown on the 2015 Detail schedule on Line 8d and on Line 4 on the 2015 Detail LLE Deferred Balance schedule. These amounts were calculated in accordance with the provisions of Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.

- Q. How did actual Generation expenditures for January 2015 through December2015 compare with DEF's actual/estimated costs for 2015?REDACTED
- A. Appendix D (Page 2 of 2), Line 4 shows that total Generation project costs were lower than estimated. By cost category, major cost

1		variances between DEF's projected and actual 2015 LNP Generation project costs
2		are as follows: REDACTED
3		
4		Wind-Down Costs: Expenditures for Wind-Down activities were
5		lower than estimated, as explained in the testimony of Christopher Fallon.
6		
7		Sale or Salvage of Assets: Revenues for Sale of Assets activities were
8		or higher than estimated, as explained in the testimony of Christopher
9		Fallon.
10		
11	Q.	What was the source of the separation factors used in the 2015 Detail Schedule?
12	A.	The jurisdictional separation factors are consistent with Exhibit 1 of the Revised and
13		Restated Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("2013 Settlement Agreement")
14		approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-13-0598-FOF-EI in Docket No
15		130208-EI.
16		
17	IV.	OTHER EXIT/WIND-DOWN COSTS INCURRED IN 2015 FOR THE LEVY
18		NUCLEAR PROJECT.
19	Q.	How did actual Other Exit/Wind-Down expenditures for January 2015 through
20		December 2015 compare with DEF's actual/estimated costs for 2015?
21	A.	Appendix B, Line 4 shows that total Other Exit/Wind-down costs were \$0.2 million
22		or \$41,749 lower than estimated. There were no major variances with respect to
23		these costs.
24		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

compare to DEF's actual/estimated costs for 2015?

A. Appendix D (Page 2 of 2), Line 4 shows that total project costs were (\$1.7) million or \$1.8 million lower than estimated. By cost category, major cost variances between DEF's actual/estimated and actual 2015 Generation Wind-Down and Disposition costs are as follows:

EPU Wind-Down: Expenditures for Wind-Down activities were \$0.9 million or \$0.6 million higher than estimated, as explained in the testimony of Mark Teague.

Sales or Salvage of Assets: Proceeds for sale, transfer and salvage of assets in 2015 were \$2.6 million or \$2.4 million higher than estimated as explained in the testimony of Mark Teague.

Q. Were there any true-up adjustments that needed to be made for the CR3 Uprate project?

A. Yes, as previously discussed in my May 1, 2015 testimony, there were two adjustments to be made. In 2015, DEF recognized that an incorrect calculation was made regarding the joint owner credit related to the previous year's sale of the POD asset. The second adjustment was a reduction to the carrying costs in 2014 and 2015, that resulted from DEF updating the carrying cost rate annually based on the prior year December surveillance report. Details of these calculations can be seen in Exhibit No. ____ (TGF-2), Appendix A. These adjustments, reflected on Line 2j in the 2015 Detail Schedule, along with the total shown on Line 19 in the 2015 Detail Schedule, make up the (\$228,787) presented on Line 1d in the 2015 Summary Schedule.

2

3

5

4

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

VII. 2015 PROJECT ACCOUNTING AND COST CONTROL OVERSIGHT.

- Have the project accounting and cost oversight controls DEF used for the LNP and CR3 Uprate projects in 2015 substantially changed from the controls used **prior to 2015?**
- No, they have not. The project accounting and cost oversight controls that DEF utilized to ensure the proper accounting treatment for the LNP and CR3 Uprate project in 2015 have not substantively changed since 2009. In addition, these controls have been reviewed in annual financial audits by Commission Staff and were found to be reasonable and prudent by the Commission in Docket Nos. 090009-EI, 100009-EI, 110009-EI, 120009-EI, 140009-EI, and 150009-EI.
- Can you please describe the project accounting and cost oversight controls 0. process DEF has utilized for the LNP and CR3 Uprate project?
- A. Yes. Starting at the initial approval stage, DEF continues to determine whether projects are capital based on the Company's Capitalization Policy and then projects are documented in PowerPlant.

The justifications and other supporting documentation are reviewed and approved by the Financial Services Manager, or delegate, based on input received from the Financial Services or Project Management Analyst to ensure that the project is properly classified as capital, eligibility for AFUDC is correct, and that disposals/retirements are identified. Supporting documentation is maintained within Financial Services or with the Project Management Analyst. Financial Services personnel, and selected other personnel (including project management analysts),

access this documentation to set-up new projects in PowerPlant or make changes to existing project estimates in PowerPlant. The PowerPlant system administrators review the transfer and termination information provided by Human Resources each pay period and take appropriate action regarding access to the systems.

An analyst in Asset Accounting must review and approve each project set up before it can receive charges. All future status changes are made directly in PowerPlant by an Asset Accounting Analyst based on information received by the Financial Services Analyst or the Project Management Analyst.

Finally, to ensure that all new projects have been reviewed each month, Financial Services Management reviews a report of all projects set up during the month prior to month-end close.

The next part of the Company's project controls is project monitoring.

First, there are monthly reviews of project charges by responsible operations managers and Financial Services Management for the organization. Specifically, these managers review various monthly cost and variance analysis reports for the capital budget. Variances from total budget or projections are reviewed, discrepancies are identified, and corrections made as needed. Journal entries to projects are prepared by an employee with the assigned security and are approved in accordance with the Journal Entry Policy. Accruals are made in accordance with Duke Energy policy.

The Company uses cost reports produced from accounting systems to complete these monthly reviews. Financial Services may produce various levels of reports driven by various levels of management, but all Nuclear project reporting is tied back to the total cost reporting for the Nuclear fleet, which is tied back to Legal Entity Financial Statements.

- Q. Are there any other accounting and costs oversight controls that pertain to the LNP and the CR3 Uprate project?
- **A.** Yes, the Company also has Disbursement Services Controls and Regulated Accounting Controls.

- Q. Can you please describe the Company's Disbursement Services Controls?
- A. Yes. First, a requisition is created in the Consolidated Asset Suite ("CAS")

 Contracts module for the purchase of services. The requisition is reviewed by the appropriate Contract Specialist in Corporate Services, or field personnel in the various Business Units, to ensure sufficient data has been provided to process the contract requisition. The Contract Specialist prepares the appropriate contract document from pre-approved contract templates in accordance with the requirements stated on the contract requisition.

The contract requisition then goes through the bidding or finalization process. Once the contract is ready to be executed, it is approved online by the appropriate levels of the approval matrix pursuant to the Approval Level Policy and a contract is created.

Contract invoices are received by the Accounts Payable Department. The invoices are then routed through the Workflow Approval process in CAS to the project manager for validation and approval for payment.

Q. Can you please describe the Company's Regulated Accounting Controls?

A. Yes. The journal entries for deferral calculations, along with the summary sheets and the related support, are reviewed in detail and approved by the Lead Accounting Analyst and/or Manager of Florida Accounting, pursuant to the Duke Energy Journal Entry policy. The detail review and approval ensures that recoverable expenses are identified, accurate, processed, and accounted for in the appropriate accounting period.

Analysis is performed monthly to compare actuals to projected (budgeted) expenses and revenues for reasonableness. If any errors are identified, they are corrected in the following month.

