1	BEFORE THE	
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 160059-EI
5	PETITION TO EXTENI DEVELOPMENT RIDER	
6	PERMANENT BASIS, BY TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY.	
7		/
8		
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
11		ITEM NO. 6
12	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN
13 14		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
15		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS
16	DATE:	Thursday, May 5, 2016
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
20		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to Item 6. Good morning.

MS. OLLILA: Good morning, Commissioners. First I have to apologize; my name card is still up in my office.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think we know you, Sue.

MS. OLLILA: Sue Ollila for staff. Thank you.

Item 6 is Tampa Electric's petition to extend its economic development rider on a permanent basis. The rider was introduced as a three-year pilot in the rate case settlement which was approved by the Commission in 2013. The rider expires the end of this year. It was designed to attract new business to Tampa Electric's service territory by providing certain base rate discounts. The rider has requirements for minimum load and new jobs.

Since the first customer took service in 2014, the new load has resulted in approximately \$760,000 in incremental base revenue. The discount amount associated with that is about 130,000.

The representatives from Tampa Electric are here to answer questions, if you have them. There have been no customer contacts, and staff recommends approval.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you very much, Sue.

Commissioners, do you have any questions?

I do have some questions on this item. First, a question for staff about why you're recommending a permanent rather than an extension of this pilot program.

MS. OLLILA: The primary reason is that the -in two years it has resulted in an additional \$760,000
of revenue, and that is a goodly amount of money even by
a big company's standard. At the same time, this kind
of program requires time on the company's part to
develop the contacts. Just my general understanding,
companies that are looking to move don't often make that
decision quickly.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So you think a permanent program of this -- and we have approved riders of this magnitude for all of the electric utilities, minus one.

MS. OLLILA: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So, I mean, I understand what we have done. I'm just curious about the permanent nature and your decision to make it permanent. I know there are only two customers that -- currently that are utilizing the rider.

MS. OLLILA: Yes, that's correct, a distribution center and a retail grocery chain. I think

perspective, this will allow the company to go out and solicit companies to move into their area with the promise of discounts. Now these discounts -- it's a five-year contract, and the discount starts at 20 percent for the first year, and by year five it is zero percent.

in the, okay, grand scheme of things, in an overall

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And obviously it's great for the community. It's great for the community and there's no undermining that. But, you know, obviously there's a provision in here that says that the general body of ratepayers could be affected in the next rate case.

MS. OLLILA: That's correct. The rule permits the company to come in during a rate case proceeding to ask for recovery. And staff would note that that involves the number -- the amount of discounts within the test year itself, and there's no way to know what that might be at any time. And, of course, the decision is ultimately the Commission's to determine what amount, if any, should be allocated to the general body of ratepayers. And Mr. Ashburn might be able to give more detail on that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And I was going to ask him right after. Thank you. And I think -- overall I think this is a great idea. Obviously this whole Commission

has supported these type of riders, but obviously we would like to ensure that the general body of ratepayers aren't necessarily affected by these discounts. So that's my opinion. Pardon me.

So I'm curious about the potential cost, incremental base revenue that you could incur, which is why you would like a permanent rider, also the potential discount that could potentially impact the general body of ratepayers in your next rate case.

MR. BEASLEY: Commissioners, good morning.

Jim Beasley for Tampa Electric Company. And Mr. William Ashburn, Director of Pricing and Financial Analysis for Tampa Electric, is here with me, and Mr. Ashburn will address that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning.

MR. ASHBURN: Good morning. One of the things
I often do as well is call a change of a tariff like
this permanent. Nothing is permanent. You know, what
we're asking is to take the expiration date off really
because it's a date at which point the tariff is no
longer in service, and we're asking for that expiration
date to end, and then it's in service until it's
changed, whether in a rate case or in some other matter.
So it's not there forever. It's just not time limited.

