1		BEFORE THE
2	F'LORIDA I	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 150248-EG
5		VAL OF COMMUNITY SOLAR PILOT
6	PROGRAM, BY GULF PO	/
7		
8		
9	DDOGEEDINGS.	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
10	PROCEEDINGS:	ITEM NO. 11
11	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN
12	FARTICIPATING.	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
13		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS
14	DATE:	Tuesday, March 1, 2016
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
16	1 2.102	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
17		Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA KRICK Court Reporter
19		(850) 894-0828
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Onto our last item, guys,
3	which is Item 11. And we do have some interested
4	parties that would like to speak after staff goes
5	over the overview.
6	MS. OLLILA: Yes, ma'am. Good afternoon,
7	Commissioners, Sue Ollila for staff.
8	Item 11 is a filing from Gulf Power Company
9	asking for three things. First, a voluntary
10	community solar pilot program addressed in Issue 1.
11	The program would offer all Gulf customers an
12	opportunity to voluntarily contribute to the
13	construction and operation of a one-megawatt solar
14	facility through annual subscriptions. Subscribers
15	to the program would receive monthly bill credits
16	of \$2.11 for the energy produced by the facility.
17	This is a five-year pilot program, with annual
18	reports to the Commission.
19	Gulf committed to build the facility after the
20	Commission issues a final order, and believes,
21	based on its market research, that it will get
22	enough subscriptions to support the annual revenue
23	requirement.
24	The energy generated by the solar facility
25	would provide power to all of Gulf's customers.

1	The avoided energy costs associated with the output
2	of the solar facility would be recovered through
3	the fuel clause; however, there will be a
4	corresponding reduction in the purchase of power.
5	At the end of the pilot program, Gulf will
6	file a petition to continue, modify or close the
7	program and its tariffs.
8	Second, in Issues 2, Gulf requests approval to
9	close its voluntary photovoltaic, or PV, rate rider
10	program whether or not the Commission approves the
11	pilot program.
12	The rider permits any customer to purchase
13	blocks of PV energy; however, until the minimum
14	threshold of 10,000 blocks is reached, no
15	participant is charged.
16	No participants have been billed under this
17	program. Currently there are approximately 40
18	customers.
19	Third, Issue 2 discusses Gulf's request for a
20	depreciation rate for its proposed solar
21	facilities.
22	Public Counsel, the Florida Industrial Power
23	Users Group and the Southern Alliance for Clean
24	Energy are interested persons in this proceeding.
25	SACE filed a letter in the docket. There have been

1	no other customer contacts.
2	Staff recommends approval, and notes that SACE
3	is here to address the Commission, and Gulf is
4	available to answer questions.
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
6	Gulf, if you would like an opportunity to
7	address the Commission first.
8	MR. GRIFFIN: Madam Chair, we do not have any
9	prepared comments for the Commission. I am Steve
10	Griffin, with the Beggs & Lane law firm here on
11	behalf of Gulf Power Company. With me is
12	Ms. Lonnie Noack in the renewables organization
13	with Gulf Power. And we also have Shane Boyett in
14	the event we need him to respond to questions.
15	And, of course, we would reserve our right to
16	respond to any comments from Mr. Cavros.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
18	Mr. Cavros, you have the floor.
19	MR. CAVROS: Thank you, Commissioners, for the
20	opportunity to address you today.
21	My name is George Cavros. I am with Southern
22	Alliance for Clean Energy. Southern Alliance for
23	Clean Energy is a nonprofit, nonpartisan clean
24	energy organization that promotes low cost, low
25	risk resources to meeting our electricity demand.

we support utility scale, solar utility owned.
And through power purchase agreements, we support
demand side solar customer owned, and we support
community solar, especially policies that help
meaningfully develop that kind of development.

Gulf Power should be commended for putting forth a community -- a solar program that's designed to provide a tangible economic benefit directly to participants of the program. This is a core principle in good community solar design, so we commend them for that.

