

COMMISSIONERS:
JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN
LISA POLAK EDGAR
ART GRAHAM
RONALD A. BRISÉ
JIMMY PATRONIS

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS
GREG SHAFER
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6410

Public Service Commission

March 17, 2016

James D. Beasley
Ausley McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com

STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST
via email

Paula K. Brown
Manager, Regulatory Coordination
Tampa Electric Company
Post Office Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Re: Docket No. 160059-EI – Petition to extend economic development rider on a permanent basis, by Tampa Electric Company

Dear Mr. Beasley and Ms. Brown:

By this letter, Commission staff requests the following information from Tampa Electric Company (Tampa Electric).

1. How many customers currently take service under the Economic Development Rider (EDR)?
2. What type of customers, and how many of each type, currently take service under the EDR, e.g., manufacturing, industrial, light industrial, office park, retail store, etc.?
3. Are the incremental base revenue amount, the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs, and the discount amount referenced in paragraph 4 of the petition as of December 31, 2015? If not, what is the correct date?
4. Please explain how Tampa Electric verified the number of new FTEs referenced in paragraph 4 of the petition, both to confirm initial eligibility and throughout each year of the five-year term of the EDR, and include a description of any documentation required by Tampa Electric.

James D. Beasley

Page 2

March 17, 2016

5. Referring to paragraph 2 of the petition, have the maximum amount of economic development expenditures as specified in Commission Rule 25-6.0426, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), been met at any time during the pilot period? Please state what the maximum amount is, and if the response is yes, please explain.
6. Please describe the type of verification Tampa Electric receives in support of the new No. 7 on First Revised Sheet 7.740 supporting the assertion that the availability of the EDR is a significant factor in the customer's location/expansion decision.
7. Referring to paragraph 7 of the petition, please explain how a permanent EDR satisfies the requirements of Rule 25-6.0426(3)-(6), F.A.C.
8. Does Tampa Electric plan to continue the surveillance reporting pursuant to Rule 25-6.0426(3)-(6), F.A.C.? If no, please explain.

Please file all responses electronically no later than Friday, April 1, 2016 via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6540 if you have any questions.

Thank you.

/s/Sue Ollila

Economic Analyst

sollila@psc.state.fl.us

cc: J. Jeffry Wahlen – jwahlen@ausley.com
Ashley M. Daniels. – adaniels@ausley.com
Office of Commission Clerk