For balance sheet accounts established with Regulated Utilities, Florida Accounting is the responsible party and a Florida Accounting member will reconcile the account on a monthly or quarterly basis, as required by Duke Energy policy. This reconciliation will be reviewed by the Lead Accounting Analyst or Manager of Florida Accounting to ensure that the balance in the account is properly stated and supported and that the reconciliations are performed regularly and exceptions are resolved on a timely basis.

The review and approval will ensure that regulatory assets or liabilities are recorded in the financial statements at the appropriate amounts and in the appropriate accounting period.

Q. How does the Company verify that the accounting and costs oversight controls you identified are effective?

A. The Company's assessment of the effectiveness of our controls is based on the framework established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). This framework involves both internal and external audits of DEF accounting and cost oversight controls.

With respect to management's testing of internal controls over financial reporting, the Internal Controls Group within the Controller's Department facilitates the review of controls documentation and management testing. Based on this testing, management determines whether the controls are operating effectively. If any control is identified with a design deficiency or is determined to be operating ineffectively, such issues are logged and monitored for remediation by the Internal Controls Group.

With respect to external audits, Deloitte and Touche, DEF's external auditors, determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting during 2015.

- Q. Did the cancellation of the LNP and CR3 Uprate project change the Company's accounting and cost oversight control processes?
- A. No. DEF continued to follow the same policies and processes as I described above to ensure prudent accounting and cost oversight for the projects as they are being closed out.

Q. Are the Company's project accounting and cost oversight controls reasonable and prudent?

A. Yes, they are. DEF's project accounting and cost oversight controls are consistent with best practices for project cost oversight and accounting controls in the industry and have been and continue to be vetted by internal and external auditors. We believe, therefore, that the accounting and cost oversight controls continue to be reasonable and prudent.

Q. What process have you implemented to ensure that 2015 costs related to the LNP Combined Operating License ("COL") are not included in the NCRC?

A. As discussed by Mr. Fallon, on a project team level DEF has always segregated project costs incurred by specific project code and this process did not change for 2015. The project team continues to charge COL-related labor, Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") fees, vendor invoices and all other COL-related cost items to the applicable COL project codes. The Florida Regulated Accounting and Rates and Regulatory Strategy groups have ensured that the COL-related project codes and associated costs incurred in 2015 and beyond were not included in the Company's NCRC Schedules, and thus not presented for nuclear cost recovery. We continue to track the COL-related costs for accounting purposes consistent with the 2013 Settlement Agreement.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- **A.** Yes, it does.

Docket No. 160009-El Duke Energy Florida Exhibit No. ____(TGF-1)

SCHEDULE APPENDIX

REDACTED

EXHIBIT (TGF-1)

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC. LEVY NUCLEAR UNITS 1 & 2 COMMISSION SCHEDULES

JANUARY 2015 - DECEMBER 2015 DOCKET NO. 160009-EI

Table of Contents Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 January 2015 - December 2015

Page(s)	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Description</u>	Sponsor
3	2015 Summary	2015 Summary	T. G. Foster
4	2015 Detail	2015 Detail Revenue Requirement Calculations	T. G. Foster / C. Fallon
5	2015 Detail	2015 Detail Revenue Requirement Calculations - LLE Deferred Balance	T. G. Foster / C. Fallon
6	Appendix A	Detail for 2015 Beginning Balance & Adjustment Support	T. G. Foster
7	Appendix B	Other Exit / Wind-Down Expense Variance Explanation	T. G. Foster
8	Appendix C	Average Rate of Return - Capital Structure	T. G. Foster
9	Appendix D	Major Task Categories and Expense Variances	C. Fallon
10	Appendix E	Summary of Contracts and Details over \$1 Million	C. Fallon

2015 Summary Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 January 2015 - December 2015 Duke Energy Florida Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF- 1)

		12-Month Total
1.	Final Costs for the Period a. Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment b. Period Exit / Wind-down Costs (including sale of LLE) c. Period Other Exit / Wind-down Cost and Interest d. Other - Adjustment e. Total Period Revenue Requirement	\$ 5,977,302 (2015 Detail Line 8d. & 2015 LLE Detail Line 3d.) (4,312,069) (2015 Detail Line 5a.) 195,460 (2015 Detail Line 19d.) (90,860) (2015 Detail Line 5e.) \$ 1,769,833
2.	Projected Amount for the Period (January - April) (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) (Jan-April) (I.e. \$3.45 / 1000 Kwh Residential) (Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) (May-Dec) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)	\$ 2,503,530 (2015 Detail Lines: 10 and 20)
3.	Final True-Up Amount for the Period (over)/under (Line 1e Line 2.)	2.) \$ (733,697)
4.	2015 Revenue Requirement Collected (January - April) (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) (Jan-April) (I.e. \$3.45 / 1000 Kwh Residential) (Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) (May-Dec) (\$0.00 / 1000 Kwh)	\$ 36,438,940 (2015 Detail Lines: 6g + 10 + 16 + 20 - 6e)
	The summary below shows the uncollected balance as of December 31, 2015	
5.	Uncollected Regulatory Asset (Non-\$54M Deferred Amount)	\$ 489,907 (2015 Detail Lines: 6i + 15 + 21)
6.	Carrying Cost on \$54M Deferral (May 2015 - December 2015) (Retail)	3,153,738 (2015 LLE Detail Line 3d.)
7.	Uncollected Balance \$54M Deferral (Retail)	50,275,957 (2015 LLE Detail Line 1a.)
8.	Total Uncollected Balance at Year End 2015 (Lines: 5. + 6. + 7.)	7.) \$ 53,919,601

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) - Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 2015 Detail - Calculation of the Revenue Requirements January 2015 through December 2015

			Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Period	End of
Line 1	Description Uncollected Investment : Generation		Period Amount	January 2015	February 2015	March 2015	April 2015	May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	August 2015	September 2015	October 2015	November 2015	December 2015	Total	Period Total
_	a Prior Period Construction Balance YE 2014																
	b Wind-Down Costs c Sale or Salvage of Assets																
	d Disposition e Total																
	REDAC	CTED															
2	Adjustments a Non-Cash Accruals																
	b Adjusted System Generation (Line 1e + Line 2a)c Retail Jurisdictional Factor : Generation	92.885%															
	d Retail Uncollected Investment: Generation	92.883%															
3	Uncollected Investment : Transmission	_															
	a Prior Period Construction Balance YE 2014 b Wind-Down Costs																
	c Sale or Salvage of Assets																
	d Disposition e Total																
4	Adjustments																
	a Non-Cash Accruals																
	b Adjusted System Transmission (Line 3e + Line 4a) c Retail Jurisdictional Factor : Transmission	70.203%															
	d Retail Uncollected Investment: Transmission	•															
5	Total Uncollected Investment a Total Jurisdictional Uncollected Investment (2d + 4d)		224,062,889	10,416	3,461	1,110	5,940	(149,729)	8,298	10,896	3,776	3,491	1,674	12,283	(4,223,684)	(4,312,069)	219,750,820
	b Retail Land Transferred to Land Held for Future Use (a) c LLE Deferred Balance (c)		(66,221,330)	0	0	0	0	0 (50,275,957)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(50,275,957)	(66,221,330) (50,275,957)
	d Total Jurisdictional Uncollected Investment	_	157,841,559	10,416	3,461	1,110	5,940	(50,425,686)	8,298	10,896	3,776	3,491	1,674	12,283	(4,223,684)	(54,588,026)	103,253,533
	e WACC Adjustment from 2014 (Adjustment to May 2015 Rev Req) (b)		0	0	0	0	0	(90,860)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(90,860)	0
6	Carrying Cost on Uncollected Investment Balance a Uncollected Investment: Additions for the Period (Beg Balance: 2015)	5 Detail Line 5d.)	157,841,559	10,416	3,461	1,110	5,940	(50,425,686)	8,298	10,896	3,776	3,491	1,674	12,283	(4,223,684)	(54,588,026)	103,253,533
	b Plant-in-Service (a)	,	1,010,952	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,010,952
	c Period Recovered Wind-down / Exit Costs (2014) d Period Recovered Wind-down / Exit Costs (2015)		9,816,636 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(4,312,069)	9,816,636 (4,312,069)
	e Additional Amortization of Uncollected Investment Balance (2014-201	15)	(46,864,516)	(9,447,248) (10,432,915)	(9,447,248) (9,484,468)	(9,447,248) (8,536,021)	(9,447,248) (7,587,574)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(37,788,992)	(84,653,508)
	f Prior Period Carrying Charge Unrecovered Balance (a) g Prior Period Carrying Charge Recovered (a)		(11,381,362) (11,381,362)	(10,432,913)	(9,464,466) (948,447)	(8,336,021)	(7,587,574) (948,447)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(3,793,787)	(7,587,574)
	h Over/Under Prior Period i Net Investment	_	\$88,768,093	\$80,279,708	(3,444) \$71,770,508	(9,057) \$63,260,299	(10,093) \$54,756,235	(3,928) \$4,320,681	(73) \$4,478,635	44,737 \$4,525,970	47,710 \$4,566,561	40,951 \$4,607,227	40,996 \$4,646,406	39,507 \$4,696,523	50,481 \$511,036	(3,964,535)	(3,964,535) \$532,396
7	Average Net Investment			\$84,523,901	\$76,018,178	\$67,509,144	\$59,002,665	\$29,533,524	\$4,474,486	\$4,520,522	\$4,564,673	\$4,605,481	\$4,645,569	\$4,690,381	\$2,622,878		
8	Return on Average Net Investment																
	a Equity Component b Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	0.00403 1.62800		340,631 554,548	306,353 498,743	272,062 442,917	237,781 387,108	119,020 193,765	18,032 29,356	18,218 29,659	18,396 29,949	18,560 30,216	18,722 30,479	18,902 30,772	10,570 17,208	1,397,247 2,274,720	
	c Debt Component d Total Return for the Period	0.00158	_	133,801 688,349	120,337 619,080	106,867 549,784	93,401 480,509	46,752 240,517	7,083 36,439	7,156 36,815	7,226 37,175	7,290 37,506	7,354 37,833	7,425 38,197	4,152 21,360	548,844 2,823,564	
0									·	•	-	•	•				
9	Revenue Requirements for the Period (Line 5e + 6a + 8d) (b)			698,765	622,541	550,894	486,449	(73)	44,737	47,710	40,951	40,996	39,507	50,481	(4,202,324)	(1,579,365)	
10	Projected Revenue Requirements for the Period (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)			702,209	631,598	560,987	490,377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,385,171	
11	(Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) Over/Under Recovery For the Period		_	(3,444)	(9,057)	(10,093)	(3,928)	(73)	44,737	47,710	40,951	40,996	39,507	50,481	(4,202,324)	(3,964,535)	
																_	
12	Other Exit / Wind-Down a Accounting			3,029	2,926	2,458	2,410	2,617	2,866	2,144	0	0	341	2,504	1,948	\$23,243	
	b Corporate Planning			2,280	7,570	7,714	11,050	4,861	7,176	4,607	1,065	144	309	310	3,045	\$50,131	
	c Legal d Joint Owner Credit			320 0	16,721 0	31,252 0	30,456 0	16,618 0	5,979 0	19,304 0	13,676 0	1,902 0	0	0	184 0	\$136,412 0	
	e Total Other Exit / Wind-Down Costs		_	5,629	27,217	41,424	43,916	24,096	16,021	26,055	14,741	2,046	650	2,814	5,177	\$209,786	
13 14	Jurisdictional Factor (A&G) Jurisdictional Amount			0.93221 5,247	0.93221 25,372	0.93221 38,616	0.93221 40,939	0.93221 22,463	0.93221 14,935	0.93221 24,289	0.93221 13,742	0.93221 1,907	0.93221 606	0.93221 2,623	0.93221 4,826	195,565	
			(470.205)									·				193,303	
15 16	Prior Period Unrecovered Balance (a) Prior Period Costs Recovered (a)		(179,385)	(164,436) (14,949)	(149,488) (14,949)	(134,539) (14,949)	(119,590) (14,949)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(119,590)	(59,795)	
17	Prior Month Period (Over)/Under Recovery			0	(24,355)	(4,230)	9,014	11,342	22,453	14,928	24,282	13,736	1,902	601	2,617		
18	Unamortized Balance		(179,385)	(164,436)	(173,843)	(163,124)	(139,161)	(127,820)	(105,367)	(90,438)	(66,157)	(52,421)	(50,519)	(49,918)	(47,301)		
19	Projected Carrying Costs for the Period a Balance Eligible for Interest			(169,287)	(168,631)	(151,291)	(126,166)	(116,588)	(97,899)	(78,294)	(59,286)	(51,467)	(50,216)	(48,606)	(44,888)		
	b Monthly Commercial Paper Rate			0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.03%		
	c Interest Provision d Total Costs and Interest (Line 14 + Line 19c)		_	(14) 5,233	(13) 25,359	(11) 38,605	(6) 40,933	(10) 22,453	(7) 14,928	(7) 24,282	(5) 13,736	(6) 1,902	(5) 601	(6) 2,617	(15) 4,811	(105) 195,460	
20	Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)			29,589	29,589	29,590	29,591	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118,359	
21	(Order No. PSC-15-0176-TRF-EI) Over/Under Recovery For the Period		_	(24,355)	(4,230)	9,014	11,342	22,453	14,928	24,282	13,736	1,902	601	2,617	4,811	77,100	
22	Revenue Requirements for the Period (Line 9 + Line 19d)			703,998	647,900	589,499	527,381	22,380	59,665	71,992	54,687	42,898	40,108	53,098	(4,197,513)	(1,383,905)	
22	Actonia Requirements for the Feriod (Line 3 + Line 130)			103,330	U-7,300	303 ₇ 3 33	<i>321,</i> 301	22,300	33,003	1 1,332	J-,00 <i>1</i>	72,030	70,100	33,030	(7,10,13)	(±,505,505)	

(a) See Appendix A for Beginning Balance Support(b) 2014 WACC Adjustment (Amount includes interest Jan-May 2015)(c) This amount represents deferral of \$54M as contemplated in DEF's March 2, 2015 Petition.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) - Levy Nuclear Units 1 & 2 2015 Detail - Calculation of the Revenue Requirements - LLE Deferred Balance January 2015 through December 2015

Witness: T.G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF- 1)

