## FILED APR 19, 2016 DOCUMENT NO. 02272-16 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE	
2	F.TOKI	DA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter o	of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 140029-TP	
5	REQUEST FOR SUE		
6	PROPOSALS FOR F	JNE 2015, FOR	
7	BLIND, OR SPEEC	OF HEARING, DEAF/ CH IMPAIRED, AND	
8	COMPLIANCE WITH	FATION MATTERS IN H THE FLORIDA LONS ACCESS SYSTEM	
9	ACT OF 1991.	IONS ACCESS SISIEM	
10		/	
11	PROCEEDINGS:	TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS SYSTEM ACT	
12		ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING	
13	TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF:	The Staff of the Florida	
14		Public Service Commission	
15	DATE:	Wednesday, April 13, 2016	
16	TIME:	Commenced at 1:30 p.m. Concluded at 3:27 p.m.	
17	PLACE:	Gerald L. Gunter Building	
18		Room 105 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	
19		Tallahassee, Florida	
20	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
21		(850) 413-6734	
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES:
2	CURTIS WILLIAMS, PSC Staff JEFF BATES, PSC Staff
3	PAMELA PAGE, PSC Staff
4	BETH SALAK, PSC Staff GREG FOGLEMAN, PSC Staff
5	MARK LONG, PSC Staff LISA HARVEY, PSC Staff JAMES FORSTALL, Florida Telecommunication Relay, Inc.
6	CHRIS LITTLEWOOD, St. Petersburg College Center for Public Safety Innovation, National
7	Terrorism Preparedness Institute
8	JEFF BRANCH, Sprint ROBERT TELFER, FTRI TIM WATA, Florida Association of the Deaf
9	
10	TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:
11	RICK KOTTLER, Deaf Service Center Association THOMAS D'ANGELO, Florida Association of the Deaf
12	JULIE CHURCH, Deaf Service Center Association of Floric and the Florida Coordinating Council for
13	the Deaf and Hard of Hearing  CHERYL RHODES, Florida Deaf/Blind Association
14	ondice intoble, regretar bear, bring hospetation
15	INTERPRETERS:
16	BRYAN CONRAD ANGELA DEESE-THEOBALD
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon. I'm Curtis
Williams with the Office of Telecommunications, and I'll
be chairing today's meeting. Joining me is Jeff Bates,
who is also with the Office of Telecommunications, and
Pam Page with the Office of General Counsel.

Everyone should have received a copy of the meeting agenda, so at this time we'll proceed with the agenda as printed. The first thing we would like to do is have Ms. Page read the notice.

MS. PAGE: Welcome, and good afternoon.

Pursuant to notice issued, this time, date, and place was set for this meeting of the Telecommunications Access System Act Advisory Committee in Docket No. 140029-TP.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms. Page. Before we proceed, we would like to cover some preliminary matters. A transcript will be made of today's meeting and provided to all TASA Committee members. Please state your name, when speaking, for the record for our court reporter. We ask that everyone please silence your cell phones during the meeting. And for those on the phone, we ask that you please put your phone on mute until you're ready to speak so we don't get interference noise.

And at this time, if there are no questions, 1 2 we would like to go ahead and take appearances. We'll 3 start with the individuals here in the room and then proceed with the participants on the phone. We could 4 start with you, Mr. Forstall. 5 MR. FORSTALL: James Forstall with FTRI. 6 7 MR. BRANCH: Good afternoon. I'm Jeff Branch with Sprint. 8 9 MR. LITTLEWOOD: Good afternoon. 10 Littlewood from St. Petersburg College, Center for Public Safety Innovation. I represent the Florida 11 Coordinating Council for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing. 12 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 14 For the phone participants, we ask that you 15 please state your name and who you're representing. I'm not sure how many individuals we have on the phone, so 16 17 just jump in. MR. KOTTLER: Hello, Curtis. It's Rick 18 Kottler, Deaf Service Center Association. 19 2.0 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Welcome, Rick. 21 MR. D'ANGELO: Hello. This is Thomas D'Angelo 22 with the Florida Association of the Deaf, and I'm happy 23 to join here, the meeting today. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Welcome. 25 MS. CHURCH: Hello. This is Julie Church with

1	the Deaf Service Center Association of Florida and the
2	Florida Coordinating Council for the Deaf and Hard of
3	Hearing.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Welcome. Are there anymore
5	participants on the phone?
6	MS. RHODES: Yes, I'm here. This is Cheryl
7	Rhodes, representing Florida Deaf/Blind Association.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Cheryl.
9	Are there any other phone participants?
10	Okay. Here and now, we'll go ahead and
11	proceed. I would also like to just mention, for those
12	who are participating by phone, we do have several
13	individuals in the audience that are joining us. I'd
14	like to acknowledge Beth Salak, who is the Director of
15	the Office of Telecommunications; and Greg Fogleman,
16	who's a supervisor in the Office of Telecommunications
17	actually replacing Bob Casey. We have Mark Long, who is
18	also a supervisor in the Office of Telecommunications;
19	and we have Lisa Harvey, who is the Deputy Director here
20	at the Public Service Commission for the technical
21	staff. And we have one more individual.
22	MR. TELFER: My name is Robert Telfer, and I'm
23	with FTRI.
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Robert Telfer with FTRI.
25	MR. BATES: Tim Wata.

1 MR. WILLIAMS: And Tim Wata, who is with the 2 Florida Association of the Deaf.

2.0

So I think we have all the introductions and all the preliminaries taken care of, so at this time we'll proceed with the presentations.

Jeff Bates will provide an FCC update. And following Jeff, I'll provide an update and overview of the recent issues and matters addressed by the Florida Public Service Commission.

MR. BATES: Good afternoon. Jeff Bates,

Florida PSC. The FCC -- for the FCC filings, we have an

FCC number versus a DA number on orders. Decisions are

issued in two different ways: either by the authority of

the entire Commission or by authority delegated to the

bureaus and offices of the Commission.

If the decision is decided by the Commission, it is identified by an FCC number. The number is comprised of two digits indicating the year and a number of up to three digits indicating the sequence, such as FCC 08-138.

If the decision is issued through authority delegated to the FCC bureaus and offices, it is identified by a DA number. The DA number is constructed similarly to the FCC number with two initial digits indicating the year and a number of up to four digits

indicating the sequence, such as DA 08-1476.

2.0

On October 30th, 2015, the FCC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 15-144. In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the FCC proposes to amend hearing aid compatibility rules for wireline handsets; proposes a rule on setting a standard for volume control for wireless handsets; proposes requiring manufacturers to use the 2011 standard developed by the American National Standards Institute to certify future handsets as hearing aid compatible; and to simplify the process for all equipment, wireline and wireless, to achieve hearing aid compatibility compliance prior to FCC approval of those standards.

Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 15-143 released November 3rd, 2015. In that Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the FCC proposed to modify the four-year compensation rate plan for video relay service by adopting a limited-duration compensation rate freeze applicable to VRS providers with 500,000 or fewer monthly minutes.