We do have some suggestions, though, on how to increase and enhance the value proposition for the participants in that program. The participants in the program will be paying approximately \$99 a year, and receiving approximately \$25 a year in benefits, at least in the first year. And there are some identifiable and quantifiable benefits that this project will produce, and we believe that in order to enhance the value proposition for customers, some of those benefits could flow back to the participating customers in the form of a credit on their bill, and I will mention a few here.

> The first one is fuel hedging benefits. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	largest power companies in the state are encouraged
2	to hedge their fuel costs. They enter into
3	contracts, and those contracts have costs or
4	premiums. Those are passed on to customers.

Additionally, if those contracts don't work out as expected, and the company pays an above market cost for fuel, that cost is also passed on to the customer.

I am stating the obvious, but solar power uses no fuel, therefore, there is no hedging involved; there is no need to engage in fuel hedge contracts, and that's a benefit that accrues to all So if the customers are covering ratepayers. the -- the participating customers in the solar project are covering the revenue requirement for this project, then we believe that benefit ought to flow back to their bills as a credit on their bills.

Likewise, environmental compliance, there are costs with environmental compliance. The company, right now, is complying with Clean Water Act requirements, Clean Air Act requirements. are costs there. And this commission has recognized the reduction in environmental compliance costs as a benefit in resource

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

decisions, they are considered in need
determination proceedings. And during the nuclear
cost recovery docket, they are considered there,
and even in the energy efficiency proceedings.

So again, I am stating the obvious, but solar, especially this project, will not generate any emissions, we will use no water, so that is a benefit that accrues to all of the company's customers, and I believe that it ought to at least flow back to the participating customers as a benefit or a credit on their bill.

Lastly, there might be come capacity value that this project provides. You know, granted the company doesn't have any capacity needs in the short-term, but it does reduce loss of load probability. It helps with summer peak, and, you know, there might be some discounted capacity value that they could offer customers.

So again, I just want to emphasize that we do support the project. This is definitely a step in the right direction, because it provides a direct tangible economic benefit back to the participants, but think that if these other benefits can be identified, quantified and provided to customers as a credit on their bill, then it would improve not

1	only the value proposition, but the success of the
2	program.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Cavros.
5	Gulf, any follow-up?
6	MR. GRIFFIN: Just briefly, Madam Chairman.
7	Before we get into the enhanced value proposition,
8	I was looking at SACE's correspondence from
9	February 15th they included in the docket file.
10	And just for clarity, Mr. Cavros didn't mention
11	this in his verbal comments, but there is a
12	suggestion in that correspondence that the all-in
13	price, the turnkey cost that Gulf anticipates for
14	this facility is significantly above the average
15	price for a facility like this. And I just wanted
16	to make the record clear that the \$1.50 per watt
17	figure that they state there is in direct current
18	as opposed to alternating current, which the \$2.66
19	per watt figure for Gulf is. And when you put
20	those on an even playing field, and you calculate
21	it, the Gulf figure is in the \$1.88 per watt DC
22	range. So they are closer.
23	The other point that I would make in that
24	regard is that the according to the publication
25	that SACE cited in support of those cost estimates,

1	that is based on a 10-megawatt facility in
2	California. There are economies of scale
3	associated with a 10-megawatt facility that are not
4	present with a one-megawatt facility in Milton
5	Florida.

Also, it appears from that publication that that's not an all-in cost. Gulf's cost includes interconnection, it includes IT, it includes security. So if you were to bake all of that in, I have not run the numbers, but the figure that Gulf has cited in its petition as its anticipated build-out cost, I would contend, is on par, if not more favorable than the national average.

Turning to the enhanced value proposition. I don't know that we need to definite into each of those components. What I can commit to the Commission is that the bill components -- the bill credits that are being passed back to participants in this case are being calculated in accordance with Gulf Power's and the Commission's standard avoided cost rules, policies and principles. There are defined components in those standard avoided costs. It's the same methodology that the Commission reviewed, vetted and approved in connection with Gulf Power's large DOD energy