			Beginning of	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Period	End of
Line	Description		Period Amount	January 2015	February 2015	March 2015	April 2015	May 2015	June 2015	July 2015	August 2015	September 2015	October 2015	November 2015	December 2015	Total	Period Total
1	Uncollected Investment : LLE Deferred Balance																_
	a Uncollected Investment: LLE Deferred Balance (\$54M System)		0	0	0	0	0	50,275,957	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50,275,957	50,275,957
	b Prior Period Carrying Charge Unrecovered Balance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Prior Period Carrying Charge Recovered		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	d Over/Under Prior Period	_			0	0	0	0	204,719	411,107	414,455	417,830	421,233	424,664	428,122	3,153,738	3,153,738
	e Net Investment	-	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,275,957	\$50,480,676	\$50,891,783	\$51,306,238	\$51,724,068	\$52,145,301	\$52,569,965	\$52,998,087		\$53,429,695
2	Average Net Investment		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,137,978	\$50,480,676	\$50,891,783	\$51,306,238	\$51,724,068	\$52,145,301	\$52,569,965	\$52,998,087		
3	Return on Average Net Investment																
	a Equity Component	0.00403		0	0	0	0	101,306	203,437	205,094	206,764	208,448	210,146	211,857	213,582	1,560,634	
	b Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes	1.62800		0	0	0	0	164,926	331,196	333,893	336,612	339,354	342,118	344,904	347,712	2,540,715	
	c Debt Component	0.00158		0	0	0	0	39,793	79,911	80,562	81,218	81,879	82,546	83,218	83,896	613,023	
	d Total Return for the Period			0	0	0	0	204,719	411,107	414,455	417,830	421,233	424,664	428,122	431,608	3,153,738	
4	Revenue Requirements for the Period (Line 3d)			0	0	0	0	204,719	411,107	414,455	417,830	421,233	424,664	428,122	431,608	3,153,738	
5	Projected Revenue Collected for the Period			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	Over/Under Recovery For the Period			0	0	0	0	204,719	411,107	414,455	417,830	421,233	424,664	428,122	431,608	3,153,738	

Appendix A Witness: Thomas G. Foster Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 1)

2015 Line No.

WACC Adjustment from 2014

	•	
5e.	Adjusted Dec Surveillance from	m 2012 to 2013- Staff Data Request LV-15-14

	Beginning Balance	Jan	Feb	March	April	May Adjustment
	(87,249)	(87,249)	(87,960)	(88,676)	(89,398)	(90,126)
Equity	0.00403	(352)	(354)	(357)	(360)	(363)
Eq -G/U	1.62800	(572)	(577)	(582)	(587)	(591)
Debt	0.00158	(138)	(139)	(140)	(142)	(143)
Total		(711)	(716)	(722)	(728)	(734)
					3	(90,860)

Unrecovered Investment Beginning Balance for Carrying	Cost Calculation	
6f. Prior Period Unrecovered Balance	\$	(11,381,36

Prior Period Carrying Charge Unrecovered Balance (4,647,273) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Line 6f.

Prior Period Under/(Over) Recovery (Prior Month) (6,734,088) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Line 6h.

Other Exit & Wind-Down Costs

15. Prior Period (Over)/Under Recovery \$ (179,385)

Prior Period (Over)/Under Recovery (79,819) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Line 15.

Over/Under Recovery For the Period (99,566) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Line 21.

LEVY COUNTY NUCLEAR 1 & 2 True-Up Filing: Other Exit / Wind-Down Expenditures Allocated or Assigned to Other Recovery Mechanisms

EXPLANATION: Provide variance explanations comparing the actual system total expenditures shown on 2015 Detail Schedule with the expenditures

provided to the Commission in the 2015 Detail Estimated / Actual Schedules.

Appendix B Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 1)

COMPANY:

Duke Energy Florida

DOCKET NO.:

DOORL	15000	09-EI					For Year Ended 12/31/2015
Line No.		Description	(A) System Estimated / Actual	(B) System Actual	(C) Variance Amount	(D) Explanation	
		nted or Assigned Exit / Wind-Down Expenditures					
	1	Accounting	\$80,000	\$23,243	(\$56,757) Fewer	hours for wind-down accounting activities than Estimated.	
	2	Corporate Planning	\$61,535	\$50,131		al variance from Estimated amounts	
	^		\$110,000	\$136,412	26.412 Minim	al variance from Estimated amounts	
	3	Legal	\$110,000	Ψ130,412	20,412	ai variance nom Esimaleu amounts	

Note:

System Estimated / Actual taken from May 1, 2015 Filing in Docket No. 150009-EI.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA Average Rate of Return - Capital Structure FPSC Adjusted Basis December 2014 Appendix C Witness: Thomas G. Foster Duke Energy Florida Docket No. 160009-EI (TGF - 1)

	System Per	Retail Per	Pro Rata	Specific	Adjusted	Cap	Low-Point		Mid-Point		High-Point	
	Books	Books	Adjustments	Adjustments	Retail	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	5,222,186,481	4,623,579,568	(812,717,155)	729,976,602	4,540,839,016	47.51%	9.50%	4.51%	10.50%	4.99%	11.50%	5.46%
Long Term Debt	4,640,661,936	4,108,713,810	(722,215,796)	0	3,386,498,014	35.44%	5.33%	1.89%	5.33%	1.89%	5.33%	1.89%
Short Term Debt *	83,881,000	74,265,919	(13,054,212)	164,565,046	225,776,753	2.36%	1.22%	0.03%	1.22%	0.03%	1.22%	0.03%
Customer Deposits											0	0
Active	216,296,806	216,296,806	(38,019,920)	0	178,276,886	1.87%	2.23%	0.04%	2.23%	0.04%	2.23%	0.04%
Inactive	1,651,583	1,651,583	(290,310)	0	1,361,273	0.01%						
Investment Tax Credits **	425,513	376,737	(66,222)	0	310,515	0.00%						
Deferred Income Taxes	2,119,038,625	1,876,138,228	(329,781,223)	(167,311,918)	1,379,045,088	14.43%						
FAS 109 DIT - Net	(212,931,026)	(188,523,245)	33,137,977	0	(155,385,267)	-1.63%						
Total	12,071,210,918	10,712,499,406	(1,883,006,858)	727,229,731	9,556,722,278	100.00%		6.47%		6.95%		7.42%

* Daily Weighted Average

** Cost Rates Calculated Per IRS Ruling

Equity 4.99%

Debt 1.96%

Total 6.95%

LEVY COUNTY NUCLEAR 1 & 2

Site Selection, Preconstruction Costs, and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance True-Up Filing: Description of Monthly Cost Additions

EXPLANATION: Provide a description of the major tasks performed within these Categories for the year.

List generation expenses separate from transmission

Appendix D Witness: C. Fallon Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 1)

COMPANY:

Duke Energy Florida

(Page 1 of 2)

DOCKET NO.:

160009-EI For Year Ended 12/31/2015

Line

Major Task & Description for amounts on 2015 Detail Schedule Description

Generation:

Wind-Down Costs Spend performed in accordance with Rule 25-6.0423(7). 1

2 Sale or Salvage of Assets The amount of proceeds received from either selling, transferring or otherwise receiving salvage value for the nuclear assets.

Disposition The cost of winding-down and exiting the nuclear project contracts

Transmission:

Wind-Down Costs Spend performed in accordance with Rule 25-6.0423(7).