Under this modification, providers will receive compensation of \$5.29 per minute for a maximum of 16 months beginning July 1st, 2015.

In Order DA 15-1349 released November 23rd, 2015, in that order, the FCC waived sections of the

Commission's rules to permit VTSecure and the MITRE

Corporation to access the TRS Numbering Directory for

the development of a reference platform for VRS

number -- access technologies. Clarifying the entity's

eligibility to access the directory will allow them to

carry out their contracted duties.

2.0

Finally, Report and Order FCC 16-25 released on March 3rd, 2016, in this order the FCC provides limited compensation rate relief for VRS providers with 500,000 or fewer monthly minutes. Limited relief is being provided to the smallest VRS providers on a retrospective and going-forward basis.

The FCC directed the administrator of the Interstate Telecommunications Relay Services Fund to pay compensation at a rate of \$5.29 per minute for the period from July 1, 2015, through October 31st, 2016.

For the period November 1st, 2016, to

April 30th, 2017, the FCC directs the administrator of
the TRS Fund to compensate providers at \$5.06 per

minute.

For the period from May 1st to June 30th, 2017, the FCC directs the administrator to compensate providers at \$4.82 per minute.

And that's what -- that's the FCC updates since October 29th.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Jeff. Are there any questions regarding the FCC update?

2.0

If not, we'll -- at this time I will present on the issues before the Florida Public Service

Commission regarding the state relay program since the TASA committee's last meeting in October of 2015.

In December 2015, the Public Service

Commission completed its annual report -- its annual relay report and published that report on our website.

So if you haven't read the report or if you haven't been on our website, please feel free to do so. And if you have any questions, feel free to contact staff, and we can address those questions as you review and read the relay report.

On March 7th, 2016, the FTRI submitted its proposed 2016/2017 fiscal year budget to the Commission for the Florida Public Service Commission's consideration.

On April 13th, 2016, which is, of course, today, and after my presentation, James Forstall, who is the Executive Director with FTRI, will make his annual presentation on the budget.

On April 22nd, the Commission staff is scheduled to file its recommendation for Commission consideration of the proposed budget, and on May 5th,

staff will present to the Commission the recommendation.

And by statute, the budget and any changes in the surcharge must be in effect by July 1st, 2016.

2.0

Also in this recommendation, and we've been -we've heard from Mr. Tom D'Angelo and Mr. Wata, but in
this recommendation, both Mr. D'Angelo and Mr. Wata were
recommended for appointment to the Commission -- or to
the TASA Committee representing the Florida Association
of the Deaf. And so by statute, the Florida Public
Service Commission -- the Commissioners actually have to
take that under consideration and vote on their
appointment. So we welcome Mr. D'Angelo and Mr. Wata's
participation here today, and -- but recognizing that
their formal appointment to the TASA Committee will be
subject to the Commission's consideration and vote.

So at this time, we will go ahead and hear from Mr. James Forstall on FTRI's budget, proposed budget.

MR. FORSTALL: If we could get the slide presentation mode. Okay. It's up.

Okay. Good afternoon, everyone, and thank you for allowing me to be here to present on the FTRI proposed fiscal year 2016 and 2017 budget.

Based on the best information available to us, the FTRI board of directors has approved a

recommendation to maintain the current surcharge level of 12 cents for the next fiscal year. The budget, as approved by the board, projects total revenues to be \$7,796,894 and total expenses to be \$7,505,109.

The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016/17. As of February 2016, FTRI has over 507,000 individuals in the client database. It is evident that FTRI and its contracted regional distribution centers are reaching out to meet the telecommunications access needs of residents who are deaf, hard of hearing, deaf/blind, or speech disabled. Outreach continues to be a large part of our efforts, and plans are underway to reach out to the estimated 3 million potential clients with hearing loss or speech disability in Florida by creating awareness and telephone independence.

Operating revenue. The surcharge revenues for fiscal year 2016 and '17 are based on over 4 percent decrease in the total number of access lines reported and estimated in fiscal year 2015 and '16.

The number of -- on the next slide is the number of -- a graph showing the number of lines decreasing over the last few years. And, of course, we all know from past, previous meetings that the access lines will continue to decline due to the fact that more and more people are migrating away from landlines to

other types of technology. So we will continue to see that decrease in the years to come.

And I wanted to remind everybody, especially for those who are maybe new to the TASA Committee, access lines are only landlines that do not make up cell phones or internet or -- some internet, cell phones.

And, of course, the only -- access lines are only classified as analog landlines.

Operating revenue. During the next fiscal year budget, proposed budget, we're estimating that there will be 65,342,642 total number of access lines. And what that means is that includes the number of access lines times 12 months. So the number of access lines is actually lower than that.

At the current rate, 12-cents surcharge level, should produce the revenues of \$7,841,117. Of course, there's less administrative cost for the telephone companies to submit, collect and submit to FTRI, leaving a net operating revenue amount of \$7,762,706.

And the projected interest income is \$34,188, with a total operating revenue of \$7,796,894.

Category I, Florida relay. The fiscal year 2016/17 budget for relay is based on projections submitted by the relay provider, which is Sprint. The contracted rate at \$1.09 per billable minute for

traditional relay service and \$1.63 per billable minute for captioned telephone service.

2.0

Using the data submitted by the relay provider, it is estimated year-end total of 2,293,988 billable minutes. So Category I expense is \$3,192,039.

Category II, which is equipment and repairs, this category consists of all equipment purchased as well as repairs. FTRI is projecting the fiscal year end revenue -- fiscal year end 2016/17 expenses to be \$1,621,478.

The number of equipment has declined over the last few years, equipment distributed, and, of course, that's primarily due to less and less people having access to the program due to not having an analog line anymore. So we will continue to see a decline in the years to come unless, of course, newer technology is introduced into the program.

Category III, equipment distribution and training. There are 25 RDCs that provide services in multiple locations throughout the state. It is estimated that the RDCs and FTRI will have provided over 27,783 services to clients during the current fiscal year. The total fiscal year 2016/17 proposed budget for Category III is \$950,403.

1 2

2.0

During the past year -- during the current fiscal year, we've had two RDCs close. Actually we actually had two RDCs close and one withdrew their contract. So we have less centers out there working the program.

Services provided during the last four to five years. There's a graph that shows that the decline is in line with the equipment and total number of services as well. So we're estimating at the end of fiscal year 2016 to have provided again 27,783 services.

Now the services include clients who are new, clients who might modify the equipment, exchanging a broken unit for a working unit, return it, follow-up services. So all those comprise the services that we are providing.

Category IV is outreach. FTRI is proposing an outreach budget of \$728,300, which is an increase of \$153,674 for fiscal year 2016/17, to continue promoting and creating awareness about both the FTRI equipment distribution program and Florida relay program.

I do hope that everyone received an updated copy, a revised copy of my presentation. I emailed it this morning. I had informed Curtis that I was still in the process of updating the information, so I was able to capture data later this morning to update the

1 presentation.