But the issue with the time limitedness of it

is when we're talking to customers who are potentially thinking of coming to the Tampa area or our service area, often that conversation takes years. And it's not because it's a conversation with us that takes years, but they have many other matters that they have to deal with when they're considering moving, particularly building a large plant. They may be dealing with tax abatement issues, they may be talking about how can we get access to the port on reasonable terms, distribution matters, all those kind of things. And those kind of things take a long time, not to mention the least is to find an appropriate site and get that secured.

So we're often talking to them early and

So we're often talking to them early and offering them some sort of a discount maybe under the EDR, but it may be two or three years before they've resolved all their other issues and then are able to take advantage of it. So having a time limited nature on it that ends at the end of this year means we really can't talk to them and promise that that discount that we're offering will be there in two or three years when they finally are able to secure their location. So taking off that date is very important, particularly in long-lived, protracted negotiations, to get somebody to move.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for that aspect.

MR. ASHBURN: Yes. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: That helps. 3 MR. ASHBURN: Yes. CHAIRMAN BROWN: And I absolutely appreciate 4 it as a lawyer too. 5 MR. ASHBURN: Right. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: But the second part of the question --8 9 MR. ASHBURN: Yes. CHAIRMAN BROWN: -- is the potential 10 incremental base revenue that you can receive from 11 12 the -- obviously you're courting several folks under this rider. 13 14 MR. ASHBURN: Right. CHAIRMAN BROWN: And also the discount. 15 MR. ASHBURN: Uh-huh. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I know it starts out and then 18 it tailors off after five years to zero. 19 MR. ASHBURN: That's correct. Right. 20 CHAIRMAN BROWN: So do you have some potential 21 nonconfidential estimates? 22 MR. ASHBURN: So it would be -- well, it's --23 I think, as she mentioned, as of a certain date when we 24 gave the number it was about \$130,000 or so. Right? 25 And then over each year that's going to go down until we

add another customer, depending on how large they are.

And the amount of money will depend, of course, on how big the load is that's being offered this discount.

We have no intention of accumulating that money over five, ten years until the next rate case and then asking for all of that. We're simply saying that at the time of the next rate case, whatever the discounts being offered under the EDR is part of the test year that we would potentially come and say, "Look, these are beneficial." We'll have to prove it to you and show how it's -- we were prudent in doing it and that it's a benefit to ratepayers, and then ask for your accommodation that we get recovery of it. But at that point, that's up to you to decide based on a sharing or whatever mechanism makes sense.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for that clarification. I knew that, but I appreciate that. So what is the potential growth under this rider?

MR. ASHBURN: Well, it's very hard to predict. As you heard, we had two. We're negotiating with several at the moment. Some of them are smaller and some of them are very large. The very large ones, you may not be surprised to know, take a long time to get resolved because usually it's about some large manufacturer or something.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So you have the 25-employee 1 2 threshold. 3 MR. ASHBURN: Yes. Yeah. Within -- yeah. They start at the 20 percent, and then each year it goes 4 down 5 percent, so it ends up at zero at the end, at the 5 fifth year. So it would -- if they should show up in 6 7 the next year or two, that would increase the amount that's subject to that potential recovery, but I can't 8 9 tell you what the number is because I don't know when they are or are not going to sign up. These ones right 10 11 now will run out in about two or three years. 12 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Commissioners, any other questions? 13 14 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Move staff. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Is there a second? 15 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. It's been moved and 18 seconded. All those in favor, say aye. 19 (Affirmative vote.) Opposed? The motion passes on all issues. 20 21 Thank you for being here and answering the questions. 22 Thank you. 23 (Agenda item concluded.) 24 25

	00001		
1	STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
2	COUNTY OF LEON)		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission		
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.		
6			
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;		
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,		
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'		
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.		
12	DATED THIS 12th day of May, 2016.		
13	DATED THIS IZEH day of May, Zoro.		
14	Lie Bolon		
15	Ginda Boles		
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter		
17	(850) 413-6734		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			