Sale or Salvage of Assets The amount of proceeds received from either selling, transferring or otherwise receiving salvage value for the nuclear assets. 2

3 Disposition The cost of winding-down and exiting the nuclear project contracts

LEVY COUNTY NUCLEAR 1 & 2

Site Selection, Preconstruction Costs, and Carrying Costs on Construction Cost Balance True-Up Filing: Regulatory Asset Category - Variance in Additions and Expenditures

EXPLANATION: Provide variance explanations comparing the annual system total expenditures shown on 2015 Detail Schedule with the expenditures provided to the Commission on 2015 Estimated/Actual Detail schedule. List the Generation expenses separate from Transmission in the same order appearing on 2015 Detail Schedule.

Appendix D Witness: C. Fallon Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 1)

(Page 2 of 2)

REDACTED

COMPANY:

Duke Energy - FL

DOCKET NO.:

160009-EI

(A) (B) (C)
(D)

Line Major Task & Description System System Variance

Line No.	Major Task & Description for amounts on 2015 Detail Schedule	System Estimated / Actual	System Actual	Variance Amount	Explanation
	Generation:				
1	Wind-Down Costs				Minimal variance from Estimated amounts
2	Sale or Salvage of Assets				Additional sale of LLE, not included in the 2015 Estimate filed on May 1, 2015.
3	Disposition				
4	Total Generation Costs				
т	Fransmission:				
1	Wind-Down Costs (b)				
2	Sale or Salvage of Assets				
3	Disposition				

Note:

System Estimated / Actual taken from May 1, 2015 Filing in Docket No. 150009-EI.

Total Transmission Costs

LEVY COUNTY NUCLEAR 1 & 2 Actual Filing: Contracts Executed

COMPANY	Duke Energy Flo	REDACTED Appendix E Witness: C. Fallon Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 1)									
DOCKET N	NO.: 160009-EI										For Year Ended: 12/31/2015
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)
Line No.	Contract No.	Status of Contract	Term of Contract	Original Amount	Actual Expended as of Prior Year End (2014)	Actual Amount Expended in 2015	Estimate of Final Contract Amount	Name of Contractor	Affiliation of Vendor	Method of Selection	Nature and Scope of Work
1	414310	Terminated: January 28, 2014					Note 1	Westinghouse Electric Co. LLC.	Direct	Sole Source. Award based on vendor constructing the selected reactor technology.	To design, engineer, supply, equip, construct and install a fully operational two unit AP1000 Facility at the Levy Nuclear Plant Site. Final contract amount includes change orders.
2	N/A	Note 2	Note 2	Note 2			Note 2	Carlton Fields Jorden Burt	Direct	Note 2	Legal Work – DEF Levy Units 1 & 2

Line 1: Costs or credits associated with terminating the EPC contract and related long lead equipment purchase orders are subject to litigation in federal court and cannot be estimated at this time.

Line 2: Estimate of final contract amount cannot be determined at this time.

Docket No. 160009-El Duke Energy Florida Exhibit No. ____(TGF-2)

SCHEDULE APPENDIX

EXHIBIT (TGF-2)

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC. CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE COMMISSION SCHEDULES

JANUARY 2015 - DECEMBER 2015 DOCKET NO. 160009-EI

Table of Contents Crystal River Unit 3 Uprate January 2015 - December 2015

Page(s)	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Sponsor</u>
3	2015 Summary	2015 Summary	T. G. Foster
4	2015 Detail	2015 Detail Revenue Requirement Calculations	T. G. Foster / M. Teague
5 - 7	Appendix A	Detail for 2015 Beginning Balance , Adjustment Support & In-Service Project Rev Req Support	T. G. Foster
8	Appendix B	Other Exit / Wind-Down Expense Variance Explanation	T. G. Foster
9	Appendix C	Average Rate of Return - Capital Structure	T. G. Foster
10 - 11	Appendix D	Major Task Categories and Expense Variances	M. Teague
12	Appendix E	Summary of Contracts and Details over \$1 Million	M. Teague

2015 Summary CR3 Uprate January 2015 - December 2015 Duke Energy Florida Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF- 2)

12-Month Total

1.	Final Costs for the Period	
	a. Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment	\$ 18,987,802 (2015 Detail Line 5d.)
	b. Period Exit Costs (including Sale of Assets)	(1,477,805) (2015 Detail Line 2e.)
	c. Period Other Exit / Wind-down Costs and Interest	75,557 (2015 Detail Line 16d.)
	d. Other - Adjustments	(228,787) (2015 Detail Lines: 2j. and 19)
	e. Total Period Revenue Requirement	\$ 17,356,767
2.	Projected Amount for the Period	\$ 19,892,643 (2015 Detail Line 23)
	(Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)	
3.	Final True-Up Amount for the Period (over)/under (Line 1e Line 2.)	\$ (2,535,876)
4.	Amortization of Unrecovered Investment and Prior Period Over/Under Balances (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)	\$ 43,681,007 (2015 Detail Line 3d.)
5.	Total Revenue Requirements for 2015 (Line 1e. + Line 4.)	\$ 61,037,774

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA Nuclear Cost Recovery Clause (NCRC) - CR3 Uprate 2015 Detail - Calculation of the Revenue Requirements January 2015 through December 2015