The outreach, FTRI outreach rationale for increased funding. The reason for the increase in the outreach budget is FTRI plans to advertise the program all year round using insert ads, otherwise known as a flyer, as continuity and consistency are important with any advertising campaign. FTRI has not previously advertised in newspapers 12 months of the year. FTRI collaborates with IMS, which stands for Intersect Media Solutions, to strategically place insert ads in the newspaper by identifying specific areas: For instance, zoning by zip codes, which allows FTRI to advertise in a cost-efficient manner.

Some facts. Scarborough, a Nielsen service, released a report in March 2015 that 71.7 percent of the U.S. population 65-plus still reads the daily or Sunday newspaper. The same source also reported that 71.9 percent of the total top seven Florida markets read a daily or Sunday paper. In an article published in January of 2015 by Pew Research Center, 84 percent of people 65-plus still have landlines.

And the next slide is -- shows the number of people in Florida with hearing loss according to the USA.com, which is a website that breaks down the population by zip code. And we also use that as part of

our process to strategically place ads throughout the state.

The 65 percent -- 65-plus population in Florida is 3,518,560. And the HLAA's, which is the Hearing Loss Association of America, website states that one in three people 65-plus have a hearing loss. That equates to over 1,172,853 in Florida alone. FTRI has served 478,221 of 65-plus since the inception of the program in 1991.

I would like to be able to share with you information or data that we collect regarding the newspaper campaign, and our database, the FTRI database does track this data on every individual that is served within FTRI -- through the FTRI program.

And what you'll see here is the last three years we did the newspaper campaign. I was able to pull the data from the website, from our database -- I'm sorry -- from July 1st. On the first slides you'll see the last 12 months of the campaign -- I'm sorry -- the last three years over a period of 12 months, except for this year, the current fiscal year. Since we still have two and a half months to go, I've compiled the data from July 1st to April 13th, which is this morning. It's just a comparison.

You can see that this year our numbers are

pretty high for -- well, they're tracking pretty well
for newspaper ads this year. And with two and a half
months, we hope to exceed or bypass the last two years.

Here's a graph that shows the newspaper campaign results for nine months and 13 days, which allows the comparison from July 1st to April 13th. And as you can see, this year the ads are -- the number of clients that have been served through the campaign has exceeded the last two years at this point in time. So we're excited about that. We feel like the insert ads that we're using right now are really, really producing.

And, of course, the last slide shows the newspaper campaign results for three months and 13 days. And I wanted to show you in comparison over the last two years, three years by the spring campaign, which we're operating right now, shows from January 1st to April 13th. You can see over the last two years that this current campaign is really producing better results. So we are happy to see that the ads are producing the number of clients served from the newspaper -- who said they saw the newspaper ad and are responding.

And the whole purpose of this outreach presentation is to explain why we really believe having a 12-month campaign will be beneficial for FTRI over the

next fiscal year. And that's the reason for the increase in the budget, the outreach budget, is all that increase will go towards newspapers.

Also the flyer newspaper campaign results. We have what we see, an insert ad and a regular ad. And what that means is the insert ad, which you'll see in a minute, are an 8x11 back and front insert or flyer that goes inside the newspaper, so when people see the ad, they're able to pull it out and hang on to it. And it really has a much bigger impact on people seeing our ad, hanging on to the ad. And they may hang on to it for a while before they actually go into a center to get served.

And from July 1st to April 13th, the number of services that were provided through the insert ad is 1,908, compared to the regular newspaper ad of 582. And the regular newspaper ad would be an ad that goes in the newspaper at the discretion of the newspaper to place it wherever they have -- wherever the room is. We don't always get to determine or dictate where they can place the ad. But with an insert ad, we know for certain that it will go in the middle of the newspaper with all the other advertisements, so we know that they will get looked at.

The fall insert ad, as you can see, was a blue

one, and we did that in the fall. And we worked with 1 the vendor to create the ads. ClearSound was the one 2 that created this one. And the other one, the one 3 that's currently running that we're having the most 4 success with is the spring insert ad, which is produced 5 by Clarity, and it's red, of course. And this one 6 7 really, really -- we've gotten a lot of positive feedback on this ad. It tends to stand out and pop when 8 9 a person sees it. So they will hopefully get their 10 attention, grab their attention, and people will again hang on to the ad. And, of course, we do list the --11 12 the regional distribution center's contact information 13 on the bottom so they can either go to the center or 14 contact them directly, and we do that for each area 15 where we have an RDC. So they are listed on the ad. And we also have our web address, which is 16 17 www.ftri.org/free, and we are getting some traffic from 18 that through our website. So we are getting people who see the ad and go to our website, and we're able to 19 20 track that as well. 21 And let's see. Okay. And while I'm still 22

And let's see. Okay. And while I'm still talking about the ads, the insert ad campaign results, comparing the fall campaign to the spring, again, we're seeing more spring campaign -- more people seeing the spring -- responding from the spring insert ad as

23

24

25

1 opposed to the fall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the next slide shows a picture of the CapTel insert ad that we have currently running right now. We don't have data on it yet. We will be collecting data on that the next two, three months. But we have a blue insert and a red insert again. And we did one for the month of April -- March, and we're doing one for the month of April as well. So we are promoting the CapTel equipment.

And what you see on the next slide is called the April FSI Plan, which stands for the insert. a terminology that IMS uses, and it's the insert plan or schedule. And this is how we determine where the ads are placed strategically. We will identify the center that it's going to be placed in and the city, along with the name of the newspaper, and also whether it will be a full run or a zone run. And a zone run means that we select certain zip codes in the area, and we are able to determine where the majority of the 65-plus population lives, so we target those particular areas. If it says it's a full run, which means that everybody in that particular area that gets that -- that subscribes to that newspaper will get a copy, will get the newspaper. So we don't have to break them down by zip codes in those areas because it's a full run and you don't have

that option. Just everybody gets it.

But with the zip codes, a zoned area is the larger metropolitan areas is where those work. It's because it's more expensive to advertise in those areas, so, therefore, we have to pick and choose which zip codes. And our plan for the next fiscal year is to do it by quarters and to rotate them around so we're not—the ads are not going to the same people over and over again. We want them to see the ads, but by the time we get to the next quarter, we want to alternate to another zip code. Because we do believe that if people really want to respond to the ad, they will hang on to the ad. And whether it takes two or three weeks or two or three months later, they will bring the ad with them to the center.

So this is one of the ways that we -- this is the plan that I approved when -- IMS will put all the data together, and it's also broken down by individual RDCs on a tab, which you don't see here, and it lists all the individuals. I might have a -- yes, here it is.

This is what a tab would look like. The next slide shows you RDC No. 4. And these are the particular zip codes that we will be targeting our insert ad in that particular run. And you've got a sample of both of them. You've got a sample of zoned and full run. The

top one is zoned because that is in a Daytona Beach newspaper, which is a much -- a larger newspaper. And then, of course, the other one underneath it is in a different area but it's in the Daytona area. It's called -- in the DeLand area, which is served by RDC No. 4. And they list all the zip codes because those are the run areas that it will cover.

