

Collin Roehner

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, December 05, 2016 4:34 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 160021
Attachments: FPL

[Customer correspondence](#)

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Monday, December 05, 2016 3:24 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 160021

Copies on file. DHood

Collin Roehner

From: Chris Bromfield <skylifecb@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:20 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL

Good Morning,

One thing that was hard to miss after two weeks at an election site recently, was the number of voters who were duped by the deceptive language contained in Amendment One. Many thought they were promoting solar energy in the state by voting for Amendment One, when the hidden agenda was of course to maintain the power companies monopoly by making residential solar cost prohibitive.

So as you meet today to decide on the \$811 million dollar FPL rate increase, is it possible that the PSC is being duped? After witnessing the power companies spend over \$25 million dollars of ratepayer money to deceive 51% of Florida's voters into voting for Amendment One, you have to consider the possibility that FPL is once again attempting to deceive Florida utility customers.

Thanks for listening,

Chris Bromfield
Boca Raton, FL