1	purchase agreements, the solar agreements, just
2	last year. Similarly, with Gulf Power's wind
3	project just last year. Those were evaluated in
4	the very same manner, and the benefits associated
5	with those energy purchase agreements were
6	evaluated in the same manner as the benefits that
7	we are providing to participating customers under
8	this community solar program. To the extent that
9	we stray away from that, that would be a change in
10	the Commission policy. We are not advocating that
11	now. We don't believe that this is the appropriate
12	time to engage in that debate.
13	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
14	Staff, would you like to provide any follow-up
15	before we bring it back here?
16	MS. OLLILA: Yes, Chairman, we would.
17	With regard to the fuel hedging benefit, staff
18	would note that the output of the one-megawatt
19	facility is slightly less than 0.02 percent of the
20	energy for Gulf. So it's a very tiny facility, you
21	know, in comparison to Gulf's total energy. And
22	from that perspective, staff believes it may be
23	premature to look at hedging benefits.
24	And also staff would like to note that this is
25	a pilot program, and that this is new to us, and we

1	will be able to evaluate the response Gulf gets. I
2	mean, Gulf is, I would assume, looking to get more
3	than the subscriptions it needs for the
4	one-megawatt, because then there would be no
5	facilities. We will just we will have to wait
6	and see what happens.
7	And part of that pilot will also enable Gulf
8	to see, and the Commission as well, if there are
9	other benefits that might be necessary to enhance
10	participation.
11	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. He so you will be
12	looking at that during their annual filings in this
13	docket?
14	MS. OLLILA: Yes, ma'am, we will. And I will
15	defer to Mr. Ellis on the other two points.
16	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.
17	MR. ELLIS: Regarding environmental
18	compliance, some of those may be already included
19	in the as available energy rate through variable
20	O&M, although, I would refer to Gulf with regards
21	to that, so those are included.
22	And regarding capacity value, I believe based
23	upon the 2015 standard offer contract, Gulf's next
24	avoid unit is in 2023.
25	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

1	MR. GRIFFIN: Both of those statements are
2	accurate in terms of the avoided environmental
3	compliance costs. Again, our standard avoided cost
4	calculation includes calculable environmental cost
5	benefits associated with SO2 and NOx. And at that
6	time extent that SACE is advocating that we
7	quantify other as yet unregulated environmental
8	benefits, we would object to that.
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
10	Anything further?
11	MS. OLLILA: No, ma'am.
12	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
13	Okay. We have got a light up here.
14	Commissioner Patronis.
15	COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you, Madam
16	Chairman.
17	I guess I really want to make it clear to
18	voice my support for this project for several
19	reasons. One, it's a voluntary program
20	diversifying your fuel mix. And there is
21	definitely a benefit to all Gulf Power customers
22	with this. All the extensive reporting
23	requirements that the Commission requires will
24	still be in place, and there is still a mechanism
25	to expand the product program if necessary and

1	it's successful. But I applaud your investment and
2	steps taken forward to bring renewable energy to
3	Northwest Florida and the state.
4	Thank you, Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
6	Commissioner Brisé and then Commissioner
7	Graham.
8	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Madam
9	Chairman.
10	My question is to Gulf. I just want to get
11	sense of what is the sample size of customers that
12	were addressed in the market research? And how
13	does that compare to the market research that was
14	conducted for the rider program that is now being
15	Sunsetted?
16	MS. NOACK: I can speak to that.
17	Good afternoon, Commissioners. The market
18	research that we conducted, we conducted research
19	of both residential and small commercial customers
20	prior to doing any program design. We did both
21	focus groups, as well as phone interviews for
22	customers.
23	The focus groups consisted of nine focus
24	groups across our service area, six in the
25	residential class, three in the small business

1	class, to get some qualitative data from customers
2	on their perceptions of solar; their affinity for
3	various aspects of community solar, and kind of to
4	gauge their interest in possibly even paying a
5	premium for solar.
6	We then followed that up with surveys to
7	customers, phone surveys, sample size of about
8	470 or 407 residential customers and 157
9	business customers.
10	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So can I follow up?
11	What is how many customers do you have?
12	MS. NOACK: Right now we have approximately
13	440,000 to 450,000 customers.
14	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So what's the percentage
15	of that sample size?
16	MS. NOACK: The percentage of the 407,
17	actually it results in a 95 percent confidence
18	interval, where let me if you give me a
19	second
20	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Sure.
21	MS. NOACK: I can look at the information
22	for the market research.
23	For the residential class, the 406 results in
24	4.9 a margin of error 4.9 percentage points at
25	the 95 percent confidence interval. And the

results of the residential survey indicates that over half of our customer base was interested in the concept of community solar.