And we like -- we use the zip codes to help us determine the response, percentage response from the people who are living in those zip codes. It helps us to gauge how successful they are or not.

And this is the -- the next slide is the 2015 65-plus Nielsen data. This is what is used by IMS to determine the percentage of people that are 65-plus in a specific area. And so we will highlight the areas that we want to work with. And we also -- I was able to add the FTRI data in there to demonstrate the success or the response to the ads advertising in those particular zip codes. So -- and this is -- these are some of the tools that we will be using going forward to determine how and where to place the ads.

The next slide is the population 65-plus by zip code. And this is the one that we also use to help us in addition to the other data. Now if I go back to the slide where it says the 65-plus Nielsen data slide,

that is a program that you can buy to assist you with it. But FTRI doesn't buy it -- IMS owns it -- because they said it costs anywhere upward to 50- or \$60,000 a year to buy it. So they're using it to work with our program, so we don't have to buy it. We have access to it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next slide, of course, is just a sample slide of the USA.com Volusia County population, and it breaks it down by -- let me see on here -- it breaks it down -- it's hard to see. You've got the basic information, you've got the population breakdown, and you've got the different groups. And, let's see, we do have -- and this is an example of Volusia. It gives you a picture of the area that we're targeting, and then, of course, the zip codes are right below it. And next to the zip codes, in some cases, you have the total population, and so we will target those areas. And then what we do next is we scroll down on the other tab that breaks it down by age, and then we will go there and choose, decide if this is -- if the 65-plus numbers are worthwhile to advertise in those particular zip codes. So we have some really -- tools available to help us with determining the best places to place the ads.

Also that we use or have available -- excuse me -- is the next slide, the FTRI client survey report.

This is how we track or where we track a person hears about the program. And each center will -- excuse me -- is responsible for asking the client how they heard about the program. And in some cases, the client will bring an ad with them, so that makes it easier for a center to know and identify, okay, this is how you saw the ad.

And we -- specifically we call it a referral source. We specifically identify an insert ad to identify a specific run or campaign. This particular one, the one that we have running right now, is called the Spring 2015 insert ad -- insert ad, Spring 2015. So we know, because of the -- we placed it and we know that when a person selects that, it's identified that specific ad is working. We only have two particular ads running at the same time, so we can identify which one of the two ads are really working.

For instance, if someone selects an incorrect referral source, we know, because of where the ads are placed, exactly which newspaper is being used. So that's very helpful.

In addition to this report, you'll see the box and the slide to my right is the ability to search by zip codes. And when you click on that, you'll see on the bottom of the slide just an example of one zip code

that tells you 55 people in that particular zip code

were served, and then you can look down and see exactly

what the referral source was or how they heard about the

program. So we have the data available. We're

collecting it and the centers are entering it into the

database. We review it, we analyze it, and we do

everything to make sure that when we do place ads going

forward, that we're using the best strategy available to

place them where we believe that people need to see and

hear about the program.

2.0

So we do have all these tools available.

These tools are also available to the centers so they

can also use the zip code -- excuse me -- the zip code

model to see where they need to do more outreach in the

areas that might be underserved, for instance, so they

can go do presentations, exhibits, and newspaper ads, et

cetera.

Okay. That completes the outreach portion of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$  presentation.

Category V is the general and administrative. The total proposed budget for Category V is \$1,012,889. Right now, FTRI is budgeted for ten full-time staff.

And the next slide is a comparison for the last -- a comparison for last year's budget -- excuse me -- and what was approved and what's projected and

what we're proposing. As you can see, the proposed 1 budget is less than the approved budget last year. 2 I'm open for questions. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you, James. 4 again, as Mr. Forstall mentioned, we posted all of the 5 presentations on the Commission's website on -- earlier 6 7 this week. And Mr. Forstall had revisions to his -- or updates to his presentation, and we sent out an email 8 9 with that revised presentation, and we also replaced the 10 presentation on the Commission's website. So if you did not receive the updated or revised presentation, it 11 12 should be on our website now, or you can contact me or 13 Jeff and we'll get you the latest and the greatest presentation. 14 15 So at this time, we'll address or entertain -let Mr. Forstall entertain any questions regarding his 16 presentation on FTRI's proposed budget. 17 18 MR. KOTTLER: Hey, Curtis, this is Rick Kottler. Can I ask a question? 19 2.0 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, please, Rick. 21 MR. KOTTLER: Hey, James. How are you? 22 MR. FORSTALL: Hi. I'm doing good, Rick. 23 Thanks. 24 MR. KOTTLER: Actually this is more of a 25 statement than a question, I would suppose.

First of all, James, you've really done your homework well, and I certainly appreciate that. Having been -- well, the fact that I am an RDC, I've seen the effect of these ads, and they are very effective. I know our numbers are probably up 25, 30 percent easily with these.

My question would be to Curtis. I know in the past sometimes the Public Service Commission has had a problem with high advertising budgets, but this is very effective. If the other committee members would agree, would a -- something like a vote of confidence be appropriate to go with this; for us to say, you know, this is effective, James is doing his homework, and we really recommend that you go down this line to do this advertising?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Rick, first I would say I wouldn't necessarily -- I wouldn't necessarily state it as the Commission has had a problem with FTRI's outreach budget. We've questioned in the past some of the -- well, we've taken a thorough look at all expense items. So it hasn't been one specific area. It's just been a general thorough look at all expense items based on, as James presented, a decrease in revenues and the number of program participants.

If you -- also, secondly, if you look at

the -- several years ago, the Public Service Commission 1 auditing staff, we conducted an audit of FTRI, and we 2 3 actually complimented them on some of the outreach things they're doing. So we are supportive of outreach. 4 But as far as, you know, endorsing this 5 particular budget or this particular initiative at this 6 7 time, that's something that staff is currently reviewing, and it's something that we can't, you know, 8 we can't comment on specifically endorsing it or 9 supporting it at this time. It's just something that's 10 under review, and it will be included in our -- in 11 12 staff's recommendation to the Commission on FTRI's 13 budget. 14 MR. KOTTLER: I didn't mean to infer that 15 y'all didn't like advertising budgets. I understand that. But I just wanted you to understand that this is 16 17 a real effective type approach that we've not seen 18 before out of FTRI, and it really is paying off. 19 MR. WILLIAMS: We thank you for your comment. I'm sure FTRI and James thanks you also. 2.0 21 Rick, which RDC, just for the record, which 22 RDC do you represent? 23 MR. KOTTLER: Sixteen, Jensen Beach. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Jensen Beach. Okay.

25

you.