Part	Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual January 15	Actual February 15	Actual March 15	Actual April 15	Actual May 15	Actual June 15	Actual July 15	Actual August 15	Actual September 15	Actual October 15	Actual November 15	Actual December 15	Period Total
Part	1	<u> </u>		, ==	, , , , ,			, ==		,						
Part			· · ·	·	0		·	151,176	231,378	317,137	•		0	813	·	857,696
Part		-	(453,858)	(90,519)	0	0	(100,519)	0	0	0	(11,750)	(24,712)	(1,598,000)	0	(750,000)	(2,575,500)
Part		·	376.052.420	(88,508)	0	<u>U</u> 175	(27.101)	151.176	231.378	317.137	65,569	(21.972)	(1.598.000)	813	(748.470)	(1.717.804)
Marche			0.0,000,000	(55,555)	_	_,_	(=:,===,		,_,		55,235	(,-:-,	(=,===,===,		(* ***, ** **)	(=/- =- /=/
Part	2	•														
Part			(20,100,724)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(26.067)	(5.330)	· ·	0	0	0	0
Part				7,275 0	0		539 0	(12,426)	(19,018) 0	(26,067)	(5,389) 0		131,346 0		48,800 0	126,799
Part		• •		(81.233)	0		(26.562)	138.750	212.360	291.070	60.179		(1.466.654)		(699.670)	(1.591.005)
Part				(-,,			(2,2 2 ,	,	,	, ,	,	(-,,	(, ==,== ,		(===,==,	(/== /==/
Part			%													
Part		·	270 044 057	(75,453)	0	149	(24,672)	128,878	197,251	270,360	55,898	(18,731)	(1,362,302)	706	(649,888)	(1,477,805)
Part				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Part				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(44,202,846)
Part				(75,453)	0	149	(24,672)	128,878	197,251	270,360	55,898	(18,731)	(1,362,302)	706	(649,888)	246,400,489
Process of the control of the cont		j WACC Adjustment from 2014 & J/O Adjustment 2014 (Adjust May 2015 Rev Req) (c)	0	0	0	0	0	(229,139)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(229,139)
Process	3	Carrying Cost on Unrecovered Investment Balance														
Part	3	• •	247,878,294	(75,453)	0	149	(24,672)	128,878	197,251	270,360	55,898	(18,731)	(1,362,302)	706	(649,888)	246,400,489
Part				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29,995,096
Part		•		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,477,805)
Part		` ,	(1 170 540)													
Part																(1,200,047)
Part		,	29,497	2,436	,	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	,	•	•	(2.422.232)
Part			\$216,712,648	\$212,994,653		· · · ·			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						170,579,912
Part Company	4	Average Net Investment		\$214,853,651	\$211,105,509	\$207,394,723	\$203,671,646	\$200,012,877	\$196,236,396	\$192,759,729	\$189,214,339	\$185,547,617	\$181,172,380	\$176,801,493	\$172,781,481	
Part	5	Return on Average Net Investment														
Section Component Section Se	3	•	3	865,860	850,755	835,801	820,797	806,052	790,833	776,822	762,534	747,757	730,125	712,510	696,309	9,396,155
Control Information		• • •		,					•	•		•				, ,
Page			8	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	,	•				•	,	•	3,690,846
Policy		d Total Return		1,749,734	1,719,211	1,688,991	1,658,671	1,628,874	1,598,119	1,569,806	1,540,933	1,511,072	1,475,441	1,439,844	1,407,105	18,987,802
Part	6	Revenue Requirements for the Period (Lines 3a + 5d)		\$1,674,281	\$1,719,211	\$1,689,140	\$1,633,999	\$1,528,613	\$1,795,370	\$1,840,167	\$1,596,830	\$1,492,340	\$113,139	\$1,440,550	\$757,217	17,280,858
Part Marcine	7	·		\$1,817,608	\$1,787,529	\$1,757,265	\$1,727,001	\$1,696,738	\$1,666,050	\$1,635,783	\$1,583,696	\$1,553,250	\$1,522,987	\$1,492,723	\$1,462,459	19,703,090
Accounting 1,000 2,000	8	Over/Under Recovery For the Period	- -	(\$143,326)	(\$68,318)	(\$68,124)	(\$93,002)	(\$168,125)	\$129,320	\$204,383	\$13,134	(\$60,910)	(\$1,409,848)	(\$52,173)	(\$705,242)	(2,422,232)
Concors Planning 1	9	Other Exit / Wind-Down														
Comparison Com		a Accounting		3,029	2,926	2,458	2,410	2,617	2,866	2,144	0	0	341	2,504	1,948	23,243
Second Control Con		·		0				•	•					103		21,139
Part				•	,		•	•	,	•	·		•	(214)		
1 Arrial clineal Amount			-						<u> </u>							81,362
1 Arrial clineal Amount	10	Jurisdictional Factor (ARC)		0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	0.0222	
Prior Period Unrecovered Raining (a) (424,777) (390,872) (390,872) (330,065) (333,06	10 11	• • •														75 846
Prior Period Covery Mander Recovery (a) (38,005) (33,005)	11	Julisaletional Amount		0,122	3,307	13,144	11,175	3,703	0,551	7,013	3,030	410	304	2,231	2,034	73,040
Prior Month Period (Overly Under Recovery 10 month (Leg Salance 1) 18,344 18,3	12	Prior Period Unrecovered Balance (a)	(424,777)	(390,872)	(356,967)	(323,062)	(289,157)	(255,253)	(221,348)	(187,443)	(153,538)	(119,634)	(85,729)	(51,824)	(17,919)	
1	13	Prior Period Costs Recovered (a)	(406,857)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	(33,905)	
1	1./	Prior Month Pariod (Over)/Under Recovery		0	(0.667)	(6.219)	(2.641)	(4.604)	(6.079)	(6 922)	(0.174)	(12.001)	(15.274)	(15.427)	(12 564)	
Carrying Costs for the Period 140,4763 1378,803 149,328 1319,501 129,0481 126,0314 126,029 121,856 192,682 174,178 154,767 134,114 140,107 140,114 140,114	14 15	•	(424,777)	_					• • •	• • •						
a Balance Flighle for Interest b Monthly Commercial Pager Rate b Monthly Commercial Pager Rate c Interest Provision c Interest Provision b Monthly Commercial Pager Rate c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest (Interest Clurical Pager Rate) c Interest Provision c Interest Clurical Pager Rate c Interest C			, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	, , ,	
b Monthly Camerol Paper Rate 0.01% 0	16	,														
Composition																
		•														(290)
18 Over/Under Recovery For the Period			_	. , ,					· · ·	· · ·	· · ·					75,557
19 Other - Adjustments (a) 7,873 59 53 48 43 37 32 27 21 16 11 5 0 353 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	17	Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)		15,755	15,757	15,759	15,761	15,763	15,765	15,767	15,769	15,771	15,773	15,775	15,777	189,194
Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) 60 55 49 44 38 33 27 22 16 11 5 0 360 21 Over/Under Recovery For the Period 1,680,428 1,728,803 1,702,307 1,645,199 1,538,335 1,804,336 1,847,787 1,600,530 1,492,754 113,496 1,442,767 760,026 17,356,767 23 Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) 1,833,423 1,803,341 1,773,073 1,742,806 1,712,540 1,681,848 1,651,578 1,599,487 1,569,037 1,538,771 1,508,504 1,478,236 19,892,643	18	Over/Under Recovery For the Period	-	(9,667)	(6,218)	(2,641)	(4,604)	(6,078)	(6,832)	(8,174)	(12,091)	(15,374)	(15,427)	(13,564)	(12,967)	(113,637)
21 Over/Under Recovery For the Period (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	19	Other - Adjustments (a)	7,873	59	53	48	43	37	32	27	21	16	11	5	0	353
22 Revenue Requirements for the Period 1,680,428 1,728,803 1,702,307 1,645,199 1,538,335 1,804,336 1,847,787 1,600,530 1,492,754 113,496 1,442,767 760,026 17,356,767 760,026 17,356,767 760,026 17,356,767 760,026 1,833,423 1,803,341 1,773,073 1,742,806 1,712,540 1,681,848 1,651,578 1,599,487 1,569,037 1,538,771 1,508,504 1,478,236 19,892,643 1,773,073 1,773,073 1,773,073 1,774,806 1,	20	Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)		60	55	49	44	38	33	27	22	16	11	5	0	360
23 Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI) 1,833,423 1,803,341 1,773,073 1,742,806 1,712,540 1,681,848 1,651,578 1,599,487 1,569,037 1,538,771 1,508,504 1,478,236 19,892,643	21	Over/Under Recovery For the Period	- -	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0	(7)
	22	Revenue Requirements for the Period	=	1,680,428	1,728,803	1,702,307	1,645,199	1,538,335	1,804,336	1,847,787	1,600,530	1,492,754	113,496	1,442,767	760,026	17,356,767
24 Over/Under Recovery For the Period (152,995) (74,538) (70,766) (97,607) (174,204) 122,488 196,209 1,043 (76,284) (1,425,275) (65,737) (718,210) (2,535,876)	23	Recovered (Order No. PSC 14-0701-FOF-EI)		1,833,423	1,803,341	1,773,073	1,742,806	1,712,540	1,681,848	1,651,578	1,599,487	1,569,037	1,538,771	1,508,504	1,478,236	19,892,643
	24	Over/Under Recovery For the Period	- =	(152,995)	(74,538)	(70,766)	(97,607)	(174,204)	122,488	196,209	1,043	(76,284)	(1,425,275)	(65,737)	(718,210)	(2,535,876)

(a) Please see Appendix A for Beginning Balance support and support of Amortization of Unrecovered Balance and Other-Adjustments calculation

(a) Please see Appendix A for Beginning Balance support and support (b) Other line reflects cost of removal of previously existing assets.