And for our small business class, of the 157 that we had surveyed, that resulted in a statistical margin of 7.8 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence interval, and resulted in also about 53 percent of those customers, of our customer class, interested in the concept of community solar.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So then the survey was primarily trying to figure out if customers are interested in the concept of community solar. Did it also ask if they were willing to pay for community solar if they were asked to pay a certain amount? I mean, not a specific amount, but just an amount?

MS. NOACK: Yes, we did. We also asked the question about what their interest in paying a premium would be, if they would be willing to pay more than what they are currently paying Gulf Power for their standard -- on their standard rates. And that resulted in two percent of the customers who said they were interested in the community solar program also saying that they were willing to pay a

1	premium for solar, as well as one percent of the
2	business customers indicated that they were willing
3	to pay a premium for solar.
4	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So two percent of the
5	sample said that they are willing to pay?
6	MS. NOACK: That's right. That's right.
7	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.
8	MS. NOACK: So and if you translate that to
9	the number of customers, our customer base, you are
10	talking about 4,500 total customers, roughly, that
11	indicated that they are not only just interested in
12	community solar, but they are willing to pay a
13	premium for it.
14	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Did you all do an
15	analysis on the returns of the survey on where the
16	people who responded fall on the economic scale?
17	Household income?
18	MS. NOACK: Yes, we did. We did collect
19	demographic data on all the customers, and there
20	was no direct link to a certain economic level. It
21	actually kind of spanned a wide economic range.
22	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. All right. Thank
23	you.
24	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioner Graham.
25	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I guess this question is

1	to staff. Is this program scheduled to Sunset at
2	the five-year mark, or do we have to take some
3	action at that point?
4	MS. OLLILA: At the close of the pilot
5	program, Gulf will file a petition, and based on
6	the results, it will ask the Commission to continue
7	it, to modify it or to close it.
8	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
10	Commissioner Edgar.
11	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Thank you
12	Madam Chair.
13	To Gulf, if I may. I think it's clear in the
14	item, but for today's discussion purposes, is it
15	accurate to say with this project, as it is
16	proposed before us today, that those ratepayers who
17	do not choose to participate would not be
18	contributing to or subsidizing the project in any
19	way through rates at any time?
20	MR. GRIFFIN: That is accurate during the
21	period of the pilot, Commissioner Edgar. We
22	responded to a data request in that regard. And I
23	want to be very clear, that during the five-year
24	pilot period, Gulf Power is committing that
25	nonparticipating customers will not be impacted, as

1	you just suggested. However, the company would
2	request the flexibility to come back in and ask the
3	Commission for alternative treatment should
4	circumstances change and warrant alternative
5	treatment at that point in time.

We are not asking that the Commission make a decision in that regard at this period in time, but we would at least like the opportunity to seek alternative treatment in the future, and we would have to justify that based on the facts and circumstances as they exist at that point in time.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And when you say "in the future", my understanding, but I want to make sure that I am hearing correctly, is that would be at some point after the five-year pilot program that is before us today, and the information has been reviewed and analyzed and assessed, et cetera?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I think it's a very important point that this program, as it is before us, is completely voluntary. I think that the protection to participants, by virtue of, again, it being an affirmative choice, and also that there would not be an impact on bills until that project was in operation, is my understanding.

That is correct.