Are there any additional questions? 1 Chris has a question. 2 MR. LITTLEWOOD: I have a lot of things 3 formulating in my mind, but I guess I'm going to start 4 with a more general budget question, and that's related 5 to a surplus budget of FTRI. Historically, FTRI has had 6 7 quite a bit of surplus, and I wanted to know what the status of that was. 8 9 MR. FORSTALL: Do you want me to go ahead and address that? 10 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, you can. 12 MR. FORSTALL: From FTRI's standpoint, the 13 budget, the surplus budget is anywhere from 15-, 14 \$16 million, and FTRI does not consider that to be part 15 of our operating budget. MR. LITTLEWOOD: I guess my follow-up to that 16 17 is what's the long-term plan for -- to use with this 18 surplus budget? And I guess that's more a question for 19 PSC. MR. WILLIAMS: Your question is what's the use 2.0 21 in terms of going forward? 22 MR. LITTLEWOOD: What is the long-term plan 23 for the surplus budget that FTRI has? 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Currently the Commission has 25 not made a decision on exactly what the long-term use of

the surplus will be. That's something that we're looking at on a going-forward basis.

2.0

MS. SALAK: And if I could add to that. I'm

Beth Salak with Telecommunications.

The surplus was created because the Commission gave extra money to the budget for the specific purpose of in case the FCC decides that video relay will be paid for by the state. There's no way that we can collect enough money -- based on our estimates, there's no way we can collect enough money to pay for that in the meanwhile while we talk to the legislature about it. And so it was all about being able to have enough. Even if we increase it to 25 cents, there's no way we can create enough money to cover the video. So the surplus is being created in case they -- FCC still talks about sending that cost down to the states. And if they do, we have to have a way to pay for it, and that's what the surplus was created for initially

We still -- we are still hearing rumors from the FCC that's that their plan, so we hesitate to take that surplus away. The Commissioners have talked about offsetting some of the surcharge with it, but that's the only thing that I know that has been discussed otherwise just during their conversation at agenda.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: Okay. I'm reading your

caption so I know what everybody is saying.

MS. SALAK: That's fine.

2.0

MR. LITTLEWOOD: I suspected that. I know it's been discussed a little bit in the past.

I'm a huge proponent of everything that FTRI does, and I've used it myself personally since I was in my early 20s.

MS. SALAK: I'm glad to hear that.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: That's quite some time ago.

And FTRI is really not as useful to me as it was at one time because of the continuation of me losing my hearing.

I have not used a voice telephone without visual assistance in almost ten years. And the only visual assistance telephone that's distributed from FTRI is the analog caption phone; is that correct?

MR. FORSTALL: Correct.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: Okay. Analog technology is very old technology. In my work office, I am working with a caption phone that uses both digital and both analog. One of the things that I recently learned is the only reason that I'm able to do this is because we have an elevator in our building and that's the only other analog line in the whole building. And they went off of that line so they could give me one analog line

and one digital line and make my caption phone work properly, and that's been great for me. That's purchased by my employer, a very supportive employer that has a very good understanding of the ADA.

My concern is the nearly 3 million people that are deaf or hard of hearing, that there's a portion of that population that is -- that has a hearing loss that has increased beyond the ability to use some of the things that FTRI has the ability to distribute.

We have a lot of advanced technologies where you have telephone equipment that uses Bluetooth, that uses cellular and digital communications. And my question is maybe in small increments is it possible to maybe allow some of those things be distributed from FTRI?

From the Florida Statute that establishes

FTRI, I do not see anything that's prohibitive of being able to distribute equipment that is other than analog.

MS. PAGE: We appreciate your concerns. And we recently relooked at -- revisited our statute,

Chapter 427, and we found that there are some

limitations on the Commission and on FTRI in terms of what types of equipment FTRI can distribute.

The statute talks about specialized telecommunications devices, and those are defined in the

statute as a TDD, volume control handset, or other customer premises telephone equipment. They did not include cellular or digital in the specialized telecommunications devices definition.

2.0

Further, in the statute, FTRI is only allowed to distribute specialized telecommunications devices only. So that would go back to that definition, which does not include the wireless and digital.

In terms of 427, also we looked at the definition of telecommunications companies, and cellular carriers are excluded from that definition. So they're not even encompassed. And there's a specific reference that, you know, exempts cellular.

So we appreciate your concerns, but at this time we're limited by the law that we have and how we can implement the statute under that law.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: And the law states specifically exclusion of digital and exclusion of cellular?

MS. PAGE: It excludes cellular.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: So, I mean, the addition of digital technologies would allow things like the CapTel 840i, which is faster, more accurate, and easier to connect. Where I live in Pinellas County, at home I cannot use an analog CapTel phone because Bright House

won't support it for a broadband phone. So for me to use analog, because we don't have an old analog line -- I don't even know that it's offered anymore. Only Verizon, if anybody, offers it.

2.0

And that's not a problem that's just happening singularly to me. There's been a lot of people across the state that I've heard have had the same problem.

Also in places like hospitals and nursing homes where you have people that are -- where they have telecommunications set up where there's only digital equipment, that means no caption telephones can be used at all.

I have a very close friend that lives in a skilled nursing home facility, and he cannot get access to a broadband line to connect his caption telephone. So if it's not -- I mean, when you're talking about that it includes -- excludes cellular, okay. But if it doesn't exclude digital, one of the things the statute said specifically is the incorporation of and developments of new technology. That's right in the statute in 427.702. And I believe also the Deaf Service Center Association sent a letter regarding the same thing.

And so, I mean, to continue to hold back and not allow these things to be used for -- to be

distributed by FTRI, it's holding back the people that were intended to be provided telecommunications throughout the state of Florida when the statute was first written. And it's not supposed to be prohibited as technology advances. I mean, there's specific things in the statute that address this so that that wouldn't happen.

2.0

And it's very concerning to me, as a person that's late deafened and very hard of hearing, that these things are not available to the people in the state of Florida that might not have the same resources that I do to get -- to receive them through other ways.

MS. PAGE: You know, we're aware of your concerns. We did receive that letter. And we are in the process of preparing a response to the letter that you mentioned.

But we are a creature of statute. The

Commission is a creature of statute created by the

legislature, and only the legislature can give us the

authority that we would need to use digital and cellular

lines in the relay system. So at this time there's

really nothing that we can do. We understand that, you

know, relay is supposed to be taking advantage of

state-of-the-art technology, but that particular

provision is somewhat at odds with the other provisions

in the statute that say that we can't -- not that we can't, but it defines what a specialized communications device is. And in that definition, we don't find cellular or digital. And FTRI is to distribute the specialized telecommunications devices. So we're in a position where we have language in the statute, but we also have a situation where the statute doesn't enable us to provide those services.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: There are other specialized telecommunications devices that are more advanced that use digital. And my intent is not to continue to argue the point, and I appreciate everything that's being done.

So I guess I have a minor request. And when a letter of response is sent to the Deaf Service Center Association, if the members of the committee here could also be given a copy of that letter. I would certainly like to read that and see that as well as soon as it's made available.

The other question that I have that maybe you could elaborate a little bit on is you said that to some degree it requires the act of legislation to amend being able to use digital or cellular technology, and my simple question to that is why?

MS. PAGE: I don't understand. What

1	legislation?
_	

2.0

MR. LITTLEWOOD: You said that legislation is -- a legislative change is required to be able to use digital technology. And the law as it stands right now does not specifically exclude the use of digital technology, so why does it require legislation to act?