(c) 2014 WACC Adjustment and J/O Adjustment (Amount includes interest Jan-May 2015). See Appendix A for calculation.

Appendix A Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit (TGF-2) (Page 1 of 3)

2015 Over/Under Recovery Beginning Balance

Line.

2j

WACC & J/O Adjustments

	May 2015 Adjustment	\$ (229,139)
1	(64,650)	DEF's Response PSC Audit No. CR 11-14 (adjusting J/O credit)
2	(155,383)	DEF's Response PSC Audit No. CR 14-14 (adjusting WACC) 2014 Impact
3	(220,033)	Total Adjustments ((Beginning Balance January 2015 on Appendix A (page 3 of 3))
4	(9,107)	2015 Carrying Cost (Jan - May) calculated on Appendix A (page 3 of 3)
5	(229,139)	Total Adjustment w/carrying cost reflected in May 2015 on Line 2j

Transferred to Plant In-service \$ 29,995,096

EB from TGF-2_2014 Detail (filed March 2015) Line 3b

Unrecovered Balance Carrying Cost \$ (1,170,549) 3e

(300,415) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Prior Period Line 3e. Prior Period Carrying Charge Unrecovered Balance (870,135) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) **Current Period** Line 3g. (Over)/Under for the Period

Prior Period Carrying Charge Recovered \$ 29,497 Please refer to Appendix A (page 2 of 3)

(1,170,549)

Other Exit / Wind-Down

Total

Prior Period Unrecovered Balance \$ (424,777)

(224,283) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Prior Period Line 12 Prior Period Unrecovered Balance **Current Period** (200,493) Exhibit TGF-2_2014 Detail (March 2015) Line 18 (Over)/Under for the Period (424,777)

Prior Period Costs Recovered \$ (406,857)

Prior Period (224,283) Please refer to Appendix A (page 2 of 3) **Current Period** (182,574) Please refer to Appendix A (page 2 of 3)

(406,857) Other - Adjustments

Other - Adjustments 7,873 7,873 Please refer to Appendix A (page 2 of 3) **Unrecovered Balance Carrying Cost**

Line 3d. Annual Amortization Calculation

TGF-3 Filed March 1, 2014 YE 2013 - Actual 1 Additions for the Period (TGF-3 Filed March 2014 - Line 3a) 292,081,140 2 Less: Transferred to Plant-in-Service (TGF-3 Filed March 2014 - Line 3 29,995,096

3 2013 EB Investment prior to Amortize (2015 through 2019) 262,086,044

4 Annual Amortization (2015 through 2019) (2015 Detail Line 3d.) 43,681,007

Prior Period Over / (Under) Support Schedules

DEF - CR3 Uprate

Appendix A
Witness: Thomas G. Foster
Docket No. 160009-EI
Duke Energy Florida
(TGF - 2)
(Page 2 of 3)

			Note 1	
		2013	2013	2015 Collection/
		True Up	Est-Actual	(Refund) *
1	Construction Carrying Cost Rev Req.	26,803,675	27,111,962	(308,287)
2	Recoverable O&M Revenue Req.	229,455	453,738	(224,283)
3	In-service Rev Reqs/Base Refund	927	(6,946)	7,873
4	Total Revenue Requirement	27,034,057	27,558,755	(524,697)

Note 1: Per Order PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI, Docket No. 140009-EI, pg 40 (Issue 7) The final 2013 net over-recovery of \$524,697 should be included in setting the allowed 2015 NCRC recovery.

			Note 2	
		2014	2014	2015 Collection/
		Est-Actual	Projection	(Refund) *
5	Construction Carrying Cost Rev Req.	24,516,716	24,178,932	337,785
6	Recoverable O&M Revenue Req.	214,326	396,900	(182,574)
7	In-service Rev Reqs/Base Refund	(3,699)	(3,699)	-
8	Total Revenue Requirement	24,727,343	24,572,133	155,210

Note 2: Per Order PSC-14-0617-FOF-EI, Docket No. 140009-EI, pg 40 (Issue 8)
An estimated 2014 net under-recovery of \$155,210 should be included in setting the allowed 2015 NCRC recovery.

Other - Adjustments

In Service Project Revenue Requirements - 2015 Recovery

Appendix A Witness: Thomas G. Foster (TGF - 2)

(Page 3 of 3)

	Beg Balance 2015	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
1 In-service Project Revenue Requirements	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 Projected In-service Project Revenue Requirements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 Prior Years Project In-service Revenue Requirements	7,873	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	656	7,873
4 Under/(Over) Recovery	\$7,873	\$7,217	\$6,561	\$5,905	\$5,249	\$4,593	\$3,937	\$3,280	\$2,624	\$1,968	\$1,312	\$656	\$0	
5 Cumulative Under/(Over) Recovery	\$7,873	\$7,217	\$6,561	\$5,905	\$5,249	\$4,593	\$3,937	\$3,280	\$2,624	\$1,968	\$1,312	\$656	\$0	
6 Equity Component (a)	0.00403	\$29	\$26	\$24	\$21	\$19	\$16	\$13	\$11	\$8	\$5	\$3	\$0	\$175
7 Equity Component grossed up for taxes (b)	1.62800	47	43	39	34	30	26	22	17	13	9	4	0	284
8 Debt Component (c)	0.001583	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	69
9 Total Return on Under/(Over) Recovery (2015 Detail Line 21)		\$59	\$53	\$48	\$43	\$37	\$32	\$27	\$21	\$16	\$11	\$5	\$0	\$353

Notes:

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 4.99% reflects an 10.5% return on equity.

(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 38.575%.

(c) AFUDC actual monthly rate is calculated using the formula $M = [(1 + A/100)^{1/12}] \times 100$; resulting in a monthly accrual rate of 0.00403 (Equity) and 0.001583 (Debt), which results in the annual rate of 6.95%.

DEF CR3 Uprate

Calculation for 2015 Carrying Costs to be applied to the 2014 Adjustments WACC Adjustment from 2014 & J/O Adjustment 2014 : Line 2j - May (2015 Detail)

	Beg Balance 2015	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May N	May Adjustment	Total
1 DEF's Response PSC Audit No. CR 11-14 (adjusting J/O credit) 2 DEF's Response PSC Audit No. CR 14-14 (adjusting WACC) 2014 Impact 3 2014 Adjustment - Including Carrying Cost (Appendix A- 2j)	\$ (64,650) \$ (155,383) (220,033)	0 (\$220,022)	(1,792)	(1,807)	(1,821)	(1,836)	(1,851) (\$330,130)	(9,107)
4 Under/(Over) Recovery5 Cumulative Under/(Over) Recovery (Appendix A- 2j)	(\$220,033)	(\$220,033) (\$220,033)	(\$221,825)	(\$223,631)	(\$225,452) (\$225,452)	(\$227,288)	(\$229,139) (\$229,139) *	(\$229,139)
6 Equity Component (a)	0.00403	(\$887)	(\$894)	(\$901)	(\$909)	(\$916)		(\$4,506)
7 Equity Component grossed up for taxes (b)	1.62800	(1,444)	(1,455)	(1,467)	(1,479)	(1,491)		(7,337)
8 Debt Component (c)	0.001583	(348)	(351)	(354)	(357)	(360)		(1,770)
9 Total Return on Under/(Over) Recovery		(\$1,792)	(\$1,807)	(\$1,821)	(\$1,836)	(\$1,851)		(\$9,107)

(a) The monthly Equity Component of 4.99% reflects an 10.5% return on equity.
(b) Requirement for the payment of income taxes is calculated using a Federal Income Tax rate of 38.575%.
(c) AFUDC actual monthly rate is calculated using the formula M = [(1 + A/100)^{1/12-} 1] x 100; resulting in a monthly accrual rate of 0.00403 (Equity) and 0.001583 (Debt), which results in the annual rate of 6.95%.