MR. GRIFFIN:

1	I also think it's very important to point out,
2	as you have stated, that in the item before us, and
3	it's on page six, that it is clear that we are not
4	addressing prudency at this point in time. And
5	should there be a time where that request is made
6	after five years, that that burden would be on the
7	company to prove, and that then it would come back
8	before this commission for a full consideration.
9	And that the additional point that we just
10	discussed, that ratepayers who do not choose to
11	participate are protected.
12	With that in mind or with those points
13	made, I do believe that the company has addressed
14	many of the concerns that I have raised at times
15	with voluntary programs, and I appreciate the
16	opportunity to discuss it.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner
18	Edgar.
19	And I did want to also point out and commend
20	the utility for exploring ways to incorporate solar
21	into its marketplace, into its territory. And
22	there is, it appears, based on your data, to be a
23	growing appetite market for this type of project.
24	With that, I do want to point out my hoping
25	and my three objections for objectives for this

project. One, which Commissioner Edgar just	
pointed out, that the general body of ratepayers	
are insulated from costs, and that the costs are	
being paid for strictly by the participants, and	
possibly of, under subscription, the shareholders	3.

Also ensure that the bill credits and avoided energy costs are accurate, and they are directly attributed to the output of the solar facilities.

And then the third one is that before any additional facilities, which right now we have an initial program subscription before us limited to one megawatt, but before any additional facilities are in construction, to make sure that there are adequate subscriptions that will more than likely be obtained based on your evidence, your market research and your actual data that is -- occurs with that initial program.

With that, I have just one question regarding the additional facilities. During the five-year period, what has your research showed you on the sale -- the scale, the size, the location for future installations?

MR. GRIFFIN: And I will let Ms. Noack jump in if I misstate this, but I think that, at this point, we are just trying to focus on the initial

1	implementation. Certainly, there has been no
2	decision to expand beyond the initial phase. We
3	would never do that in the event that we thought we
4	would not have sufficient subscriptions over and
5	beyond the initial phase to cover those costs. And
6	our anticipation would be that we would provide
7	both pre-construction and post-construction
8	notification to the Commission.
9	With that pre-construction notification, in
10	the event that the Commission had questions, had
11	questions about subscription, pre-enrollment, if
12	you will, customer interest, costs, we would be
13	happy to share that information with the Commission
14	so that it could be fully vetted.
15	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. And thank you for
16	coming to us.
17	Commissioner Edgar.
18	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. I did have
19	think of one additional question I wanted to ask.
20	Recognizing the research and analysis that has
21	gone into the proposal to bring it forward to us, I

1	begin the next steps?
2	MR. GRIFFIN: Our expectation is to commence
3	construction before we necessarily have full
4	subscription, and we believe that that's an
5	important element to the marketability of this
6	program. We feel like I don't want to say if
7	you build it they will come, but it is a tangible
8	asset that the customers can see, can drive by, can
9	potentially tour. And when you can point to that,
10	we feel like the existence of that facility is
11	going to be attractive to customers.
12	And so it's our expectation that we are going
13	to be fully subscribed, perhaps not before we
14	commence construction, if that answers the
15	question.
16	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: It does. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.
18	Any further comment or questions by the
19	Commission?
20	If not, ready to entertain a motion on all
21	issues.
22	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Move approval.
23	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is there a second?
24	COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All those in Commissioner

1	Brisé.
2	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. Since we are
3	in, I guess, the discussion section of our motion,
4	I think I am going to support the motion today,
5	recognize all the facts and comments that my
6	colleagues have made. But I certainly hope that
7	every effort is made to ensure that customers
8	across the economic spectrum see the value in this,
9	and everything is done to ensure that we have
10	participation across the spectrum.
11	I do believe that we do need to transition our
12	generation mix as much as possible, and this is one
13	step in the right direction. So I want to commend
14	Gulf in that regard. But we we certainly have
15	to make sure that, not only the current generation,
16	but the next generation sees the value in doing it
17	in a responsible manner.
18	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner
19	Brisé.
20	Any further comment before we take the vote?
21	Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye.
22	(Vote taken.)
23	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Opposed?
24	Motion carries.
25	Thank you. And this concludes our agenda

```
1
          conference. Thank you all so much. Drive
 2
          carefully home.
                             Thank you.
 3
                (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
 4
     1:00 p.m.)
5
 6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	COUNTI OF LEON /
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 9th day of March, 2016.
19	
20	
21	Debli R Krici
22	
23	DEBRA R. KRICK NOTARY PUBLIC
24	COMMISSION #EE212307 EXPIRES JULY 13, 2016
25	