MS. PAGE: Well, what I said was is the Commission is a legislatively created body, but we have to work within the limitations of the statute. And the statute provides that FTRI is to distribute specialized telecommunications devices. Digital or cellular are not included in that definition.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. This is Curtis Williams.

And just to follow up, Chris, I think there may be a question in regards to interpretation of the statute and that, you know, we have a -- we may have a different interpretation than you have. And I think, as you indicated, the letter that we're preparing in response and continued dialogue would be a course of action.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: And maybe you can provide some clarity in that. I appreciate that.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: And, understand, my concern is only for the people that are not able to use the service, which I believe the statute was designed to

serve that population. So thank you.

MS. PAGE: Thank you.

2.0

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, James.

MR. FORSTALL: This is James with FTRI. I'd like to follow up on this same conversation. I guess what I'm hearing, that the Commission is not opposed to offering new technology or migrating to newer technology if the law would allow it. Is that what I'm hearing?

MR. WILLIAMS: At this time, the Commission has not established a position on that issue. In terms of, you know, if there is a question of, you know legislative intent or interpretation, we, as we've indicated before, we would suggest and we would not stand in the way of anyone seeking clarification or any type of legislative action.

MS. SALAK: But you have to keep in mind that the Commission does not set the policy. The policy is set elsewhere. And so our job is to implement the law as we understand it, and that's what we're doing.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: I'm cognizant of that.

Also, just a reminder that the discussion started after my original question about the surplus and the surplus being held, if the FCC acts and requires that video phones be distributed through TRS. Video phones use digital and voice over IP technology. So

Τ.	it's anticipating the same thing, and it's just a
2	smaller piece of what could possibly happen.
3	MS. SALAK: Correct. However, my statement
4	was that we would use it while we went to the
5	legislature to find out what their desire was. Say it
6	happened in, you know, August and we needed to wait
7	until the legislative session to find out what the
8	legislature wanted to do, then we would have enough
9	money to carry us through to find out if that was the
10	mandate.
11	MR. LITTLEWOOD: Thank you. Thank you.
12	MR. FORSTALL: I've got one last question.
13	This is James with FTRI.
14	Beth, I need clarification. A little while
15	ago you said were you talking with the legislators? No?
16	I misunderstood.
17	MS. SALAK: No, no. I said that no, I did
18	not say that.
19	MR. FORSTALL: Earlier on I thought maybe you
20	had mentioned that you were in communication with the
21	legislature.
22	MS. SALAK: No.
23	MR. FORSTALL: I misunderstood. Okay. Thank
24	you.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: I have a few questions, kind of

getting back to the budget in a little more detail.

On the -- let me start with the National Deaf/Blind Equipment Distribution Program. And the Commission received a letter from your organization indicating that you were not going to be going forward with participation in the program.

I have two questions. Number one, if you could just provide a little more explanation, and a little explanation here on why you're not going to continue to participate in the National Deaf/Blind Equipment Distribution Program. What has been some of the pros and what has been some of the concerns?

And then also I was looking at your presentation, and just clarification on whether or not the dollars that you originally had in your budget as approved by your board, are those dollars actually still -- were they still represented in your presentation if you're proposing not to continue with the National Deaf/Blind Distribution Program?

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. I think the last -- the second question is probably easier to answer real quick. At the time we developed the budget, it was included?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, it was actually included as a revenue item and an expense item. But after you submitted your budget to the Commission, we received a

letter from you indicating that, on a going-forward
basis, you were proposing not to continue to participate
in the program.

So I was just asking on your presentation today, in the actual presentation that you made, did you include those dollars?

MR. FORSTALL: This is James. Yes, they are still included, and only because I didn't make any changes yet to the budget.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: And the reason being is because at this point we were kind of -- we're in a position, we're waiting to hear back from the Commission, because the last comment we received was that it was going to be shared with the Commissioners and you didn't know what the feedback was going to be. So we're kind of like in a holding pattern until we hear back. We have not notified the FCC yet of this until we hear further from the Commission, the PSC staff.

The reason FTRI has decided not to continue with the National Deaf/Blind Equipment Distribution Program is because the last two quarters we have been taking a loss. The reimbursement is not sufficient to cover the total cost of the program.

And what has happened over time, especially in

the last couple of quarters, is what you'll find is people -- the equipment that people are getting are less expensive, and the administrative -- the administrative allowable -- administrative reimbursable from the FCC does not cover the -- for the expense that we do expend to provide services to the program. And what we're also seeing is a lot of repeated -- current customers repeating additional training, et cetera. And so the 15 percent allowable reimbursable administrative cost is not sufficient to cover, and so we're seeing that the expense is going up -- are pretty much going up but not having enough revenues to cover it from the FCC.

So the last couple of quarters we have taken a loss, and we don't anticipate seeing that changing

So the last couple of quarters we have taken a loss, and we don't anticipate seeing that changing because of the type of equipment consumers are getting, which is less expensive than the Braille (phonetic) units that were -- they're still being offered but consumers were choosing them early on. So it makes sense to do it at that point in time.

So that's where we stand. And we did submit a letter letting you know that we were going to inform the FCC that we were going to no longer -- FTRI was no longer interested in providing the service, but there will be another entity in Florida to pick it up.

MR. WILLIAMS: And what entity? Are you

1	aware?
2	MR. FORSTALL: That's the FCC's decision.
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
4	MR. FORSTALL: They haven't again, they
5	haven't been notified by FTRI because they're waiting to
6	hear back from the Commission. And once we get that,
7	whatever the response is, then we will move decide
8	our next step.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. And in regards to the
10	regional distribution centers
11	MS. RHODES: I have a question when you're
12	done.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Yes. Who actually just
14	spoke?
15	MR. FORSTALL: I think that's Cheryl.
16	MS. RHODES: This is Cheryl.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Cheryl, you can go ahead
18	and
19	MS. RHODES: This is Cheryl Rhodes. Okay.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. WILLIAMS: You can go ahead and ask your
22	question.
23	MS. RHODES: Thank you. I'm deaf/blind. Can
24	I do you want to finish yours and then I'll go ahead
25	with my question, or do you want me to go ahead?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: You can go ahead.
2	MS. RHODES: Okay. Thank you. I'm trying to
3	understand with the Florida Deaf/Blind Association
4	and that preferring not to move forward with that, but I
5	understand you're saying you have to wait for the
6	Commission to vote. Is that correct?
7	MR. FORSTALL: This is James with FTRI. We
8	submitted a letter because it was requested by the
9	Commission to notify them why we were releasing the
10	program, and we provided justification of the cost as to
11	why we were releasing or interested in releasing the
12	program, and we were waiting to receive a response.
13	MS. RHODES: Okay. So that means that if the
14	Commission agrees that FTRI can release that program,
15	then that means it will move to whoever so that will
16	stay here in Florida, though; right?
17	MR. FORSTALL: Correct. What we will know is
18	that when we notify the FCC of our intention, then they
19	will again review interested entities and award the
20	contract to whomever is interested in providing the
21	service. But there will be something in Florida.
22	MS. RHODES: Okay. Thank you.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Cheryl.
24	James, in regards to the regional distribution
25	centers' account, the RDCs, you indicated that there
	I <b>T</b>

were two that are -- that were -- that are no longer participating. And were there any additional RDCs added?