*Transferred (\$229,139) to 2015 Detail Line 2j.

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE True-Up Filing: Other Exit / Wind-Down Expenditures Allocated or Assigned to Other Recovery Mechanisms

EXPLANATION: Provide variance explanations comparing the actual system total expenditures shown on 2015 Detail Schedule with the expenditures

provided to the Commission in the 2015 Detail Estimated Schedules.

Appendix B Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI

Exhibit: (TGF - 2)

COMPANY:

Duke Energy Florida

DOCKET NO.:

160009-EI For Year Ended 12/31/2015

	50000 L1				1 of Teal Endo	d 12/01/2010
Line No.	Description	(A) System Estimated/Actual	(B) System Actual	(C) Variance Amount	(D) Explanation	
INO.	Description	EStimated/Actual	Actual	Amount	Explanation	
	llocated or Assigned ther Exit / Wind-Down Expenditures					
1	Accounting	\$80,000	\$23,243	(\$56,757) Fewe	r hours than estimated were spent on EPU Wind-Down Activities	
2	Corporate Planning	58,320	21,139	(37,181) Fewe	r hours than estimated were spent on EPU Wind-Down Activities	
3	Legal	50,000	44,266	(5,734) Mino	variance from estimated amount.	
4	Total	\$188,320	\$88,648	(\$99.672)		

Note:

System Estimate from May 1, 2015 Filing in Docket No. 150009-El.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA Average Rate of Return - Capital Structure FPSC Adjusted Basis December 2014 Appendix C Witness: Thomas G. Foster Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida (TGF - 2)

	System Per	Retail Per	Pro Rata	Specific	Adjusted	Cap	Low-l	Point	Mid-l	Point	High-	Point
	Books	Books	Adjustments	Adjustments	Retail	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
Common Equity	5,222,186,481	4,623,579,568	(812,717,155)	729,976,602	4,540,839,016	47.51%	9.50%	4.51%	10.50%	4.99%	11.50%	5.46%
Long Term Debt	4,640,661,936	4,108,713,810	(722,215,796)	0	3,386,498,014	35.44%	5.33%	1.89%	5.33%	1.89%	5.33%	1.89%
Short Term Debt *	83,881,000	74,265,919	(13,054,212)	164,565,046	225,776,753	2.36%	1.22%	0.03%	1.22%	0.03%	1.22%	0.03%
Customer Deposits											0	0
Active	216,296,806	216,296,806	(38,019,920)	0	178,276,886	1.87%	2.23%	0.04%	2.23%	0.04%	2.23%	0.04%
Inactive	1,651,583	1,651,583	(290,310)	0	1,361,273	0.01%						
Investment Tax Credits **	425,513	376,737	(66,222)	0	310,515	0.00%						
Deferred Income Taxes	2,119,038,625	1,876,138,228	(329,781,223)	(167,311,918)	1,379,045,088	14.43%						
FAS 109 DIT - Net	(212,931,026)	(188,523,245)	33,137,977	0	(155,385,267)	-1.63%						
Total	12,071,210,918	10,712,499,406	(1,883,006,858)	727,229,731	9,556,722,278	100.00%		6.47%		6.95%	·	7.42%

* Daily Weighted Average

** Cost Rates Calculated Per IRS Ruling

Equity 4.99%

Debt 1.96%

Total 6.95%

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE True-Up Filing: Construction Category - Description of Monthly Cost Additions

EXPLANATION: Provide a description of the major tasks performed within the Construction category for the year.

List generation expenses separate from transmission in the same order appearing on 2015 Detail Schedule.

Appendix D Witness: M. Teague Docket No. 160009-EI Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 2)

(Page 1 of 2)

COMPANY:

Duke Energy Florida

DOCKET NO.:

160009-EI For Year Ended 12/31/2015

Major Task & Description for amounts on 2015 Detail Schedule Line Description

Generation:
EPU Construction & Wind-Down Costs

Spend performed in accordance with Rule 25-6.0423(7).

Sale or Salvage of Assets

Net Value received in accordance with Duke Energy Procedure IA-9010 regarding Disposition of Assets Net Value received in accordance with Duke Energy Procedure IA-9010 regarding Disposition of Assets

Disposition

Transmission: N/A

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE True-Up Filing: Construction Category - Variance in Additions and Expenditures

EXPLANATION: Provide variance explanations comparing the annual system total expenditures shown on 2015 Detail Schedule with the expenditures

provided to the Commission on 2015 Estimated / Actual Detail schedule. List the Generation expenses separate from Transmission in the same order

appearing on 2015 Detail Schedule.

Duke Energy Florida

Witness: M. Teague Docket No. 160009-El Duke Energy Florida Exhibit: (TGF - 2) (Page 2 of 2)

Appendix D

DOCKET NO.:

COMPANY:

160009-EI

For Year Ended 12/31/2015

	100000 E1				1 01 1 001 E11000 12/01/2010
	Construction	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Line	Major Task & Description	System	System	Variance	
No.	for amounts on 2013 Detail Schedule	Estimated/Actual	Actual	Amount	Explanation
	Generation:				
1	EPU Wind-Down Costs	\$252,811	\$857,696	\$604,885	Additional costs were incurred to prepare additional EPU assets for sale
2	Sale or Salvage of Assets	(\$126,519)	(\$2,575,500)	(\$2,448,981)	Additional EPU assets were sold beyond those that were included in the Estimates
3	Disposition	0	0	0	
4	Total Generation Costs	\$126,292	(\$1,717,804)	(\$1,844,096)	

Transmission: N/A

Note:

System Estimate from May 1, 2015 Filing in Docket No. 150009-EI.

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 UPRATE True-Up Filing: Summary of Contracts Executed Over \$1 Million

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION:	Provide a list of contracts executed in excess of \$1 million	Appendix E
		including, a description of the work, the dollar value	Witness: M. Teague
COMPANY:		and term of the contract, the method of vendor selection,	Docket No. 160009-EI
Duke Energy Florida		the identity and affiliation of the vendor, and current status	Duke Energy Florida
		of the contract.	Exhibit: (TGF - 2)
DOCKET NO.:			,
160009-EI			For Year Ended 12/31/2015

All EPU-related contracts in excess of \$1 million have been closed as of December 31, 2013. No new contracts over \$1 million were executed after December 31, 2013.