2.0

MR. FORSTALL: Actually, since July 1st, three centers have -- are no longer working with us. The Center for Independent Living in Miami had a new director, and they decided to not continue with the program. But they wanted to review the overall services to -- not just to the FTRI program but the overall. They just decided not to -- to terminate the contract, so to speak.

And RDC 3, which is in West Palm Beach, Deaf Service Center, they dissolved. They went out of business.

And the latest one is the Deaf Service Center in -- I'm sorry -- Community Center for Deaf and Hard of Hearing in Sarasota. At the end of April -- or actually the end of March they discontinued the FTRI program.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. And I have one final question. In regards to your equipment repair account, can you just explain in a little more detail on what you take into consideration in terms of making the decision to repair equipment or just totally replace the equipment? Is there a dollar amount threshold or the type of equipment that you consider, you know, worthy of

repair as opposed to replacing?

MR. FORSTALL: We have one-year warranties on most of the amplified phones. If it's in warranty, we will replace it -- I mean, I'm sorry, get it repaired. And we either send it to the manufacturer or to a repair facility if the manufacturer has an agreement with another third party. And, of course, sometimes they'll just replace the phone. But if it's broken, we will either repair it, we will send it back to a third-party company called Teltex, and they will repair it, and we will recirculate it or put it back into the program.

At some point after one repair it's not cost-effective to repairing, and in some cases, for instance, there's some equipment we can no longer repair because we don't get the parts anymore for them. And what happened -- what's happening next year, and I believe the question is pertaining to the increase in that line item for next year, is because when we introduced a new piece of equipment last year, we introduced two, and they were still in warranty, so most of the equipment were not coming back. And equipment that we can no longer get parts for we have to discard, take them out of the program. And that's what's happened over the last couple of years when we were repairing a certain piece of equipment that we couldn't

get the parts for. We were even salvaging them. They
were going back and they would take them apart and reuse
the parts. But at some point in time there's some parts
that cannot be supported because they're no longer
available. So that's how -- that's the program in place
to repair equipment.

So we anticipate next year, because of that new -- the warranty will be expiring, so we'll have probably more equipment coming back for repair. We hope not, but that's -- we just need to prepare for it.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Ms. Salak has a question.

MS. SALAK: I wanted to go back to the outreach. I understood that the RDCs really like your new newspaper inserts and that's great, but can you tell me what percentage of your outreach is designated for your -- the inserts versus the TV ads and -- or other outreach?

MR. FORSTALL: Okay. This is James. The -we don't do TV. We have -- we've done a little bit, but
TV has not produced the results. So we did just a
little bit this year and last year. In years past, we
did quite a bit. Of course, that's more -- that's
expensive as well.

The insert ads and the regular newspaper ads have been the two primary media outreach methods that

İ	
1	we've used, and what we're finding is the insert ad
2	works so much better than the regular ad. And, of
3	course, I showed you the numbers. And so we are now
4	converting or switching everything to insert ads because
5	that's just more effective. And we'll probably
6	convert all media marketing dollars will go toward
7	insert ads through the whole state every month at least
8	once a month.
9	MS. SALAK: So it's basically a hundred
10	percent, I mean, based on the insert?
11	MR. FORSTALL: A hundred percent will be
12	inserts, but it doesn't mean that every newspaper in the
13	state of Florida will get the insert because
14	MS. SALAK: No. I understand. I just was
15	asking per the budget.
16	MR. FORSTALL: We also that's part of the
17	budget. We also contract with the regional distribution
18	centers, and we will continue to do that.
19	MS. SALAK: Just one more quick question. If
20	you end up giving up the FCC program and someone else
21	takes it over, will the RDCs and your and FTRI still
22	guide people to that if they fit the criteria for it to
23	the grant?
24	MR. FORSTALL: Yes. We would definitely refer
25	them to whatever program assigned. Absolutely.

MS. SALAK: Okay.

2.0

MR. WILLIAMS: Are there any additional questions for Mr. Forstall? If not, we are scheduled -- actually this, for this meeting we're a little behind schedule. We're scheduled to take a break at -- we were scheduled to take a break at 2:45. So for the benefit of our interpreters and everyone here, our court reporter, we are going to take a 15-minute break as scheduled. So it is now 2:45. We will reconvene at 3:05 with the presentation by Sprint Relay, Jeff Branch on the Florida relay service update. Thank you.

(Recess taken.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. This is Curtis Williams. We are ready to reconvene at this time. We will have Jeff Branch's presentation on the Sprint Florida relay program.

MR. BRANCH: Thank you, Curtis. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Jeff Branch.

It's already been a year since we've had services here. I can't believe how fast time has gone -- in just the blink of an eye, it feels like.

But on the agenda, I'd like to discuss the past year just to show the number of different services that we do provide in the state of Florida.

The PowerPoint will show the numbers of RCC,

of French, Spanish, TRS, and CapTel usage, IP CapTel, and the Quality Report as well.

This shows the number of RCC users on a monthly basis. You can see the increase as we go along.

I'm trying to remember. Some of you may be new. For 15,000 free minutes annually to use, and that number has reduced and then it stops, the services will stop. Over this past year we've had a total of 13,545 used, so we still have some minutes left. There's been nothing to stop the service.

As of March 1st of this year, we've reset to zero, so now we'll start the clock on that usage again. And, again, it goes up to 15,000. So that's just some general information of those numbers.

This shows the number of French Gate users, incoming and outbound calls as well. The session minutes is there. That shows you those totals. We also have Spanish-to-English translation, a breakdown of those minutes as well. And you can see the usage there on the screen.

I went to Miami in order to meet some customers, and they -- they really spoke praises about having a Spanish service available to them. So it was really good to hear their voice. It tends to be used a lot in the Miami area. I'm sure, as well throughout the

state, other locations in Florida as well.

2.0

And this is the TRS usage. It shows you all the utilization minutes month by month, starting with March all the way until February because, again, March is when we start the service. So that shows you that full year from March of one year until February of the next. And this is our report that we've got broke down for you — broken down for you.

TRS utilization tends to fluctuate on a month-to-month basis. Pretty much it's pretty consistent. There's a slight decline.

This chart shows you the CapTel usage minutes. And, again, that's March to February. It's really interesting to see the number of minutes decline there. I mean, I'm sure there are a lot of different factors involved: competition, open market competition, open captioning on Sorenson, and I believe Purple has that service as well. A lot of that has to do with the transition from analog to IP.

This shows the Quality Report both -- for both TRS and CapTel. That's all defined. It also shows different tests that we've done monthly in order to make sure that we are meeting requirements of the -- that we're meeting the state's requirements. We do continue to inform the Commission of those reports.

We've also followed up on any complaints that we've had. We tend to follow up on those within 24 hours. There haven't been many. There have been a few, but, again, we do try to follow up on those in a timely manner.

This is our Outreach Report just showing you some specific areas. We contributed a \$25,000 budget to FTRI in order to do outreach for -- in regards to 711. So we do have a list of the different activities that we have conducted over the past year. And, again, on March 1st, we started a new budget of 25,000, and that'll run through the next fiscal year.

And this is a breakdown of what we plan to be doing with the 25,000 for this year in order to get some information to people in Florida and, again, in regards to 711.

I mean, basically that's -- that's our real basic summary of the numbers that we have for the past year. And does anybody have any questions?

MR. BATES: Yes, I do. I'm Jeff Bates,
Commission staff.

A couple of questions. The first one relates to the remote call conferencing call and the number of minutes used. Do any of the remaining minutes roll over to the next contract year such as, say, in a data plan,

or can they? Has Sprint considered that? 1 2 MR. BRANCH: That is something that we can consider. The contract -- the contracted agreement says 3 that it would reset to zero and everything would start 4 over. But, I mean, that is something that we can 5 discuss with regards to rollover. That's definitely 6 7 something that we're open to have discussion for. MR. BATES: Okay. That answers --8 9 MR. LITTLEWOOD: I was going to ask you the 10 same thing. MR. BATES: Then a question with regard to the 11 12 quality report. The graph of the CapTel state report, 13 none of the months' captioning accuracy reached the 14 95 percent accuracy level. Is there a reason? And I 15 notice the December accuracy is down perhaps the lowest of all of them, and --16 17 MR. BRANCH: In March it was 86 percent. Okay. We'll start with March. That 86 percent that's 18 19 listed, 95 percent or greater. MR. BATES: Okay. All right. Thank you. 20 21 MR. BRANCH: So the percentage that's shown is 22 86 percent, and actually it consists of 95 percent 23 accuracy or higher. 2.4 MR. BATES: Okay. Thank you. 25 MR. BRANCH: That's how we broke those down on

a monthly basis.

MR. BATES: Thank you for that clarification.

Can you tell me about December? You had a 61.3 percent of the CapTel.

MR. BRANCH: 61 percent, yes. There are different factors. One being that we started hiring -- we started a new -- we started hiring new operators that month. There was a big hiring influx at that particular moment. So a lot of the new employees were still going through training, and that does take some time to progress. So that's probably why that number was slightly lower than others.

MR. BATES: Okay. Thank you. That answers my question. Thank you.

MR. BRANCH: Sure.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: I don't understand because my opinion is that caption phone is never 95 percent accurate. So my question is what does that mean, "95 percent"? Is it packets, the computer transfer of the information? I don't understand what 95 percent means.

MR. BRANCH: So 95 percent is how they test what's being heard as in what's being -- what's being spoken and then translated onto the screen for accuracy purposes to make sure that it's -- sometimes errors do

happen. So that's kind of keeping a measure on that 1 particular accuracy, that particular caption accuracy. 2 3 Vocabulary plus spelling. MR. LITTLEWOOD: Okay. I just need to think 4 about it. 5 For clarification, I don't think that it's 6 7 Sprint. I've just never -- I mean, I use a caption phone every day, and I never see 95 percent accuracy in 8 my calls because the longer the conversation and the 9 faster that someone that is hearing is talking, then 10 CapTel can't keep up. That's been my experience in the 11 use of the caption phone. But it's not Sprint. It's 12 13 the way that the phone is designed. 14 MR. BRANCH: And it may very well be the transmission of the words to the screen. That's what 15 you're talking about; right? 16 17 MR. FORSTALL: Using the internet. 18 MR. LITTLEWOOD: Yes. But my experience is 19 also that the internet is faster but is never close to 2.0 the same accuracy either. 21 MR. BRANCH: There's different factors that we 22 would need to check into: possibly settings, looking at 23 the settings, looking at the particular network that 24 you're using. There's various factors that we could 25 look at, troubleshoot it.

MR. LITTLEWOOD: I don't think that it's a 1 network problem. I think that it's the use of the voice 2 3 recognition for caption phone. It's not a network problem. I just want, especially the PSC, to understand 4 5 what the 95 percent accuracy means to me. It's the transfer of the information, but it doesn't necessarily 6 7 mean that the words are going to be accurate every time. That's all. 8 9 MR. BATES: Thank you. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Are there any additional questions for Jeff? If not then -- oh, I'm sorry. 11 12 Chris. 13 MR. LITTLEWOOD: One more. And I already 14 spoke with Jeff about this, and I think that I emailed you about the 911 information before with AT&T. And I 15 think before with Sprint we received information about 16 17 the 911 calls that went through TRS, just like a monthly 18 number. And it was just for my purposes; I was 19 collecting the data because it would be important for 2.0 emergency information. And I would think that that's 21 important to the PSC also. 22 So if Sprint can plan on trying to find a way 23 to collect that data, it would be appreciated. 24 MR. BRANCH: Okay. Thank you. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. So if there are no

additional questions, we can move to the next item on
the printed agenda is an item listed as "Other." It's
an item for anything that we have not covered, any
just an open discussion of questions we can entertain at
this time.
If not, then we are we can go ahead and
conclude today's meeting. I'm sorry.
MR. LITTLEWOOD: We were just discussing that
the HLAA contact on here is really old. It's wrong.
Richard Herring is
MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry. Which? Which
oh.
MR. LITTLEWOOD: Richard Herring from the
Hearing Loss Association.
MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, we questioned that. He is
MR. LITTLEWOOD: He's not a chapter president
anymore.
MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
MR. LITTLEWOOD: And, more importantly, I
don't know if we want to try and get HLA's state
president, Cynthia Moynihan. And if you email me, I can
get you the information for a contact for you.
MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, that would be good. We
appreciate that. Because there has been yes. And we

will -- we have had some concern or thoughts about the 1 representation there. So we can coordinate with that 2 3 and reach out. And as I indicated earlier, we will be 4 addressing Mr. D'Angelo and Mr. Wata's recommendation on 5 behalf of the Florida Association of the Deaf. So that 6 7 would be good. Thank you, Chris. MR. FORSTALL: So you would -- you will do 8 9 that? 10 MR. LITTLEWOOD: Yeah. If you would just remind me on an email just if you don't hear from me. 11 12 MR. WILLIAMS: We will. We'll follow up. We'll take care of it. 13 14 MR. LITTLEWOOD: Okay. Thank you. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Because, like I said, it is -it's something that we've had on the radar. So I 16 17 appreciate your help, your assistance on that. 18 Are there any other questions or matters for 19 discussion? 20 (No response.) 21 If not, we will go ahead and adjourn at this 22 time. And we just want to thank everyone for your 23 participation. We're adjourned. (Meeting adjourned at 3:27 p.m.) 24 25

Ī	1
1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
11	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 19th day of April, 2016.
14	
15	Linda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	